

TABLE OF CONTENT

ENDORSEMENT	ii
APPROVAL.....	iii
STATEMENT OF ANTI PLAGIARISM	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
ABSTRACT	xii
INTISARI.....	xiii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
A. Background.....	1
B. Research Questions.....	5
C. Research Goal.....	6
D. Originality of Research	7
E. Benefits of Research	10
CHAPTER II: THEORITICAL REVIEW	
A. General Overview of Misleading Advertisement.....	12
1. Definition of Legal Protection.....	12
2. Definition of Consumer.....	14
3. Definition of Misleading Advertisement.....	15
B. General Overview of Indonesian Consumer Protection Law	16
1. Laws and Regulation of Indonesian Consumer Protection Law	16
2. About the BPKN.....	22
3. About the BPSK.....	23

C. General Overview of United States Consumer Protection Law.....	26
1. Laws and Regulation of United States Consumer Protection Law... 26	
2. About The FTC.....	27

CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD

A. Types of Research.....	29
B. Types of Data	29
C. Data Collection Method.....	30
D. Data Analysis	31

CHAPTER IV : RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS

A. Misleading Advertisement Under the Indonesian and United States Consumer Protection Law.....	32
1. Misleading Advertisement under the Indonesian Law.	32
a. Elements of misleading advertisement under Indonesian Law.	32
b. Forms of misleading advertisement under Indonesian Law.....	36
2. Misleading Advertisement under the FTC Regulation.	40
a. Elements of misleading advertisement under FTC Regulation.	40
b. Forms of misleading advertisement under FTC Regulation.....	43
B. The Differences and Similarities of the Legal Concept of Consumer Protection Regarding Misleading Advertisement in Indonesia and the United States Referring to Supreme Court Decision No.659/Pdt.Sus/2012 and US V. Volkswagen.	53
1. Case Analysis of Misleading Advertisement in Supreme Court Decision No. 659/Pdt.Sus.2012.	53
2. Case Analysis of Misleading Advertisement in US V. Volkswagen.....	65

3. Conclusion of Differences and Similarities between Indonesian and United States Consumer Protection Law regarding Misleading Advertisement Referring to Supreme Court Decision No. 659/Pdt.Sus/2012 and US V. Volkswagen.....	71
--	----

C. Lesson Learned from the United States Consumer Protection Law Regarding Misleading Advertising with regards to the Case Analysis and the FTC.....	80
---	-----------

CHAPTER V : CLOSURE

A. Conclusion.....	88
B. Recommendation.....	90

BIBLIOGRAPHY	91
---------------------------	-----------

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Differences and Similarities between Indonesian and United States Consumer Protection Law regarding Misleading Advertisement Referring to Supreme Court Decision NO. 659/Pdt.Sus/2012 and US V. Volkswagen.....	79
Table 2. Articles that are critical in terms of the improvement of Indonesian consumer protection law particularly regarding misleading advertising.....	86