

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Agrawal, A., Nepstad, D., & Chhatre, A. (2011). Reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 36, 373–396. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-environ-042009-094508>
- Airey, S., & Krause, T. (2017). “Georgetown ain’t got a tree. We got the trees”-Amerindian power & participation in Guyana’s low carbon development strategy. *Forests*, 8(3), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f8030051>
- Arnstein, S. R. (1969). A Ladder Of Citizen Participation. *Journal of the American Institute of Planners*, 35(4), 216–224. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01944366908977225>
- Asia Pacific Forum of National Human Rights Institutions, & United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2013). *The United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples: A Manual for National Human Rights Institutions* (Issue 5511). <http://www.ohchr.org/Documents/Issues/IPeoples/UNDRIPManualForNHRIs.pdf>
- Atela, J. O., Quinn, C. H., Minang, P. A., & Duguma, L. A. (2015). Implementing REDD+ in view of integrated conservation and development projects: Leveraging empirical lessons. *Land Use Policy*, 48, 329–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.06.011>
- Aurora, L. (2015). *Sistem Informasi Safeguards REDD+ di Indonesia: Menuju operasionalisasi SIS-REDD+*. Direktorat Jenderal Pengendalian Perubahan Iklim Kementerian Lingkungan Hidup dan Kehutanan.
- Awono, A., Somorin, O. A., Eba’a Atyi, R., & Levang, P. (2014). Tenure and participation in local REDD+ projects: Insights from southern Cameroon. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 35, 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2013.01.017>
- Awung, N. S., & Marchant, R. (2016). Investigating the role of the local community as co-managers of the Mount Cameroon national park conservation project. *Environments - MDPI*, 3(4), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/environments3040036>
- Awung, N. S., & Marchant, R. (2018). Quantifying local community voices in the decision-making process: insights from the Mount Cameroon National Park REDD+ project. *Environmental Sociology*, 4(2), 235–252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23251042.2017.1363144>
- Bauer, T. (2022). Adjustments in Indigenous peoples’ forest use and management in the context of climate change: a global systematic literature review. *International Forestry Review*, 24(3), 269–297. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1505/146554822835941913>
- Bayrak, M. M., & Marafa, L. M. (2016). Ten years of REDD+: A critical review of the impact of REDD+ on forest-dependent communities. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 8(7), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su8070620>
- Bayrak, M. M., & Marafa, L. M. (2020). REDD+ as a Vehicle for Community-Based Forest Management? Critical Insights from Vietnam. *Small-Scale Forestry*, 19(1), 57–81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11842-020-09432-x>
- Beaudoin, G., Rafanoharana, S., Boissière, M., Wijaya, A., & Wardhana, W. (2016). Completing the picture: Importance of considering participatory mapping for REDD+ Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV). *PLoS ONE*, 11(12), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166592>
- Bellfield, H., Sabogal, D., Goodman, L., & Leggett, M. (2015). Case study report: Community-based monitoring systems for REDD+ in Guyana. *Forests*, 6(1), 133–156. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f6010133>
- Blackman, A. (2015). Strict versus mixed-use protected areas: Guatemala’s Maya Biosphere Reserve. *Ecological Economics*, 112, 14–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2015.01.009>
- Boer, H. J. (2019). Deliberative engagement and REDD+ in Indonesia. *Geoforum*, 104(May), 170–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2019.04.025>
- Bong, I. W., Felker, M. E., & Maryudi, A. (2016). How are local people driving and affected by forest cover change? Opportunities for local participation in REDD+ Measurement, reporting and verification. *PLoS ONE*, 11(11), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0145330>
- Bourgoin, J., Castella, J.-C., & Bourgoin, A. (2011). “PLUP Fiction”: Landscape simulation for participatory land use planning in northern Lao PDR. *Mountain Research and Development*, 31(2), 78–88. <https://doi.org/10.1659/MRD-JOURNAL-D-10-00129.1>
- Bouththavong, S., Hyakumura, K., & Ehara, M. (2017). Stakeholder participation in REDD+ readiness activities for three collaborative projects in Lao PDR. *Forests*, 8(5), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f8050150>
- Brancalion, P., Chan, K. M. A., Dubertret, F., Hendry, A., Liu, J., Martin, A., López, B. M., Midgley, G. F., Obura, D., Oliver, T., Scheffran, J., Seppelt, R., Strassburg, B., Spangenberg, J. H., Stenseke, M., Turnhout, E., Williams, M. J., & Zayas, C. (2019). Chapter 1: Assessing a planet in transformation: Rationale and Approach of the IPBES Global Assessment on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Service. In E. S. Brondízio, S. Díaz, & J. Settele (Eds.), *Global Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services* (pp. 1–48). IPBES secretariat.

