

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adler, R. H. (2022). Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 38(4), 598–602. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08903344221116620>
- Ahyaruddin, M., & Akbar, R. (2018). Indonesian Local Government's Accountability and Performance: the Isomorphism Institutional Perspective. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Investasi*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/10.18196/jai.190187>
- Akbar, R. (2011). *Performance Measurement and Accountability in Indonesian Local Government*.
- Akbar, R., Pilcher, R. A., & Perrin, B. (2015). Implementing Performance Measurement Systems: Indonesian Local Government Under Pressure. *Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management*, 12(1), 3–33. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-03-2013-0013>
- Akbar, R., Pilcher, R., & Perrin, B. (2012). Performance Measurement in Indonesia: The Case of Local Government. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 24(3), 262–291. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01140581211283878>
- Aldrich, H. E., & Pfeffer, J. (1976). Environments of Organizations. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 2(1), 79–105. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.02.080176.000455>
- Amankwaa, L. (2016). Creating Protocols for Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research. *Journal of cultural diversity*, 23(3).
- Amirya, M. (2020). The Design and The Use of Performance Accountability Systems: A Reflection in Indonesia Local Government. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.30845/ijhss.v10n1p9>
- Argento, D., Peda, P., & Grossi, G. (2018). The Enabling Role of Institutional Entrepreneurs in The Adoption Of IPSAS Within A Transitional Economy: The case of Estonia. *Public Administration and Development*, 38(1), 39–49. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1819>
- Ateh, M. Y., Berman, E., & Prasojo, E. (2020). Intergovernmental Strategies Advancing Performance Management Use. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 43(5), 993–1024. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2020.1736588>
- Bastian, I. (2014). *Sistem Pengendalian Manajemen Sektor Publik: Mempertahankan Kepentingan Masyarakat*. Salemba Empat.
- Battilana, J. (2006). Agency and Institutions: The Enabling Role of Individuals' Social Position. *Organization*, 13(5), 653–676. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1350508406067008>
- Battilana, J., Leca, B., & Boxenbaum, E. (2009). How Actors Change Institutions: Towards a Theory of Institutional Entrepreneurship. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 3(1), 65–107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520903053598>
- Behn, R. D. (2003). Why Measure Performance? Different Purposes Require Different Measures. *Public Administration Review*, 63(5), 586–606. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1540-6210.00322>
- Berman, E., & Wang, X. (2000). Performance Measurement in U.S. Counties: Capacity for Reform. *Public Administration Review*, 60(5), 409–420. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00104>

- Buana, L. C., & Widiatmoko, N. (2019). Pengaruh Kepatuhan Satker pada Indikator Kinerja Pelaksanaan Anggaran (IKPA) Terhadap Penyaluran Dukungan Anggaran Binoplat. *Jurnal Manajemen Bisnis Krisnadwipayana*, 7(3).
- Carman, J. G., & Fredericks, K. A. (2008). Nonprofits and Evaluation: Empirical Evidence from The Field. *New Directions for Evaluation*, 2008(119), 51–71. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ev.268>
- Cepiku, D., Hinna, A., Scarozza, D., & Savignon, A. B. (2017). Performance Information Use in Public Administration: An Exploratory Study of Determinants and Effects. *Journal of Management & Governance*, 21(4), 963–991. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10997-016-9371-3>
- Choi, Y., & Woo, H. (2022). Understanding Diverse Types of Performance Information Use: Evidence From an Institutional Isomorphism Perspective. *Public Management Review*, 24(12), 2033–2052. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2021.1955953>
- Connelly, L. M. (2016). Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research. *Medsurg Nursing*, 25(6), 435–436. <https://www.proquest.com/scholarly-journals/trustworthiness-qualitative-research/docview/1849700459/se-2?accountid=13771>
- Creswell, J. W. (2015). *Penelitian Kualitatif dan Desain Riset*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- de Lancer Julnes, P. (2008). Performance Measurement Beyond Instrumental Use. Dalam W. Van Dooren & S. Van de Walle (Ed.), *Performance Information in the Public Sector: How it is Used* (hlm. 58–71). Palgrave Macmillan UK. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-10541-7\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-137-10541-7_5)
- Deschamps, C. (2022). Performance Management in Public Service Organizations: Can Data Be Useful to Managers Even When It Is Flawed or Gamed? *International Public Management Journal*, 25(5), 704–721. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2020.1863886>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
- Dimitrijevska-Markoski, T. (2019). The Impact of Performance Measurement and Performance Information Use on Municipal and County Performance. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 43(1), 52–78. <https://doi.org/10.2307/26893933>
- Dimitrijevska-Markoski, T., & French, P. E. (2019). Determinants of Public Administrators' Use of Performance Information: Evidence from Local Governments in Florida. *Public Administration Review*, 79(5), 699–709. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13036>
- Dooren, W. van, & Van de Walle, S. (2008). Performance Information In The Public Sector: How it is used. *Hampshire: Palgrave Macmilan*.
- Eckerd, A., & Moulton, S. (2010). Heterogeneous Roles and Heterogeneous Practices: Understanding the Adoption and Uses of Nonprofit Performance Evaluations. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 32(1), 98–117. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1098214010381780>
- Fazri, E., Josiah, T., Pratiwi, E. U., Ainasya, A. R., & Adesti, D. (2022). Determinan Faktor Keberhasilan Penerapan Sistem Pengukuran Kinerja Sektor Publik. *EKOMBIS Sains, Jurnal Ekonomi, Keuangan dan Bisnis*, 7(2), 123–138.
- George, B., Baekgaard, M., Decramer, A., Audenaert, M., & Goeminne, S. (2020). Institutional Isomorphism, Negativity Bias and Performance Information Use by Politicians: A Survey

- Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *The Qualitative Report*, 8(4), 597–606.
- Greenwood, R., Meyer, R. E., Lawrence, T. B., & Oliver, C. (2017). The Sage Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism. *The Sage handbook of organizational institutionalism*, 1–928.
- Halim, A. (2017). *Manajemen Keuangan Sektor Publik. Problematika Penerimaan dan Pengeluaran Pemerintah* (2 ed.). Salemba Empat.
- Harahap, R. M. (2021). Integrating Organisational and Individual-Level Performance Management Systems (PMSS): A Case Study in A Large Indonesian Public Sector Organisation. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 18(4/5), 417–454. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-06-2019-0074>
- Hardani, Auliya, N. H., Andriani, H., Fardani, R. A., Ustiawaty, J., Utami, E. F., Sukmana, D. J., & Istiqomah, R. R. (2020). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif & Kuantitatif*. CV. Pustaka Ilmu.
- Hartini, H., & Habibi, D. (2023). Pengelolaan Kinerja Berbasis Balanced Scorecard. *Indo-Fintech Intellectuals: Journal of Economics and Business*, 3(2), 198–209.
- Hennink, M., Hutter, I., & Bailey, A. (2011). *Qualitative Research Methods*. Sage Publication Ltd.
- Hoogstraaten, M. J., Frenken, K., & Boon, W. P. C. (2020). The Study Of Institutional Entrepreneurship and Its Implications For Transition Studies. *Environmental Innovation and Societal Transitions*, 36, 114–136. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eist.2020.05.004>
- Høybye-Mortensen, M., & Ejbye-Ernst, P. (2019). What Is The Purpose? Caseworkers' Perception of Performance Information. *European Journal of Social Work*, 22(3), 458–471. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13691457.2017.1366427>
- Jacobsen, R., & Saultz, A. (2016). Will Performance Management Restore Citizens' Faith in Public Education? *Public Performance & Management Review*, 39(2), 476–497. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2015.1108790>
- Jansen, E. P. (2008). New Public Management: Perspectives on Performance and The Use of Performance Information. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 24(2), 169–191. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.2008.00447.x>
- Julnes, P. de L., & Holzer, M. (2001). Promoting the Utilization of Performance Measures in Public Organizations: An Empirical Study of Factors Affecting Adoption and Implementation. *Public Administration Review*, 61(6), 693–708. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00140>
- Junanto, D. (2018). The Use of Performance Information in The Indonesian Public Sector: The Role of Rational/ Technocratic and Political/ Cultural Frameworks. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:158884497>
- Kim, T., Johansen, M., & Zhu, L. (2020). The Effects of Managers' Purposeful Performance Information Use on American Hospital Performance. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 43(1), 129–156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1638275>

