

## REFERENSI

- Aguinis, H., Edwards, J. R., & Bradley, K. J. (2017). Improving Our Understanding of Moderation and Mediation in Strategic Management Research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 20(4), 665–685. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428115627498>
- Ahuja, G. (2000). The Duality of Collaboration: Inducements and Opportunities in the Formation of Interfirm Linkages. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 317–343. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(200003\)21:3<317::AID-SMJ90>3.0.CO;2-B](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200003)21:3<317::AID-SMJ90>3.0.CO;2-B)
- Almahendra, R., & Ambos, B. (2015). Exploration and Exploitation: A 20-Year Review of Evolution and Reconceptualisation. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 19(01), 1550008. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S1363919615500085>
- Amankwah-Amoah, J., & Adomako, S. (2021). The effects of knowledge integration and contextual ambidexterity on innovation in entrepreneurial ventures. *Journal of Business Research*, 127, 312–321. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.01.050>
- Anggadwita, G., Indarti, N., & Ratten, V. (2023). Women entrepreneurs in the craft industry: A case study of the batik industry during the COVID-19 pandemic. *International Journal of Sociology and Social Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSSP-12-2022-0305>
- Armstrong, J. S., & Overton, T. S. (1977). Estimating Nonresponse Bias in Mail Surveys. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 14(3), 396. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3150783>
- Arnold, T. J., (Er) Fang, E., & Palmatier, R. W. (2011). The effects of customer acquisition and retention orientations on a firm's radical and incremental innovation performance. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 39(2), 234–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-010-0203-8>
- Atuahene-Gima, K. (2005). Resolving the Capability–Rigidity Paradox in New Product Innovation. *Journal of Marketing*, 69, 61–83. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.2005.69.4.61>
- Atuahene-Gima, K., Slater, S. F., & Olson, E. M. (2005). The Contingent Value of Responsive and Proactive Market Orientations for New Product Program Performance. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 22, 464–482. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2005.00144.x>
- Bae, J., & Gargiulo, M. (2004). Partner Substitutability, Alliance Network Structure, and Firm Profitability in The Telecommunications Industry. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(6), 843–859.

- Barley, W. C., Treem, J. W., & Kuhn, T. (2018). Valuing Multiple Trajectories of Knowledge: A Critical Review and Agenda for Knowledge Management Research. *Academy of Management Annals*, 12(1), 278–317. <https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2016.0041>
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17, 99–120. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639101700108>
- Barrales-Molina, V., Benitez-Amado, J., & Perez-Arostegui, M. N. (2010). Managerial perceptions of the competitive environment and dynamic capabilities generation. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 110(9), 1355–1384. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635571011087437>
- Batra, I., & Dhir, S. (2023). Toward improved international joint ventures performance in India: The mediating role of ambidexterity and the moderating role of environmental dynamism. *Cross Cultural & Strategic Management*, 30(3), 488–506. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CCSM-08-2022-0142>
- Baum, J. A. C., Li, S. X., & Usher, J. M. (2000). Making The Next Move: How Experiential and Vicarious Learning Shape the Locations of Chains' Acquisitions. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45, 766–801. <http://doi.org/10.2307/2667019>
- Beckman, C. M., Philips, D. J., & Haunschild, P. R. (2004). Friends or Strangers? Firm-Specific Uncertainty, Market Uncertainty, and Network Partner Selection. *Organization Science*, 15, 259–275. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1040.0065>
- Belso-Martínez, J. A., Mas-Tur, A., & Roig-Tierno, N. (2017). Synergistic effects and the co-existence of networks in clusters. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 29(1–2), 137–154. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08985626.2016.1255429>
- Bengtsson, M., & Johansson, M. (2014). Managing Coopetition to Create Opportunities for Small Firms. *International Small Business Journal: Researching Entrepreneurship*, 32(4), 401–427. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266242612461288>
- Benner, M. J., & Tushman, M. L. (2003). Exploration, Exploitation, and Process Management: The Productivity Dilemma Revisited. *Academy of Management Review*, 28, 238–256. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2003.9416096>
- Benner, M. J., & Tushman, M. L. (2015). Reflections on the 2013 Decade Award: Exploitation, Exploration, and Process Management: The Productivity Dilemma Revisited Ten Years Later. *Academy of Management Review*, 1–36. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2015.0042>

- Bethlehem, J. (2010). Selection Bias in Web Surveys: Selection Bias in Web Surveys. *International Statistical Review*, 78(2), 161–188.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-5823.2010.00112.x>
- Birkinshaw, J., & Gupta, K. (2013). Clarifying the Distinctive Contribution of Ambidexterity to the Field of Organization Studies. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 27(4), 287–298.  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2012.0167>
- Bliemel, M. J., McCarthy, I. P., & Maine, E. M. A. (2016). Levels of Multiplexity in Entrepreneur's Networks: Implications for Dynamism and Value Creation. *Entrepreneurship Research Journal*, 6(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.1515/erj-2015-0001>
- Borgatti, S. P., & Halgin, D. S. (2011). On Network Theory. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1168–1181. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0641>
- Brodjonegoro, B. (2020, September 4). *Riset dan Inovasi Menuju Tatanan Kenormalan Baru*. RISTEK-BRIN, Jakarta.
- Brown, D. W., & Konrad, A. M. (2001). Granovetter Was Right. The importance of Weak Ties to A Contemporary Job Search. *Group and Organization Management*, 26(4), 434–462. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601101264003>
- Burrell, G., & Morgan, G. (1979). *Sociological Paradigms and Organisational Analysis: Elements of the Sociology of Corporate Life* (1 edition). Ashgate Publishing.
- Burt, R. S. (1992). *Structural Holes: The Social Structure of Competition*. Harvard University Press.
- Burvill, S. M., Jones-Evans, D., & Rowlands, H. (2018). Reconceptualising the principles of Penrose's (1959) theory and the resource based view of the firm: The generation of a new conceptual framework. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 25(6), 930–959.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-11-2017-0361>
- Camisón, C., Boronat-Navarro, M., & Forés, B. (2018). The interplay between firms' internal and external capabilities in exploration and exploitation. *Management Decision*, 56(7), 1559–1580. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-07-2016-0502>
- Campbell, K., & Mínguez-Vera, A. (2008). Gender Diversity in the Boardroom and Firm Financial Performance. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(3), 435–451. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9630-y>
- Cao, Q., Gedajlovic, E., & Zhang, H. (2009). Unpacking Organizational Ambidexterity: Dimensions, Contingencies, and Synergistic Effects. *Organization Science*, 20(4), 781–796.  
<https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0426>

- Carney, M. (2005). Corporate Governance and Competitive Advantage in Family-Controlled Firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*, 29, 249–265. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6520.2005.00081.x>
- Chang, Y. Y., & Hughes, M. (2012). Drivers of Innovation Ambidexterity in Small- to Medium-Sized Firms. *European Management Journal*, 30(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2011.08.003>
- Chang, Y. Y., Hughes, M., & Hotho, S. (2011). Internal and External Antecedents of SMEs' Innovation Ambidexterity Outcomes. *Management Decision*, 49(10), 1658–1676. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741111183816>
- Chesbrough, H. W., & Appleyard, M. M. (2007). Open Innovation and Strategy. *California Management Review*, 50(1), 56–77.
- Cheung, G. W. (2007). Testing Mediation and Suppression Effects of Latent Variables: Bootstrapping With Structural Equation Models. *Organizational Research Method*, 1–30. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1177/1094428107300343>
- Chiu, Y. T. H. (2008). How Network Competence and Network Location Influence Innovation Performance. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 24(1), 46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08858620910923694>
- Chiu, Y. T. H. (2009). How network competence and network location influence innovation performance. *Journal of Business*, 24(1), 10.
- Cho, M., Bonn, M. A., & Han, S. J. (2019). Innovation Ambidexterity: Balancing Exploitation and Exploration for Startup and Established Restaurants and Impacts upon Performance. *Industry and Innovation*, July. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2019.1633280>
- Chu, Y., Chi, M., Wang, W., & Luo, B. (2019). The Impact of Information Technology Capabilities of Manufacturing Enterprises on Innovation Performance: Evidences from SEM and fsQCA. *Sustainability*, 11(21), 5946. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11215946>
- Claro, D. P., Gonzalez, G. R., & Claro, P. B. O. (2012). Network centrality and multiplexity: A study of sales performance. *Journal on Chain and Network Science*, 12(1), 85–94. <https://doi.org/10.3920/JCNS2012.x208>
- Coleman, J. S. (1988). Social Capital in the Creation of Human Capital. *American Journal of Sociology*, 94 (Supplement), S95–S120. <https://doi.org/doi/abs/10.1086/228943>
- Collis, D. L. (1991). A Resource-Based Analysis of Global Competition: The Case of Bearings Industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12, 49–68. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250120906>
- Datta, A. (2011). Review and Extension on Ambidexterity: A Theoretical Model Integrating Networks and Absorptive Capacity. *Journal of Management and Strategy*, 2(1), 2–22. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.5430/jms.v2n1p2>

- De Leeuw, T., Lokshin, B., & Duysters, G. (2014). Returns to Alliance Portfolio Diversity: The Relative Effects of Partner Diversity on Firm's Innovative Performance and Productivity. *Journal of Business Research*, 67(9), 1839–1849. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2013.12.005>
- Dillman, A. D., Smyth, J. D., & Christian, L. M. (2014). *Internet, Phone, Mail, and Mixed-Mode Surveys*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Direktorat Statistik Indonesia. (2023). *Profil Industri Mikro dan Kecil 2021*. Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Dougherty, D., & Dunne, D. D. (2011). Organizing Ecologies of Complex Innovation. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 1214–1223. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0605>
- Du, J., & Chen, Z. (2018). Applying Organizational Ambidexterity in Strategic Management under A “VUCA” Environment: Evidence From High Tech Companies in China. *International Journal of Innovation Studies*, 2(1), 42–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijis.2018.03.003>
- Duncan, R. (1976). *The ambidextrous organization: Designing dual structures for innovation* (In Kilmann, R., Pondy, L. and Slevin, D. (eds)). The Management of Organization.
- Dunlap, D., Parente, R., Geleilate, J.-M., & Marion, T. J. (2016). Organizing for Innovation Ambidexterity in Emerging Markets: Taking Advantage of Supplier Involvement and Foreignness. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 23(2), 175–190. <http://doi:10.1177/1548051816636621>
- Dyer, J. H., & Singh, H. (1998). The Relational View: Cooperative Strategy and Sources of Interorganizational Competitive Advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 21.
- Expósito-Langa, M., & Molina-Morales, F. X. (2010). How Relational Dimensions Affect Knowledge Redundancy in Industrial Clusters. *European Planning Studies*, 18(12), 1975–1992. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09654313.2010.515817>
- Faas, T., & Schoen, H. (2006). Putting a Questionnaire on the Web is not Enough – A Comparison of Online and Offline Surveys Conducted in the Context of the German Federal Election 2002. *Journal of Official Statistics*, 14.
- Farzaneh, M., Wilden, R., Afshari, L., & Mehralian, G. (2022). Dynamic capabilities and innovation ambidexterity: The roles of intellectual capital and innovation orientation. *Journal of Business Research*, 148, 47–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.04.030>
- Ferriani, S., Fonti, F., & Corrado, R. (2012). The Social and Economic Bases of Network Multiplexity: Exploring The Emergence of Multiplex Ties.



*Strategic Organization*, 11(1), 7–34. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1177/1476127012461576>

- Fischer, M. M., & Varga, A. (2002). Technological innovation and interfirm cooperation: An exploratory analysis using survey data from manufacturing firms in the metropolitan region of Vienna. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 24(7/8), 724. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.2002.003080>
- Floyd, S., & Lane, P. (2000). Strategizing Throughout The Organization: Managing Role Conflict in Strategic Renewal. *Academy of Management Review*, 25, 154–177. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2000.2791608>
- Fritsch, M., & Lukas, R. (2001). Who cooperates on R&D? *Research Policy*, 30(2), 297–312. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333\(99\)00115-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0048-7333(99)00115-8)
- Füller, J., & Matzler, K. (2007). Virtual product experience and customer participation—A chance for customer-centred, really new products. *Technovation*, 27(6–7), 378–387. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2006.09.005>
- Gaffney, N., Kedia, B., & Clampit, J. (2013). A Resource Dependence Perspective of EMNE FDI Strategy. *International Business Review*, 2, 1092–1100. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1016/j.ibusrev.2013.02.010>
- Gedajlovic, E., Honig, B., Moore, C. B., Payne, G. T., & Wright, M. (2013). Social Capital and Entrepreneurship: A Schema and Research Agenda. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 37(3), 455–478. <https://doi.org/10.1111/etap.12042>
- Gibson, C. B., & Birkinshaw, J. (2004). The Antecedents, Consequences and Mediating Role of Organizational Ambidexterity. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 47, 209–226. <https://doi.org/10.5465/20159573>
- Gnyawali, D. R., Madhavan, R., He, J., & Bengtsson, M. (2016). The Competition–Cooperation Paradox in Inter-firm Relationships: A Conceptual Framework. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 53, 7–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.11.014>
- Gnyawali, D. R., & Park, B. Y. R. (2009). Coopetition and Technological Innovation in Small and Medium Sized Enterprises: A Multilevel Conceptual Model. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 47(3), 308–330. <https://doi.org/abs/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2009.00273.x>
- Gnyawali, D. R., & Park, B. Y. R. (2011). Coopetition between Giants: Collaboration with Competitors for Technological Innovation. *Research Policy*, 40, 650–663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2011.01.009>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1973). The Strength of Weak Ties. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 78(6), 1360–1380.

- Granovetter, M. S. (1977). The Strength of Weak Ties. In *Social Networks* (pp. 347–367). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-442450-0.50025-0>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1983). The Strength of Weak Ties: A Network Theory Revisited. *Sociological Theory*, 1, 201–233. <https://doi.org/10.2307/202051>
- Granovetter, M. S. (1985). Economic Action and Social Structure: The Problem of Embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3), 481–510.
- Granovetter, M. S. (2005). The Impact of Social Structure on Economic Outcomes. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 19(1), 33–50.
- Grossmann, M. (2021). *How Social Science Got Better: Overcoming Bias with More Evidence, Diversity, and Self-Reflection*. Oxford University Press.
- Gulati, R., & Sytch, M. (2007). Dependence Asymmetry and Joint Dependence in Interorganizational Relationships: Effects of Embeddedness on A Manufacturer's Performance in Procurement Relationships. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52, 32–69. <https://doi.org/10.2189/asqu.52.1.32>
- Gunsel, A., Altindag, E., Keceli, S. K., Kitapci, H., & Hiziroglu, M. (2017). Antecedents and Consequences of Organizational Ambidexterity: The Moderating Role of Networking. *Emerald Publishing Limited*, 0368-492X. <https://doi.org/10.1108/K-02-2017-0057>
- Gupta, A. K., Smith, K. G., & Shalley, C. E. (2006). The Interplay Between Exploration and Exploitation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(4), 693–706. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20159793>.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2014). *Multivariate Data Analysis* (7 edition). Prentice-Hall.
- Hansen, N. K., Güttel, W. H., & Swart, J. (2019). HRM in dynamic environments: Exploitative, exploratory, and ambidextrous HR architectures. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 30(4), 648–679. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1270985>
- Hariyati, D. (2021). 2021, Usaha Mikro dan Kecil Bangkit. *KataData*. <https://katadata.co.id/ariemega/berita/6028c295b01cd/2021-usaha-mikro-dan-kecil-bangkit>
- Hayes, A. F. (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis A Regression-Based Approach* (2 edition). The Guilford Press.
- He, Z. L., & Wong, P. K. (2004). Exploration vs. Exploitation: An Empirical Test of the Ambidexterity Hypothesis. *Organization Science*, 15(4), 481–494. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1040.0078>
- Hewson, C., Yule, P., Laurent, D., & Vogel, C. (2003). *Internet research methods: A practical guide for the social and behavioural sciences*. Sage Publications.

- Hilman, A. J., Withers, M. C., & Collins, B. J. (2009). Resource Dependence Theory: A Review. *Journal of Management*, 35(6), 1404–1427. [https://doi: 10.1177/0149206309343469](https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206309343469)
- Hoang, H., & Antoncic, B. (2003). Network-based research in entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(2), 165–187. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(02\)00081-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(02)00081-2)
- Hoffmann, W. H. (2007). Strategies for Managing A Portfolio of Alliances. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(8), 827–856. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.607>
- Hughes, M. (2018). Organisational Ambidexterity and Firm Performance: Burning Research Questions for Marketing Scholars. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 34(1–2), 178–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2018.1441175>
- Hughes, M., Filser, M., Harms, R., Kraus, S., Chang, M.-L., & Cheng, C.-F. (2017). Family Firm Configurations for High Performance: The Role of Entrepreneurship and Ambidexterity: Family Firm Configurations. *British Journal of Management*, 29(4), 595–612. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.12263>
- Hughes, M., & Morgan, R. E. (2007). Deconstructing the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and business performance at the embryonic stage of firm growth. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 36(5), 651–661. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2006.04.003>
- Ikhsan, K., Almahendra, R., & Budiarto, T. (2017). Contextual Ambidexterity in SMEs in Indonesia: A Study on How It Mediates Organizational Culture and Firm Performance and How Market Dynamism Influences Its Role on Firm Performance. *International Journal of Business and Society*, 18, 369–390.
- Indarti, N. (2017). Impacts of External Knowledge and Interaction on Innovation Capability Among Indonesian SMEs. *International Journal Business Innovation and Research*, 13(4), 430–450. <https://doi.org/doi/abs/10.1504/IJBIR.2017.085100>
- Indarti, N., Hapsari, N., Lukito-Budi, A. S., & Virgosita, R. (2021). Quo vadis, ethnic entrepreneurship? A bibliometric analysis of ethnic entrepreneurship in growing markets. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 13(3), 427–458. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-04-2020-0080>
- Indarti, N., & Postma, T. (2013). Effect of Networks on Product Innovation: Empirical Evidence from Indonesian SMEs. *Journal of Innovation Management*, 1, 140–158. [https://doi.org/10.24840/2183-0606\\_001.002\\_0010](https://doi.org/10.24840/2183-0606_001.002_0010)



- Intezari, A., & Pauleen, D. J. (2014). Management Wisdom in Perspective: Are You Virtuous Enough to Succeed in Volatile Times? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 120(3), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1666-6>
- Jacob, J., Mei, M.-Q., Gunawan, T., & Duysters, G. (2022). Ambidexterity and innovation in cluster SMEs: Evidence from Indonesian manufacturing. *Industry and Innovation*, 29(8), 948–968. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2022.2072712>
- Jaidi, N., Siswantoyo, Liu, J., Sholikhah, Z., & Andhini, M. M. (2022). Ambidexterity Behavior of Creative SMEs for Disruptive Flows of Innovation: A Comparative Study of Indonesia and Taiwan. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 8(3), 141. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc8030141>
- Jansen, J. J. P., Simsek, Z., & Cao, Q. (2012). Ambidexterity and Performance in Multiunit Contexts: Cross-Level Moderating Effects of Structural and Resource Attributes. *Strategic Management Journal*, 33(11), 1286–1303. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.1977>
- Jansen, J. J. P., Van den Bosch, F. A. J., & Volberda, H. W. (2005). Exploratory Innovation, Exploitative Innovation, and Ambidexterity: The Impact of Environmental and Organizational Antecedents. *Schmalenbach Business Review*, 57, 351–363.
- Jansen, J. J. P., Van Den Bosch, F. A. J., & Volberda, H. W. (2006). Exploratory Innovation, Exploitative Innovation, and Performance: Effects of Organizational Antecedents and Environmental Moderators. *Management Science*, 52(11), 1661–1674. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0576>
- Jansen, J. J. P., Vera, D., & Crossan, M. (2009). Strategic leadership for exploration and exploitation: The moderating role of environmental dynamism. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20(1), 5–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2008.11.008>
- Jian, Z., & Wang, C. (2013). The impacts of network competence, knowledge sharing on service innovation performance: Moderating role of relationship quality. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 6(1), 25–49. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jiem.659>
- Johannessen, J.-A., Olsen, B., & Lumpkin, G. T. (2001). Innovation as Newness: What is New, How New, and New to Whom? *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 4(1), 20–31.
- Kafetzopoulos, D. (2022). Ambidextrous leadership: A narrative literature review for theory development and directions for future research. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 17(2), 206–232. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BJM-01-2021-0001>

- Kapucu, N., & Hu, Q. (2014). Understanding Multiplexity of Collaborative Emergency Management Networks. *American Review of Public Administration*, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074014555645>
- Kaupila, O. P. (2010). Creating Ambidexterity by Integrating and Balancing Structurally Separate Interorganizational Partnerships. *Strategic Organization*, 8(4), 283–312. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127010387409>
- Kementerian Keuangan RI. (2020, April 1). *Press Conference Langkah Penguatan Perlindungan Sosial dan Stimulus Ekonomi Menghadapi Dampak Covid-19*. Press Conference.
- Kementerian Perindustrian Republik Indonesia. (2020). *Laporan Kinerja Pembangunan Industri Tahun 2020*. Kementerian Perindustrian Republik Indonesia.
- Kementrian Koordinator Bidang Perekonomian Republik Indonesia. (2022). *Perkembangan UMKM sebagai Critical Engine Perekonomian Nasional terus Mendapatkan Dukungan Pemerintah*. (<https://www.ekon.go.id/publikasi/detail/4593/perkembangan-umkm-sebagai-critical-engine-perekonomian-nasional-terus-mendapatkan-dukungan-pemerintah>)
- Kenis, P., & Knoke, D. (2002). How Organizational Field Networks Shape Interorganizational Tie-Formation Rates. *The Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 275. <https://doi.org/10.2307/4134355>
- Kepala Dinas Koperasi & UKM DIY. (2020). *Strategi Penanganan Dampak Covid-19*.
- Keränen, J., & Jalkala, A. (2013). Towards a framework of customer value assessment in B2B markets: An exploratory study. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 42(8), 1307–1317. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2013.06.010>
- Kozlenkova, I. V., Samaha, S. A., & Palmatier, R. W. (2014). Resource-based theory in marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 42(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-013-0336-7>
- Kregar, T. B., & Antoncic, B. (2016). The Relationship Between the Entrepreneur's Personal Network Multiplexity and Firm Growth. *Economic Research*, 29(1), 1126–1135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2016.1211947>
- Kuckertz, A., Br€andle, L., Gaudig, A., Hinderer, S., Arturo Morales Reyes, C., Prochotta, A., Steinbrink, K. M., & Berger, E. S. C. (2020). Startups in Times of Crisis – A Rapid Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Business Venturing Insights*, 13, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbvi.2020.e00169>

- Kumalaningrum, M. P., Ciptono, W. S., Indarti, N., & Purnomo, B. R. (2023). Ambidexterity in Indonesian SMEs: A systematic review and synthesis for future research. *Cogent Business & Management*, 10(1), 2199490. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2199490>
- Kusuma, G. H., Indarti, N., & Manik, F. G. G. (2023). Strategies for Innovation Among Indonesian Family Firms. In *Heritage Entrepreneurship* (pp. 55–72). Springer.
- Lavie, D. (2006). The Competitive Advantage of Interconnected Firms: An Extension of the Resource-Based View. *The Academy of Management Review*, 31(3), 638–658. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2006.21318922>
- Lavie, D., Kang, J., & Rosenkopf, L. (2011). Balance Within and Across Domains: The Performance Implications of Exploration and Exploitation in Alliances. *Organization Science*, 22(6), 1517–1538. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.0596>
- Lavie, D., & Rosenkopf, L. (2006). Balancing Exploration and Exploitation in Alliance Formation. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(4), 797–818. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2006.22083085>
- Lavie, D., Stettner, U., & Thusman, M. L. (2010). Exploration and Exploitation Within and Across Organizations. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 109–155. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1080/19416521003691287>
- Lazer, D., & Friedman, A. (2007). The Network Structure of Exploration and Exploitation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52, 667–694. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1100.059>
- Lee, S., & Lee, C. (2014). Creative Interaction and Multiplexity in Intraorganizational Networks. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 1–28. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1177/0893318914553120>
- Lennerts, S., Schulze, A., & Tomczak, T. (2020). The asymmetric effects of exploitation and exploration on radical and incremental innovation performance: An uneven affair. *European Management Journal*, 38(1), 121–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2019.06.002>
- Levinthal, D., & March, J. G. (1993). The Myopia of Learning. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(Winter Special Issue), 95–112. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250141009>
- Liden, R. C., Anand, S., & Vidyarthi, P. (2016). Dyadic Relationships. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 139–166. <https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-041015-062452>
- Lin, H. E., McDonough, E. F., Lin, S. J., & Lin, C. Y. Y. (2012). Managing the Exploitation/Exploration Paradox: The Role of a Learning Capability and Innovation Ambidexterity: Managing the Exploitation/Exploration

- Paradox. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 30(2), 262–278.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5885.2012.00998.x>
- Liu, X., Shen, M., Ding, W., & Zhao, X. (2017). Tie strength, absorptive capacity and innovation performance in Chinese manufacturing industries. *Nankai Business Review International*, 8(4), 475–494.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/NBRI-01-2017-0002>
- Lockett, A. (2005). Edith Penrose's Legacy to the Resource-Based View. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 26(2,), 83–98.
- Lomi, A., & Pattison, P. (2006). Manufacturing Relations: An Empirical Study of The Organization of Production Across Multiple Networks. *Organization Science*, 17, 313–332. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1060.0190>
- Lowik, S., van Rossum, D., Kraaijenbrink, J., & Groen, A. (2012). Strong Ties as Sources of New Knowledge: How Small Firms Innovate through Bridging Capabilities\*: JOURNAL OF SMALL BUSINESS MANAGEMENT. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 50(2), 239–256.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2012.00352.x>
- Lu, Y., Wu, J., Peng, J., & Lu, L. (2020). The perceived impact of the Covid-19 epidemic: Evidence from a sample of 4807 SMEs in Sichuan Province, China. *Environmental Hazards*, 19(4), 323–340.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/17477891.2020.1763902>
- Lubatkin, M. H., Simsek, Z., Ling, Y., & Veiga, J. F. (2006). Ambidexterity and Performance in Small-to Medium-Sized Firms: The Pivotal Role of Top Management Team Behavioral Integration. *Journal of Management*, 32(5), 646–672. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206306290712>
- Lucena, A., & Roper, S. (2016). Absorptive Capacity and Ambidexterity in R&D: Linking Technology Alliance Diversity and Firm Innovation: Linking Technology Alliance Diversity and Firm Innovation. *European Management Review*, 13(3), 159–178. <https://doi.org/10.1111/emre.12074>
- Luger, J., Raisch, S., & Schimmer, M. (2018). Dynamic Balancing of Exploration and Exploitation: The Contingent Benefits of Ambidexterity. *Organization Science*, 29(3), 449–470. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2017.1189>
- Lukito-Budi, A. S., Manik, H. F. G. G., & Indarti, N. (2022). Reorienting the organisational strategy of SMEs during the COVID-19 crisis: Can entrepreneurial orientation help? *Journal of Strategy and Management*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-07-2021-0156>
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, D. (2001). Linking Two Dimensions of Entrepreneurial Orientation to Firm Performance: The Moderating Role of Environment and Industry Life Cycle. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 16(5), 429–451.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(00\)00048-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(00)00048-3)

- Lyons, P., & Brennan, L. (2019). Assessing Value From Business-to-Business Services Relationships: Temporality, Tangibility, Temperament, and Trade-Offs. *Journal of Service Research*, 22(1), 27–43. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670518805569>
- Lyu, C., Peng, C., Yang, H., Li, H., & Gu, X. (2022). Social capital and innovation performance of digital firms: Serial mediation effect of cross-border knowledge search and absorptive capacity. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 7(2), 100187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2022.100187>
- MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2012). Common Method Bias in Marketing: Causes, Mechanisms, and Procedural Remedies. *Journal of Retailing*, 88(4), 542–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2012.08.001>
- MacKinnon, D. P., Lockwood, C. M., Hoffman, J. M., West, S. G., & Sheets, V. (2002). A Comparison of Methods to Test Mediation and Other Intervening Variable Effects. *Psychological Methods*, 7(1), 83–104. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.7.1.83>
- Makadok, R. (2001). Toward a synthesis of the resource-based and dynamic-capability views of rent creation. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(5), 387–401. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.158>
- Manik, H. F. G. G., Indarti, N., & Lukito-Budi, A. S. (2023). Examining network characteristic dynamics of kinship-based families on performance within Indonesian SMEs. *Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy*, 17(1), 72–97. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEC-03-2020-0021>
- March, J. G. (1991). Exploration and Exploitation in Organizational Learning. *Organization Science*, 2(1), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2.1.71>
- Martini, A., Aloini, D., Dulmin, R., Mininno, V., & Neirotti, P. (2012). How to Measure the ET-ET Construct for Ambidexterity Comparative Analysis of Measures and New Measurement Proposal. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 4(36), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.5772/54751>
- McEvily, B., & Zaheer, A. (1999). Bridging Ties: A Source of Firm Heterogeneity in Competitive Capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 20(12), 1133–1156. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199912\)20:12<1133::AID-SMJ74>3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199912)20:12<1133::AID-SMJ74>3.0.CO;2-7)
- Molina-Castillo, F.-J., Jimenez-Jimenez, D., & Munuera-Aleman, J.-L. (2011). Product Competence Exploitation and Exploration Strategies: The impact on New Product Performance Through Quality and Innovativeness. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 40, 1172–1182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2010.12.017>



- Molina-Morales, F. X., & Martínez-Fernández, M. T. (2010). Social Networks: Effects of Social Capital on Firm Innovation. *Journal of Small Business Management*, 48(2), 258–279. <https://doi.org/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1540-627X.2010.00294.x>
- Molloy, J. C., Chadwick, C., Ployhart, R. E., & Golden, S. J. (2011). Making Intangibles “Tangible” in Tests of Resource-Based Theory: A Multidisciplinary Construct Validation Approach. *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1496–1518. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310394185>
- Moon, H., Di Benedetto, A., & Kim, S. K. (2022). The effect of network tie position on a firm’s innovation performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 144, 821–829. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.02.035>
- Munawaroh, M., Indarti, N., Ciptono, W. S., & Nastiti, T. (2023). Learning from entrepreneurial failure: Examining attribution and contextual factors of small- and medium-sized enterprises in Indonesia. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-06-2022-0269>
- Ng, D. C. W., & Law, K. (2015). Impacts of informal networks on innovation performance: Evidence in Shanghai. *Chinese Management Studies*, 9(1), 56–72. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CMS-05-2013-0077>
- Ngammoh, N., Mumi, A., Popaitoon, S., & Issarapaibool, A. (2023). Enabling social media as a strategic capability for SMEs through organizational ambidexterity. *Journal of Small Business & Entrepreneurship*, 35(2), 197–217. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08276331.2021.1980682>
- Nguyen, B., Yu, X., Melewar, T. C., & Chen, J. (2015). Brand innovation and social media: Knowledge acquisition from social media, market orientation, and the moderating role of social media strategic capability. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 51, 11–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2015.04.017>
- Nienhüser, W. (2008). Resource Dependence Theory-How Well Does It Explain Behavior of Organizations. *Management Revue*, 13, 9–32. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.5771/0935-9915-2008-1-2-9>
- Nofiani, D., Indarti, N., Lukito-Budi, A. S., & Manik, H. F. G. G. (2021). The dynamics between balanced and combined ambidextrous strategies: A paradoxical affair about the effect of entrepreneurial orientation on SMEs’ performance. *Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies*, 13(5), 1262–1286. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEEE-09-2020-0331>
- Nohria, N., & Garcia-Pont, C. (1991). Global Strategic Linkages and Industry Structure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(S1), 105–124. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250120909>

- O'Reilly, C. A., & Tushman, M. L. (2013). Organizational Ambidexterity: Past, Present, and Future. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 27(4), 324–338. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amp.2013.0025>
- Papadopoulos, T., Baltas, K. N., & Balta, M. E. (2020). The use of digital technologies by small and medium enterprises during COVID-19: Implications for theory and practice. *International Journal of Information Management*, 55, 102192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102192>
- Parida, V., Lahti, T., & Wincent, J. (2016). Exploration and exploitation and firm performance variability: A study of ambidexterity in entrepreneurial firms. *International Entrepreneurship and Management Journal*, 12(4), 1147–1164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11365-016-0387-6>
- Parida, V., Patel, P. C., Wincent, J., & Kohtamaki, M. (2015). Network Partner Diversity, Network Capability, and Sales Growth in Small Firms. *Journal of Business Research*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/>, <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2015.12.017>
- Peng, X., & Wu, D. (2013). Tie diversity, ambidexterity and upgrading of the latecomer firm in global production networks: Evidence from China's plastic equipment industry. *Chinese Management Studies*, 7(2), 310–327. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CMS-11-2012-0177>
- Pertusa-Ortega, E. M., & Molina Asorin, J. F. (2018). A Joint Analysis of Determinants and Performance Consequences of Ambidexterity. *Business Research Quarterly*, 85, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brq.2018.03.001>
- Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. R. (2003). *The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective*. Stanford University Press.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., Lee, J.-Y., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2003). Common method biases in behavioral research: A critical review of the literature and recommended remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 88(5), 879–903. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.88.5.879>
- Pokok-Pokok Penjelasan UU Cipta Kerja*. (2020). KEMENTERIAN KOORDINATOR BIDANG PEREKONOMIAN REPUBLIK INDONESIA.
- Ponto, J. (2015). Understanding and Evaluating Survey Research. *Journal of the Advanced Practitioner in Oncology*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.6004/jadpro.2015.6.2.9>
- Posen, H. E., & Levinthal, D. (2012). Chasing a Moving Target: Exploitation and Exploration in Dynamic Environments. *Management Science*, 58(3), 587–601. <https://doi.org/doi/10.1287/mnsc.1110.1420>
- Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D., & Hayes, A. F. (2007). Addressing Moderated Mediation Hypotheses: Theory, Methods, and Prescriptions. *Multivariate*

*Behavioral Research*, 42(1), 185–227. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.1080/00273170701341316](http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00273170701341316)

Priyono, A., & Moin, A. (2020). Identifying Digital Transformation Paths in the Business Model of SMEs during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Journal of Open Innovation: Teknology, Market, and Complexity*, 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc6040104>

Pullen, A., de Weerd-Nederhof, P. C., Groen, A. J., & Fisscher, O. A. M. (2012). SME Network Characteristics vs. Product Innovativeness: How to Achieve High Innovation Performance. *Creativity and Innovation Management*, 21(2), 130–146. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8691.2012.00638.x>

Rahmi, D. Y., & Indarti, N. (2019). Examining The Relationships Among Cognitive Diversity, Knowledge Sharing and Team Climate in Team Innovation. *Team Performance Management*, 1352–7592. [http:// doi 10.1108/TPM-11-2018-0070](http://doi.org/10.1108/TPM-11-2018-0070)

Raisch, S., & Birkinshaw, J. (2008). Organizational Ambidexterity: Antecedents, Outcomes, and Moderators. *Journal of Management*, 34(3), 375–409. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206308316058>

Raisch, S., Birkinshaw, J., Probst, G., & Tushman, M. L. (2009). Organizational Ambidexterity: Balancing Exploitation and Exploration for Sustained Performance. *Organization Science*, 20(4), 685–695. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1090.0428>

Randall, C., Edelman, L., & Galliers, R. (2017). Ambidexterity Lost? Compromising Innovation and The Exploration/ Exploitation Plan. *Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 1047–8310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hitech.2017.04.001>

Rank, O. N., Robins, G. L., & Pattison, P. E. (2010). Structural Logic of Intraorganizational Networks. *Organization Science*, 21, 745–764. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1287/orsc.1090.0450>

Rivkin, J. W., & Siggelkow, N. (2003). Balancing Search and Stability: Interdependencies among Elements of Organizational Design. *Management Science*, 49(3), 290–311. [https://doi.org/doi: 10.1287/ mnsc.49.3.290.12740](https://doi.org/doi:10.1287/mnsc.49.3.290.12740)

Rodan, S., & Galunic, C. (2004). More Than Network Structure: How Knowledge Heterogeneity Influences Managerial Performance and Innovativeness. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(6), 541–562. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.398>