- <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3831852>
- Burnette, C. E., & Figley, C. R. (2017). Historical Oppression, Resilience, and Transcendence: Can a Holistic Framework Help Explain Violence Experienced by Indigenous People? *Social Work*, 62(1), 37–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sw/sww065>
- Butt, N., Epps, K., Overman, H., Iwamura, T., & Fragoso, J. M. V. (2015). Assessing carbon stocks using indigenous peoples' field measurements in Amazonian Guyana. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 338, 191–199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.014>
- Carpentier, N. (2015). Differentiating Between Access, Interaction, and Participation. *Conjunctions: Transdisciplinary Journal of Cultural Participation*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.7146/tjcp.v2i2.23117>
- Chao, S. (2012). Forest peoples: Numbers across the world. In *Forest Peoples Programme*. Forest Peoples Programme.
- Charnley, S., & Poe, M. R. (2007). Community forestry in theory and practice: Where are we now? *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 36, 301–336. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.35.081705.123143>
- Chhatre, A., Lakhanpal, S., Larson, A. M., Nelson, F., Ojha, H., & Rao, J. (2012). Social safeguards and co-benefits in REDD+: A review of the adjacent possible. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6), 654–660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2012.08.006>
- Coretti, L., & Pica, D. (2016). *Social Networks and Participation: A Critical Literature Review*.
- Dahal, G. R., Adhikari, K. P., & Pdr, L. (2008). Trends and Impact of Forest Tenure Reforms in Asia : Cases. *Journal of Forest and Livelihood*, 7(1), 19–26.
- Danielsen, F., Adrian, T., Brofeldt, S., van Noordwijk, M., Poulsen, M. K., Rahayu, S., Rutishauser, E., Theilade, I., Widayati, A., An, N. T., Bang, T. N., Budiman, A., Enghoff, M., Jensen, A. E., Kurniawan, Y., Li, Q., Mingxu, Z., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Prix, S., ... Burgess, N. (2013). Community Monitoring for REDD+: International Promises and Field Realities. *Ecology and Society*, 18(3). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-05464-180341>
- Danielsen, F., Skutsch, M., Burgess, N. D., Jensen, P. M., Andrianandrasana, H., Karky, B., Lewis, R., Lovett, J. C., Massao, J., Ngaga, Y., Phartiyal, P., Poulsen, M. K., Singh, S. P., Solis, S., Sørensen, M., Tewari, A., Young, R., & Zahabu, E. (2011). At the heart of REDD+: A role for local people in monitoring forests? *Conservation Letters*, 4(2), 158–167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-263X.2010.00159.x>
- Davy, C., Harfield, S., McArthur, A., Munn, Z., & Brown, A. (2016). Access to primary health care services for Indigenous peoples: A framework synthesis. *International Journal for Equity in Health*, 15(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12939-016-0450-5>
- de Flon, F. R. (2022). *Traditional Knowledge and Free , Prior and Informed Consent in REDD +* [University of Oslo]. <http://urn.nb.no/URN:NBN:no-98403>
- de Koning, F., Aguin, M., Bravo, M., Chiu, M., Lascano, M., Lozada, T., Suarez, L., Aguiñaga, M., Bravo, M., Chiu, M., Lascano, M., Lozada, T., & Suarez, L. (2011). Bridging the gap between forest conservation and poverty alleviation : the Ecuadorian Socio Bosque program. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 12(5), 531–542. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2011.04.007>
- Devkota, B. P. (2020). Social inclusion and deliberation in response to REDD + in Nepal's community forestry. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 111(September 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.102048>
- Dupuits, E., & Cronkleton, P. (2020). Indigenous tenure security and local participation in climate mitigation programs: Exploring the institutional gaps of REDD+ implementation in the Peruvian Amazon. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 30(4), 209–220. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eet.1888>
- E-Vahdati, S., Zulkifli, N., & Zakaria, Z. (2019). Corporate governance integration with sustainability: a systematic literature review. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 19(2), 255–269. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2018-0111>
- Evans, K., Murphy, L., & Jong, W. De. (2014). Global versus local narratives of REDD : A case study from Peru 's Amazon. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 35, 98–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2012.12.013>
- FAO, & UNEP. (2020). The State of the World's Forests. In *Forests, biodiversity, and people*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4060/ca8642en>
- Fatorić, S., & Seekamp, E. (2017). Are cultural heritage and resources threatened by climate change? A systematic literature review. *Climatic Change*, 142(1–2), 227–254. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-017-1929-9>
- Felker, M. E., Bong, I. W., DePuy, W. H., Jihadah, L. F., De Puy, W. H., & Jihadah, L. F. (2017). Considering land tenure in REDD + participatory measurement , reporting , and verification : A case study from Indonesia. *PLoS ONE*, 12(4), 1–22. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0167943>
- Ghate, R., & Nagendra, H. (2005). Role of Monitoring in Institutional Performance: Forest Management in Maharashtra, India. *Conservation and Society*, 3, 509.
