

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>TITLE PAGE .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ENDORSEMENT .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>APPROVAL .....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>DISCLAIMER.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>INTISARI .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
 <b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	 <b>1</b>
A. Background.....	1
B. Research Questions.....	8
C. Research Objectives.....	8
D. Originality of Research.....	9
E. Benefits of Study.....	13
 <b>II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK .....</b>	 <b>16</b>
A. Corruption in Indonesian Legal Framework .....	16
B. Article 2 and 3 of Law No. 31 of 1999 on the Eradication of Corruption ...	18
C. The Principle of Proportionality .....	20
1. Definition of the Principle of Proportionality.....	20
2. Theories of the Principle of Proportionality .....	21
3. The Principle of Proportionality in Supreme Court Regulation No. 1 of 2020 on Sentencing Guidelines for Article 2 and 3 of Law No. 31 of 1999 on the Eradication of Corruption .....	23
4. Disproportionality in Sentencing.....	24
D. Disparity in Sentencing .....	25
1. Definition of Disparity in Sentencing.....	25

2. Causes of Disparity in Sentencing.....	25
3. Using Sentencing Guidelines to Address Disparity in Sentencing.....	29
<b>III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>34</b>
A. Research Characteristics.....	34
B. Type of Data .....	35
C. Data Collection.....	36
D. Data Analysis.....	37
<b>IV. RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>40</b>
A. Explaining Disparity from the Lens of Proportionality Principle .....	40
1. The Normative Explanation of the Relationship Between Disparity and Proportionality .....	40
2. The Proportionality Test.....	42
3. The Proportionality Test in Corruption Cases.....	49
4. Findings .....	79
B. Avoiding Disproportionality and Disparity .....	83
<b>V. CLOSURE .....</b>	<b>88</b>
A. Conclusion.....	88
A. Recommendation .....	89
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>91</b>