

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aaltonen, K., Jaakko, K., & Tuomas, O. (2008). Stakeholder salience in global projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, 26(5), 509–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2008.05.004>
- Achyar, E., Schmidt-Vogt, D., & Shivakoti, G. P. (2015). Dynamics of the multi-stakeholder forum and its effectiveness in promoting sustainable forest fire management practices in South Sumatra, Indonesia. *Environmental Development*, 13, 4–17. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2014.11.002>
- Afiff, S. A., & Rachman, N. F. (2019). Institutional Activism: Seeking Customary Forest Rights Recognition from Within the Indonesian State. *Asia Pacific Journal of Anthropology*, 20(5), 453–470. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14442213.2019.1670245>
- Agle, B. R., Mitchell, R. K., & Sonnenfeld, J. A. (1999). Who matters to CEOs? An investigation of stakeholder attributes and salience, corporate performance, and CEO values. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(5), 507–525. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256973>
- Almeida, V., Getschko, D., & Afonso, C. (2015). The origin and evolution of multistakeholder models. *IEEE Internet Computing*, 19(1), 74–79. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIC.2015.15>
- Alonso, S., Keane, J., Merkel, W., & Fotou, M. (2011). The future of representative democracy. In *The Future of Representative Democracy*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511770883>
- Andersson, K. P., Gibson, C. C., & Lehoucq, F. (2004). The Politics of Decentralized Natural Resource Governance. *PS: Political Science & Politics*, 37(3), 421–426. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1017/S1049096504004597>
- Andriyana, W., & Hogn, K. (2019). Decentralization drivers beyond legal provisions: The case of collaborative forest management in Java Island. *Forests*, 10(8), 685. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f10080685>
- Ansell, C., & Gash, A. (2008). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18(4), 543–571. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mum032>
- Antlöv, H., Brinkerhoff, D. W., & Rapp, E. (2010). Civil Society Capacity Building for Democratic Reform: Experience and Lessons from Indonesia. *VOLUNTAS: International Journal of Voluntary and Nonprofit Organizations*, 21(3), 417–439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-010-9140-x>
- Arenas, D., Albareda, L., & Goodman, J. (2020). Contestation in multi-stakeholder initiatives: Enhancing the democratic quality of transnational governance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 30(2), 169–199. <https://doi.org/10.1017/beq.2019.29>
- Arikunto Suharsimi. (2013). Prosedur penelitian suatu pendekatan praktik. *Jakarta: Rineka Cipta*, 172.
- Art, B., & Visseren-Hamdkers, I. (2012). Forest governance: A state of the art review. *Forest-People Interfaces: Understanding Community Forestry and Biocultural Diversity*, 241–257. [https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-749-3\\_15](https://doi.org/10.3920/978-90-8686-749-3_15)
- Arts, B., & Buizer, M. (2009). Forests, discourses, institutions: A discursive-institutional analysis of global forest governance. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 11(5), 340–347. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2008.10.004>
- Arts, B., & Tatenhove, J. Van. (2004). Policy and power: A conceptual framework between the “old” and “new” policy idioms. *Policy Sciences*, 37(3–4), 339–356. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-005-0156-9>

- Atela, J. O., Quinn, C. H., Minang, P. A., Duguma, L. A., & Houdet, J. A. (2016). Implementing REDD+ at the national level: Stakeholder engagement and policy coherences between REDD+ rules and Kenya's sectoral policies. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 65, 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2016.01.003>
- Aurenhammer, P. K. (2017). Forest land-use governance and change through Forest Owner Associations – Actors' roles and preferences in Bavaria. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 85, 176–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.09.017>
- Avelino, F. (2017). Power in Sustainability Transitions: Analysing power and (dis)empowerment in transformative change towards sustainability. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 27(6), 505–520. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.1777>
- Avelino, F. (2021). Theories of power and social change. Power contestations and their implications for research on social change and innovation. *Journal of Political Power*, 14(3), 425–448. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2158379X.2021.1875307>
- Baccaro, L., & Mele, V. (2011). For lack of anything better? International organizations and global corporate codes. *Public Administration*, 89(2), 451–470. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.01918.x>
- Bachrach, P., & Baratz, M. S. (1970). *Power and poverty: Theory and practice*.
- Barlow, R. (2022). Deliberation Without Democracy in Multi-stakeholder Initiatives: A Pragmatic Way Forward. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 181(3), 543–561. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-04987-x>
- Baumann-Pauly, D., Nolan, J., van Heerden, A., & Samway, M. (2017). Industry-Specific Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives That Govern Corporate Human Rights Standards: Legitimacy assessments of the Fair Labor Association and the Global Network Initiative. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 143(4), 771–787. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-016-3076-z>
- Bellamy, R., & Palumbo, A. (2016). From government to governance. In *From Government to Governance*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315254920>
- Berelson, B. (1952). *Content analysis in communication research*.
- Betsill, M. M., & Corell, E. (2017). NGO Influence in International Environmental Negotiations: A Framework for Analysis. In H. PeterM (Ed.), *International Environmental Governance* (pp. 453–473). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315092546>
- Bevir, M. (2013). *A Theory of Governance (Studies in Governance)*.