- Gilani, H. R., Yoshida, T., & Innes, J. L. (2017). A Collaborative Forest Management user group's perceptions

- and expectations on REDD + in Nepal. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 80, 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.03.004>
- Githiru, M., & Njambuya, J. W. (2019). Globalization and Biodiversity Conservation Problems: Polycentric REDD+ Solutions. *Land*.
- Global Forest Watch. (n.d.). *Tree Cover Loss in Indonesia*. Global Forest Watch. Retrieved July 28, 2023, from <https://gfw.global/3q8IfVn>
- Griffiths, T. (2007). Seeing “RED”? “avoided deforestation” and the rights of indigenous peoples and local communities. In *Development* (Issue June). [http://www.forestpeoples.org/documents/ifi\\_igo/avoided\\_deforestation\\_red\\_jun07\\_eng.pdf](http://www.forestpeoples.org/documents/ifi_igo/avoided_deforestation_red_jun07_eng.pdf)
- Gupta, J. (2012). Glocal forest and REDD+ governance: Win-win or lose-lose? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6), 620–627. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cosust.2012.09.014>
- Gustafsson, J. (2017). *Single case studies vs. multiple case studies: A comparative study*.
- Gustafsson, M.-T., & Schilling-Vacafloer, A. (2022). Indigenous Peoples and Multi-Scalar Environmental Governance: The Opening and Closure of Participatory Spaces. *Global Environmental Politics*, 22(2), 70–94. <https://doi.org/10.1162/GLEP>
- Hajjar, R., Engbring, G., & Kornhauser, K. (2021). The impacts of REDD+ on the social-ecological resilience of community forests. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abd7ac>
- Hall, G., & Gandolfo, A. (2016). *Poverty and exclusion among Indigenous Peoples: The global evidence*. World Bank Blogs. <https://blogs.worldbank.org/voices/poverty-and-exclusion-among-indigenous-peoples-global-evidence>
- Harada, K., Prabowo, D., Aliadi, A., Ichihara, J., & Ma, H. H.-O. (2015). How Can Social Safeguards of REDD+ Function Effectively Conserve Forests and Improve Local Livelihoods? A Case from Meru Betiri National Park, East Java, Indonesia. *Land*, 4(1), 119–139. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land4010119>
- Haris, S. M., Mustafa, F. B., & Raja Ariffin, R. N. (2020). Systematic Literature Review of Climate Change Governance Activities of Environmental Nongovernmental Organizations in Southeast Asia. *Environmental Management*, 66(5), 816–825. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00267-020-01355-9>
- Harris, R. W., & Davison, R. M. (2020). Peer review: Academia’s most important but least understood task. *Electronic Journal of Information Systems in Developing Countries*, 86(6), 4–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/isd2.12150>
- Hawthorne, S., Boissière, M., Felker, M. E., & Atmadja, S. (2016). Assessing the claims of participatory measurement, reporting and verification (PMRV) in achieving REDD+ outcomes: A systematic review. *PLoS ONE*, 11(11), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0157826>
- Hogarth, M. D. (2018). *Addressing the rights of Indigenous peoples in education: A critical analysis of Indigenous education policy* [Queensland University of Technology]. <https://doi.org/10.5204/thesis.eprints.118573>
- Holmes, I., Kirby, K. R., & Potvin, C. (2017). Agroforestry within REDD+: experiences of an indigenous Emberá community in Panama. *Agroforestry Systems*, 91(6), 1181–1197. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-016-0003-3>
- Holmes, I., & Potvin, C. (2014). Avoiding re-inventing the wheel in a people-centered approach to REDD+. *Conservation Biology*, 28(5), 1380–1393. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12301>
- Holmes, I., Potvin, C., & Coomes, O. T. (2017). Early REDD+ implementation: The journey of an indigenous community in Eastern Panama. *Forests*, 8(3), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f8030067>
- Ioki, K., Mohd, N., Ludwig, R., James, D., Wah, S., Azwan, S., Alfie, R., Anthony, R., Phua, M. M.-H., Din, N. M., Ludwig, R., James, D., Hue, S. W., Johari, S. A., Awang, R. A., Anthony, R., & Phua, M. M.-H. (2019). Supporting forest conservation through community-based land use planning and participatory GIS – lessons from Crocker Range Park, Malaysian Borneo. *Journal for Nature Conservation*, 52(September), 125740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnc.2019.125740>
