

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agur, C., & Frisch, N. (2019). Digital Disobedience and the Limits of Persuasion: Social Media Activism in Hong Kong's 2014 Umbrella Movement. *Social Media and Society*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119827002>
- Ahmad Isnaini, S., Dedy, S., & Edi, S. N. Analisis Sentralitas Aktor pada Struktur Jaringan Politik dengan Menggunakan Metode Social Network Analysis (SNA): Studi Kasus Group Facebook Lembaga Survei Sosial Media. In *Seminar Nasional Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi (SEMNASTIK) X*.
- Akbar, M. A., Masniarara Aziza Balfas Amril, Raiza Syahira, Fahrrein Rachel Latisha, & Noor Jihan. (2022). Analisis Struktur Jaringan Komunikasi #Seagames2022 Di Twitter Menggunakan Pendekatan Social Network Analysis (Sna). *Jurnal Studi Komunikasi Dan Media*, 26(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.17933/jskm.2022.4780>
- Alifiarry, M. A., & Kusumasari, B. (2021). The Application of Social Movement as a Form of Digital Advocacy: Case of# TolakRUUPermusikan. *Journal of Government and Civil Society*, 5(1), 1-30.
- Almog-Bar, M., & Schmid, H. (2014). Advocacy activities of nonprofit human and social justice. *Journal of Policy Practice*, 7(2-3), 140-154.
- Andini, A.N. and Akhni, G.N., 2021. Exploring Youth Political Participation: K-Pop Fan Activism in Indonesia and Thailand. *Global Focus*, Jg, 1, pp.38-55.
- Aulia, F., & Kusumasari, B. (2022). Tunda Pilkada: Apakah Advokasi Digital Berhasil Memengaruhi Proses Pembuatan Kebijakan? *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 16(2), 147–168. <https://doi.org/10.20885/komunikasi.vol16.iss2.art4>
- Brady, S. R., Young, J. A., & McLeod, D. A. (2015). Utilizing Digital Advocacy in Community Organizing: Lessons Learned from Organizing in Virtual Spaces to Promote Worker Rights and Economic Justice. *Journal of Community Practice*, 23(2), 255–273. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10705422.2015.1027803>



- Bliss, D. L. (2015). Using the social work advocacy practice model to find our voices in service of advocacy. *Human Service Organizations: Management, Leadership & Governance*, 39(1), 57-68.
- Brough, M. M., & Shresthova, S. (2012). Fandom meets activism: Rethinking civic and political participation. *Transformative Works and Cultures*, 10(January).
<https://doi.org/10.3983/twc.2012.0303>
- Bruns, A. and Burgess, J., 2011. The use of Twitter hashtags in the formation of ad hoc publics. In Proceedings of the 6th European consortium for political research (ECPR) general conference 2011 (pp. 1-9). The European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR).
- Capoot, A. (2023). *Elon Musk says Twitter will temporarily limit number of posts users can view per day, citing 'system manipulation*.
<https://www.cnn.com/2023/07/01/thousands-of-users-report-problems-accessing-twitter.html>
- Cheong, M., & Lee, V. (2010, March). Twittering for earth: A study on the impact of microblogging activism on Earth Hour 2009 in Australia. In *Asian Conference on Intelligent Information and Database Systems* (pp. 114-123). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Dandy, S. O. (n.d.). *DIRJEN GAKKUM KLHK : INVESTIGASI GREENPEACE MENGGUNAKAN VIDEO TAHUN 2013*. Kementerian Lingkungan Dan Kehutanan. <https://gakkum.menlhk.go.id/infopublik/detail/215>
- Dewi, N.K. (2024). Tempo.co. Viral #AllEyesOnPapua di X, Bentuk Solidaritas pada Masyarakat Adat Awyu dan Moi Papua Pertahankan Hutan Adat. Diakses 05 Juni 2024 [online]
- Dunlop, J. M., & Fawcett, G. (2008). Technology-based approaches to social work
- Elo, S., Kääriäinen, M., Kanste, O., Pölkki, T., Utriainen, K., & Kyngäs, H. (2014). Qualitative content analysis: A focus on trustworthiness. *SAGE open*, 4(1), 2158244014522633.
- Eriyanto (2014). Analisis Jaringan Komunikasi. Jakarta: Kencana.