- Biekart, K., & Fowler, A. (2016). Effective Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives through Civic Engagement. *12th International Conference of the International Society for Third Sector Research*, 1–28.
- Birkland, T. (2007). Agenda setting in public policy. *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis*, 63–78.
- Blomkamp, E., Sholikin, M. N., Nursyamsi, F., Lewis, J. M., & Toumbourou, T. (2017). Understanding Policymaking in Indonesia: In Search of a Policy Cycle. *KSI Working Paper*, 26.
- Boesso, G., & Kumar, K. (2009). Stakeholder prioritization and reporting: Evidence from Italy and the US. *Accounting Forum*, 33(2), 162–175.
- Bong, I. W., Felker, M. E., & Maryudi, A. (2016). How are local people driving and affected by forest cover change? Opportunities for local participation in REDD+ Measurement, reporting and verification. *PLoS ONE*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145330>
- Borg, R., & Paloniemi, R. (2012). Deliberation in cooperative networks for forest conservation. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2012.709869>

- Burhan, B. (2007). Penelitian kualitatif: komunikasi, ekonomi, kebijakan publik, dan ilmu sosial lainnya. *Jakarta: Prenada Media Group*.
- Campbell, S., Greenwood, M., Prior, S., Shearer, T., Walkem, K., Young, S., Bywaters, D., & Walker, K. (2020). Purposive sampling: complex or simple? Research case examples. *Journal of Research in Nursing*, 25(8), 652–661. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1744987120927206>
- Chen, J., Dyball, M. C., & Harrison, G. (2020). Stakeholder salience and accountability mechanisms in not-for-profit service delivery organizations. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 36(1), 50–72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12217>
- Chen, J., Harrison, G., & Jiao, L. (2018). Who and What Really Count? An Examination of Stakeholder Salience in Not-for-Profit Service Delivery Organizations. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 77(4), 813–828. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12322>
- Cheyns, E. (2011). Multi-stakeholder initiatives for sustainable agriculture: limits of the ‘inclusiveness’ paradigm. *Governing through Standards: Origins, Drivers and Limits*, 318–354.
- Cheyns, E., & Riisgaard, L. (2014). The exercise of power through multi-stakeholder initiatives for sustainable agriculture and its inclusion and exclusion outcomes. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 31(3), 409–423.
- Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A Stakeholder Framework for Analyzing and Evaluating Corporate Social Performance. *The Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 92–117. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258888>
- Co, H. C., & Barro, F. (2009). Stakeholder theory and dynamics in supply chain collaboration. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 29(6), 591–611.
- Conca, K. (2015). Prospects for a multi-stakeholder dialogue on climate engineering. *Environmental Politics*, 28(3), 417–440. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2018.1522065>
- Connell, S. (1997). Empirical-analytical methodological research in environmental education: Response to a negative trend in methodological and ideological discussions. *Environmental Education Research*, 3(2), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350462970030202>
- Cook, N. J., Wright, G. D., & Andersson, K. P. (2017). Local politics of forest governance: Why NGO support can reduce local government responsiveness. *World Development*, 92, 203–214.
- Creswell W. John. (2019). Research Design Pendekatan Metode Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, dan Campuran. *Pustaka Pelajar*, 171. [www.pustakapelajar.co.id](http://www.pustakapelajar.co.id)
- Dahl, R. A. (1957). The concept of power. *Behavioral Science*, 2(3), 201–215. <https://doi.org/10.7312/pop17594-004>
- Davis, K. (1973). The case for and against business assumption of social responsibilities. *Academy of Management Journal*, 16(2), 312–322.
- de Jong, W., Arts, B., & Krott, M. (2012). Political theory in forest policy science. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 16, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2011.07.001>
- de Vries, J. (2009). Assessing inventory projects from a stakeholder perspective: Results of an empirical study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 118(1), 136–145.
- del Águila, I. M., & del Sagrado, J. (2023). Salience-based stakeholder selection to maintain stakeholder coverage in solving the next release problem. *Information and Software Technology*, 160, 107231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2023.107231>
- Dentoni, D., & Bitzer, V. (2015). The role(s) of universities in dealing with global wicked problems through multi-stakeholder initiatives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 106, 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.09.050>

- Devkota, R. R. (2010). Interests and power as drivers of community forestry. In *Interests and power as drivers of community forestry*. <https://doi.org/10.17875/gup2010-281>
- Dimitrov, R. S. (2005). Hostage to Norms: States, Institutions and Global Forest Politics. *Global Environmental Politics*, 5(4), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1162/152638005774785499>
- DKN. (2022). *Sejarah DKN*.
- Do Thi, H., Krott, M., & Böcher, M. (2017). The success of scientific support for biodiversity conservation policy: The case of Ngoc Son Ngo Luong nature reserve in Vietnam. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 38, 3–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2017.05.002>
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65–91.
- Dunn, W. N. (2003). Pengantar Analisis Kebijakan Publik Edisi Kedua. *Gadjah Mada University Press*, 607–631.
