

## REFERENCES

- Abdul Aziz, R., Chiu Jin, C., & Nordin, N. M. (2016). The Use of Interactional Metadiscourse in the Construction of Gender Identities among Malaysian ESL Learners. *3L The Southeast Asian Journal of English Language Studies*, 22(1), 207–220. <https://doi.org/10.17576/3L-2016-2201-16>
- Alghazo, S., Al Salem, M. N., & Alrashdan, I. (2021). Stance and engagement in English and Arabic research article abstracts. *System*, 103, 102681. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2021.102681>
- AlJazrawi, D. A., & AlJazrawi, Z. A. (2019). The Use of Meta-discourse An Analysis of Interactive and Interactional Markers in English Short Stories as a Type of Literary Genre. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 8(3), 66. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.8n.3p.66>
- Angouri, J., & Baxter, J. (2021). *The Routledge Handbook of Language, Gender, and Sexuality* (J. Angouri & J. Baxter, Eds.; 1st ed.). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315514857>
- Ashmore, R. D., & Del-Boca, F. K. (1999). Gender Stereotypes. In *Women's Studies Encyclopedia* (pp. 564–566). Greenwood Press.
- Azlia, S. C. (2022). Interactional discourse of male and female motivational speech in TED Talks: A corpus-based study. *Rainbow : Journal of Literature, Linguistics and Culture Studies*, 11(1), 42–49. <https://doi.org/10.15294/rainbow.v11i1.54777>
- Baker, P., & Levon, E. (2015). Picking the right cherries? A comparison of corpus-based and qualitative analyses of news articles about masculinity. *Discourse & Communication*, 9(2), 221–236. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1750481314568542>
- Basow, S. A. (1992). *Gender: Stereotypes and Roles*. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Benson-Greenwald, T. M., Joshi, M. P., & Diekman, A. B. (2021). Out of the Lab and Into the World: Analyses of Social Roles and Gender in Profiles of Scientists in The New York Times and The Scientist. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 684777. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.684777>
- Brannon, L. (2017). *Gender: Psychological perspectives* (Seventh Edition). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Brannon, R., & David, D. (1976). The male sex role: Our culture's blueprint of manhood, and what it's done for us lately. *The Forty-Nine Percent Majority: The Male Sex Role*, 1–48.

- Brescoll, V., & LaFrance, M. (2004). The correlates and consequences of newspaper reports of research on sex differences. *Psychological Science*, 15(8), 515–520. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00712.x>
- Butler, J. (1990). *Gender Trouble: Feminism and the Subversion of Identity*. Routledge.
- Chairani, M. (2022). *Gender-Based Differences of English Compliments in Indonesia's Next Top Model*. Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- “Chicken Soup for Soul” Series: 30 Years of Inspiring Stories: [1]. (2008). In *Voice of America News / FIND* (190564568). Federal Information & News Dispatch, LLC; Research Library. <https://www.proquest.com/reports/chicken-soup-soul-series-30-years-inspiring/docview/190564568/se-2?accountid=13771>
- Chicken Soup for the Soul expands its global presence in television and movies with the launch of Chicken Soup for the Soul Productions: Steve Ronson heads new venture that will create compelling, entertaining and inspirational TV shows and feature films. (2014, October 7). *PR Newswire*. ABI/INFORM Collection. <https://www.proquest.com/wire-feeds/chicken-soup-soul-expands-global-presence/docview/1602439910/se-2?accountid=13771>
- Chodorow, N. (1978). *The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender*. University of California Press.
- Coates, J. (2004). *Women, men, and language: A sociolinguistic account of gender differences in language* (3rd ed). Pearson Longman.
- Coats, S. (2019). Language choice and gender in a Nordic social media corpus. *Nordic Journal of Linguistics*, 42(01), 31–55. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0332586519000039>
- Conrad, S., Biber, D., & Leech, G. N. (2011). *Longman student grammar of spoken and written English* (11. impr). Longman.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics: Quantitative, Qualitative, and Mixed Methodologies*. OUP Oxford.
- Eckert, P., & McConnell-Ginet, S. (2003). *Language and Gender*. Cambridge University Press.
- Ehrlich, S., Holmes, J., & Meyerhoff, M. (Eds.). (2014). *The Handbook of Language, Gender, and Sexuality*. WILEY Blackwell.
- Fivush, R., & Grysman, A. (2022). Narrative and gender as mutually constituted meaning-making systems. *Memory, Mind & Media*, 1, e2. <https://doi.org/10.1017/mem.2021.4>
- Harris, W. L. (2017). *Writing & Selling Short Stories & Personal Essays*. Writer's Digest Books.
- Hentschel, T., Heilman, M. E., & Peus, C. V. (2019). The Multiple Dimensions of Gender Stereotypes: A Current Look at Men's and Women's Characterizations of Others and

- Themselves. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 11.  
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00011>
- Hepburn, A., & Potter, J. (2011). *Recipients designed: Tag questions and gender* (pp. 135–152). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511781032.008>
- Holmes, J. (1984). Hedging your bets and sitting on the fence: Some evidence for hedges as support structures. *Te Reo*, 27.
- Holmes, J. (1989). Sex Differences and Apologies: One Aspect of Communicative Competence<sup>1</sup>. *Applied Linguistics*, 10(2), 194–213.  
<https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/10.2.194>
- Holmes, J. (1990). Hedges and boosters in women's and men's speech. *Language & Communication*, 10(3), 185–205. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5309\(90\)90002-S](https://doi.org/10.1016/0271-5309(90)90002-S)
- Holmes, J. (1992). Women's Talk in Public Contexts. *Discourse & Society*, 3(2), 131–150.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0957926592003002001>
- Huddleston, R. D. (1984). *Introduction to the grammar of English*. Cambridge University Press.
- Huddleston, R., & Pullum, G. K. (2002). *The Cambridge Grammar of the English Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hyland, K. (2005). *Metadiscourse: Exploring interaction in writing*. Continuum.
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. (Kevin). (2019). *Academic discourse and global publishing: Disciplinary persuasion in changing times*. Routledge.
- Hyland, K., & Jiang, F. (Kevin). (2022). Metadiscourse choices in EAP: An intra-journal study of JEAP. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 60, 101165.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2022.101165>
- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in Academic Writing: A Reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156–177. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/25.2.156>
- Johnstone, B. (1990). *Stories, Community, and Place: Narratives from Middle America*. Indiana University Press.
- Johnstone, B. (1993). Community and contest: Midwestern men and women creating their worlds in conversational storytelling. In *Gender and Conversational Interaction* (pp. 62–80). Oxford University Press.
- Kashiha, H. (2022). Academic lectures versus political speeches: Metadiscourse functions affected by the role of the audience. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 190, 60–72.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pragma.2022.01.003>
- Kopple, W. J. V. (1985). Some Exploratory Discourse on Metadiscourse. *College Composition and Communication*, 36(1), 82. <https://doi.org/10.2307/357609>

- Labov, W. (1972). The Transformation of Experience in Narrative Syntax. In *Language in the Inner City: Studies in the Black English Vernacular*. University of Pennsylvania Press, Incorporated.
- Lakoff, R. (1975). Language and woman's place. *Language in Society*, 2(1), 45–79. Cambridge Core. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047404500000051>
- Leaper, C., & Ayres, M. M. (2007). A Meta-Analytic Review of Gender Variations in Adults' Language Use: Talkativeness, Affiliative Speech, and Assertive Speech. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 11(4), 328–363. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1088868307302221>
- Lopate, P. (1997). *Art of the Personal Essay*. Perfection Learning Corporation. <https://books.google.co.id/books?id=WwKOjEACAAJ>
- McEnery, T., & Hardie, A. (2012). *Corpus Linguistics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Mitchell, M., & McKinnon, M. (2019). “Human” or “objective” faces of science? Gender stereotypes and the representation of scientists in the media. *Public Understanding of Science* (Bristol, England), 28(2), 177–190. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662518801257>
- Park, G., Yaden, D. B., Schwartz, H. A., Kern, M. L., Eichstaedt, J. C., Kosinski, M., Stillwell, D., Ungar, L. H., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2016). Women are Warmer but No Less Assertive than Men: Gender and Language on Facebook. *PLOS ONE*, 11(5), e0155885. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0155885>
- Pasaribu, T. A. (2017). GENDER DIFFERENCES AND THE USE OF METADISOURSE MARKERS IN WRITING ESSAYS. *International Journal of Humanity Studies (IJHS)*, 1(1), 93–102. <https://doi.org/10.24071/ijhs.v1i1.683>
- Qiu, X., & (Kevin) Jiang, F. (2021). Stance and engagement in 3MT presentations: How students communicate disciplinary knowledge to a wide audience. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 51, 100976. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeap.2021.100976>
- Schwartz, H. A., Eichstaedt, J. C., Kern, M. L., Dziurzynski, L., Ramones, S. M., Agrawal, M., Shah, A., Kosinski, M., Stillwell, D., Seligman, M. E. P., & Ungar, L. H. (2013). Personality, Gender, and Age in the Language of Social Media: The Open-Vocabulary Approach. *PLoS ONE*, 8(9), e73791. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0073791>
- Scotto di Carlo, G. (2014). The role of proximity in online popularizations: The case of TED talks. *Discourse Studies*, 16(5), 591–606. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461445614538565>
- Stone, J. A., & Can, S. H. (2021). Gendered language differences in public communication? The case of municipal tweets. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 1(2), 100034. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jjime.2021.100034>
- Talbot, M. (2020). *Language and Gender* (3rd ed.). Polity Press.

- Tannen, D. (2014). Gender and family interaction. In J. Holmes, M. Meyerhoff, & S. Ehrlich (Eds.), *The Handbook of Language and Gender*. WILEY Blackwell.
- Tausczik, Y. R., & Pennebaker, J. W. (2010). The Psychological Meaning of Words: LIWC and Computerized Text Analysis Methods. *Journal of Language and Social Psychology*, 29(1), 24–54. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0261927X09351676>
- Tierney, H. (1999). *Women's Studies Encyclopedia*. Greenwood Press.
- Urquhart-Cronish, M., & Otto, S. P. (2019). Gender and language use in scientific grant writing. *FACETS*, 4(1), 442–458. <https://doi.org/10.1139/facets-2018-0039>
- Wallentin, M. (2020). Chapter 6—Gender differences in language are small but matter for disorders. In R. Lanzenberger, G. S. Kranz, & I. Savic (Eds.), *Handbook of Clinical Neurology* (Vol. 175, pp. 81–102). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-64123-6.00007-2>
- Wardhaugh, R., & Fuller, J. M. (2015). *An Introduction to Sociolinguistics* (seventh). WILEY Blackwell.
- Welter, B. (1987). The Cult of True Womanhood: 1820–1860. In G. Michael (Ed.), *The American Family in Social-Historical Perspective* (2nd ed.). St. Martin's Press.
- West, C., & Zimmerman, D. H. (1987). Doing Gender. *Gender & Society*, 1(2), 125–151. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0891243287001002002>
- Zimmermann, J., Wolf, M., Bock, A., Peham, D., & Benecke, C. (2013). The way we refer to ourselves reflects how we relate to others: Associations between first-person pronoun use and interpersonal problems. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 47(3), 218–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2013.01.008>