



DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abelvik-Lawson, H. (2020, April 9). *Online activism: how petitions and social media campaigns have changed the world*. Greenpeace.Org.
- Adinda, C. (2020, October 6). *Wah Alasan RUU Cipta Kerja Disahkan Cepat, DPR Mau Lockdown!* CNBCIndonesia.Com.
<https://www.cnbcindonesia.com/news/20201006105219-4-192173/wah-alasan-ruu-cipta-kerja-disahkan-cepat-dpr-mau-lockdown>
- Aji, M. R. (2020, October 9). *Ini Situasi Demo Tolak Omnibus Law di 5 Kota Besar Pada Kamis, 8 Oktober 2020*. Tempo.Co.
<https://nasional.tempo.co/read/1394301/ini-situasi-demo-tolak-omnibus-law-di-5-kota-besar-pada-kamis-8-oktober-2020>
- Aliansi Masyarakat Adat Nusantara. (2020). *Catatan Akhir Tahun Aliansi Masyarakat Adat 2020*.
- Askanius, T., & Uldam, J. (2011). Online social media for radical politics: Climate change activism on YouTube. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, 4(1–2), 69–84. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEG.2011.041708>
- Ayuningtyas, D., Haq, H. U., Utami, R. R. M., & Susilia, S. (2021). Requestioning the Indonesia Government's Public Policy Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic: Black Box Analysis for the Period of January–July 2020. *Frontiers in Public Health*, 9.
<https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.612994>
- Bayat, A., Fathian, M., Moghaddam, N. B., & Saifoddin, A. (2021). The adoption of social messaging apps in Iran: Discourses and challenges. *Information Development*, 39(1), 72–85. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0266669211022032>
- Baylor, T. (1996). *Media Framing of Movement Protest: The Case of American Indian Protest*.
- Bedrosian, A. (2022). How #NiUnaMenos Used Discourse and Digital Media to Reach the Masses in Argentina. *Latin American Research Review*, 57(1), 100–116. <https://doi.org/10.1017/lar.2022.6>
- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2012). The logic of connective action: Digital media and the personalization of contentious politics. *Information Communication and Society*, 15(5), 739–768.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2012.670661>
- Berutu, S. A. (2020, February 12). *Buruh Demo Tolak Omnibus Law Cilaka Long March ke DPR, Lalin Macet*. Detiknews.Com.
<https://news.detik.com/berita/d-4895973/buruh-demo-tolak-omnibus-law-cilaka-long-march-ke-dpr-lalin-macet>
- Boyd, D. M., & Ellison, N. B. (2007). Social network sites: Definition, history, and scholarship. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 13(1), 210–230. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00393.x>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101.
<https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Buechler, S. M. (1995a). New Social Movement Theories. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 36(3), 441–4464.



- Buechler, S. M. (1995b). New Social Movement Theories. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 36(3), 441–464.
- Calhoun, C. (1993). “New Social Movements” of the Early Nineteenth Century. In *History* (Vol. 17, Issue 3). <https://www.jstor.org/stable/1171431>
- Cammaerts, B. (2015). Social media and activism. In R. Mansell & P. H. Ang (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Digital Communication and Society* (pp. 1027–1034). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Cammaerts, B. (2021). The New-New Social Movements: Are Social Media Changing the Ontology of Social Movements. *Mobilization: An International Quarterly*, 26(3), 343–358.
- Carpentier, N., & De Cleen, B. (2007). Bringing discourse theory into Media Studies he applicability of Discourse heoretical Analysis (DTA) for the Study of media practises and discourses. *Journal of Language and Politics*, 6(2), 265–293.
- Castells, M. (2008). The new public sphere: Global civil Society, communication networks, and global governance. *Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 616(1), 78–93.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0002716207311877>
- Castells, M. (2012). *Networks of Outrage and Hope: Social Movements in the Internet Age* (2nd ed.). Polity Press.
- Castells, M. (2015). *Networks of Outrage and Hope Social Movements in the Internet Age* (2nd ed.). Polity Press.
- Cohen, J. L. (1985). *Strategy or Identity: New Theoretical Paradigms and Contemporary Social Movements* (Vol. 52, Issue 4).
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/40970395>
- Crossley, N. (2002). *Making Sense of Social Movements*. Open University Press.
- Dalidjo, N. (2021, August 30). *Mengenal Siapa Itu Masyarakat Adat*. Aman.or.Id.
- Day, R. (2005). *Gramsci is Dead*. Pluto Press.
- Deluca, K. (1999). *Articulation theory: A discursive grounding for rhetorical practice*.
- DeLuca, K. M. (2009). Greenpeace International Media Analyst Reflects on Communicating Climate Change. *Environmental Communication*, 3(2), 263–269. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17524030902972734>
- Demirovic, A. (1998). NGOs and Social Movements: A Study in Contrasts. *Capitalism, Nature, Socialism*, 9(3), 83–92.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10455759809358819>
- Detik.com, T. (2020, October 7). *Petisi Online Gugat Omnibus Law Tembus 1,3 Juta Orang*. DetikInet.Com.
- Eden, S. (2004). Greenpeace. *New Political Economy*, 9(4), 595–610.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1356346042000311191>
- Eder, K. (1993). *The New Politics of Class: Social Movements and Cultural Dynamics in Advanced Society*. Sage Publication.
- Edgerly, S., Vraga, E. K., F Fung Hong Kong, T. K., & Veenstra, A. S. (2009). *YouTube as a public sphere: The Proposition 8 debate*.
<https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265268922>



