

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- AlRahimi, J., Aboud, A., AlQuhaibi, A. S., Almaghrabi, Y., Alghamdi, Y. S., & Mufti, H. N. (2021). Effect of Isolated Obesity on Left Ventricular Function and Structure: A Single-Center Experience. *Cureus*. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.13988>
- Anderson B. 2007. Echocardiography : The normal examination and echocardiographic measurement 2nd edition. MGA Graphics. Australia
- Andre F., Buss S., Celik C., Abdel-Aty H., Santos M.F.B., Katus H.A. Steen H. 2013. Discrepancies in ejection fraction measurements between echocardiography and cardiovascular magnetic resonance lead to different clinical classifications. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 15(1). pp:1-2. Available from : <https://jcmr-online.biomedcentral.com/>
- Baert A.L., Reiser M.F., Hricak H., Knauth M. 2012. Clinical cardiac MRI 2nd Edition. Springer Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London
- Bell D.J., Murphy A., Mellam Y., Lander B.J., Feger J., Foster T., Lorente E. 2023. Cardiac Ischemia Protocol (MRI). Reference article. *Radiopaedia.org*. (Accessed on 1 Dec 2023) Available from : <https://doi.org/10.53347/rID-87326>
- Bulwer B.E., Rivero J.M. 2011. Echocardiography pocket guide : The transthoracic examination. Jones and Bartlett Publisher. Canada
- Carrascosa P.M., Capunay C.M., Deviggiano A., Rodriguez-Granillo G.A. 2019. Clinical atlas of cardiac and aortic CT and MRI. Springer. Switzerland
- Chen C., Qin C., Qiu H., Tarroni G., Duan J., Bai W., Rueckert D. 2020. Deep learning for cardiac image segmentation : A review. *Frontiers in cardiovascular medicine*. 7 (25). Pp : 1-33
- Chieng R., Gaillard F. 2023. Heart. Reference article, *Radiopaedia.org* (Accessed on 12 Nov 2023) Available from : <https://doi.org/10.53347/rID-7366>
- Chuang M.L., Hibberd M.G., Salton C.J., Beaudin R.A., Riley M.F., Parker R.A., Douglas P.S., Manning W.J. 2000. Importance of imaging method over imaging modality in noninvasive determination of left ventricular volumes and ejection fraction., *Journal of American College of Cardiology*, 35 (2)., pp : 477 – 484
- Dahal P. 2023. Pericardium : Definition, structure, functions, diseases. Human Anatomy and Physiology. *Microbe Notes*. (Accessed on 20 Nov 2023) Available from : <https://microbenotes.com/pericardium-structure-functions/>
- Dahlan S. 2014. Statistik dalam kedokteran dan Kesehatan. Deskriptif, bivariat dan multivariat, dilengkapi aplikasi menggunakan SPSS. Seri 1 Edisi 6. *Epidemiologi Indonesia. MSD Book*
- Dahlan S. 2016. Besar sampel dalam penelitian kedokteran dan Kesehatan Seri 2 Edisi 4. *Epidemiologi Indonesi MSD Book*
- Durand M. 2016. Basic processing in cardiovascular MRI : Tips and tricks. *SA Journal of Radiology*. 20 (2). Pp : 1-8. Available from : <http://www.sajr.org.za>
- Elster A.D. 2023. Question and Answer in MRI. (Accessed on 13 Nov 2023) Available from : <https://mriquestions.com/index.html>
- Foley T.A., Mankad S.V., Anavekar N.S., Bonnichsen C.R., Morris M.F., Miller T.D., Araoz P.A. 2012. Measuring left ventricular ejection fraction – technique and potential pitfalls. *European Cardiology*. 8(2). Pp : 108 – 112
- Giavarina D. 2015. Understanding bland altman analysis. *National Library of Medicine. PubMed Central*. 25 (2) : Pp 141-151

