

Daftar Pustaka

- Ahmed, O., Hossain, K. N., Hiramoni, F. A., & Siddique, R. F. (2022). Psychometric properties of the bangla version of the stress and anxiety to viral epidemics-6 items scale among the general population in bangladesh. *Brief Research Report*, 13(February), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsy.2022.804162>
- Aiken, L. R. (1985). Three coefficients for analyzing the reliability and validity of ratings. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 45(1), 131–142.
- Al Fariz, A. B. (2024). Analisis differential item functioning dengan metode Rasch-Welch t-test: ilustrasi empiris. In B. Hayat (Ed.), *Penelitian Mutakhir Psikometrika Modern* (pp. 18–36). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Andayani, T. R. (2018). Pertemanan dalam perspektif teori relasi komunal. In Faturachman & T. A. Nurjaman (Eds.), *Psikologi Relasi Sosial* (1st ed., pp. 193–222). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Andersen, E. B. (1973). A goodness of fit test for the Rasch model. *Psychometrika*, 38(1), 123–140.
- Andrich, D. (1978). A rating formulation for ordered response categories. *Psychometrika*, 43(4), 561–573.
- Andrich, D. (2016). Georg Rasch and Benjamin Wright's struggle with the unidimensional polytomous model with sufficient statistics. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 76(5), 713–723. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164416634790>
- Andrich, D., & Marais, I. (2019). *A course in rasch measurement theory: measuring in the educational, social and health sciences*. Springer.
- Anggaunitakiranantika. (2021). Treasuring career from home: the sustainability of women homeworkers and household divisions. *Journal of Social and Political Sciences*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.31014/aior.1991.04.03.303>
- Appelbaum, M., Cooper, H., Kline, R. B., Mayo-wilson, E., Nezu, A. M., Rao, S. M., & Clinic, C. (2018). Journal article reporting standards for quantitative research in psychology: the apa publications and communications board task force report. *American Psychologist*, 73(1), 3–25.
- Bogodistov, Y., & Lizneva, A. (2017). Ideological shift and employees' relationships: evidence from Ukraine. *Baltic Journal of Management*, 12(1), 25–45. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BJM-11-2015-0220>
- Bond, T. G., & Fox, C. M. (2015). *Applying the rasch model: fundamental measurement in the human sciences* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Brito, R., Waldzus, S., Sekerdej, M., & Schubert, T. (2010). The contexts and structures of relating to others: how memberships in different types of groups shape the construction of interpersonal relationships. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 28(3), 406–431. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0265407510384420>
- Brown, T. A. (2015). *Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research* (2nd ed.). The Guilford Press.
- Browne, M. W. (1984). Asymptotically distribution-free methods for the analysis of covariance structures. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 37(1), 62–83. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1984.tb00789.x>
- Cai, L. (2010). High-dimensional exploratory item factor analysis by a Metropolis-Hastings Robbins-Monro algorithm. *Psychometrika*, 75(1), 33–57. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11336-009-9136-x>