- Erwanti, M. O. (2020, April 22). *KSPSI: Aksi 30 April Tunggu Pengumuman Jokowi soal RUU Cipta Kerja*. DetikNews.Com.
<https://news.detik.com/berita/d-4986917/kspsi-aksi-30-april-tunggu-pengumuman-jokowi-soal-ruu-cipta-kerja/1>
- Fakhri, M. (2020). *RUU Cipta Kerja: Proses Legislasi yang Ugal-ugalan*.
<https://tatanegara.ui.ac.id/rilis-media-ruu-cipta-kerja-proses-legislasi-yang-ugal-ugalan/>
- Farisa, F. C. (2020, October 14). *Tolak Omnibus Law, Gerakan Buruh Serukan Mogok Nasional 6-8 Oktober*. Kompas.Com.
<https://nasional.kompas.com/read/2020/10/04/16541671/tolak-omnibus-law-gerakan-buruh-serukan-mogok-nasional-6-8-oktober>
- Fauzan, A. (2020, October 21). *Omnibus Law Cipta Kerja Merugikan Buruh Memanjakan Oligarki*. Tirto.Id.
- Fauzia, M. (2019, December 16). *Pemerintah Bentuk Satgas Omnibus Law, Apa Tugasnya?* Kompas.Com.
<https://money.kompas.com/read/2019/12/16/110900626/pemerintah-bentuk-satgas-omnibus-law-apa-tugasnya>
- Feltwell, T., Vines, J., Salt, K., Blythe, M., Kirman, B., Barnett, J., Brooker, P., & Lawson, S. (2017). Counter-Discourse Activism on Social Media: The Case of Challenging “Poverty Porn” Television. *Computer Supported Cooperative Work: CSCW: An International Journal*, 26(3), 345–385.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10606-017-9275-z>
- Finger, M. (1994). NGOs and Transformation: Beyond Social Movement Theory. In T. Princen, M. Finger, J. P. Manno, & M. L. Clark (Eds.), *Environmental NGOs in World Politics: Linking the Local and the Global*. London and New York.
- Fritsch, M. (2008). Antagonism and democratic citizenship (Schmitt, Mouffe, Derrida). *Research in Phenomenology*, 38(2), 174–197.
<https://doi.org/10.1163/156916408X286950>
- Fuchs, C. (2015). WestminsterResearch Power in the Age of Social Media. In *Heathwood Journal of Critical Theory* (Vol. 1, Issue 1).
<http://www.westminster.ac.uk/research/westminsterresearch>
- Gane, N., & Beer, D. (2008). *New Media: The Key Concepts*. Biddles Ltd.
- Good, L. T. (1989). Power, Hegemony, and Communication Theory. In I. Angus & S. Jhally (Eds.), *Cultural Politics in Contemporary America* (pp. 51–64). Routledge.
- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Research. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 105–117). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Gupta, D. (1999). Civil Society or the State: What happened to Citizenship? In *Institutions and Inequalities: Essays in honour of Andre Beteille* (pp. 234–258). Oxford University Press.
- Habermas, J. (1989). The Public Sphere: An Encyclopedia Article. In S. E. Bronner & D. Kellner (Eds.), *Critical theory and Society: A Reader* (pp. 136–142). Routledge.