- Edmunds, D., & Wollenberg, E. (2001). A strategic approach to multistakeholder negotiations. *Development and Change*, 32(2), 231–253. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00204>
- Eesley, C., & Lenox, M. J. (2006). Firm responses to secondary stakeholder action. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(8), 765–781.
- Ekayani, M., Nurrochmat, D. R., & Darusman, D. (2016). The role of scientists in forest fire media discourse and its potential influence for policy-agenda setting in Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 68, 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.01.001>
- Elbakidze, M., Angelstam, P. K., Sandström, C., & Axelsson, R. (2010). Multi-Stakeholder Collaboration in Russian and Swedish Model Forest Initiatives. *Ecology and Society*, 15(2).
- Eppich, W. J., Gormley, G. J., & Teunissen, P. W. (2019). In-depth interviews. *Healthcare Simulation Research: A Practical Guide*, 85–91.
- Erdiaw-Kwasie, M. O., Alam, K., & Shahiduzzaman, M. (2017). Towards Understanding Stakeholder Salience Transition and Relational Approach to ‘Better’ Corporate Social Responsibility: A Case for a Proposed Model in Practice. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 144(1), 85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2805-z>
- Etzioni, A. (1975). *Comparative analysis of complex organizations*, rev. Simon and Schuster.
- Evans, K., Monterroso, I., Ombogoh, D. B., Liswanti, N., Tamara, A., Mariño, H., Sarmiento Barletti, J. P., & Larson, A. M. (2021). *Getting it right, a guide to improve inclusion in multistakeholder forums*. Center for International Forestry Research.
- Fatem, S. M., Awang, S. A., Pudiatmoko, S., Sahide, M. A. K., Pratama, A. A., & Maryudi, A. (2018). Camouflaging economic development agendas with forest conservation narratives: A strategy of lower governments for gaining authority in the re-centralising Indonesia. *Land Use Policy*, 78, 699–710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.07.018>
- Fischer, F., & Miller, G. J. (2017). Handbook of public policy analysis: Theory, politics, and methods. In *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis: Theory, Politics, and Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315093192>
- Fortin, E. (2017). Repoliticising multi-stakeholder standards processes: the Roundtable on Sustainable Biomaterials’ standards and certification scheme. *Journal of Peasant Studies*, 45(4), 805–824. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03066150.2017.1285286>
- Fowler, A., & Biekart, K. (2017). Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives for Sustainable Development Goals: The Importance of Interlocutors. *Public Administration and Development*, 37(2), 81–93. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pad.1795>
- Fransen, L. W., & Kolk, A. (2007). Global rule-setting for business: A critical analysis of multi-stakeholder standards. *Organization*, 14(5), 667–684.



- Freeman, L. C. (2004). The development of social network analysis. In *Document Design*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2005.01.010>
- Freeman, R. E. (2010). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Cambridge university press.
- Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., & Zyglidopoulos, S. (2018). *Stakeholder theory: Concepts and strategies*. Cambridge University Press.
- Friedman, A. L., & Miles, S. (2002). Developing stakeholder theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, 39(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00280>
- Gasper, D. (2018). Policy Evaluation: From Managerialism and Econocracy to a Governance Perspective. In *International Development Governance*.  
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315092577-37>
- Gibson, K. (2000). The moral basis of stakeholder theory. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 245–257.
- Giessen, L., & Böcher, M. (2009). Rural Governance, forestry, and the promotion of local knowledge: The case of the German rural development program ‘Active Regions.’ *Small-Scale Forestry*, 8(2), 211–230.
- Giessen, L., & Buttoud, G. (2014). Defining and assessing forest governance. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 49, 1–3. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2014.11.009>
- Gollagher, M., & Hartz-Karp, J. (2013). The Role of Deliberative Collaborative Governance in Achieving Sustainable Cities. *Sustainability*, 5, 2343–2366.  
<https://doi.org/10.3390/su5062343>
- Goodstein, J., Blair-Loy, M., & Wharton, A. S. (2009). Organization-based legitimacy: Core ideologies and moral action. In *Meaning and Method: The Cultural Approach to Sociology* (pp. 44–62). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315633503>
- Gordon, R., Kornberger, M., & Clegg, S. R. (2009). Power, rationality and legitimacy in public organizations. *Public Administration*, 87(1), 15–34. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2008.01743.x>
- Hai, D. . (2013). Process of Public Policy Formulation in Developing Countries. *Public Policy*.
- Harvey, B., & Schaefer, A. (2001). Managing relationships with environmental stakeholders: A study of U.K. water and electricity utilities. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 30(3), 243–260.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1006356928957>
- Hasyim, Z., Laraswati, D., Purwanto, R. H., Pratama, A. A., & Maryudi, A. (2020). Challenges facing independent monitoring networks in the Indonesian timber legality assurance system. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 111, 102025.  
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.102025>
- Hemmati, M. (2012). *Multi-stakeholder processes for governance and sustainability: beyond deadlock and conflict*. Routledge.
- Hendriks, C. M. (2009). Deliberative governance in the context of power. *Policy and Society*, 28(3), 173–184. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.polsoc.2009.08.004>
- Huml, M. R., Hambrick, M. E., Hums, M. A., & Nite, C. (2018). It’s powerful, legitimate, and urgent, but is it equitable? Stakeholder claims within the attributes of stakeholder salience in sport. *Journal of Sport Management*, 32(3), 243–256.
