

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	i
APPROVAL PAGE	ii
DECLARATION	iii
PREFACE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
ABSTRACT	xii
INTISARI	xiii
I. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Formulation	3
1.3 Research Objective	3
1.4 Research Benefit	4
1.5 Research Method	4
1.5.1 Research Design	4
1.5.2 Research Variable	4
1.5.3 Data Collection	5
1.5.4 Sampling Method	6

1.5.5 Data Analysis	7
1.5.5.1 Validity and Reliability Test	7
1.5.5.2 Quality Function Deployment	7

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

2.1 Quality Function Deployment (QFD)	9
2.1.1 Definition of QFD	9
2.1.2 History of QFD	10
2.1.3 House of Quality	11
2.2 The Concept of Service	13
2.3 Service Quality	17

III. COMPANY PROFILE

3.1 Historical Background	22
3.2 Vision and Mission	23
3.3 Organizational Structure	24
3.4 Recent Development	26

IV. DATA ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 Characteristics of Respondents	29
4.2 Result of Validity Test	30
4.3 Result of Reliability Test	32

4.4	Procedure of Quality Function Deployment to Build House of Quality	33
4.4.1	Identification customer requirement (CRs) by using SERVQUAL Method	33
4.4.2	Determining relative importance of customer's requirements (CRs)	35
4.4.3	Identification how the service (technical requirements) will satisfy customers' requirements	37
4.4.4	Developing a relationship matrix between customers' requirement and technical requirements	43
4.4.5	Determining SERVQUAL Score referring to Gap 5	45
4.4.6	Identification of relationship among the firm's technical requirement	46
4.4.7	Developing importance rating of the firm's technical requirement	48
4.5	Building the House of Quality	49
V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		
5.1	Conclusion	51
5.2	Recommendation	52
BIBLIOGRAPHY		54
APPENDICES		56

LIST OF TABLES

	Page
Table 2.2	
The Differences between Goods and Services and Resulting Implication	15
Table 4.1	30
Characteristics of Respondents	
Table 4.2	
Results of Validity Test of Perception, Expectation, and Importance of Service Quality Questionnaire	31
Table 4.3	
Results of Reliability Test of Perception, Expectation, and Importance of Service Quality Questionnaire	32
Table 4.4	
Rank of Relative Importance of Customers' Requirements	36
Table 4.5	
Schedule of Tutor Meeting at Primagama Yogyakarta	41
Table 4.6	
Schedule of Learning Activity at Primagama Yogyakarta	43
Table 4.7	
The Relationship Matrix between CRs and TRs at Primagama.....	44
Table 4.8	
Result of SERVQUAL Score Calculation Based on Gap 5	45
Table 4.9	
Absolute and Relative Importance of TR	49

LIST OF FIGURES

	Page
Figure 2.1	Quality Function Deployment's House of Quality 12
Figure 2.3	Gaps Model of Service Quality..... 18
Figure 2.4	Determinants of Perceived Service Quality 20
Figure 3.3	Organizational Structure of Primagama Learning Assistance Institution 25
Figure 4.4	The Relationship among TRs at Primagama 47
Figure 4.5	The House of Quality for Primagama 50

LIST OF APPENDICES

	Page
Appendix 1 Example of Questionnaire	57
Appendix 2 Companies in Primagama Group	66
Appendix 3 Data of SERVQUAL Perception Questionnaire	68
Appendix 4 Data of SERVQUAL Expectation Questionnaire	72
Appendix 5 Data of SERVQUAL Importance Questionnaire	76
Appendix 6 Result of Validity and Reliability Test of SERVQUAL Perception Questionnaire	80
Appendix 7 Result of Validity and Reliability Test of SERVQUAL Expectation Questionnaire	81
Appendix 8 Result of Validity and Reliability Test of SERVQUAL Importance Questionnaire	82
Appendix 9 Example of Tutor Performance Measurement Questionnaire	83