- Hariandja, R. (2020, October 21). *Mengapa Lingkungan Hidup Terancam dengan Ada Omnibus Law?* Mongabay.Com.
<https://www.mongabay.co.id/2020/10/21/mengapa-lingkungan-hidup-terancam-dengan-ada-omnibus-law/>
- Haryatmoko. (2017). *Critical Discourse Analysis (Analisis wacana kritis) landasan teori, metodologi dan penerapan.* Rajagrafindo Persada.
- Hemmi, A., & Crowther, J. (2013). *Learning environmental activism through social networking sites?* (Vol. 4, Issue 1). <http://concept.lib.ed.ac.uk/Online>
- Hensmans, M. (2003). *Social Movement Organizations: A Metaphor for Strategic Actors in Institutional Fields.* www.sagepublications.com
- Hidayat, F. (2019, December 27). *Ini 11 Cluster Omnibus Law UU Cipta Lapangan Kerja .* Detiknews.Com. <https://news.detik.com/berita/d-4837745/ini-11-cluster-omnibus-law-uu-cipta-lapangan-kerja>
- Hootsuite. (2020). *Indonesian Digital Report 2020.*
- Howarth, D. (2000). *Discourse: Concept in the Social Science.* Open University Press.
- Howarth, D., & Stavrakakis, Y. (2000). Introducing Discourse Theory and Political Analysis. In D. Howarth, A. J. Norval, & D. Howarth (Eds.), *Discourse Theory And Political Analysis* (pp. 1–23). Manchester University Press.
- Hutagalung, D. (2008). Hegemoni dan Demokrasi Radikal Plural: Membaca Laclau dan Mouffe. In *Hegemoni dan Strategi Sosialis: Postmarxisme dan Gerakan Sosial Baru.* Resist Book.
- Jasinski, J. (2001). *Sourcebook on Rhetoric: Key Concepts in Contemporary Rhetorical Studies.* SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Jenkins, J. C. (1983). RESOURCE MOBILIZATION THEORY AND THE STUDY OF SOCIAL MOVEMENTS. In *Ann. Rev. Social* (Vol. 9). www.annualreviews.org
- Johnston, H., & Klandermans, B. (1995). The Cultural Analysis of Social Movements. In H. Johnston & B. Klandermans (Eds.), *Social Movements and Culture* (Vol. 4, pp. 3–24). University of Minnesota Press.
- Johnston, H., Larana, E., & Gusfield, J. R. (1994a). Identities, Grievances, and New Social Movements. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, & J. R. Gusfield (Eds.), *New Social Movements From Ideology to Identity* (pp. 3–35). Temple University Press.
- Johnston, H., Larana, E., & Gusfield, R. G. (1994b). Identities, Grievances, and New Social Movements. In H. Johnston, E. Larana, & R. G. Gusfield (Eds.), *New Social Movements: From Ideology to Identity* (pp. 3–35). Temple University.
- Jorgensen, M. W., & Phillips, L. J. (2017). *Analisis Wacana: Teori & Metode . Pustaka Pelajar.*
- Kaiser, J., & Puschmann, C. (2017). Alliance of antagonism: Counterpublics and polarization in online climate change communication. *Communication and the Public*, 2(4), 371–387. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2057047317732350>



- Kellner, D. (1998). Intellectuals, the New Public Spheres, and Techno-Politics. In C. Toulouse & W. L. Timothy (Eds.), *The Politics of Cyberspace: A New Political Science Reader* (pp. 167–186). Routledge.
- Kementerian Koordinator Bidang Perekonomian Republik Indonesia. (2020). *Apa Tujuan Utama RUU Cipta Kerja?* <https://ekon.go.id/publikasi/detail/271/apa-tujuan-utama-ruu-cipta-kerja>
- Kidd, D., & McIntosh, K. (2016a). Social Media and Social Movements. *Sociology Compass*, 10(9), 785–794. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12399>
- Kidd, D., & McIntosh, K. (2016b). Social Media and Social Movements. *Sociology Compass*, 10(9), 785–794. <https://doi.org/10.1111/soc4.12399>
- Kumar Pattnaik, B., & Panda, B. (2005). Perceiving the role of grassroots NGOs: From the new social movement perspective. In *Social Change* (Vol. 35, Issue 3).
- Laclau, E. (2005). *On Populist Reason*. Verso.
- Laclau, E., & Mouffe, C. (1985). *Hegemony and Socialist Strategy Towards a Radical Democratic Politics*. Verso.
- Laestadius, L. (2018). *Instagram In: The SAGE Handbook of Social Media Research Methods*. SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Lang, S. (2013). *NGOs, Civil Society, and the Public Sphere*. Cambridge University Press.
- Lim, M. (2013). Many Clicks but Little Sticks: Social Media Activism in Indonesia. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 43(4), 636–657. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00472336.2013.769386>
- Loader, B. D., & Mercea, D. (2011). Introduction networking democracy? Social media innovations and participatory politics. *Information Communication and Society*, 14(6), 757–769. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2011.592648>
- Lovejoy, K., & Saxton, G. D. (2012). Information, Community, and Action: How Nonprofit Organizations Use Social Media. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 17(3), 337–353. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2012.01576.x>
- Machin, D., & Mayr, A. (2012). *How to Do Critical Discourse Analysis A Multimodal Introduction*. SAGE Publication Ltd.
- MacKillop, E. (2018). How do empty signifiers lose credibility? The case of commissioning in English local government. *Critical Policy Studies*, 12(2), 187–208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19460171.2016.1236740>
- Magnusson, M. (2019). *State Power and Environmental NGOs in South Australia: Moving Towards a Sustainable Society?* University of Adelaide.
- Marchart, O. (2018). *Thinking Antagonism Political Ontology after Laclau*. Edinburgh University Press Ltd.
- Mashabi, S. (2020, November 4). *KSPI Beberkan Bukti Cacat Formil UU Cipta Kerja yang Diajukan ke MK*. Kompas.Com.
- Mattoni, A. (2012). *Media practices and protest politics: How precarious workers mobilise*. Ashgate.
- McAdam, D. (1994). Culture and Social Movements. In E. Larana, H. Johnston, & J. R. Gusfield (Eds.), *New Social Movements From Ideology to Identity* (pp. 36–57). Temple University Press.