Rogbeer, S., Almahendra, R., & Ambos, B. (2014). Open-Innovation Effectiveness: When does the Macro Design of Alliance Portfolios Matter? *Journal of International Management*, 20(4), 464–477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2014.09.003>

- Rohde, A., McCracken, M., Worrall, L., Farrell, A., O'Halloran, R., Godecke, E., David, M., & Doi, S. A. (2022). Inter-rater reliability, intra-rater reliability and internal consistency of the Brisbane Evidence-Based Language Test. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 44(4), 637–645. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638288.2020.1776774>
- Rojas, M. G. R., Solis, E. R. R., & Zhu, J. J. (2018). Innovation and network multiplexity: R&D and the concurrent effects of two collaboration networks in an emerging economy. *Research Policy*, 47(6), 1111–1124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2018.03.018>
- Roscoe, A. M., Lang, D., & Sheth, J. N. (1975). Follow-up Methods, Questionnaire Length, and Market Differences in Mail Surveys. *Journal of Marketing*.
- Ross, W., & Robertson, D. (2007). Compound Relationships Between Firms. *Journal of Marketing*, 71, 108–123. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.71.3.108>
- Rothaermel, F. T., & Deeds, D. L. (2004). Exploration and Exploitation Alliances in Biotechnology: A System of New Product Development. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(3), 201–221. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.376>
- Rowley, T., Behrens, D., & Krackhardt, D. (2000). Redundant Governance Structures: An Analysis of Structural And Relational Embeddedness in The Steel and Semiconductor Industries. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21, 369–386. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(200003\)21:3<369::AID-SMJ93>3.0.CO;2-M](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(200003)21:3<369::AID-SMJ93>3.0.CO;2-M)
- Ruef, M. (2002). Strong Ties, Weak Ties and Islands: Structural and Cultural Predictors of Organizational Innovation. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 11(3), 427–449. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icc/11.3.427>
- Russo, A., & Vurro, C. (2010). Cross-Boundary Ambidexterity: Balancing Exploration and Exploitation in The Fuel Cell Industry. *European Management Review*, 7, 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.1057/emr.2010.2>
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2008). *Research Methods for Business Students* (5 edition). Pearson Education.
- Schilling, M. A., & Phelps, C. C. (2007). Interfirm Collaboration Networks: The Impact of Large-Scale Network Structure on Firm Innovation. *Management Science*, 53(7), 1113–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0624>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). *Research Method for Business: A Skill Building Approach* (Seventh Edition). John Willey and Sons, Inc.
- Senaratne, C., & Wang, C. L. (2018). Organisational Ambidexterity in UK High-Tech SMEs: An Exploratory Study of Key Drivers and Barriers. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 25(6), 1025–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSBED-04-2018-0110>

- Seo, E., Song, J., & Jin, C. (2022). Heterogeneity of optimal balance between exploration and exploitation: the moderating roles of firm technological capability and industry alliance network position. *Industry and Innovation*, 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13662716.2022.2036598>
- Seraphin, H. (2020). COVID-19: An opportunity to review existing grounded theories in event studies. *Journal of Convention & Event Tourism*, 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15470148.2020.1776657>
- Sherer, P. D., & Lee, K. (2002). Institutional Change in Large Law Firms: A Resource Dependency and Institutional Perspective. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(1), 102–119. <https://doi.org/10.5465/3069287>
- Shipilov, A. (2012). Strategic multiplexity. *Strategic Organization*, 10(3), 215–222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127012452825>
- Shiri, G., Sauvé, L., & Abdirahman, Z.-Z. (2015). Bridge and Redundant Ties in Networks: The impact on Innovation in Food SMEs. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 18(3), 355–379. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-04-2014-0049>
- Shymko, Y., & Diaz, A. (2012). A Resource Dependence, Social Network and Contingency Model of Sustainability in Supply Chain Alliances. *Journal of Business Excellence*, 5, 502–520. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEX.2012.048800>
- Simester, D., & Knez, M. (2002). Direct and Indirect Bargaining Costs and the Scope of the Firm. *The Journal of Business*, 75(2), 283–304. <https://doi.org/10.1086/338704>
- Simsek, Z. (2009). Organizational Ambidexterity: Towards a Multilevel Understanding. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(4), 597–624. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2009.00828.x>
- Singh, R., Chandrashekar, D., Subrahmanya Mungila Hillemane, B., Sukumar, A., & Jafari-Sadeghi, V. (2022). Network cooperation and economic performance of SMEs: Direct and mediating impacts of innovation and internationalisation. *Journal of Business Research*, 148, 116–130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.04.032>
- Sorensen, J. B., & Stuart, T. E. (2000). Aging, Obsolescence and Organizational Innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 45(1), 81–113. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2666980>
- Sosa, M. (2011). Where Do Creative Interactions Come From? The Role of Tie Content and Social Networks. *Organization Science*, 22, 1–21. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1287/orsc.1090.0519>
- Soto-Acosta, P., Popa, S., & Martinez-Conesa, I. (2018). Information technology, knowledge management and environmental dynamism as drivers of



innovation ambidexterity: A study in SMEs. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 1367–3270. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-10-2017-0448>