- Ewbank, A. D. (2015). Library Advocacy Through Twitter: A Social Media Analysis of #savelibraries and #getESEArigh. *School Libraries Worldwide*, 21(2), 26–38.
<https://doi.org/10.29173/slw6892>
- Figenschou, T. U., & Fredheim, N. A. (2020). Interest groups on social media: Four forms of networked advocacy. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 20(2), e2012.
- Gelfgren, S., Ineland, J., & Cocq, C. (2022). Social media and disability advocacy organizations: caught between hopes and realities. *Disability and Society*, 37(7), 1085–1106. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09687599.2020.1867069>
- Golbeck, J. (2015). Introduction to social media investigation: A hands-on approach. Syngress. <https://books.google.co.id/>
- Guo, C., & Saxton, G. D. (2014). Tweeting Social Change: How Social Media Are Changing Nonprofit Advocacy. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 43(1), 57–79. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764012471585>
- Himmelboim, I., Smith, M. A., Rainie, L., Shneiderman, B., & Espina, C. (2017). Classifying Twitter Topic-Networks Using Social Network Analysis. *Social Media and Society*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305117691545>
- Hofer, R. (2019). *Advocacy practice for social justice*. Oxford University Press.
- Hoffmann, C., & Lutz, C. (2014). The impact of Online Media on Stakeholder Engagement and The Governance of Corporations. *Journal of Public Affairs*, 163-174.
- Howard, P., Savage, S., Flores-Saviaga, C., Toxtli, C., & Monroy-Hernández, A. (2017). Social media, civic engagement, and the slacktivism hypothesis: lessons from Mexico’s “El Bronco. *Journal of International Affairs*, 70(1), 55–73, 22(2005), 2–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444812452411.4>
- Johansson, H., & Scaramuzzino, G. (2019). The logics of digital advocacy: Between acts of political influence and presence. *New media & society*, 21(7), 1528-1545.
- Kanozia, R. and Ganghariya, G., 2021. More than K-pop fans: BTS fandom and activism amid COVID-19 outbreak. *Media Asia*, 48(4), pp.338-345.



- Karaduman, S., & Kurt, H. (2012). Usage of Social Media by Political Actors: An Analysis on the Usage of Twitter by Leaders of Political Parties in Turkey. *MediAnali: međunarodni znanstveni časopis za pitanja medija, novinarstva, masovnog komuniciranja i odnosa s javnostima*, 6(12), 1-15.
- Kim, P., & Hutt, E. (2021). K-pop as a Social Movement: Case Study of BTS and Their Fandom ARMY. *Journal of Student Research*, 10(3), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.47611/jsrhs.v10i3.1772>
- Kingston, L. N., & Stam, K. R. (2013). Online advocacy: Analysis of human rights NGO websites. *Journal of Human Rights Practice*, 5(1), 75–95. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhuman/hus036>
- Krisiandi, & Maharani, T. (2020, November 13). Pemerintah Diminta Investigasi Dugaan Pelanggaran Pembukaan Lahan Sawit di Papua. Diakses dari <https://nasional.kompas.com>. *Kompas.Com*.
- Kusuma, A., Purbantina, A. P., Nahdiyah, V., & Khasanah, U. U. (2020). A virtual ethnography study: Fandom and social impact in digital era. *Etnosia: Jurnal Etnografi Indonesia*, 238-251.
- Kusumasari, B., & Kusumaningrum, H. (2019). Application of the Resource Mobilization Theory in Indonesia's Environmental Movements. *Journal of Comparative Asian Development*, 17(2), 78–98.
- Leydesdorff, L. (2007). Betweenness centrality as an indicator of the interdisciplinary of scientific journals. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 58(9), 1303–1319. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.20614>
- Liu, Wenlin & Sidhu, Anupreet & Beacom, Amanda & Valente, Thomas. (2017). Social Network Theory. 10.1002/9781118783764.wbieme0092.
- Lovejoy, K., & Saxton, G. D. (2012). Information, Community, and Action: How Nonprofit Organizations Use Social Media. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 17(3), 337–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2012.01576.x>
- Mahmudi, (2005). *Manajemen Kinerja Sektor Publik*. Yogyakarta : UPP AMP YKPN.