- Medianti, U. S. (2020, May 14). *Presiden Partai Buruh: Tujuan Utama Demo 14 Mei Tolak Omnibus Law*. Idntimes.Com.
<https://www.idntimes.com/news/indonesia/uji-sukma-medianti-1/breaking-presiden-partai-buruh-tujuan-utama-demo-14-mei-tolak-omnibus-law>
- Melucci, A. (1989). *Nomads of the Present: Social Movements and Individual Needs in Contemporary society*. Hutchinson Radius.
- Melucci, A. (1996). *Challenging Code: Collective Action in the Information Age* (J. C. Alexander & S. Seidman, Eds.). Cambridge University Press.
- Milan, S. (2015). From social movements to cloud protesting: the evolution of collective identity. *Information Communication and Society*, 18(8), 887–900.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2015.1043135>
- Modonesi, M. (2019). *The Antagonistic Principle Marxism and Political Action* (Vol. 182). Koninklijke Bril.
- Mouffe, C. (2013a). *Hegemony, radical democracy, and the political* (J. Martin, Ed.). Routledge.
- Mouffe, C. (2013b). *Hegemony, Radical democracy, and the Political* (J. Martin, Ed.). Routledge.
- Mouffe, C. (2020). *The Democratic Paradox*. Verso.
- Mundt, M., Ross, K., & Burnett, C. M. (2018). Scaling Social Movements Through Social Media: The Case of Black Lives Matter. *Social Media and Society*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305118807911>
- Narwaya, S. T. G. (2021). Discourse Analysis in the Perspective of Ernesto Laclau and Chantal Mouffe. *Journal Communication Spectrum: Capturing New Perspectives in Communication*, 11(1), 1–11.
- Nien, W. L. (2017). What is the Role of Social Media in Establishing a Chain of Equivalence between Activists Participating in Protest Movements? In *Online Journal of Communication and Media Technologies*.
- Norval, A. J. (2000). Review Article: The Things We Do with Words – Contemporary Approaches to the Analysis of Ideology. *British Journal of Political Science*, 30(2), 313–346.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s0007123400000144>
- Offe, C. (1985). New Social Movements: Challenging the Boundaries of Institutional Politics. *Social Research*, 52(4), 817–868.
- Otto, B., & Böhm, S. (2006). “The people” and resistance against international business: The case of the Bolivian “water war.” *Critical Perspectives on International Business*, 2(4), 299–320.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/17422040610706631>
- Özdemir, B. P. (2012). Social Media as a Tool for Online Advocacy Campaigns: Greenpeace Mediterranean’s Anti Genetically Engineered Food Campaign in Turkey. *Global Media Journal*, 5(2), 23–39.
- Peeples, J. A. (2011). Downwind: Articulation and appropriation of social movement discourse. *Southern Communication Journal*, 76(3), 248–263.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/1041794x.2010.500516>
- Pezzullo, P. C., & Cox, R. (2018). *Environmental Communication and the Public Sphere* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications, Inc.



- Pezzulo, P. C., & Cox, R. (2018). *Environmental Communication and the Public Sphere* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Pichardo, N. A. (1997). New Social Movements: A Critical Review. In *Annu. