



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-------------|
| <i>Endorsment page</i> | <i>iii</i> |
| <i>Anti-plagiarism statement</i> | <i>iv</i> |
| <i>Executive summary</i> | <i>v</i> |
| <i>Table of contents</i> | <i>viii</i> |
| <i>List of tables</i> | <i>x</i> |
| <i>List of appendices</i> | <i>xi</i> |
| <i>Abstract</i> | <i>xii</i> |
| <i>Intisari</i> | <i>xiii</i> |
| <i>Chapter 1: Introduction</i> | <i>I</i> |
| 1.1. Problem statement | 1 |
| 1.2. Relevance of the Study | 2 |
| 1.3. Research questions | 3 |
| <i>Chapter 2. Literature Review</i> | 5 |
| 2.1. Overview of the skin care market | 5 |
| 2.2. Consumer decision process | 6 |
| 2.3. Multi-attribute utility theory (MAUT) | 8 |
| 2.4. Generation Z characteristics and consumption behavior | 8 |
| 2.5. Conceptual Framework & Hypotheses | 10 |
| <i>Chapter 3. Research methodology</i> | 13 |
| 3.1. Quantitative research | 13 |
| 3.2. Data collection method | 13 |
| 3.3. Survey design and measures | 14 |
| 3.4. Pilot testing | 16 |
| <i>Chapter 4. Results</i> | 17 |
| 4.1. Demographic | 17 |
| 4.2. Reliability analysis of scale items | 18 |
| 4.3. Linear regression (OLS) model | 18 |
| 4.4. Classical assumptions tests of OLS model | 19 |
| 4.4.1. Normality test | 19 |
| 4.4.2. Homoscedasticity test | 20 |
| 4.4.3. Non-multicollinearity test | 21 |
| 4.4.4. Non-autocorrelation test | 21 |
| 4.5. F-test | 21 |
| 4.6. T-test | 22 |



| | |
|--|----|
| 4.7. Coefficient of determination | 22 |
| 4.8. Linear regression model with moderation | 22 |
| <i>Chapter 5. Results and Discussion</i> | 24 |
| 5.1. The relationship between price and Generation Z's purchase decision on skin care product | 24 |
| 5.2. The relationship between perceived quality and Generation Z's purchase decision on skin care product | 24 |
| 5.3. The relationship between perceived packaging attractiveness and Generation Z's purchase decision on skin care product | 25 |
| 5.4. The effects of perceived brand reputation and Generation Z's purchase decision on skin care product | 25 |
| 5.5. The effects of utilitarian attributes vs. hedonic attributes | 26 |
| 5.6. Moderation effect of gender | 27 |
| 5.7. Conclusion and Implication | 27 |
| 5.8. Limitations | 29 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 31 |
| <i>Appendices</i> | 36 |