

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT	ii
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	vii
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER I.....	1
INTRODUCTION.....	1
<i>A. Background</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>B. Research Questions</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>C. Research Objective</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>D. Originality of Research</i>	<i>10</i>
<i>E. Benefit of Study</i>	<i>14</i>
CHAPTER II	15
THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK	15
<i>A. Right to Fair Trial</i>	<i>15</i>
1. Right to Fair Trial under International legal framework.....	15
2. Right to Fair Trial under Indonesian legal framework.....	17
<i>B. General Overview of the Pretrial System</i>	<i>20</i>
1. Definition of Pretrial	20
2. The Objective of Pretrial	21
3. The Scope of Pretrial	23
4. Pretrial Petitioner.....	29
5. Procedures for Pretrial Examination	30
6. The Failure to Conduct Pretrial	33
7. The Legal Force of the Decision of Constitutional Court.....	34
CHAPTER III	38
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	38
<i>A. Characteristic of Research</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>B. Types of Data</i>	<i>39</i>
<i>C. Data Collection Method</i>	<i>41</i>
<i>D. Scope and Research Subject</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>E. Research Process.....</i>	<i>42</i>

<i>F. Data Analysis</i>	44
CHAPTER IV	46
ANALYSIS	46
<i>A. The Implication of Constitutional Court Decision Number 21/PUU-XII/2014 on the Expansion of Pretrial on the Right to Fair Trial</i>	46
1. Positive Implications	49
2. Negative Implications	53
<i>B. The Scope of Pretrial in the Bill of CPC</i>	59
1. The Differences between the Current CPC and the Bill of CPC	60
2. Accommodation of Negative Implications from The Expansion of Pretrial Object	79
CHAPTER V	82
CLOSURE	82
<i>A. Conclusion</i>	82
<i>B. Recommendations</i>	83
BIBLIOGRAPHY	84

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of implications from the expansion of pretrial objects.....	49
Table 2. The brief differences between the Current CPC and the Bill of CPC.....	60
Table 3. Reflection of ICCPR’s minimum guarantee in the bill of CPC.....	78