- Korac, S., Saliterer, I., Sicilia, M., & Steccolini, I. (2020). Contrasting and Explaining Purposeful and Legitimizing Uses of Performance Information: A Mayor's Perspective. *Public Management Review*, 22(4), 553–577. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2019.1599059>
- Kroll, A. (2013). The Other Type of Performance Information: Nonroutine Feedback, Its Relevance and Use. *Public Administration Review*, 73(2), 265–276. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02648.x>
- Kroll, A. (2015a). Drivers of Performance Information Use: Systematic Literature Review and Directions for Future Research. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 38(3), 459–486. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2015.1006469>
- Kroll, A. (2015b). Explaining the Use of Performance Information by Public Managers. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 45, 201–215. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:53999861>
- Kurniawan, D., & Akbar, R. (2021). The Evaluation of Performance Indicators Development: A Study on Indonesian Financial Transaction Report and Analysis Center (PPATK). *Journal of Accounting and Investment*, 22(3), 426–459. <https://doi.org/10.18196/jai.v22i3.11157>
- Kurniawan, F., & Akbar, R. (2017). Evaluasi Penerapan Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah (Studi pada Kantor Pelayanan Perbendaharaan Negara Bandung I). *Accounting and Business Information Systems Journal*, 6(1).
- Leca, B., Battilana, J., & Boxenbaum, E. (2008). *Agency and Institutions: A Review of Institutional Entrepreneurship*. CiteSeer.
- Lee, C. (2020). Understanding the Diverse Purposes of Performance Information Use in Nonprofits: An Empirical Study of Factors Influencing the Use of Performance Measures. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 43(1), 81–108. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2019.1596136>
- Lewandowski, M. (2019). Public Managers' Perception of Performance Information: The Evidence From Polish Local Governments. *Public Management Review*, 21(7), 988–1010. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2018.1538425>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. sage.
- Mauro, S. G., Cinquini, L., & Grossi, G. (2017). Insights into Performance-Based Budgeting in The Public Sector: A Literature Review and A Research Agenda. *Public Management Review*, 19(7), 911–931. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2016.1243810>
- Mauro, S. G., Cinquini, L., & Sinervo, L.-M. (2019). Actors' Dynamics Toward Performance-Based Budgeting: A Mix of Change and Stability? *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 31(2), 158–177. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-07-2018-0068>
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363. <https://doi.org/10.1086/226550>
- Meyer, M. W. (2003). *Rethinking performance measurement: Beyond the balanced scorecard*. Cambridge University Press.



- Modell, S. (2022). New Developments in Institutional Research on Performance Measurement and Management in The Public Sector. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 34(3), 353–369.
- Moynihan, D. P. (2008). *The dynamics of performance management: Constructing information and reform*. Georgetown University Press.
- Moynihan, D. P. (2009). Through A Glass, Darkly: Understanding the Effects of Performance Regimes. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 32(4), 592–603. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40586778>
- Moynihan, D. P., & Pandey, S. K. (2010). The Big Question for Performance Management: Why Do Managers Use Performance Information? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 20(4), 849–866. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/muq004>
- Ngoye, B., Sierra, V., & Ysa, T. (2019a). Assessing Performance-Use Preferences Through An Institutional Logics Lens. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 32(1), 2–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-12-2017-0344>
- Ngoye, B., Sierra, V., & Ysa, T. (2019b). Different Shades of Gray: A Priming Experimental Study on How Institutional Logics Influence Organizational Actor Judgment. *Public Administration Review*, 79(2), 256–266. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13006>
- Outila, V., & Fey, C. F. (2022). “We Have Performance Appraisal Every Day and Every Hour”: Transferring Performance Management to Russia. *Journal of International Management*, 28(2), 100901. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intman.2021.100901>
- Park, S. (2022). Organizational Performance and Government Resource Allocation: Panel Evidence from Washington State’s Public Programs. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2022.2148260>
- Pimentel, L., Major, M., & Cruz, A. (2023). Collective Action in Institutional Entrepreneurship: The Case of A Government Agency. *Emerging Science Journal*, 7(2), 538–557. <http://dx.doi.org/10.28991/ESJ-2023-07-02-017>
- Powell, W. W., & DiMaggio, P. J. (1991). *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis* (W. W. Powell & P. J. DiMaggio, Ed.; Vol. 1ed). University of Chicago Press. <http://gen.lib.rus.ec/book/index.php?md5=02bd59ded2f1ffb9107dd46da0f8a236>
- Pratama, N. D., Abdurahim, A., & Sofyani, H. (2018). Determinan Efektivitas Implementasi Anggaran Berbasis Kinerja Dan Penyerapan Anggaran Di Pemerintah Daerah. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 8(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jrak.v8i1.23>
- Pusparani, R. (2021, Oktober 19). IKU Persentase Kualitas Pelaksanaan Anggaran Sebagai Tools Dalam Penilaian Kinerja Keuangan. KPKNL Malang.
- Rahardito Baskoro, F. , & Akbar, R. (2018). Mengevaluasi Sistem Pengukuran Kinerja di Instansi Publik: Studi pada Badan Penanggulangan Bencana Daerah. *Jati: Jurnal Akuntansi Terapan Indonesia*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.18196/jati.010206>
- Raudla, R., & Bur, S. (2023). Austerity And The Use of Performance Information in The Budget Process. *Public Money & Management*, 43(6), 627–634. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2021.2020976>
- Saliterer, I., & Korac, S. (2014). The Discretionary Use of Performance Information By Different Local Government Actors – Analysing and Comparing The Predictive Power of