- Humphreys, D., Cashore, B., Visseren-Hamakers, I. J., Jong, W. De, McGinley, K., Denvir, A., Torres, P. C., & Lupberger, S. (2017). Towards Durable Multistakeholder-Generated Solutions: The Pilot Application of a Problem-Oriented Policy Learning Protocol to Legality Verification and Community Rights in Peru. *International Forestry Review*, 19(3), 278–293.  
<https://doi.org/10.1505/146554817821865018>

- Hussein, A. (2009). The use of Triangulation in Social Sciences Research: Can qualitative and quantitative methods be combined? *Journal of Comparative Social Work*.
- Islam, K. K., Jose, S., Tani, M., Hyakumura, K., Krott, M., & Sato, N. (2015). Does actor power impede outcomes in participatory agroforestry approach? Evidence from Sal forests area, Bangladesh. *Agroforestry Systems*, 89(5), 885–899. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-015-9822-x>
- Islam, K. K., Kimihiko, H., Tani, M., Krott, M., & Sato, N. (2014). Actors' Power, Livelihood Assets and Participatory Forestry in Bangladesh: Evidence from the Sal Forests Area. *Open Journal of Forestry*, 04(05), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojf.2014.45b001>
- Janoski, T., Alford, R. R., Hicks, A. M., & Schwartz, M. A. (2005). *The handbook of political sociology: States, civil societies, and globalization*. Cambridge University Press.
- Jastram, S., & Schneider, A.-M. (2015). Sustainable fashion governance at the example of the partnership for sustainable textiles. *Uwf UmweltWirtschaftsForum*, 23(4), 205–212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00550-015-0377-0>
- Jawahar, I. M., & McLaughlin, G. L. (2001). Toward a descriptive stakeholder theory: An organizational life cycle approach. *Academy of Management Review*, 26(3), 397–414.
- Jerbi, S. (2012). Assessing the roles of multi-stakeholder initiatives in advancing the business and human rights agenda. *International Review of the Red Cross*, 94(887), 1027–1046. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1816383113000398>
- Jordan, A. J., & Turnpenny, J. R. (2015). The tools of policy formulation: Actors, capacities, venues and effects. In *The Tools of Policy Formulation: Actors, Capacities, Venues and Effects*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781783477043>
- Joslin, R., & Müller, R. (2016). Identifying interesting project phenomena using philosophical and methodological triangulation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 34(6), 1043–1056.
- Juniyanti, L., Purnomo, H., Kartodihardjo, H., Prasetyo, L. B., Suryadi, & Pambudi, E. (2021). Powerful actors and their networks in land use contestation for oil palm and industrial tree plantations in Riau. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 129(April 2020), 102512. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2021.102512>
- Kähkönen, A. K. (2014). The influence of power position on the depth of collaboration. *Supply Chain Management*, 19(1), 17–30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-03-2013-0079>
- Kaisa, K.-K., Maria, B., Efrian, M., Sirkku, J., Moira, M., Cynthia, M., & Bimo, D. (2017). Analyzing REDD+ as an experiment of transformative climate governance: Insights from Indonesia. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 73, 61–70. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2017.03.014>
- Kapiriri, L., & Razavi, S. D. (2021). Salient stakeholders: Using the salience stakeholder model to assess stakeholders' influence in healthcare priority setting. *Health Policy OPEN*, 2, 100048.
- Khan, A. (2016). *Policy Implementation: Some Aspect and Issues*.
- Khurram, S., Khurram, A., & Memon, M. A. (2019). Stakeholder salience and collaboration decisions in microfinance organizations: Evidence from developing Islamic country's context. *Strategic Change*, 28(6), 479–497. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2300>
- Kleinschmit, D., Böcher, M., & Giessen, L. (2016). Forest Policy Analysis: Advancing the analytical approach. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 68, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2016.05.001>
- Knapp, C. N., Reid, R. S., Fernández-Giménez, M. E., Klein, J. A., & Galvin, K. A. (2019). Placing transdisciplinarity in context: A review of approaches to connect scholars, society and action.

*Sustainability*, 11(18), 4899.

- Köhne, M. (2014). Multi-stakeholder initiative governance as assemblage: Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil as a political resource in land conflicts related to oil palm plantations. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 31(3), 469–480. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10460-014-9507-5>
- Krott, M. (2000). *Policies for sustainable forestry in Belarus, Russia, and Ukraine* (Vol. 9). Brill.
- Krott, M. (2005). Forest policy analysis. In *Forest Policy Analysis*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3485-7>
- Krott, M. (2021). Policies for Sustainable Forestry in Belarus, Russia and Ukraine. In *Policies for Sustainable Forestry in Belarus, Russia and Ukraine* (Vol. 9). Brill. <https://doi.org/10.1163/9789004476608>
- Krott, M., Bader, A., Schusser, C., Devkota, R., Maryudi, A., Giessen, L., & Aurenhammer, H. (2014). Actor-centred power: The driving force in decentralised community based forest governance. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 49, 34–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2013.04.012>
- Krott, M., & Hasanagas, N. D. (2006). Measuring bridges between sectors: Causative evaluation of cross-sectorality. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 8(5), 555–563. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2005.07.004>
- Kujala, J., Lehtimäki, H., & Freeman, R. E. (2019). A Stakeholder Approach to Value Creation and Leadership Takeaways for Leading Change. *Leading Change in a Complex World: Transdisciplinary Perspectives*, March, 1–21.
