

CONTENTS

APPROVAL PAGE	ii
STATEMENT	iii
PREFACE	iv
NOMENCLATURE AND ABBREVIATION	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
INTISARI	viii
CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	6
1.3 Research Novelty	6
1.4 Research Objective	10
1.5 Research Benefits	10
1.6 Scope of the Study	11
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL BASIS	12
2.1 Literature Review	12
2.1.1 Deep Learning-Based Eye Movement Classification	14
2.1.2 Hyperparameter Optimization	18
2.1.3 Bayesian Optimization in Time Series Classification	20
2.1.4 The Recent Study	28
2.2 Theoretical Basis	29
2.2.1 The Basic Principle of Eye-Tracking	29
2.2.2 Feature Extraction of Eye Movement Data	30
2.2.2.1 Speed	31
2.2.2.2 Direction	32
2.2.2.3 Acceleration	32
2.2.2.4 Displacement	32
2.2.2.5 Standard Deviation	33
2.2.3 Deep Learning	34
2.2.3.1 Loss Function	38
2.2.3.2 Optimizer Algorithm	39
2.2.3.3 1 Dimensional-Convolutional Neural Network (1D-CNN)	41
2.2.3.4 Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM)	43
2.2.3.5 Temporal Convolutional Network (TCN)	46
2.2.4 Hyperparameters in Deep Learning	48
2.2.4.1 Number of Stacks	52
2.2.4.2 Number of Filters	53
2.2.4.3 Kernel Size	54

2.2.4.4	Dilation Rate	55
2.2.4.5	Activation Function	56
2.2.4.6	Dropout Rate	57
2.2.4.7	Learning Rate	58
2.2.4.8	Batch Size	59
2.2.4.9	Epoch	60
2.2.5	Hyperparameter Optimization	60
2.2.5.1	Bayesian Optimization	65
2.2.5.2	Hyperband	69
2.2.6	Model Evaluation	71
2.2.6.1	Evaluation Method	71
2.2.6.2	Evaluation Metrics	71
2.2.7	Statistical Test	74
2.2.7.1	Shapiro-Wilk Test	75
2.2.7.2	Paired t-test	76
2.2.7.3	Wilcoxon Signed-Rank Test	77
2.3	Hypothesis	78
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY		79
3.1	Apparatus and Material	79
3.1.1	Apparatus	79
3.1.2	Material	81
3.2	Research Flow	83
3.2.1	General Process	86
3.2.2	Data Preprocessing for Eye Movement Classification	88
3.2.2.1	Data Preprocessing	88
3.2.2.2	Feature Engineering	89
3.2.2.3	Data Aggregation	91
3.2.2.4	Feature Extraction	92
3.2.3	Deep Learning Algorithm Comparison	93
3.2.4	Optimization of the Best Algorithm	95
3.3	System Design	96
3.3.1	Deep Learning Algorithm Comparison	97
3.3.1.1	1D-CNN	101
3.3.1.2	LSTM	102
3.3.1.3	BiLSTM	103
3.3.1.4	1D-CNN-LSTM	105
3.3.1.5	1D-CNN-BiLSTM	106
3.3.1.6	TCN	107
3.3.2	Optimization of the Best Algorithm	108
3.3.2.1	Hyperparameter Search Space	111
3.3.2.2	Bayesian Optimization	114
3.3.2.3	Hyperband	118
3.4	System Evaluation	119
3.4.1	Performance Evaluation	119

3.4.2	Performance Metric	119
3.4.3	Eye Movement Classification Evaluation	124
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		125
4.1	Results	125
4.1.1	Summary and Overview	125
4.1.2	Comparative Analysis of Deep Learning Algorithms for Eye Movement Classification	127
4.1.2.1	Results Overview	128
4.1.2.2	Confusion Matrix	129
4.1.2.3	Overall F1 Score	131
4.1.2.4	Smooth Pursuit	133
4.1.2.5	Fixation	135
4.1.2.6	Saccade	137
4.1.2.7	Noise	139
4.1.2.8	Macro F1 Score	141
4.1.2.9	AUC-ROC	143
4.1.3	Hyperparameter Optimization of Deep Learning Model for Eye Movement Classification	144
4.1.3.1	Results Overview	145
4.1.3.2	Bayesian Optimization	146
4.1.3.3	Hyperparameters and Model Complexity	150
4.1.3.4	Overall F1 Score	152
4.1.3.5	Confusion Matrix	153
4.1.3.6	Smooth Pursuit	154
4.1.3.7	Fixation	156
4.1.3.8	Saccade	159
4.1.3.9	Noise	161
4.1.3.10	Macro F1 Score	163
4.1.3.11	AUC-ROC	166
4.2	Discussion	167
4.2.1	Effectiveness of Bayesian Optimization for TCN Enhance- ment	168
4.2.2	Comparative Analysis of Deep Learning Algorithms	169
4.2.3	Significance of Hyperparameter Optimization	170
4.2.4	Enhancing Differentiation Between Fixation and Smooth Pursuit: Leveraging Temporal Features and Deep Learning Models	171
4.2.5	Impact of Padding Choices on Smooth Pursuit Classification	171
4.2.6	Importance of Temporal Features and the Role of Non-Causal TCN in Eye Movement Classification	173
4.2.7	Preference for ReLU Activation: Enhancing Performance and Efficiency in Eye Movement Classification	175
4.2.8	Limitations	176
4.2.8.1	Saccade Classification Discrepancy	176