- Jaung, W., & Bae, J. S. (2012). Evaluating socio-economic equity of REDD+ in a rights-based approach: Rapid equity appraisal matrix. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 22, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2012.05.007>
- Junttila, V., Gautam, B., Karky, B. S., Maguya, A., Tegel, K., Kauranne, T., Gunia, K., Hämäläinen, J., Latva-Käyrä, P., Nikolaeva, E., & Peuhkurinen, J. (2015). Robustness of model-based high-resolution prediction of forest biomass against different field plot designs. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 10(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-015-0038-1>
- Kabiri, N. (2016). Public participation, land use and climate change governance in Thailand. *Land Use Policy*, 52, 511–517. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2014.12.014>
- Katani, J. Z., Mustalahti, I., Mukama, K., & Zahabu, E. (2016). Participatory forest carbon assessment in south-eastern Tanzania: Experiences, costs and implications for REDD+ initiatives. *Oryx*, 50(3), 523–532. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605315000174>
- Kelly, J., Sadeghieh, T., & Adeli, K. (2014). Peer Review in Scientific Publications: benefit, critique, & a



- survival guide. *The Journal of the International Federation of Clinical Chemistry and Laboratory Medicine*, 65(2), 227–243.
- Khatun, K., Gross-Camp, N., Corbera, E., Martin, A., Ball, S., & Massao, G. (2015). When Participatory Forest Management makes money: insights from Tanzania on governance, benefit sharing, and implications for REDD+. *Environment and Planning A*, 47(10), 2097–2112. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X15595899>
- Krause, T., Collen, W., & Nicholas, K. A. (2013). Evaluating Safeguards in a Conservation Incentive Program : Participation , Consent , and Benefit Sharing in Indigenous Communities of the Ecuadorian Amazon. *Ecology and Society*, 18(4). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-05733-180401>
- Kuiper, J. W., Linnér, B., Schroeder, H., Bäckstrand, K., Editor, D., & Hulme, M. (2018). Non-state actors in hybrid global climate governance: justice , legitimacy , and effectiveness in a post-Paris era. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 9(February), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.497>
- Larson, A. M. (2011). Forest tenure reform in the age of climate change: Lessons for REDD +. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), 540–549. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2010.11.008>
- Larson, A. M., Dokken, T., Duchelle, A. E., Atmadja, S., Resosudarmo, I. A. P., Cronkleton, P., Cromberg, M., Sunderlin, W., Awono, A., & Selaya, G. (2015). The role of women in early REDD+ implementation: Lessons for future engagement. *International Forestry Review*, 17(1), 43–65. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554815814725031>
- Lawlor, K., Madeira, E. M., Blockhus, J., & Ganz, D. J. (2013). Community participation and benefits in REDD+: A review of initial outcomes and lessons. *Forests*, 4(2), 296–318. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f4020296>
- Lee, E., & Eversole, R. (2019). Rethinking the regions: Indigenous peoples and regional development. *Regional Studies*, 53(11), 1509–1519. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00343404.2019.1587159>
- Leggett, M., & Lovell, H. (2012). Community perceptions of REDD + : a case study from Papua New Guinea. *Climate Policy*, 12(1), 115–134. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14693062.2011.579317>
- Loaiza, T., Borja, M. O., Nehren, U., & Gerold, G. (2017). Analysis of land management and legal arrangements in the Ecuadorian Northeastern Amazon as preconditions for REDD + implementation. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 83(May), 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.05.005>
- Loaiza, T., Nehren, U., & Gerold, G. (2015). REDD+ and incentives : An analysis of income generation in forest-dependent communities of the Yasuní Biosphere Reserve , Ecuador. *Applied Geography*, 62, 225–236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2015.04.020>
- Lu, H., Liu, G., Huang, Z., & Yang, Q. (2016). Carbon, soil, and ecological benefits of REDD+ policies in Southwest China. *Scienceasia*, 42, 1.