- Habash-Bseiso D.E., Rokey R., Berger C.J., Weier A.W., Chyou P. 2005. Accuracy of noninvasive ejection fraction measurement in al large community-based clinic. *Clinical Medicine and Research*. 3(2). Pp : 75-82. Available from : <http://www.clinmedres.org>
- Harries I., Liang K., Williams M., Berlot B., Biglino G., Lancelotti P., Plana J.C., Buciarelli-Ducci C. 2020. Magnetic resonance imaging to detect cardiovascular effect of cancer therapy. *JACC : Cardiooncology*. 2(2).Pp : 270 – 292
- Heidenreich P.A., Bozkurt B., Aguilar D., Allen L.A., Byun J.J., Colvin M.M., Deswal A., Drazner M.H., Dunlay S.M., Evers L.R., Fang J.C., Fedson S.E., Fonarow G.C., Hayek S.S., Hernandez A.F., Khazanie P., Kittleson M.M., Lee C.S., Link M.S., Milano C.S., Nnacheta L.C., Sandhu A.T., Stevenson L.W., Vardeny O., Vest A.R., Yancy C.W. 2022. 2022 AHA/ACC/HFSA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 145 (18).Pp: 895 – 1032.Available from : <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001063>
- Huttin O., Petit M., Bozec E., Eschalier R., Juilliere Y., Moulin F., Lemoine S., Selton-Suty C., Sadoul N., Mandry D., Beaumont M., Felbringer J., Girerd N., Marie P. 2015. ‘Assessment of left ventricular ejection fraction calculation on long-axis views from cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Medicine*. 94 (43). pp: 1-7
- Jo Y., Kim J., Park C.H., Lee J.W., Hur J.Y., Yang D.H., Lee B.Y., Im D.J., Hong S.J., Kim E.Y., Park E., Kim P.K., Yong H.S. 2019. Guideline for cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging from The Korean Society of Cardiovascular Imaging – Part 1 : Standardized Protocol. *Korean Journal of Radiology*. 20(9). Pp: 13113-1333. (Accessed on 2 Dec 2023) Available from : <https://doi.org/10.3348/kjr.2019.0398>
- Kaur, G., & Lau, E. (2022). Sex differences in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: From traditional risk factors to sex-specific risk factors. *Women’s Health*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17455057221140209>
- Kawel-Boehm N., Hetzel S.J., Ambale-Venkatesh, Captur G., Francois C.J., Jerosch-Herold M., Salerno M., Teague S.D., Valsangiacomo-Buechel E., Van der Geest R.J., Bluemke D.A. 2021. Reference ranges (“normal values”) for cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) in adults and children : 2020 Update. *Journal of Cardiovascular magnetic Resonance*. 22(87). Pp : 1-63
- Kenney W.L., Wilmore J.H., Costill D.L. 2012. Physiology of sport and exercise. 5th Edition. *Human Kinetics*. USA
- Kolias T.J., Edvardsen T. 2016. Beyond ejection fraction. *JACC Cardiovascular Imaging*. 9(8). Pp : 922-923
- Koo T.K., Li M.Y. 2016. A Guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients for reliability research. *Journal of Chiropractic Medicine*. 15. Pp 155 – 163
- Kramer C.M., Barkhausen J., Bucciarelli-Ducci C., Flamm S.D., Kim R.J., Nagel E. 2020. Standardized cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging (CMR) protocols : 2020 Update. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 22:17 (Accessed on 2 Dec 2023) Available from : <https://scmr.org/page/guidelines>
- Lin, M., Lin, S. L., Wang, K. L., Kuo, H. W., & Tak, T. (2014). Effect of aging on human circulatory system in normotensive healthy subjects. *International Journal of Angiology*, 23(4). <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1374735>
- Lin L., Su M.M., Pham V., Tran T., Wang Y.H., Tseng W.I., Lo M., Lin J. 2016. Endocardial remodelling in heart failure patient with impaired and preserved left

- ventricular systolic function – A Magnetic Resonance Imaging study. *Scientific Reports*. Pp : 1-8. Available from : [Scientific Reports \(nature.com\)](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-016-09933-y)
- Martín-Fernández, B., & Gredilla, R. (2016). Mitochondria and oxidative stress in heart aging. *Age*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11357-016-9933-y>
- Michler K., Hessman C., Prummer M., Achenbach S., Uder M., Janka R. 2023. Cardiac MRI : An alternative method to determine the left ventricular function. *Diagnostics*. 13(1437). Pp : 1-11
- Mori S., Shivkumar K. 2022. Atlas of Cardiac Anatomy Digital Edition. Cardiotext publishing. Hopkins, Minnesota, USA
- Nacif M.S., Barranhas A.D., Turkbey E., Marchiori E., Kawel N., Mello R.A.F., Falcao R.O., Oliveira A.C., Rochitte C.E. 2013. Left atrial volume quantification using cardiac MRI in atrial fibrillation : comparison of the Simpson's method with biplane area-length, ellipse, and three-dimensional methods. *Diagnostic and Interventional Radiology*. 19. pp : 213-220
- Nazir, M. S., Okafor, J., Murphy, T., Andres, M. S., Ramalingham, S., Rosen, S. D., ... Lyon, A. R. (2024). Echocardiography versus Cardiac MRI for Measurement of Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction in Individuals with Cancer and Suspected Cardiotoxicity. *Radiology: Cardiothoracic Imaging*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1148/ryct.230048>
- O'Dell W.G. 2019. Accuracy of left ventricular cavity volume and ejection fraction for conventional estimation methods and 3D surface fitting. *Journal of the American Heart Association*. Available from : DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.118.009124
- Özlek, B., Özlek, E., Kahraman, S., Tekinalp, M., Ağuş, H. Z., Çelik, O., ...Biteker, M. (2019). Gender disparities in heart failure with mid-range and preserved ejection fraction: Results from APOLLON study. *Anatolian Journal of Cardiology*, 21(5). <https://doi.org/10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2019.71954>
- Pellikka P.A., She L., Holly T.A., Lin G., Varadarajan P., Pai R.G., Bonow R.O., Pohost G.M., Panza J.A., Berman D.S., Prior D.L., Asch F.M., Borges-Neto S., Graybrun P., Al-Khalidi H.R., Miszalski-Jamka K., Desvigne-Nickens P., Lee K.L., Velasquez E.J., Oh J.K. 2018. Variability in ejection fraction measured by echocardiography, gated single-photon emission computed tomography, and cardiac magnetic resonance imaging in patients with coronary artery disease and left ventricular dysfunction. *JAMA Network Open*. 1(4). Pp : 1-13. Available from : <https://jamanetwork.com/on10/30/2023>
- Plodkowski A.J., Levsky J.M. 2014. Section 2 Chapter 3 Normal Cardiac Anatomy in : Rotations in Radiologi Cardiac Imaging. Oxford University. Oxford New York.pp : 17 – 21
- Sastroasmoro, S., Ismael. 2014. Dasar-dasar Metodologi Penelitian, 4th edition. *Sagung Seto*, Jakarta, pp. 55-57
- Schoenhagen P., Dong F. 2023. Cardiac CT made easy an introduction to cardiovascular multidetector computed tomography 3rd Edition', Taylor and Francis Group. CRC Press
- Schroeder A.P., Houlind K., Pedersen E.M., Nielsen T.T., Egeblad H. 2001. Biplane long-axis magnetic resonance imaging. Survey Projections for rapid estimation of left ventricular mass and global function. *Scandinavian Cardiovascular Journal*. 35 (6). pp : 385-393. (Accessed on 1 Dec 2023) Available from : : <https://doi.org/10.1080/14017430152754871>
- Schulz-Menger J., Bluemke D.A., Bremerich J.,Flamm S.D., Fogel M.A., Friedrich M .G., Kim R.J., Knobelsdorff-Brenkenhoff F.V., Kramer C.M., Pennel D.J., Plein S., Nagel E. 2020. Standardized image interpretation and post-processing in

- cardiovascular magnetic resonance – 2020 Update. Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) : Board of Trustee Task Force on Standardized Post-Processing. *Journal of Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance*. 22 (19). Pp:1-22
- Van der Geest R.J., Buller V.G.M., Jansen E., Lamb H.J., Baur L.H.B., Van der Wall E.E., Roos A. Reiber J.H. 1997. Comparison between manual and semiautomated analysis of left ventricular volume parameters from short-axis MR images. *Cardiopulmonary Imaging Journal of Computed Assisted Tomography*. 21(5) pp.756-765
- Van de Weijer T., Van Ewijk P.A., Zandbergen H.R., Slenter J.M., Kessels A.G., Wildberger J.E., Hesselink M.K.C., Schrauwen P., Schrauwen-Hinderling V.B., Kooi M.E. 2012. Geometrical models for cardiac MRI in rode comparison of quantification of left ventricular volumes and function by various geometric models with a full-volume MRI data set in rodents. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 302. Pp : 709-715
- Ye, L. F., Li, X. L., Wang, S. M., Wang, Y. F., Zheng, Y. R., & Wang, L. H. (2021). Body Mass Index: An Effective Predictor of Ejection Fraction Improvement in Heart Failure. *Frontiers in Cardiovascular Medicine*, 8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcvm.2021.586240>
- Yoo S.J., Perrin D.G. 2016. Normal Cardiovascular Anatomy for Imaging. *Radiology Key*. (Accessed on 2 Dec 2023) Available at : <https://radiologykey.com/normal-cardiovascular-anatomy-for-imaging/>
- Zhao L., Lu A., Tian J., Huang J., Ma X. 2020. Effects of different LVEF assessed by echocardiography and CMR on the diagnosis and therapeutic decisions of cardiovascular disease. *Frontiers in Physiology*. 11 (679). Pp : 1-5

LAMPIRAN

1. *Intraclass Coefficient Correlation (ICC)*

Descriptives			Statistic	Std. Error
LA_Obs1	Mean		52.7417	5.52169
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	40.5885	
		Upper Bound	64.8948	
	5% Trimmed Mean		53.0907	
	Median		53.0500	
	Variance		365.868	
	Std. Deviation		19.12768	
	Minimum		18.20	
	Maximum		81.00	
	Range		62.80	
	Interquartile Range		30.85	
	Skewness		-.474	.637
	Kurtosis		-.528	1.232
LA_Obs2	Mean		51.9667	5.44571
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	39.9807	
		Upper Bound	63.9526	
	5% Trimmed Mean		52.3519	
	Median		52.1000	
	Variance		355.870	
	Std. Deviation		18.86451	
	Minimum		17.00	
	Maximum		80.00	
	Range		63.00	
	Interquartile Range		30.13	
	Skewness		-.469	.637
	Kurtosis		-.487	1.232
SA_Obs1	Mean		49.1667	6.09748
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	35.7462	
		Upper Bound	62.5871	
	5% Trimmed Mean		49.3519	
	Median		49.0000	
	Variance		446.152	
	Std. Deviation		21.12230	
	Minimum		13.00	
	Maximum		82.00	
	Range		69.00	
	Interquartile Range		37.25	
	Skewness		-.327	.637
	Kurtosis		-.686	1.232
SA_Obs2	Mean		48.3333	6.12414
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	34.8542	
		Upper Bound	61.8125	
	5% Trimmed Mean		48.5370	
	Median		48.5000	
	Variance		450.061	
	Std. Deviation		21.21463	
	Minimum		13.00	
	Maximum		80.00	
	Range		67.00	
	Interquartile Range		38.75	
	Skewness		-.408	.637
	Kurtosis		-.819	1.232

Intraclass Correlation Coefficient

	Intraclass Correlation ^b	95% Confidence Interval		F Test with True Value 0			
		Lower Bound	Upper Bound	Value	df1	df2	Sig
Single Measures	.998 ^a	.993	.999	961.171	11	11	.000
Average Measures	.999 ^c	.996	1.000	961.171	11	11	.000

Two-way mixed effects model where people effects are random and measures effects are fixed.

- The estimator is the same, whether the interaction effect is present or not.
- Type C intraclass correlation coefficients using a consistency definition. The between-measure variance is excluded from the denominator variance.
- This estimate is computed assuming the interaction effect is absent, because it is not estimable otherwise.

2. Karakteristik data sampel

Gender

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Laki-laki	25	62.5	62.5	62.5
Perempuan	15	37.5	37.5	100.0
Total	40	100.0	100.0	

Usia_Kat

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 18-30 tahun	4	10.0	10.0	10.0
31-40 tahun	6	15.0	15.0	25.0
41-50 tahun	12	30.0	30.0	55.0
51-60 tahun	7	17.5	17.5	72.5
61-70 tahun	10	25.0	25.0	97.5
71-80 tahun	1	2.5	2.5	100.0
Total	40	100.0	100.0	

IMT

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Underweight	2	5.0	5.0	5.0
Normal	13	32.5	32.5	37.5
Overweight	9	22.5	22.5	60.0
Obesitas I	12	30.0	30.0	90.0
Obesitas II	4	10.0	10.0	100.0
Total	40	100.0	100.0	

3. Analisis statistik

Descriptives				Statistic	Std. Error
LA	Mean			56.5625	2.55523
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		51.3941	
		Upper Bound		61.7309	
	5% Trimmed Mean			57.5389	
	Median			63.4000	
	Variance			261.168	
	Std. Deviation			16.16069	
	Minimum			13.80	
	Maximum			83.00	
	Range			69.20	
	Interquartile Range			19.25	
	Skewness			-.991	.374
	Kurtosis			.438	.733
SA	Mean			51.6750	2.84456
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		45.9213	
		Upper Bound		57.4287	
	5% Trimmed Mean			52.5000	
	Median			57.0000	
	Variance			323.661	
	Std. Deviation			17.99058	
	Minimum			9.00	
	Maximum			82.00	
	Range			73.00	
	Interquartile Range			20.50	
	Skewness			-.899	.374
	Kurtosis			.161	.733
E	Mean			61.3250	2.49728
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		56.2738	
		Upper Bound		66.3762	
	5% Trimmed Mean			62.2500	
	Median			65.5000	
	Variance			249.456	
	Std. Deviation			15.79417	
	Minimum			21.00	
	Maximum			84.00	
	Range			63.00	
	Interquartile Range			23.00	
	Skewness			-.949	.374
	Kurtosis			.402	.733
LAvsSA	Mean			4.8875	.73707
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		3.3966	
		Upper Bound		6.3784	
	5% Trimmed Mean			4.3611	
	Median			4.0500	
	Variance			21.731	
	Std. Deviation			4.66164	
	Minimum			-.50	
	Maximum			20.00	
	Range			20.50	
	Interquartile Range			4.85	
	Skewness			1.716	.374
	Kurtosis			3.178	.733
LAvsE	Mean			-4.7625	.74359
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		-6.2665	
		Upper Bound		-3.2585	
	5% Trimmed Mean			-4.3889	
	Median			-3.0000	
	Variance			22.117	
	Std. Deviation			4.70285	
	Minimum			-18.20	
	Maximum			.40	
	Range			18.60	
	Interquartile Range			6.75	
	Skewness			-1.065	.374
	Kurtosis			.405	.733
SAvsE	Mean			-9.6500	1.14161
	95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound		-11.9591	
		Upper Bound		-7.3409	
	5% Trimmed Mean			-9.1667	
	Median			-7.5000	
	Variance			52.131	
	Std. Deviation			7.22016	
	Minimum			-31.00	
	Maximum			-1.00	
	Range			30.00	
	Interquartile Range			10.00	
	Skewness			-.995	.374
	Kurtosis			.600	.733