- Kull, A. J., Mena, J. A., & Korschun, D. (2016). A resource-based view of stakeholder marketing. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5553–5560. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.03.063>
- Laraswati, D., Krott, M., Sahide, M. A. K., Soraya, E., Pratama, A. A., Rahayu, S., Giessen, L., & Maryudi, A. (2021). Representation-Influence Framework (RIF) for analyzing the roles of organized interest groups (OIGs) in environmental governance. *MethodsX*, 8, 101335. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2021.101335>
- Laraswati, D., Krott, M., Soraya, E., Rahayu, S., Fisher, M. R., Giessen, L., & Maryudi, A. (2022). Nongovernmental organizations as interest groups and their roles in policy processes: Insights from Indonesian forest and environmental governance. *Forest and Society*, 6(2), 570–589. <https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v6i2.19125>
- Lukes, S. (1974). *Power: A Radical View* (London: British Sociological Association).
- Lundsgaarde, E. (2017). Business Motives in Global Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives: Exploring Corporate Participation in Sustainable Energy for All. *Global Policy*, 8(4), 464–473. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.12473>
- MacFarlane, A., & O'Reilly-de Brún, M. (2011). Using a Theory-Driven Conceptual Framework in Qualitative Health Research. *Qualitative Health Research*, 22(5), 607–618. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732311431898>
- Machek, D. (2018). *The role of Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives in Swedish apparel brands ' Sustainable Supply Chain Management Exploring the cases of: Sustainable Apparel Coalition ( SAC ), Sweden Textile Water Initiative ( STWI ) and Textile Exchange ( TE )* Dominika Machek. September.
- Madimutsa, C. (2008). *The Policy Formulation Process*.
- Magness, V. (2008). Who are the stakeholders now? An empirical examination of the Mitchell, Agle, and Wood theory of stakeholder salience. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83, 177–192.
- Marion Suiseeya, K. R., & Zanotti, L. (2019). Making influence visible: innovating ethnography

- at the paris climate summit. *Global Environmental Politics*, 19(2), 38–60.
- Marshall, S. J. (2018). Internal and External Stakeholders in Higher Education. *Shaping the University of the Future*, 77–102. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7620-6\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-7620-6_4)
- Martens, D., Gansemans, A., Orbie, J., & D&#039;Haese, M. (2018). Trade Unions in Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives: What Shapes Their Participation? In *Sustainability* (Vol. 10, Issue 11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10114295>
- Martens, K. (2002). Mission impossible? Defining nongovernmental organizations. *Voluntas*, 13(3), 271–285. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020341526691>
- Maryudi, A. (2011). *The Contesting Aspirations in the Forests : Actors , Interests and Power in Community Forestry in Java , Indonesia* Ahmad Maryudi *The Contesting Aspirations in the Forests Actors , Interests and Power in Community Forestry in Java , Indonesia* (Issue January 2011).
- Maryudi, A. (2016). Choosing timber legality verification as a policy instrument to combat illegal logging in Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.10.010>
- Maryudi, A. (2017). The contesting aspirations in the forests. In *The contesting aspirations in the forests*. <https://doi.org/10.17875/gup2011-282>
- Maryudi, A. (2018). *The Contesting Aspirations in the Forests : Actors , Interests and Power in Community Forestry in Java , Indonesia* Ahmad Maryudi *The Contesting Aspirations in the Forests Actors , Interests and Power in Community Forestry in Java , Indonesia* (Issue January 2011).
- Maryudi, A., Citraningtyas, E. R., Purwanto, R. H., Sadono, R., Suryanto, P., Riyanto, S., & Siswoko, B. D. (2016). The emerging power of peasant farmers in the tenurial conflicts over the uses of state forestland in Central Java, Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.09.005>
- Maryudi, A., & Fisher, M. (2020). The power in the interview: A practical guide for identifying the critical role of actor interests in environment research. *Forest and Society*, 4(1), 142–150. <https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v4i1.9132>
- Maryudi, A., Nurrochmat, D. R., & Giessen, L. (2018). Research trend: Forest policy and governance – Future analyses in multiple social science disciplines. In *Forest Policy and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.02.007>
- Maryudi, A., & Sahide, M. A. K. (2017). Research trend: Power analyses in polycentric and multi-level forest governance. In *Forest Policy and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.05.003>
- McCarthy, J. F. (2012). Certifying in Contested Spaces: private regulation in Indonesian forestry and palm oil. *Third World Quarterly*, 33(10), 1871–1888. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2012.729721>
- McConnell, A., & 't Hart, P. (2019). Inaction and public policy: understanding why policymakers ‘do nothing.’ *Policy Sciences*, 52(4), 645–661. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11077-019-09362-2>
- McDonald, S. L., & Rigling Gallagher, D. (2015). A story about people and porpoises: consensus-based decision making in the shadow of political action. *Environmental Management*, 56(4), 814–821.
- Mcgee, R., Gaventa, J., Barrett, G., Calland, R., Carlitz, R., Joshi, A., Acosta, A. M., Brockmyer, B., & Fox, J. (2015). *Synthesis report Impact and effectiveness of transparency and accountability initiatives Assessing the Evidence: The Effectiveness and Impact of Public Governance-Oriented Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives*.



- Meehan, F., Tacconi, L., & Budiningsih, K. (2019). Are national commitments to reducing emissions from forests effective? Lessons from Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 108, 101968. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.101968>
- Mena, S., & Palazzo, G. (2012). Input and Output Legitimacy of Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 22(3), 527–556. <https://doi.org/10.5840/beq201222333>
- Migdal, J. (1988). *Strong States and Weak Societies: State-Society Relations and State Capabilities in the Third World*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Millner, N., Peñagaricano, I., Fernandez, M., & Snook, L. K. (2020). The politics of participation: Negotiating relationships through community forestry in the Maya Biosphere Reserve, Guatemala. *World Development*, 127, 104743. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2019.104743>
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853–886. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1997.9711022105>
- Mitchell, R. K., Lee, J. H., & Agle, B. R. (2017). Stakeholder Prioritization Work: The Role of Stakeholder Salience in Stakeholder Research. In *Stakeholder management* (pp. 123–157). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/s2514-175920170000006>
- Momen, M. N. (2021). *Multi-stakeholder Partnerships in Public Policy*. October, 768–776. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95963-4\\_50](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95963-4_50)
- Moog, S., Spicer, A., & Böhm, S. (2015). The Politics of Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives: The Crisis of the Forest Stewardship Council. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 128(3), 469–493. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-2033-3>
- Movuh, M. C. Y., & Schusser, C. (2012). Power, the Hidden Factor in Development Cooperation. An Example of Community Forestry in Cameroon. *Open Journal of Forestry*, 02(04), 240–251. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojf.2012.24030>
- Muttaqin, T., & Dharmawan, B. (2023). Assessing Organizational Legitimacy of Multi Stakeholder Initiatives in the Forest Governance Policy in Indonesia: Insights from the Indonesian National Forestry Council. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, 14(3), 716–728. [https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.14.3\(67\).11](https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.14.3(67).11)
- Muttaqin, T., Soraya, E., Dharmawan, B., Laraswati, D., & Maryudi, A. (2023). Asymmetric power relations in multistakeholder initiatives: Insights from the government-instituted Indonesian National Forestry Council. *Trees, Forests and People*, 12, 100406. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2023.100406>
- Myllykangas, P., Kujala, J., & Lehtimäki, H. (2010). Analyzing the essence of stakeholder relationships: What do we need in addition to power, legitimacy, and urgency? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 96, 65–72. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0945-3>
- Neill, J. D., & Stovall, O. S. (2005). Stakeholder salience and corporate social responsibility: Evidence from three companies. *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)*, 21(3).
- Neville, B. A., & Menguc, B. (2006). Stakeholder multiplicity: Toward an understanding of the interactions between stakeholders. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 66, 377–391.
- Newig, J. (2007). Symbolic environmental legislation and societal self-deception. *Environmental Politics*, 16(2), 276–296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09644010701211783>
- Ngatikoh, S., Kumorotomo, W., & Retnandari, N. D. (2020). *Transparency in Government: A Review on the Failures of Corruption Prevention in Indonesia*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aebmr.k.200301.010>
- Pajunen, K. (2006). Living in agreement with a contract: The management of moral and viable

- firm–stakeholder relationships. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 68, 243–258.
- Parent, M. M., & Deephouse, D. L. (2007). A case study of stakeholder identification and prioritization by managers. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 75, 1–23.
- Patton, M. Q. (1990). *Qualitative evaluation and research methods*. SAGE Publications, inc.
- Prabowo, D., Maryudi, A., Imron, M. A., & Senawi. (2016). Enhancing the application of Krott et al.'s (2014) Actor-Centred Power (ACP): The importance of understanding the effect of changes in polity for the measurement of power dynamics over time. *Forest Policy and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.10.006>
- Prabowo, D., Maryudi, A., Senawi, & Imron, M. A. (2017). Conversion of forests into oil palm plantations in West Kalimantan, Indonesia: Insights from actors' power and its dynamics. *Forest Policy and Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.01.004>
- Purnomo, H., Achdiawan, R., Shantiko, B., Amin, S. M., Irawati, R. H., & Wardell, D. A. (2016). Multi-stakeholder processes to strengthen policies for small and medium-scale forestry enterprises in Indonesia. *International Forestry Review*, 18(4), 485–501.