Three Factor Sets. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 80(3), 637–658.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852313518170>

- Santoso, A. B., & Akbar, R. (2021). Penggunaan Informasi Kinerja Pelaksanaan Anggaran Pada Satuan Kerja KL. *Accounting and Business Information System Journal*, 9(4).
- Scott, W. R. (2013). *Institutions and organizations: Ideas, interests, and identities*. Sage publications.
- Seah, S., Amirya, M., & Wang, Q. (2019). What Drives The Institutionalization of Performance Measurement Systems in Indonesian Local Government? [www.gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html](http://www.gatrenterprise.com/GATRJournals/index.html)
- Shenton, A. K. (2004). Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects. *Education for Information*, 22, 63–75. <https://doi.org/10.3233/EFI-2004-22201>
- Sofyani, H., Akbar, R., & Ferrer, R. C. (2018). Performance Measurement System (PMS) Implementation in Indonesian Local Governments 20 Years of Performance Measurement System (PMS) Implementation in Indonesian Local Governments: Why is Their Performance Still Poor? *Asian Journal of Business and Accounting*, 11(1).
- Stahl, N. A., & King, J. R. (2020). Expanding Approaches For Research: Understanding And Using Trustworthiness in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Developmental Education*, 44(1), 26–28.
- Suliantoro, I. (2020a). Duplikasi Sistem Monitoring Dan Evaluasi Belanja Kementerian/Lembaga. *Jurnal Manajemen Kebijakan Publik*, 4(2), 16–30.
- Suliantoro, I. (2020b). Menimbang Kembali Formula Efisiensi Pada Evaluasi Kinerja Anggaran. *Jurnal Manajemen Kebijakan Publik*, 4(1), 49–56.
- Suliantoro, I., & Monica, A. D. (2021). Evaluasi Belanja K/L Sebagai Beban Kerja Tambahan Pada Satker Mitra Kerja Kppn Kediri. *Jurnal Manajemen Keuangan Publik*, 5(2), 136–153.
- Syachbrani, W., & Akbar, R. (2020). *The Influence Factors of the Development of Performance Measurement Systems in Indonesia Central Government*.
- Taylor, J. (2011). Factors Influencing The Use Of Performance Information For Decision Making In Australian State Agencies. *Public Administration*, 89(4), 1316–1334. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.02008.x>
- Thornton, P. H., Ocasio, W., & Lounsbury, M. (2012). *The institutional logics perspective: A new approach to culture, structure and process*. OUP Oxford.
- Tina Dacin, M., Goodstein, J., & Richard Scott, W. (2002). Institutional Theory and Institutional Change: Introduction To The Special Research Forum. *Academy of management journal*, 45(1), 45–56.
- Vakkuri, J. (2022). PMM and Beyond – Reflections on The Paper “New Developments in Institutional Research on Performance Measurement and Management in The Public Sector.” *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 34(4), 501–511. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-12-2021-0168>
- Van Dooren, W. (2011). Better Performance Management. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 34(3), 420–433. <https://doi.org/10.2753/PMR1530-9576340305>
- Van Dooren, W., Bouckaert, G., & Halligan, J. (2015). *Performance management in the public sector*. Routledge.

- Verbeeten, F. H. M. (2008). Performance Management Practices In Public Sector Organizations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 21(3), 427–454. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570810863996>
- Wang, X. (2000). Performance Measurement in Budgeting: A Study of County Governments. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, 20(3), 102–118. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/0275-1100.00022>
- Wijen, F., & Ansari, S. (2007). Overcoming Inaction through Collective Institutional Entrepreneurship: Insights from Regime Theory. *Organization Studies*, 28(7), 1079–1100. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840607078115>
- Wu, X., Tan, X., & Wang, X. (2023). The Institutional Logics Perspective in Management and Organizational Studies. *Journal of Business Research*, 167, 114183. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2023.114183>
- Wulaningrum, P. D., Akbar, R., & Sari, M. R. (2020). Isomorphism, Human Resource Capability and Its Role in Performance Measurement and Accountability. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 7(12), 1099–1110. <https://doi.org/10.13106/JAFEB.2020.VOL7.NO12.1099>
- Yin, R. K. (2018). Case Study Research and Application: Design and Methods. Dalam *Canadian Journal of Program Evaluation* (Sixth Edition, Nomor 1). Sage Publications Inc.