Tests of Normality

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ^a			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
LA	.204	40	.000	.907	40	.003
SA	.148	40	.027	.915	40	.005
E	.192	40	.001	.913	40	.005
LAvsSA	.198	40	.000	.828	40	.000
LAvsE	.197	40	.000	.879	40	.001
SAvsE	.143	40	.038	.909	40	.004

a. Lilliefors Significance Correction

One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
LAvsSA	40	4.8875	4.66164	.73707
LAvsE	40	-4.7625	4.70285	.74359
SAvsE	40	-9.6500	7.22016	1.14161

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 0					
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
					Lower	Upper
LAvsSA	6.631	39	.000	4.88750	3.3966	6.3784
LAvsE	-6.405	39	.000	-4.76250	-6.2665	-3.2585
SAvsE	-8.453	39	.000	-9.65000	-11.9591	-7.3409

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
LAvsSA	40	4.8875	4.66164	-.50	20.00
LAvsE	40	-4.7625	4.70285	-18.20	.40
SAvsE	40	-9.6500	7.22016	-31.00	-1.00

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		LAvsSA	LAvsE	SAvsE
N		40	40	40
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	4.8875	-4.7625	-9.6500
	Std. Deviation	4.66164	4.70285	7.22016
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	.198	.197	.143
	Positive	.198	.136	.115
	Negative	-.127	-.197	-.143
Test Statistic		.198	.197	.143
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		.000 ^c	.000 ^c	.038 ^c
Monte Carlo Sig. (2-tailed)	Sig.	.175 ^d	.175 ^d	.500 ^d
	95% Confidence Interval	Lower Bound	.057	.057
		Upper Bound	.293	.293

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

d. Based on 40 sampled tables with starting seed 2000000.

Case Processing Summary


	Cases					
	Valid		Missing		Total	
	N	Percent	N	Percent	N	Percent
LA	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	40	100.0%
SA	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	40	100.0%
E	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	40	100.0%
LAvsSA	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	40	100.0%
LAvsE	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	40	100.0%
SAvsE	40	100.0%	0	0.0%	40	100.0%



Correlations

			LA	SA	E
Spearman's rho	LA	Correlation Coefficient	1.000	.936**	.938**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.	.000	.000
		N	40	40	40
	SA	Correlation Coefficient	.936**	1.000	.863**
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.	.000
		N	40	40	40
	E	Correlation Coefficient	.938**	.863**	1.000
		Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.
		N	40	40	40

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).


4. Bland-Altman plot







5. Bland altman karakteristik pasien

Jenis kelamin laki – laki






Jenis kelamin perempuan







Usia 19 – 59 tahun




Usia > 60 tahun






IMT *underweight* - normal






IMT overweight – obese




6. Hasil *trans thoracic echocardiography*



LAPORAN EKO KARDIOGRAFI TRANSTORAKAL (TTE)

RSUP DR. SARDJITO YOGYAKARTA



Tanggal :	20-May-22	Jenis kelamin :	L	Video :	TB
Nama :	Arifin Honggowidjaja	BB (kg) :	50		
Umur :	66 Tahun	TB (cm) :	163		
Status :	Rawat jalan	BMI (kg/m ²) :	18,81	BSA (m ²) :	1,52
RM :	2010904	Operator :	Ajeng (dr. Hasanah Mumpuni, Sp.PD, Sp.JP(K))		