- Raha, A., Hajdini, I., & Windsperger, J. (2021). A multilateral stakeholder salience approach: An extension of the stakeholder identification and salience framework. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 97, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2021.06.008>
- Rahayu, S., Laraswati, D., Pratama, A. A., Sahide, M. A. K., Permadi, D. B., Wibowo, W., Widyaningsih, T. S., Suprpto, E., Andayani, W., & Maryudi, A. (2020). Bureaucratizing non-government organizations as governmental forest extension services in social forestry policy in Indonesia. *Forests, Trees and Livelihoods*, 29(2), 119–129. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2020.1753585>
- Ramlan, S. (2005). *Memahami ilmu politik*. Grasindo.
- Rasche, A. (2010). Collaborative Governance 2.0. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 10(4), 500–511.
- Rasche, A. (2012). Global Policies and Local Practice: Loose and Tight Couplings in Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives. *Academy of Management Proceedings*, 2012(1), 10150. <https://doi.org/10.5465/ambpp.2012.10150abstract>
- Rebugio, L. L., & Camacho, L. D. (2003). Reorienting forestry education to sustainable forest management. *A Paper Submitted to the XII World Forestry Congress*.
- Richards, M., & Hobley, M. (2016). Empowering civil society in forest policies and governance: livelihood impact assessment of EU FLEGT Voluntary Partnership Agreements. *International Forestry Review*, 18(3), 345–356.
- Roloff, J. (2008a). Learning from multi-stakeholder networks: Issue-focussed stakeholder management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82(1), 233–250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9573-3>
- Roloff, J. (2008b). Learning from Multi-Stakeholder Networks: Issue-Focussed Stakeholder Management. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 82(1), 233–250. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9573-3>
- Rowley, T. I., & Moldoveanu, M. (2003). When will stakeholder groups act? An interest-and identity-based model of stakeholder group mobilization. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(2), 204–219.
- Rowley, T. J. (1997). Moving beyond dyadic ties: A network theory of stakeholder influences. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 887–910.
- Saffer, A. J., Yang, A., & Taylor, M. (2017). Reconsidering Power in Multistakeholder Relationship Management. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 32(1), 121–139.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/0893318917700510>

- Salancik, G. R., & Pfeffer, J. (1974). The bases and use of power in organizational decision making: The case of a university. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 453–473.
- Satyal, P. (2018). Civil society participation in REDD+ and FLEGT processes: Case study analysis from Cameroon, Ghana, Liberia and the Republic of Congo. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 97, 83–96. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.09.012>
- Scarlett, L. (2013). Collaborative adaptive management: challenges and opportunities. *Ecology and Society*, 18(3).
- Schusser, C. (2012). Community forestry: a Namibian case study. In G. Broekhoven, H. Savanije, & S. von Schelia (Eds.), *Moving Forward with Forest Governance* (Issue December, pp. 213–221). Tropenbos International.
- Schusser, C. (2013). Who determines biodiversity? An analysis of actors' power and interests in community forestry in Namibia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 36, 42–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2012.06.005>
- Schuster, D., & Mossig, I. (2022). Power Relations in Multistakeholder Initiatives—A Case Study of the German Initiative on Sustainable Cocoa (GISCO). In *Sustainability* (Vol. 14, Issue 18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811279>
- Shackleton, C. M., Shackleton, S. E., Buiten, E., & Bird, N. (2007). The importance of dry woodlands and forests in rural livelihoods and poverty alleviation in South Africa. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 9(5), 558–577.
- Shafique, K., & Gabriel, C. A. (2022). Vulnerable Stakeholders' Engagement: Advancing Stakeholder Theory with New Attribute and Salience Framework. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(18), 11765. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su141811765>
- Siahaan, V. R. (2017). Multi-stakeholder Initiative for Sustainable Development: An English School Perspective. *Jurnal Sosial Politik*, 2(1), 156. <https://doi.org/10.22219/sospol.v2i1.4763>
- Sidney, M. S. (2017). Policy formulation: Design and tools. In *Handbook of Public Policy Analysis: Theory, Politics, and Methods*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315093192-13>
- Simmons, B. A., Marcos-Martinez, R., Law, E. A., Bryan, B. A., & Wilson, K. A. (2018). Frequent policy uncertainty can negate the benefits of forest conservation policy. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 89, 401–411. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.09.011>
- Sirimorok, N., & Rusdianto, E. (2020). The Importance of Being Political: Emergence of a Multi-stakeholder Forum at the Lake Malili Complex, South Sulawesi. *Forest and Society*, 4(1), 98–114.
- Siry, J. P., Cabbage, F. W., & Ahmed, M. R. (2005). Sustainable forest management: global trends and opportunities. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 7(4), 551–561. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2003.09.003>
- Sloan, P., & Oliver, D. (2013). Building Trust in Multi-stakeholder Partnerships: Critical Emotional Incidents and Practices of Engagement. *Organization Studies*, 34(12), 1835–1868. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840613495018>
- Smith, M. (1992). *Pressure, Power and Policy: Power Networks and State Autonomy in Britain and the United States*. Harvester, Wheatsheaf.
- Strand, R., & Freeman, R. E. (2015). Scandinavian cooperative advantage: The theory and practice of stakeholder engagement in Scandinavia. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 127, 65–85.
- Subarsono, A. G. (2012). *Analisis kebijakan publik: konsep, teori dan aplikasi*.