- Ludwig, G. (2012). Property rights and participation in REDD+: The case of mozambique. *Transnational Environmental Law*, 1(2), 381–401. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2047102512000064>
- Lund, J. F., Sungusia, E., Mabele, M. B., & Scheba, A. (2017). Promising change, delivering continuity: REDD+ as conservation fad. *World Development*, 89, 124–139.
- Lyster, R. (2011). REDD+, transparency, participation and resource rights: The role of law. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 14(2), 118–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2010.11.008>
- Malina, M. A., Norreklit, H. S. O., & Selto, F. H. (2011). Lessons learned : advantages and disadvantages of mixed method research. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 8(1), 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.1108/11766091111124702>
- Mateo-Vega, J., Arroyo-Mora, J. P., & Potvin, C. (2019). Tree aboveground biomass and species richness of the mature tropical forests of Darien, Panama, and their role in global climate change mitigation and biodiversity conservation. *Conservation Science and Practice*, 1(8), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.42>
- Mateo-Vega, J., Potvin, C., Monteza, J., Bacorizo, J., Barrigon, J., Barrigon, R., Lopez, N., Omi, L., Opu, M., Serrano, J., Chusman, K. C., & Meyer, C. (2017). Full and effective participation of indigenous peoples in forest monitoring for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation ( REDD + ): trial in Panama's Darien. *Ecosphere*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1635>
- Murthy, M. S. R., Gilani, H., Karky, B. S., Sharma, E., Sandker, M., Koju, U. A., Khanal, S., & Poudel, M. (2017). Synergizing community - based forest monitoring with remote sensing : a path to an effective REDD + MRV system. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13021-017-0087-8>
- Musthafa, I. Z. F. F., & Youn, Y.-C. C. (2022). Motivation and Factors Affecting Indigenous People's Willingness to Participate in Future REDD+ Project Activities: The Case of Tagal hutan in Sabah, Malaysia. *International Forestry Review*, 24(3), 411–425. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554822835941904>
- Pandit, R. (2018). REDD + adoption and factors affecting respondents ' knowledge of REDD + goal : Evidence from household survey of forest users from REDD + piloting sites in Nepal. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 91(February 2017), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.02.002>
- Panfil, S. N., & Harvey, C. A. (2016). REDD+ and Biodiversity Conservation: A Review of the Biodiversity Goals, Monitoring Methods, and Impacts of 80 REDD+ Projects. *Conservation Letters*, 9.



- Pham, L. T. M. (2018). *Qualitative Approach to Research A review of advantages and disadvantages of three paradigms : positivism , interpretivism and critical inquiry* (Issue April). <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.13995.54569>
- Poffenberger, M. (2015). Restoring and Conserving Khasi Forests : A Community-Based REDD Strategy from Northeast India. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 6(12), 4477–4494. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f6124382>
- Praputra, A. V., Bong, I. W., Ekowati, D., Hofstee, C., & Maryudi, A. (2016). Getting the data flowing: Lessons learned from existing reporting systems in the forestry sector in Indonesia for REDD+ MRV. *PLoS ONE*, 11(11), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156743>
- Pratihast, A. K., Devries, B., Avitabile, V., Bruin, S. De, Kooistra, L., Tekle, M., & Herold, M. (2014). Combining Satellite Data and Community-Based Observations for Forest Monitoring. *Forests*, 5, 2464–2489. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f5102464>
- Rahman, M. S. (2017). The Advantages and Disadvantages of Using Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches and Methods in Language " Testing and Assessment " Research : A Literature Review. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 6(1), 102–112. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v6n1p102>
- Rahut, D. B., Ali, A., & Behera, B. (2015). Household participation and effects of community forest management on income and poverty levels: Empirical evidence from Bhutan. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 61, 20–29.