- Caldas, S. V., Antonsen, L. R., Hamilton, A. S., & Moyer, D. N. (2023). Measurement of psychological flexibility in the context of parenting: a scoping review. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 1(Current Opinion in Green and Sustainable Chemistry), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2023.09.001>
- Choi, Y., Mericle, A., & Harachi, T. W. (2006). Using rasch analysis to test the cross-cultural item equivalence of the harvard trauma questionnaire and the hopkins symptom checklist across vietnamese and cambodian immigrant mothers. *Journal Applied Measurement*, 7(1), 16–38.
- Christensen, K. B., Kreiner, S., & Mesbah, M. (2013). Rasch model in health. In K. B. Christensen, S. Kreiner, & M. Mesbah (Eds.), *John Wiley & Sons* (1st ed.). John Wiley & Sons.
- Clark, M. S., & Aragon, O. R. (2013). Communal (and other) relationships: history, theory development, recent, findings, and future directions. In J. A. Simpson & C. Lorne (Eds.), *The Oxford Handbook of Close Relationships* (pp. 255–280). Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195398694.001.0001>
- Clark, M. S., & Mills, J. (1979). Interpersonal attraction in exchange and communal relationships. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(1), 12–24.
- Clark, M. S., & Mills, J. R. (2012). A theory of communal (and exchange) relationships. In P. A. M. Van Lange, A. W. Kruglanski, & T. E. Higgins (Eds.), *Handbook of theories of social psychology Volume 2* (pp. 233–250). SAGE Publication.
- Clark, M. S., Ouellette, R., Powell, M. C., & Milberg, S. (1987). Recipient's mood, relationship type, and helping. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53(1), 94–103. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.53.1.94>
- Dalğar, I. (2012). *Relational models theory and their associations with cultural orientations and personal value priorities in the turkish cultural context* (Issue September). Middle East Technical University.
- de Ayala, R. J. (2022). *The theory and practice of item response theory* (T. D. Little (ed.); 2nd ed.). The Guilford Press.
- Engelhard Jr, G. (2017). Cogitations on invariant measurement: a memo to Ben Wright on the perspectives of Rasch and Guttman. In M. Wilson & W. P. Fisher Jr (Eds.), *Psychological and social measurement: the career and contributions of Benjamin D. Wright* (1st ed., pp. 11–24). Springer Cham. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-67304-2>
- Engelhard Jr, G., & Wang, J. (2021). *Rasch models for solving measurement problems: invariant measurement in the social sciences*. SAGE Publication.
- Ewing, M. T., Salzberger, T., & Sinkovics, R. R. (2005). An alternate approach to assessing cross-cultural measurement equivalence in advertising research. *Journal of Advertising*, 34(1), 17–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00913367.2005.10639181>
- Fischer, G. H. (1987). Applying the principles of specific objectivity and of generalizability to the measurement of change. *Psychometrika*, 52(4), 565–587.
- Fiske, A. P. (1991). *Structure of social life: the four elementary forms of human relations*. The Free Press.
- Fiske, A. P. (1992). The four elementary forms of sociality: Framework for a unified theory of social relations. *Psychological Review*, 99(4), 689–723. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0033-295x.99.4.689>

- Fiske, A. P. (1995). Social schemata for remembering people: relationships and person attributes in free recall of acquaintances. *Journal of Quantitative Anthropology*, 5(1), 305–324.
- Fiske, A. P. (2000). Complementarity theory: why human social capacities evolved to require cultural complements. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 4(1), 76–94.
https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327957PSPR0401_7
- Fiske, A. P. (2004a). Four modes of constituting relationships: consubstantial assimilation; space, magnitude, time, and force; concrete procedures; abstract symbolism. In N. Haslam (Ed.), *Relational Models Theory: A Contemporary Overview* (pp. 61–146). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates., Inc.
- Fiske, A. P. (2004b). Relational models theory 2.0. In N. Haslam (Ed.), *Relational Models Theory: A Contemporary Overview* (pp. 3–25). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates., Inc.
- Hadi, A. (2017). Patriarchy and gender-based violence in Pakistan. *European Journal of Social Sciences Education and Research*, 10(2), 297. <https://doi.org/10.26417/ejser.v10i2.p297-304>
- Haslam, N. (1994). Categories of social relationship. *Cognition*, 53(1), 59–90.
[https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277\(94\)90077-9](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0277(94)90077-9)
- Haslam, N., & Fiske, A. P. (1999). Relational models theory: a confirmatory factor analysis. *Personal Relationship*, 6(1), 241–250.
- Jayanti, M. (2022). *Communal sharing sebagai landasan dalam aksi solidaritas organisasi pemuda*. Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Jöreskog, K. G. (1969). A general approach to confirmatory maximum likelihood factor analysis. *Psychometrika*, 34(2), 183–202. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02289343>
- Kismini, E., Wicaksono, H., & Putri, N. A. (2018). The husbands-wives relation model of long distance marriage farmer households. *Komunitas: International Journal of Indonesian Society and Culture*, 10(1), 112–120. <https://doi.org/10.15294/komunitas.v9i1.12446>
- Klimczak, K. S., Schwartz, S. E., Donahue, M. L., Capel, L. K., Snow, J. L., & Levin, M. E. (2023). Disentangling trait and state psychological inflexibility: A longitudinal multilevel approach. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 29(May), 13–22.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2023.05.006>
- Li, C. H. (2016). Confirmatory factor analysis with ordinal data: comparing robust maximum likelihood and diagonally weighted least squares. *Behavior Research Methods*, 48(3), 936–949.
<https://doi.org/10.3758/s13428-015-0619-7>
- Linacre, J. . (1997). *An all-purpose person fit statistic?* Rasch Measurement Transactions.
<https://www.rasch.org/rmt/rmt113n.htm>
- Linacre, J. M. (1999). Investigating rating scale category utility. *Journal of Outcome Measurement*, 3(2), 103–122.
- Linacre, J. M. (2023). *A user's guide to winsteps® ministep: rasch-model computer programs*.
<http://homes.jcu.edu.au/~edtg/b/%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/D56B724A-62FF-4D00-84E1-ECC888298B70>
- Mair, P. (2018). Modern psychometrics with R. In *Technometrics* (Vol. 62, Issue 1). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00401706.2019.1708675>
- Mas'udah, S. (2022). Familial relationships and efforts in retention of marriage among atomistic families in Indonesia. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1).
<https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2046313>