4.2.8.2	Generalizability	177
4.2.8.3	Limited Hyperparameter Search Space	177
4.2.8.4	False Positive Management	177
4.2.9	Limitations of TCNs in Real-Time Classification	177
4.2.10	Feasibility Evaluation and Challenges of Applying Bayesian Optimization in Real-Time Eye Movement Classification	179
4.2.11	Practical Implication	180
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORKS.....		182
5.1	Conclusions	182
5.2	Future Works	184
REFERENCES		185
APPENDIX.....		L-1
L.1	F1 Score Metrics Summary for Algorithm Evaluation.....	L-1
L.1.1	1D-CNN Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary.....	L-1
L.1.2	LSTM Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary	L-2
L.1.3	BiLSTM Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary.....	L-3
L.1.4	1D-CNN-LSTM Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary	L-4
L.1.5	1D-CNN-BiLSTM Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary (padding='same')	L-5
L.1.6	1D-CNN-BiLSTM Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary (padding='valid').....	L-6
L.1.7	TCN Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary	L-7
L.1.8	Optimized TCN with Hyperband Algorithm F1 Score Met- rics Summary.....	L-8
L.1.9	Optimized TCN with Bayesian Optimization Algorithm F1 Score Metrics Summary	L-9

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Graphical representation of eye movements in a time series...	2
Figure 1.2	An illustration of gaze gesture interaction. The arrows illustrate trajectories of moving objects.	3
Figure 2.1	An illustration of remote eye tracker using Active Display Coordinate System	30
Figure 2.2	Layer of deep learning	35
Figure 2.3	Caption without citation	36
Figure 2.4	Caption without citation	37
Figure 2.5	An illustration of backpropagation	38
Figure 2.6	Pseudocode of the Adam optimizer algorithm.	40
Figure 2.7	The general structure of CNN	41
Figure 2.8	The structure of 1D-CNN.....	42
Figure 2.9	Illustration of Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) Architecture	45
Figure 2.10	Differences in the basic structure of algorithms (a) LSTM and (b) BiLSTM.....	45
Figure 2.11	A dilated causal convolution with dilation factors $d = 1, 2, 4$ and a filter size $k_s = 3$	47
Figure 2.12	A dilated non-causal convolution with dilation factors $d = 1, 2, 4$ and a filter size $k_s = 3$	48
Figure 2.13	Frequencies of architectural hyperparameters.	49
Figure 2.14	Frequencies of algorithmic hyperparameter.....	49
Figure 2.15	Residual block of TCN	53
Figure 2.16	Illustrative example demonstrating the application of a 50% dropout rate in a neural network.	58
Figure 2.17	Steps of hyperparameters optimization (HPO) in a deep learning model	61
Figure 2.18	HPO Methods Selection	64
Figure 2.19	HPO trend in deep learning for time series classification tasks	65
Figure 2.20	Pseudocode of the Bayesian Optimization algorithm.....	68
Figure 2.21	Pseudocode of the Hyperband optimization algorithm.	70
Figure 2.22	Confusion matrix	72
Figure 3.1	Exemplary snippet from the GazeCom dataset in one of the files.	81
Figure 3.2	Distribution of Eye Movement Types in the GazeCom Dataset, Illustrating Imbalance and Variation Across Videos.....	82
Figure 3.3	General proposed approach.	85
Figure 3.4	General Research Flow Process.	87
Figure 3.5	Data processing flowchart highlighting key stages - pre-processing, feature engineering, aggregation, and extraction, preparing features for deep learning integration.	88