- Ramcilovic-Suominen, S., Carodenuto, S., Mcdermott, C., & Hiedanpää, J. (2021). Environmental justice and REDD + safeguards in Laos : Lessons from an authoritarian political regime. *Global Forest Environmental Frontiers*, 50(12), 2256–2271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-021-01618-7>
- Ranjan, R. (2021). Land Use Policy Land use decisions under REDD + incentives when warming temperatures affect crop productivity and forest biomass growth rates. *Land Use Policy*, 108(July 2020), 105595. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2021.105595>
- Robinson, E. J. Z., Albers, H. J., Meshack, C., & Lokina, R. B. (2013). Implementing REDD through community-based forest management : Lessons from Tanzania. *Natural Resources Forum*, 37(3), 141–152. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-8947.12018>
- Royer, S. De, Noordwijk, M. Van, & Roshetko, J. M. (2018). Does community-based forest management in Indonesia devolve social justice or social costs? *International Forestry Review*, 20(2), 167–180. <https://doi.org/10.1505/146554818823767609>
- Saeed, A. R., McDermott, C., & Boyd, E. (2017). Are REDD+ community forest projects following the principles for collective action, as proposed by Ostrom? *International Journal of the Commons*, 11(1), 572–596. <https://doi.org/10.18352/ijc.700>
- Sanders, D. E. (1999). Indigenous Peoples: Issues of Definition. *International Journal of Cultural Property*, 8(1), 4–13. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0940739199770591>
- Sarivaara, E., Maatta, K., & Uusiautti, S. (2013). WHO IS INDIGENOUS? DEFINITIONS OF INDIGENITY. In *PROCEEDINGS: 1st Eurasian Multidisciplinary Forum, EMF 2013, 24-26 October 2013, Tbilisi, Georgia* (pp. 369–378). European Scientific Institute.
- Satyral, P., Corbera, E., Dawson, N., Dhungana, H., & Maskey, G. (2020). Justice-related impacts and social differentiation dynamics in Nepal's REDD+ projects. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 117(May), 102203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102203>
- Schmitt, C. B., & Mukungu, J. (2019). How to achieve effective participation of communities in the monitoring of REDD+ projects: A case study in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC). *Forests*, 10(9), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f10090794>
- Schroeder, H., & González P., N. C. (2019). Bridging knowledge divides: The case of indigenous ontologies of territoriality and REDD+. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 100(September 2018), 198–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2018.12.010>
- Shinbrot, X. A., Holmes, I., Gauthier, M., Tschakert, P., Wilkins, Z., Baragón, L., Opúa, B., & Potvin, C. (2022). Natural and financial impacts of payments for forest carbon offset: A 14 year-long case study in an indigenous community in Panama. *Land Use Policy*, 115(February). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2022.106047>
- Skutsch, M. M., & Ba, L. (2010). Crediting carbon in dry forests: The potential for community forest management in West Africa. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 12(4), 264–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2009.12.003>
- Skutsch, M., & Turnhout, E. (2020). REDD+: If communities are the solution, what is the problem? *World Development*, 130, 104942. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2020.104942>
- Solberg, E. (2015). *What role do indigenous people and forests have in a sustainable future?* United Nations. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/blog/2015/05/what-role-do-indigenous-people-and-forests-have-in-a-sustainable-future/>
- Stewart, J. (2012). Multiple-case Study Methods in Governance- related Research STUDY METHODS IN GOVERNANCE-. *Public Management Review*, 14(1), 67–82.