- Mas'udah, S. (2023). Power relations of husbands and wives experiencing domestic violence in dual-career families in indonesia. *Millennial Asia*, 14(1), 5–27.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/09763996211039730>
- Masters, G. N. (1982). A rasch model for partial credit scoring. *Psychometrika*, 47(2), 149–174.
- Maydeu-Olivares, A., & McArdle, J. J. (2005). Contemporary psychometrics. In A. Maydeu-Olivares & J. J. McArdle (Eds.), *Lawrence Erlbaum Associates., Inc* (Vol. 60, Issue 2). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates., Inc. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2007.00081_12.x
- Mills, J., Clark, M. S., Ford, T. E., & Johnson, M. (2004). Measurement of communal strength. *Personal Relationships*, 11(2), 213–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-6811.2004.00079.x>
- Mitchell-Parker, K., Medvedev, O. N., Krägeloh, C. U., & Siegert, R. J. (2018). Rasch analysis of the Frost Multidimensional Perfectionism Scale. *Australian Journal of Psychology*, 70(3), 258–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajpy.12192>
- Muthen, B., & Kaplan, D. (1992). A comparison of some methodologies for the factor analysis of non-normal Likert variables: A note on the size of the model. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 45(1), 19–30. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.1992.tb00975.x>
- Muthén, B. O. (1978). Contributions to factor analysis of dichotomous variables. *Psychometrika*, 43(4), 551–560. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02293813>
- Muthén, L. K., & Muthén, B. O. (2002). How to use a monte carlo study to decide on sample size and determine power. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 9(4), 599–620.
<https://doi.org/10.1207/S15328007SEM0904>
- Perline, R., Wright, B. D., & Wainer, H. (1979). The rasch model as additive conjoint measurement. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 3(2), 237–255.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/014662167900300213>
- Puusepp, V. (2023). Becoming closer to one another: shared emotions and social relationships. *Philosophical Psychology*, 1–27. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09515089.2023.2171858>
- Rahayu, W., Hayat, B., & Putra, M. D. K. (2023). *Analisis rasch: aplikasi dan interpretasi*. UNJ Press.
- Rai, T. S., & Fiske, A. P. (2011). Moral psychology is relationship regulation: moral motives for unity, hierarchy, equality, and proportionality. *Psychological Review*, 118(1), 57–75.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0021867>
- Rammohan, A., & Johar, M. (2009). The determinants of married women' s autonomy in Indonesia. *Feminist Economics*, 15(4), 31–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13545700903153989>
- Rasch, G. (1960). On general laws and meaning of measurement in psychology. *Fourth Berkeley Symposium, v*.
- Rasch, G. (1966). An item analysis which takes individual differences into account. *The British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 19(1), 49–57.
- Reis, H. T., Clark, M. S., & Holmes, J. G. (2004). Perceived partner responsiveness as an organizing construct in the study of intimacy and closeness. In D. J. Mashek & A. Aron (Eds.), *Handbook of closeness and intimacy* (pp. 201–225). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates., Inc.
- Rhemtulla, M., Brosseau-Liard, P. E., & Savalei, V. (2012). When can categorical variables be treated as continuous? a comparison of robust continuous and categorical sem estimation methods under suboptimal conditions. *Psychological Methods*, 17(3), 354–373.
<https://doi.org/10.1037/a0029315>
- Roccas, S., & McCauley, C. (2004). Values and emotions in the relational models. In N. Haslam

