

## TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE PAGE .....	I
ENDORSEMENT .....	II
APPROVAL .....	III
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM .....	IV
FOREWARD.....	V
ABSTRACT .....	VII
ABSTRAK .....	VII
TABLE OF CONTENT .....	VIII
CHAPTER I .....	1
INTRODUCTION .....	1
A.    BACKGROUND .....	1
B.    RESEARCH QUESTION .....	7
C.    OBJECTIVES OF THE RESEARCH .....	7
D.    GUARANTEE OF AUTHENTICITY .....	8
E.    BENEFIT OF THE RESEARCH .....	14
CHAPTER II .....	16
LITERATURE REVIEW .....	16
A.    OVERVIEW OF COMPETITION LAW .....	16
1. <i>General Concept of Competition Law</i> .....	16
2. <i>Overview of Indonesian Competition Law Regime</i> .....	17
3. <i>Overview of European Union Competition Law Regime</i> .....	22
B.    APPROACHES IN COMPETITION LAW .....	26
1. <i>Per Se Illegal Approach</i> .....	26
2. <i>Rule of Reason Approach</i> .....	28
C.    OVERVIEW OF CARTEL PRACTICES AND PRICE FIXING IN INDONESIA AND THE EUROPEAN UNION .....	29
1. <i>Cartel Practices and Price Fixing in Indonesia</i> .....	29
2. <i>Cartel Practices and Price Fixing in the European Union</i> .....	34
D.    CONCEPT OF INDIRECT EVIDENCE IN INDONESIA AND EUROPEAN UNION	

COMPETITION LAW .....	39
1. <i>Implementation of Indirect Evidence in Indonesian Competition Law</i>	39
2. <i>Implementation of Indirect Evidence in European Union Competition Law</i>	40
<b>CHAPTER III .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>RESEARCH METHOD .....</b>	<b>43</b>
A. TYPE OF RESEARCH .....	43
B. SOURCE OF DATA .....	44
1. <i>Primary Legal Sources</i> .....	44
2. <i>Secondary Legal Sources</i> .....	46
C. DATA COLLECTION METHOD .....	46
D. DATA ANALYSIS .....	47
<b>CHAPTER IV .....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>RESEARCH RESULTS AND ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>48</b>
A. THE PRACTICAL APPLICATION OF INDIRECT EVIDENCE IN INDONESIA AND THE EUROPEAN UNION .....	48
1. <i>Indonesia</i> .....	48
2. <i>European Union</i> .....	71
B. COMPARISON BETWEEN THE USAGE OF INDIRECT EVIDENCE IN INDONESIA AND EUROPEAN UNION .....	86
1. <i>Similarities</i> .....	86
2. <i>Differences</i> .....	88
C. CAN THE STANDARD OF USING INDIRECT EVIDENCE IN THE <i>WOODPULP</i> CARTEL CASE BE ADOPTED UNDER THE CURRENT INDOONESIAN LEGAL FRAMEWORK? .....	92
1. <i>How the Indonesian Framework is adequate?</i> .....	93
2. <i>How the Indonesian Framework is inadequate?</i> .....	97
<b>CHAPTER V .....</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>CLOSURE .....</b>	<b>106</b>
A. CONCLUSION .....	106
B. RECOMMENDATIONS .....	108
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY .....</b>	<b>110</b>