- <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2011.589618>
- Sunderlin, W. D., Pratama, C. D., Bos, A. B., Avitabile, V., Sills, E. O., de Sassi, C., Joseph, S., Agustavia, M., Pribadi, U. A., & Anandadas, A. (2014). REDD+ on the ground: The need for scientific evidence. In *REDD+ on the ground: A case book of subnational initiatives across the globe* (pp. 2–21). <http://www.cifor.org/library/5261/redd-on-the-ground-the-need-for-scientific-evidence/>
- Tamasang, C. F., & Fosoh, G. N. (2018). REDD+ Implementation in Cameroon's Environmental Law: The Role of Indigenous Peoples and Local Communities. In O. C. Ruppel & E. D. K. Yogo (Eds.), *Environmental law and policy in Cameroon - Towards making Africa the tree of life* (1st ed., pp. 934–961). Nomos Verlagsgesellschaft mbH. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv941sr6.48>
- The National Forestry Council, & UN-REDD Programme Indonesia. (2013). *Policy Recommendation : Free , Prior and Informed Consent ( FPIC ) Instrument for Indigenous Communities and / - or Local Communities who will be Affected by REDD + Activities Based on Multistakeholder Consultation Process* “ This policy recommendation is.
- The World Bank. (2023). *Indigenous Peoples*. The World Bank. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/indigenouspeoples>
- Thom, D., Rammer, W., Garstenauer, R., & Seidl, R. (2018). Legacies of past land use have a stronger effect on forest carbon exchange than future climate change in a temperate forest landscape. *Biogeosciences*.
- Tong, X., Brandt, M., Yue, Y., Ciais, P., Jepsen, M. R., Peñuelas, J., Wigneron, J. P., Xiao, X., Song, X.-P., Horion, S., Rasmussen, K., Saatchi, S., Fan, L., Wang, K., Zhang, B., Chen, Z., Wang, Y., Li, X., & Fensholt, R. (2020). Forest management in southern China generates short term extensive carbon sequestration. *Nature Communications*, 11.
- Torres, A. B. (2014). Potential for Integrating Community-Based Monitoring into REDD+. *Forests*, 5, 1815–1833. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f5081815>
- UN-REDD. (2017). *Redd+ Academy Learning Journal* (Issue May). [http://bit.ly/REDD\\_Academy](http://bit.ly/REDD_Academy)
- United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). *Annual Report 2018: putting the environment at the heart of people's lives*. [https://reliefweb.int/attachments/5cea731a-ac5b-37bb-a92f-0ec64cf9ffb3/AR2018\\_EN.pdf](https://reliefweb.int/attachments/5cea731a-ac5b-37bb-a92f-0ec64cf9ffb3/AR2018_EN.pdf)
- Venuti, S. (2014). REDD+ in Papua New Guinea and the protection of the REDD+ safeguard to ensure the full and effective participation of indigenous peoples and local communities. *Asia Pacific Journal of Environmental Law*, 17, 131–153. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-84942890746&partnerID=40&md5=43ce51d493dc5b24a6568dbc8a4f1645>
- Wallbott, L. (2014). Rights through Discursive Interplay Management Indigenous Peoples in UN REDD + Negotiations : “ Importing Power ” and. *Ecology and Society*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.5751/ES-06111-190121>
- World Bank. (1995). Participation in Forest Management and Conservation. In *Social Development Notes* (Issue 10). <http://hdl.handle.net/10986/11668>
- Yamamoto, Y., Takeuchi, K., Kohlin, G., & Köhlin, G. (2020). Preventing Peatland Fires in Central Kalimantan , Indonesia : The Role of Economic Incentives and Social Norms Preventing Peatland Fires in Central Kalimantan , Indonesia : The Role of Economic Incentives and Social Norms. *Journal of Forest Economics*, 2(3), 207–227. <https://doi.org/10.1561/112.00000509>
- Yamanoshita, M. Y., & Amano, M. (2012). Capability development of local communities for project sustainability in afforestation/reforestation clean development mechanism. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 17(4), 425–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11027-011-9334-6>
- Ytre-Arne, B. (2016). The Social Media Experiences of Long-term Patients: Illness, Identity, and Participation. *Nordicom Review*, 37, 57–70.
- Zhang, Y., Xiao, X., Cao, R., Zheng, C., Guo, Y., Gong, W., & Wei, Z. (2020). How important is community participation to eco-environmental conservation in protected areas? From the perspective of predicting locals' pro-environmental behaviours. *Science of the Total Environment*, 739(155), 139889. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139889>
- Zhao, M., Brofeldt, S., Li, Q., Xu, J., Danielsen, F., Læssøe, S. B. L., Poulsen, M. K., Gottlieb, A., Maxwell, J. F., & Theilade, I. (2016). Can community members identify tropical tree species for REDD+ carbon and biodiversity measurements? *PLoS ONE*, 11(11), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0152061>