

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
I. INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background	1
B. Research Questions	8
C. Objective of the Research	9
D. Guarantee of Authenticity	9
E. Benefits of Study	13
II. THEORETICAL REVIEW	15
A. General overview of identity theft	15
B. General overview of the laws that regulate identity theft in Indonesia	17
1. Article 35 of ITE Law	17
2. Article 67 of DP Law	19
C. General overview of restorative justice	22
1. Definition of restorative justice	22
2. Restoration for victims under Indonesian law	24
III. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	33
A. Method of Research	33
B. Type of Data	35
C. Data Collection Method	36
D. Data Analysis	37
E. Research Limitations	37

IV. RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS	38
A. The Regulations on Identity Theft: A Comparative Study	38
1. A Comparison of Japanese and American Law on Identity Theft	38
a. Regulation on identity theft in Japan	39
b. Regulation on identity theft in USA	42
2. Critical analysis that based on the comparison above, there is not a law yet that regulate on restoration rights	47
B. How the future regulation should be on identity theft in Indonesia	49
1. Discussion of Indonesian case law: Ternate State Court decision number 51/PID.SUS/2021/PN TTE	49
2. What Indonesia lacks in their current legal framework	52
3. Restoration rights for victims of identity theft	54
4. What can we learn from Japan and US	61
5. How it can be regulated in the future	62
 V. CLOSURE	 64
A. Conclusion	64
B. Suggestions	66
 BIBLIOGRAPHY	 68