- (Ed.), *Relational Models Theory: A Contemporary Overview* (pp. 263–285). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates., Inc.
- Seibt, B., Schubert, T. W., Zickfeld, J. H., Zhu, L., Arriaga, P., Simão, C., Nussinson, R., & Fiske, A. P. (2018). Kama muta: similar emotional responses to touching videos across the United States, Norway, China, Israel, and Portugal. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 49(3), 418–435. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022022117746240>
- Smith, A. B., Rush, R., Fallowfield, L. J., Velikova, G., & Sharpe, M. (2008). Rasch fit statistics and sample size considerations for polytomous data. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 8(33), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-8-33>
- Soeharto, T. N. E. D., Kuncoro, M. W., & Prahara, S. A. (2021). Family support on working mother's work-family conflict: role of communal sharing social relations. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Psychological Studies (ICPSYCHE 2020)*, 530(Icpsyche 2020), 161–166. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210423.023>
- Sumintono, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2014). *Aplikasi model rasch untuk penelitian ilmu-ilmu sosial* (B. Trim (ed.); Revisi). Trim Komunika Publishing House.
- Suryadi, B., Hayat, B., & Putra, M. D. K. (2020). Evaluating psychometric properties of the Muslim Daily Religiosity Assessment Scale (MUDRAS) in Indonesian samples using the Rasch model. *Mental Health, Religion and Culture*, 23(3–4), 331–346. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13674676.2020.1795822>
- Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. (1990). Detecting differential item functioning using logistic regression procedures. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 27(4), 361–370.
- Tennant, A., & Conaghan, P. G. (2007). The rasch measurement model in rheumatology what is it and why use it when should it be applied and what should one look for in a Rasch paper. *American College of Rheumatology*, 57(8), 1358–1362. <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.23108>
- Thurstone, L. L. (1928). Attitudes can be measured. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 33(4), 529–554.
- Umar, J., & Nisa, Y. F. (2020). Uji validitas konstruk dengan CFA dan pelaporannya. *Jurnal Pengukuran Psikologi Dan Pendidikan Indonesia*, 9(2), 1–11. <http://journal.uinjkt.ac.id/index.php/jp3i/article/view/16964>
- Vodosek, M. (2009). The relationship between relational models and individualism and collectivism: evidence from culturally diverse work groups. *International Journal of Psychology*, 44(2), 120–128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207590701545684>
- Wang, J., & Wang, X. (2020). *Structural equation modeling: applications using Mplus* (D. J. Balding, N. A. C. Cressie, G. Fitzmaurice, & H. Goldstein (eds.); 2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119422730>
- Wind, S., & Hua, C. (2022). *Rasch measurement theory analysis in R*. CRC Press.
- Wirth, R. J., & Edwards, M. C. (2007). Item factor analysis: current approaches and future directions. *Psychological Methods*, 12(1), 58–79. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.12.1.58.Item>
- Wright, B. D. (1968). Sample-free test calibration and person measurement. *Proceedings of the 1967 Invitational Conference on Testing Problems*, 1–23.
- Wright, B. D. (1985). Additivity in psychological measurement. In E. E. Roskam (Ed.), *Measurement and Personality Assessment* (pp. 101–111). Elsevier Science Ltd.

- Wright, B. D., & Stone, M. H. (1979). *Best test design*. Mesa Press.
- Wright, B. D., & Stone, M. H. (1999). Measurement Essentials. In *Measurement*.
- Wu, M., Tam, H. P., & Jen, T.-H. (2016). *Educational measurement for applied researchers*. Springer Nature Singapore.
- Yang-Wallentin, F., Jöreskog, K. G., & Luo, H. (2010). Confirmatory factor analysis of ordinal variables with misspecified models. *Structural Equation Modeling*, 17(3), 392–423.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10705511.2010.489003>
- Yen, W. M. (1984). Effects of local item dependence on the fit and equating performance of the three-parameter logistic model. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 8(2), 125–145.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/014662168400800201>
- Zakharin, M., & Bates, T. C. (2023). Relational models theory : Validation and replication for four fundamental relationships. *PLoS ONE*, 18(6), 1–18.
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0287391>
- Zettle, R. D., Gird, S. R., Webster, B. K., Carrasquillo-Richardson, N., Swails, J. A., & Burdsal, C. A. (2018). The self-as-context scale: development and preliminary psychometric properties. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, 10(April), 64–74.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2018.08.010>
- Zickfeld, J. H. (2015). *Heartwarming closeness: being moved induces communal sharing and increases feelings of warmth* (Issue October) [University of Oslo].
<https://www.duo.uio.no/handle/10852/48655%0Ahttp://kamamutalab.org/>
- Zumbo, B. D. (1999). *A Handbook on the theory and methods of differential item functioning (DI): logistic regression modeling as a unitary framework for binary and Likert-type (ordinal) item scores* (1st ed.). Directorate of Human Resources Research and Evaluation, Department of National Defense.