- Stadler, C., Rajwani, T., & Karaba, F. (2014). Solutions to the Exploration/Exploitation Dilemma: Networks as a New Level of Analysis: Exploration/Exploitation Dilemma. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 16(2), 172–193. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijmr.12015>
- Stieglitz, N., Knudsen, T., & Becker, M. C. (2016). Adaptation and Inertia in Dynamic Environments. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(9), 1854–1864. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2433>
- Sun, B., & Lo, Y. J. (2014). Achieving Alliance Ambidexterity Through Managing Paradoxes of Cooperation: A New Theoretical Framework. *European Journal of Innovation Management*, 17(2), 144–165. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJIM-01-2013-0011>
- Tamayo-Torres, J., Roehrich, J. K., & Lewis, M. A. (2017). Ambidexterity, performance and environmental dynamism. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(3), 282–299. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-06-2015-0378>
- Teece, D. L. (2017). Towards a Capability Theory of (Innovating) Firms: Implications for Management and Policy. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 41, 693–720. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cje/bew063>
- Teece, D. L., Peteraf, M., & Leih, S. (2016). Dynamic Capabilities and Organizational Agility: Risk, Uncertainty and Entrepreneurial Management in the Innovation Economy. *California Management Review*, 58(4), 13–35. <https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2016.58.4.13>
- Tehseen, S., & Sajilan, S. (2016). Network Competence Based on Resource-Based View and Resource Dependence Theory. *Int. J. Trade and Global Markets*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTGM.2016.074138>
- Tether, B. S. (2002). Who co-operates for innovation, and why An empirical analysis. *Research Policy*, 31(6), 947–967. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-7333\(01\)00172-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0048-7333(01)00172-x)
- Tichy, N. M., Tushman, M. L., & Fobrun, C. (1979). Social Network Analysis For Organizations. *Academy of Management Review*, 4(4), 507–519. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1979.4498309>
- Tiwana, A. (2008). Do bridging ties complement strong ties? An empirical examination of alliance ambidexterity. *Strategic Management Journal*, 29(3), 251–272. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.666>
- Truyens, J., De Bosscher, V., Heyndels, B., & Westerbeek, H. (2014). A resource-based perspective on countries' competitive advantage in elite athletics. *International Journal of Sport Policy and Politics*, 6(3), 459–489. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2013.839954>

- Tuli, K. L., Bharadwaj, S. G., & Kohli, A. K. (2010). Ties That Bind: The Impact of Multiple Types of Ties with a Customer on Sales Growth and Sales Volatility. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *XLVII*, 36–50. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.47.1.36>
- Turner, N., & Lee-Kelley, L. (2013). Unpacking the theory on ambidexterity: An illustrative case on the managerial architectures, mechanisms and dynamics. *Management Learning*, *44*(2), 179–196. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507612444074>
- Turner, N., Swart, J., & Maylor, H. (2013). Mechanisms for Managing Ambidexterity: A Review and Research Agenda: Mechanisms for Managing Ambidexterity. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, *15*(3), 317–332. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2012.00343.x>
- Tushman, M. L., & O'Reilly, C. A. (1996). Ambidextrous Organizations: Managing Evolutionary and Revolutionary Change. *California Management Review*, *38*(4), 8–30. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41165852>
- Vermeulen, F., & Barkema, H. (2001). Learning Through Acquisitions. *Academy of Management Journal*, *44*, 457–478. <https://doi.org/10.5465/3069364>
- Volberda, H. W., & Van Bruggen, G. H. (1997). Environment Turbulence: A Look into Dimensionality. *Nederlandse Organisatie Voor Bedrijfskundig Onderzoek*.
- Voss, G. B., & Voss, Z. G. (2013). Strategic Ambidexterity in Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: Implementing Exploration and Exploitation in Product and Market Domains. *Organization Science*, *24*(5), 1459–1477. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1120.0790>
- Wang, G., Jiang, X., Yuan, C.-H., & Yi, Y.-Q. (2013). Managerial ties and firm performance in an emerging economy: Tests of the mediating and moderating effects. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, *30*(2), 537–559. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10490-011-9254-8>
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A Resource-based View of the Firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, *5*, 171–180. <https://doi.org/doi/abs/10.1002/smj.4250050207>
- Widjaja, A. W. & Sugiarto. (2022). Strategic orientation's dilemma of batik retailers in Jakarta. *Heliyon*, *8*(6), e09622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e09622>
- Wilden, R., Hohberger, J., Devinney, T. M., & Lavie, D. (2018). Revisiting James March (1991): Whither exploration and exploitation? *Strategic Organization*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1476127018765031>
- Williams, K., & Durrance, J. C. (2008). Social Networks and Social Capital: Rethinking Theory in Community Informatics. *Journal of Community Informatics*, 1–31. <http://doi=10.1.1.462.777>

- Wu, W., Wang, H., & Wu, Y. J. (2021). Internal and external networks, and incubatees' performance in dynamic environments: Entrepreneurial learning's mediating effect. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 46(6), 1707–1733. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10961-020-09790-w>
- Xie, X., & Gao, Y. (2018). Strategic networks and new product performance: The mediating role of ambidextrous innovation. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 30(7), 811–824. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2017.1380790>
- Xie, X., & Wang, H. (2021). How to bridge the gap between innovation niches and exploratory and exploitative innovations in open innovation ecosystems. *Journal of Business Research*, 124, 299–311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.11.058>
- Xie, X., Wu, Y., Palacios-Marqués, D., & Ribeiro-Navarrete, S. (2022). Business networks and organizational resilience capacity in the digital age during COVID-19: A perspective utilizing organizational information processing theory. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 177, 121548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2022.121548>
- Yang, J., Zhang, J., & Zeng, D. (2022). Scientific collaboration networks and firm innovation: The contingent impact of a dynamic environment. *Management Decision*, 60(1), 278–296. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-08-2020-1050>
- Yli-Renko, H., Autio, E., & Sapienza, J. H. (2001). Social Capital, Knowledge Acquisition, and Knowledge Exploitation in Young Technology-Based Firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 22(6–7), 587–613. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.183>
- Yuan, C., Xue, D., & He, X. (2021). A balancing strategy for ambidextrous learning, dynamic capabilities, and business model design, the opposite moderating effects of environmental dynamism. *Technovation*, 103, 102225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2021.102225>
- Zahra, S. A. (1996). Technology Strategy and Financial Performance: Examining the Moderating Role of The Firm's Competitive Environment. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 11(3), 189–219. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026\(96\)00001-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026(96)00001-8)
- Zahra, S. A., & Bogner, W. C. (2000). Technology Strategy and Software New Venture's Performance: Exploring Effect of The Competitive Environment. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 15(2), 135–173. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(98\)00009-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(98)00009-3)
- Zeng, S. X., Xie, X. M., & Tam, C. M. (2010). Relationship between cooperation networks and innovation performance of SMEs. *Technovation*, 30(3), 181–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.technovation.2009.08.003>

Zhang, L., Wang, Y., & Wei, Z. (2018). How Do Managerial Ties Leverage Innovation Ambidexterity for Firm Growth? *Emerging Markets Finance & Trade*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2018.1526075>