Figure 3.6	An example of a dataset resulting from the extraction of new features.....	89
Figure 3.7	Pseudocode for the calculation of Pixel per Degree (PPD).....	92
Figure 3.8	Feature extraction procedure from the GazeCom dataset	93
Figure 3.9	Flowchart illustrating algorithm comparison process.....	94
Figure 3.10	Flowchart illustrating the optimization process of the selected algorithm	96
Figure 3.11	Flowchart illustrating the algorithm comparison and validation	100
Figure 3.12	Overall schematics of the 1D-CNN.	102
Figure 3.13	Overall schematics of the LSTM	103
Figure 3.14	Overall schematics of the BiLSTM	104
Figure 3.15	Overall schematics of the 1D-CNN-LSTM.	106
Figure 3.16	Overall schematics of the 1D-CNN-BiLSTM.....	107
Figure 3.17	Overall schematics of the TCN.	108
Figure 3.18	Flowchart illustrating the optimization of the best algorithm and validation	109
Figure 3.19	The architecture of the proposed TCN model with hyperparameters.	112
Figure 3.20	Algorithm of Bayesian Optimization with arithmetic mean of F1 scores as objective function	116
Figure 3.21	HPO using Bayesian Optimization with LOVO evaluation. ...	117
Figure 3.22	Leave-One-Video-Out (LOVO) Process on GazeCom Dataset	119
Figure 4.1	Confusion matrix plots for eye movement classification algorithms on GazeCom dataset using LOVO evaluation.	130
Figure 4.2	Comparison of ROC curves and AUC values for six deep learning algorithms across different eye movement classes. ...	144
Figure 4.3	Illustration of the trade-off between validation loss and average validation F1 score across iterations	147
Figure 4.4	Confusion matrix plots for eye movement classification using TCN on GazeCom dataset using LOVO evaluation.	154
Figure 4.5	Pairwise comparison of overall F1 scores for smooth pursuit classification between Bayesian-optimized TCN and benchmark models.	156
Figure 4.6	Pairwise comparison of overall F1 scores for fixation classification between Bayesian-optimized TCN and benchmark models.	158
Figure 4.7	Pairwise comparison of overall F1 scores for saccade classification between Bayesian-optimized TCN and benchmark models.	161
Figure 4.8	Pairwise comparison of overall F1 scores for noise classification between Bayesian-optimized TCN and benchmark models.	163

Figure 4.9	Pairwise comparison of overall macro F1 scores for eye movement classification between Bayesian-optimized TCN and benchmark models.	165
Figure 4.10	Comparison of ROC curves and AUC values for three TCN models across different eye movement classes.....	167
Figure 4.11	Pairwise comparison of overall F1 scores for eye movement classification using 1D-CNN-BiLSTM between 'valid' and 'same' padding.	172
Figure 4.12	Pairwise comparison of overall F1 scores for smooth pursuit classification between single deep learning algorithm.....	174

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Recent studies of eye movement classification with deep learning	7
Table 2.1	Bayesian Optimization: Summary of hyperparameters search space for time series classification.....	21
Table 2.2	Frequency Distribution of Optimized Hyperparameters Based on Model	51
Table 2.3	Surrogate model and acquisition function of Bayesian Optimization for time series classification	66
Table 2.4	Description of the symbol used in the pseudocode of the Bayesian Optimization process.	67
Table 2.5	Description of the symbol used in the pseudocode of the optimization process using Hyperband.	70
Table 3.1	Description of features in the GazeCom dataset.	82
Table 3.2	Eye Movement Class Distribution per Video	84
Table 3.3	Description of Features from the Feature Engineering Process on the GazeCom Dataset.	90
Table 3.4	Hyperparameters' Search Space	111
Table 3.5	F1 Score Metrics Summary for Algorithm Evaluation	121
Table 4.1	Performance Evaluation of Deep Learning Algorithms for Eye Movement Classification: Overall F1 Scores for Each Eye Movement and Overall Macro F1 Scores	131
Table 4.2	F1 Score Comparison for Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements Across Six Deep Learning Algorithms in LOVO Evaluation ...	134
Table 4.3	F1 Score Comparison for Fixation Eye Movements Across Six Deep Learning Algorithms in LOVO Evaluation.....	136
Table 4.4	F1 Score Comparison for Saccade Eye Movements Across Six Deep Learning Algorithms in LOVO Evaluation.....	138
Table 4.5	F1 Score Comparison for Noise across Six Deep Learning Algorithms in LOVO Evaluation	140
Table 4.6	Macro F1 Score Comparison across Six Deep Learning Algorithms in LOVO Evaluation	142
Table 4.7	Performance Evaluation of Deep Learning Algorithms for Eye Movement Classification: AUC-ROC for Each Eye Movement	143
Table 4.8	Hyperparameter Configuration and Performance Metrics at Each Iteration of Bayesian Optimization.....	148
Table 4.9	Hyperparameter Values Comparison among Bayesian-Optimized TCN, Baseline TCN, and Hyperband-Optimized TCN Models.	150

Table 4.10	Comparison of Model Characteristics and Performance Metrics among Bayesian-Optimized TCN, Baseline TCN, and Hyperband-Optimized TCN Models.....	151
Table 4.11	Comparison of Overall F1 Scores for Fixation, Saccade, Smooth Pursuit, Noise, and Macro F1 Score among Different TCN Models	153
Table 4.12	F1 Score Comparison for Smooth Pursuit Eye Movements Across Three TCN Models in LOVO Evaluation	155
Table 4.13	F1 Score Comparison for Fixation Eye Movements Across Three TCN Models in LOVO Evaluation	157
Table 4.14	F1 Score Comparison for Saccade Eye Movements Across Three TCN Models in LOVO Evaluation	159
Table 4.15	F1 Score Comparison for Noise Across Three TCN Models in LOVO Evaluation.....	162
Table 4.16	F1 Score Comparison for Noise Across Three TCN Models in LOVO Evaluation.....	164
Table 4.17	Comparison of AUC-ROC values for Fixation, Saccade, Smooth Pursuit, and Noise among Different TCN Models	166