- Moreillon, J., & Hall, R. N. (2014). Digital advocacy stories: A pedagogical tool for communicating and strengthening library values. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science*, 100-111.
- Neiger, B. L., Thackeray, R., Burton, S. H., Giraud-Carrier, C. G., & Fagen, M. C. (2013). Evaluating Social Media's Capacity to Develop Engaged Audiences in Health Promotion Settings: Use of Twitter Metrics as a Case Study. *Health Promotion Practice*, 14(2), 157–162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839912469378>
- Obar, J. A., Zube, P., & Lampe, C. (2012). Advocacy 2.0: An analysis of how advocacy groups in the United States perceive and use social media as tools for facilitating civic engagement and collective action. *Journal of information policy*, 2(1), 1-25.
- Park, S. Y., Santero, N. K., Kaneshiro, B., & Lee, J. H. (2021, May). Armed in ARMY: A case study of how BTS fans successfully collaborated to # MatchAMillion for Black Lives Matter. In *Proceedings of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-14).
- Policyproject. 1999. What Are Advocacy Networks?. <http://www.policyproject.com/pubs/advocacy/English/Policy%20Proj%20Sec%20I-1.pdf>
- PRIANDA, E. (2019). *Advokasi Masyarakat Sipil di Era Digital. Studi Kasus mengenai Strategi Advokasi Masyarakat Sipil oleh Masyarakat Digital Jogja (Masdjo) melalui Media Sosial di Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta (DIY)* (DigiTimes#25 Center For Digital Studies Society UGM) Quarterly, 43(1), 11-35.
- Ramadhansari, I.F. 2020. *Tagar #SavePapuaForest Trending di Twitter, Ada Apa?*. Diakses dari <https://kabar24.bisnis.com/read/20201113/15/1317423/tagar-savepapuaforest-trending-di-twitter-ada-apa>
- Sanders, C. K., & Scanlon, E. (2021). The Digital Divide Is a Human Rights Issue: Advancing Social Inclusion Through Social Work Advocacy. *Journal of Human Rights and Social Work*, 6(2), 130–143. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41134-020-00147-9>



- Sanya, L. N., Sseguya, H., Kyazze, F. B., Baguma, Y., & Kibwika, P. (2018). Actor diversity and interactions in the development of banana hybrid varieties in Uganda: implications for technology uptake. *The Journal of Agricultural Education and Extension*, 24(2), 153-167.
- Saxton, G. D., Niyirora, J. N., Guo, C., & Waters, R. D. (2015). #AdvocatingForChange: The Strategic Use of Hashtags in Social Media Advocacy. *Advances in Social Work*, 16(1), 154–169. <https://doi.org/10.18060/17952>
- Sebatier, P. (2007). Theories of The Policy Process. <https://edwardwimberley.com/courses/IntroEnvPol/theorypolprocess.pdf#page=19>
5
- Susskind, Y., & Duarte D. (2018). Networked Advocacy. *The Oxford Handbook of Women, Peace, and Security*. Oxford University Press
- Sutarsih, T., & Maharani, K. (2022). STATISTIK TELEKOMUNIKASI INDONESIA. Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Taylor, M. P. (2021). All Talk and No Action? A Comparative Analysis of Nonprofit Twitter Chats. *Journal of Nonprofit and Public Sector Marketing*, 35(1), 65–80. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10495142.2021.1953668>
- Tabassum, S., Pereira, F. S., Fernandes, S., & Gama, J. (2018). Social network analysis: An overview. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery*, 8(5), e1256.
- Tasurruni, T., Nugroho, B., & Maturbong, R. A. (2019). Kajian pelaksanaan kegiatan pencegahan kebakaran hutan dan lahan di Provinsi Papua Barat. *Cassowary*, 2(2), 114-127.
- Uzochukwu, B., Onyedinma, C., Okeke, C., Onwujekwe, O., Manzano, A., Ebenso, B., Etiaba, E., Ezuma, N., & Mirzoev, T. (2020). What makes advocacy work? Stakeholders' voices and insights from prioritisation of maternal and child health programme in Nigeria. *BMC Health Services Research*, 20(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-020-05734-0>



- Vassiliadis, C., Andronikidis, A., Belenioti, Z. 2015. "Classifying and Profiling Social Media Users: An Integrated Approach". The European Conference on Media, Communication and Film. 178 - 182
- Vicsek, L. M., Király, G., & Konya, H. (2016). Networks in the social sciences: Comparing actor-network theory and social network analysis. *Corvinus Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*, 7(2), 77-102.
- White, M. D., & Marsh, E. E. (2006). Content analysis: A flexible methodology. *Library trends*, 55(1), 22-45.
- Xiong, Y., Cho, M., & Boatwright, B. (2019). Hashtag activism and message frames among social movement organizations: Semantic network analysis and thematic analysis of Twitter during the #MeToo movement. *Public Relations Review*, 45(1), 10–23. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pubrev.2018.10.014>
- Zhang, J., & Luo, Y. (2017). Degree Centrality, Betweenness Centrality, and Closeness Centrality in Social Network. *132(Msam)*, 300–303. <https://doi.org/10.2991/msam-17.2017.68>