Diagnosis : VES					
Pengukuran		Nilai	Satuan (normal)	Pengukuran	
Aorta		27	mm (20-39)	Ao Vmax	
LA		36	mm (15-40)	EPSS	
LAVI		26	ml/m ² (16-34)	LA/Ao	
LV study	LVIDd	49	mm (35-52)	IVS Fract	44,44
	LVIDs	31	mm (26-36)	PW Fract	71,43
	IVSd	9	mm (7-11)	IVS/PW ratio	1,08
	IVSs	13	mm (-)	LV mass	131,20
	LVPWd	7	mm (7-11)	LVMI	86,2
	LVPWs	12	mm (-)	RWT	0,286
	EF (Simpson)	65	% (55-77)	Right heart study	PV AccT
Diastolic function	e' lat	11	cm/s (>10)	TAPSE	23
	e' med	8	cm/s (>7)	RA Area	13,6
	E/A	1,2	(0,78-1,14)	RV Ø	36
	DT	166	ms (171-229)	IVC	12
	E	108	cm/s (>10)	Kolaps	<50
	e'	9,50	cm/s (>8)	RA Pressure	8
	E/e'	11,27	(14)	mPAP	18
				TR velocity	2,3


Temuan	Dimensi ruang jantung	LA dan LV tak dilatasi RA dan RV tak dilatasi
	LV geometry	Normal geometry
	Dinding jantung	IVS dan LVPW tak menebal IAS dan IVS intak
	Wall motion	Normokinetik
	Katup Mitral	Anatomi dan fungsi normal
	Aorta	3 kuspis, Anatomi dan fungsi normal
	Trikuspid	Regurgitasi trivial, TVG 22 mmHg
	Pulmonal	Anatomi dan fungsi normal
	Lainnya	Efusi perikard (-), efusi pleura (-)

Kriteria ARVD (Revised Task Force Criteria 2010) : GLS LV -22,1 %, GLS RV -25,6 %
 Regional RV akinesia (-), dyskinesia (-), aneurysma (-) BSA : 1,52 , RV Wall : 6 mm
 a. PLAX RVOT [≥ 32 mm (Mayor), 29-32 mm (Minor)] = 30 mm
 b. PLAX RVOT/BSA [≥ 19 mm/m² (Mayor), 16-19 mm/m² (Minor)] = 19,7 mm/m²
 c. PSAX RVOT [≥ 36 mm (Mayor), 32-36 mm (Minor)] = 30 mm
 d. PSAX RVOT/BSA [≥ 21 mm/m² (Mayor), 18-21 mm/m² (Minor)] = 19,7 mm/m²
 e. RV FAC [≤ 33 % (Mayor), 33-40 % (Minor)] = 46,7 %


Simpulan : Tidak memenuhi kriteria ARVD
Dimensi ruang jantung normal
Fungsi sistolik global dan segmental LV baik dengan EF 65 %
Fungsi diastolik LV normal
Fungsi sistolik RV normal
TR trivial, low probability of PH

dr. Hasanah Mumpuni, Sp.PD, Sp.JP(K)




7. Contoh pengukuran EF dengan TTE




8. Delineasi pengukuran LVEF metode *short axis*




9. Delineasi pengukuran LVEF metode *long axis*



10. Ethical clearance



MEDICAL AND HEALTH RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE (MHREC)
FACULTY OF MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH AND NURSING
UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA – DR. SARDJITO GENERAL HOSPITAL



ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

Ref. No. : KE/ FK/0364/EC/2024


Title of the Research Protocol	: Perbandingan Pengukuran Fraksi Ejeksi Ventrikel Kiri Menggunakan Metode <i>Long Axis, Short Axis MRI Cardiac</i> , dan <i>Trans Thoracic Echocardiography</i>
Document(s) Approved and version	: Study Protocol version 01 2024
Principle Investigator	: Paulina Yessica Pramadita Megaputri
Participating Investigator(s)	: 1. Dr. dr. Lina Choridah, Sp.Rad(K) PRP. 2. dr. Sudarmanta, Sp.Rad(K) RI.
Date of Approval	: 14 MAR 2024 (Valid for one year beginning from the date of approval)
Institution(s)/place(s) of research	: Instalasi Radiologi Diagnostik RSUP Dr. Sardjito Yogyakarta

The Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC) states that the document above meets the ethical principle outlined in the International and National Guidelines on ethical standards and procedures for researches with human beings.


The Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC) has the right to monitor the research activities at any time.

The investigator(s) is/are obliged to submit:

- ☒ Progress report as a continuing review (state its due time)
- ☒ Report of any serious adverse events (SAE)
- ☒ Final report upon the completion of the study




Prof. Dr. dr. Eti Nurwening S., M.Kes., M.Med.Ed., Sp.KKLP.
Panel's vice chairperson



dr. Rizka Humardewyanti A., Sp.PD-KPTI.
Panel's secretary

P.S. This letter uses signature scan of the panel's chairperson and Secretary of the Ethics Committee. The hardcopy official letter with authority's signature will be issued when it is possible and are kept as an archive of the Ethics Committee.

Validation number : 65f25d82ced63
<http://kemissiek.fk.ugm.ac.id/validasi/>



Recognized by Forum for Ethical Review Committees in Asia and the Western Pacific (FERCAP)
 13-Mar-24

11. Data sampel MRI *cardiac* dan TTE

No.	RM	Inisial	IMT	Jenis kelamin	Usia	EF (%)		
						Long Axis	Short Axis	Echo
1	2012174	SHP	24.82	L	65	27.2	21	36
2	2011820	TA	23.15	L	64	33.9	22	44
3	2010423	BU	29.3	L	46	52.2	47	60
4	2010904	AH	18.82	L	66	64.7	58	65
5	1876679	EM	24.80	L	53	18.3	12	23
6	1976587	SA	26.67	P	56	51.5	50	52
7	2018498	FZR	21.89	L	30	69.9	66	70
8	2017556	TUG	17.80	L	45	46.9	46	49
9	2023336	TUM	21.33	P	65	41.6	39	46
10	1983260	BWA	21.23	P	63	65.5	55	76
11	2018355	PRI	21.48	L	59	28.5	17	31
12	2014442	ISN	22.72	P	31	65.5	57	67
13	1929812	DE	28.58	P	31	62.7	55	66
14	2039943	SNA	27.06	P	45	13.8	9	21
15	2035256	PK	29.24	P	33	58.1	47	61
16	2046217	SD	24.61	L	42	66	64	73
17	1945435	PH	22.72	L	58	40.4	39	45
18	2052472	NSB	24.24	L	43	39	19	41
19	497037	SAH	23.88	L	71	64.7	62	68
20	2061303	IND	26.22	L	48	61.9	57	62
21	2062242	SUB	25.39	L	48	71.4	69	84
22	2066695	SUL	31.11	P	60	63.8	59	66
23	2068980	SUP	26.04	P	39	49.2	45	64
24	2199678	NM	24.89	P	46	64.5	60	65
25	2068267	AR	27.68	L	54	65.2	64	67
26	2202762	NMD	22.48	P	31	70	65	73
27	2051301	ARR	27.76	L	31	66.5	67	69
28	2043108	SUY	32.00	L	49	69.1	69	74
29	2048503	SCS	32.05	L	60	75.1	74	75
30	2205844	SAR	22.49	L	65	60.5	60	72
31	2067665	SUN	26.81	L	49	44.7	42	45
32	972108	IA	21.26	P	67	64.9	64	65
33	2215815	RAK	26.13	L	45	69.9	51	82
34	2209389	HRA	23.18	L	19	47.8	43	66
35	1854563	ROK	24.44	P	63	76	73	77
36	2216987	RS	19.59	L	23	71.4	69	79
37	2016304	TS	21.48	P	55	49.8	45	60
38	1419584	AL	36.98	P	45	63	62	66
39	2066080	YL	22.58	L	50	83	82	84
40	2026094	FR	20.75	L	24	64.4	62	64