



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| COVER .....                                | i   |
| UNDERGRADUATE FINAL PROJECT .....          | ii  |
| VALIDATION PAGE.....                       | iii |
| PREFACE .....                              | iv  |
| SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION LIST.....         | 8   |
| LIST OF FIGURES.....                       | 2   |
| LIST OF TABLES.....                        | 3   |
| INTISARI.....                              | 4   |
| ABSTRACT .....                             | 5   |
| I. INTRODUCTION .....                      | 6   |
| A. Scientific Background.....              | 6   |
| B. Research Problem.....                   | 7   |
| C. Research Objectives.....                | 7   |
| D. Research Benefits.....                  | 8   |
| II. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS ..... | 9   |
| A. Literature Review.....                  | 9   |
| 1. Leachate .....                          | 9   |
| 2. Landfill Gas.....                       | 10  |
| 3. Eco Lindi.....                          | 12  |
| 4. Waste management system .....           | 16  |
| B. Hypothesis.....                         | 17  |
| III. METHODOLOGY.....                      | 18  |
| A. Time and Place .....                    | 18  |
| 1. Data collection .....                   | 18  |
| 2. Application.....                        | 20  |
| B. Tools and Materials .....               | 20  |
| C. Procedure.....                          | 22  |
| D. Data Analysis .....                     | 24  |
| E. Research Schedule .....                 | 25  |



|                                    |    |
|------------------------------------|----|
| IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....    | 26 |
| A. Results .....                   | 26 |
| B. Discussion .....                | 44 |
| V. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION ..... | 48 |
| REFERENCES.....                    | 49 |



## SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATION LIST

| No | Abbreviation | Definition   | Page                 |
|----|--------------|--|----------------------|
| 1  | TPA          | Tempat pemrosesan akhir (landfill)                           | 7                    |
| 2  | TPS          | Tempat pemrosesan sementara (waste processing site)          | 15-16,43             |
| 3  | DLHK         | Dinas Lingkungan hidup dan Kebersihan (Environmental Agency) | 17,43                |
| 4  | ERiC-SWM     | Emission reduction city sustainable waste management         | 7, 19-20, 28, 41, 45 |
| 5  | MMTOeCO2     | Million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent reductions  | 42                   |
| 6  | GHG          | Greenhouse gas   | 40 42 45 46          |
| 7  | COD          | Chemical Oxygen Demand                                       | 17-22, 25-28, 40     |
| 8  | BOD          | Biological Oxygen Demand                                     | 17, 19-22, 27        |
| 9  | ANOVA        | Analysis of Variance   | 23, 27-29, 36        |



## LIST OF FIGURES

|   |    |
|---|----|
| Figure 1. The first formulation of Eco Lindi.....   | 14 |
| Figure 2. The second formulation of Eco Lindi .....   | 15 |
| Figure 3. Landfill gas sampling points.....   | 19 |
| Figure 4. Trash control heroes award by Sidoarjo regent .....   | 26 |
| Figure 5. Media coverage.....   | 27 |
| Figure 6. COD quality trend in Jabon landfill.....  | 28 |
| Figure 7. ANOVA graph between untreated landfill and treated landfill.....  | 31 |
| Figure 8. Jabon landfill gas emission.....  | 33 |
| Figure 9. Landfill gas from Jabon landfill and Malaysian landfill. (A) comparison graph of CH <sub>4</sub> concentration (B) comparison graph of CO <sub>2</sub> concentration .....  | 38 |
| Figure 10. Comparison of GHG emissions between Jabon landfill and Malaysian landfill (A) the comparison of CO <sub>2</sub> (B) the comparison of CH <sub>4</sub> .....  | 40 |
| Figure 11. The concept of Eco Lindi application in landfill and leachate recirculation.....   | 40 |
| Figure 12. Comparison between recirculation treatments in different landfills (A) the trend of H <sub>2</sub> S concentrations (ppm) with treatments in nine days (B) the comparison of H <sub>2</sub> S concentrations (ppm) in three treatments.....          | 42 |
| Figure 13. Comparison of odorant gas H <sub>2</sub> S (ppm) between Jabon and Hangzhou. (A) the trend of gas in six sampling between Jabon and Hangzhou landfill (B) the difference of H <sub>2</sub> S concentration between Jabon and Hangzhou landfill ..... | 43 |



## LIST OF TABLES

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Leachate profile before the process, in the process, and the final product (Eco Lindi) in January 2022..... | 15 |
| Table 2. Information of leachate sampling timeline.....  | 19 |
| Table 3. Post Hoc Test between Eco Lindi formulation in Jabon landfill.....  | 30 |
| Table 4. ANOVA Result of Jabon and Banjardowo landfill.....  | 32 |
| Table 5. Multiple comparisons of landfill gasses in Jabon landfill.....  | 33 |
| Table 6. Correlation between CH <sub>4</sub> and O <sub>2</sub> in Jabon landfill .....                              | 35 |
| Table 7. Correlation between CH <sub>4</sub> and waste in Jabon landfill.....  | 36 |
| Table 8. Correlation between H <sub>2</sub> S and waste in Jabon landfill .....                                      | 37 |