- Suddaby, R., Bitektine, A., & Haack, P. (2017). Legitimacy. *Academy of Management Annals*,

- Suprpto, S., Awang, S. A., Maryudi, A., & Wardhana, W. (2018). Kontestasi Aktor dalam Proses Revisi Rencana Tata Ruang Provinsi (RTRWP) di Indonesia (Studi Kasus: Revisi RTRW Provinsi Riau). *Jurnal Wilayah Dan Lingkungan*, 6(3), 193. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jwl.6.3.193-214>
- Suprpto, S., Awang, S., Fisher, M., Sahide, M., & Maryudi, A. (2023). Pulp Fiction: National Interest, Regional Politics, and the Agglomeration of Industrial Tree Plantations in Indonesia. *Journal of Tropical Forest Science*, 35, 27–41. <https://doi.org/10.26525/jtfs2023.35S.SI.27>
- Svendsen, A. C., & Laberge, M. (2005). Convening stakeholder networks: A new way of thinking, being and engaging. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 19(19), 91–104.
- Tallberg, J., & Zürn, M. (2019). The legitimacy and legitimation of international organizations: introduction and framework. In *Review of International Organizations* (Vol. 14, Issue 4, pp. 581–606). Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11558-018-9330-7>
- Tanimoto, K. (2019). Do multi-stakeholder initiatives make for better CSR? *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 19(4), 704–716. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-08-2018-0267>
- Tovar, J. G., Larson, A. M., Barletti, J. P., & Barnes, G. (2021). Politics and power in territorial planning: insights from two 'Ecological-Economic Zoning' multi-stakeholder processes in the Brazilian Amazon. *International Forestry Review*, 23(1), 59–75.
- Utting, P. (2002). Regulating business via multistakeholder initiatives: A preliminary assessment. *Voluntary Approaches to Corporate Responsibility: Readings and a Resource Guide*, 61130.
- Vallejo, N., & Hauselmann, P. (2004). Governance and Multi- stakeholder Processes. *International Institute for Sustainable Development*, May, 28.
- Van Den Hove, S. (2006). Between consensus and compromise: acknowledging the negotiation dimension in participatory approaches. *Land Use Policy*, 23(1), 10–17.
- van Huijstee, M. (2012). Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives: A Strategic Guide for Civil Society Organizations. In *SSRN Electronic Journal*. SOMO Amsterdam. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2117933>
- Van Huijstee, M. (2021). Multistakeholder Initiatives. *A Strategic Guide for Civil Society Organizations*, 768–768. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95963-4\\_300111](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95963-4_300111)
- Van Meter, D. S., & Van Horn, C. E. (1975). The Policy Implementation Process: A Conceptual Framework. *Administration & Society*, 6(4), 445–488. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009539977500600404>
- Vogel, D. (2008). Private global business regulation. *Annual Review of Political Science*, 11(June 2008), 261–282. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.polisci.11.053106.141706>
- Wahab, S. A. (2008). *Pengantar analisis kebijakan publik*. Malang: UMM press.
- Walliman, N. (2011). Social Research Methods. In *Social Research Methods*. Oxford university press. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849209939>
- Weber, M. (1947). The Theory of Social and Economic Organizations, ed. *Parsons, T., Trans.*
- Wever, L., Glaser, M., Gorris, P., & Ferrol-Schulte, D. (2012). Decentralization and participation in integrated coastal management: Policy lessons from Brazil and Indonesia. *Ocean & Coastal Management*, 66, 63–72. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2012.05.001>
- Wibowo, A., & Giessen, L. (2015a). Absolute and relative power gains among state agencies in forest-related land use politics: The Ministry of Forestry and its competitors in the REDD+ Programme and the One Map Policy in Indonesia. *Land Use Policy*, 49, 131–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.07.018>



- Wibowo, A., & Giessen, L. (2015b). Actor positions on primary and secondary international forest-related issues relevant in Indonesia. *Journal of Sustainable Development*, 8(3), 10–27. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jsd.v8n3p10>
- Wibowo, A., Pratiwi, S., & Giessen, L. (2019). Comparing management schemes for forest certification and timber-legality verification: Complementary or competitive in Indonesia? *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 38(1), 68–84. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10549811.2018.1498359>
- Wong, K. (2010). Environmental awareness, governance and public participation: public perception perspectives. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 67(2), 169–181.
- Wong, S. (2014). A Power Game of Multi-Stakeholder Initiatives. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*, 2014(55), 26–39. <https://doi.org/10.9774/gleaf.4700.2014.se.00006>
- Yami, M., Barletti, J. P. S., & Larson, A. M. (2021). Can multi-stakeholder forums influence good governance in communal forest management? Lessons from two case studies in Ethiopia. *International Forestry Review*, 23(1), 24–42. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554821833466040>
- Yanacopulos, H. (2005). The strategies that bind: NGO coalitions and their influence. *Global Networks*, 5(1), 93–110.
- Yusran, Y., Sahide, M. A. K., Supratman, S., Sabar, A., Krott, M., & Giessen, L. (2017). The empirical visibility of land use conflicts: From latent to manifest conflict through law enforcement in a national park in Indonesia. *Land Use Policy*, 62, 302–315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2016.12.033>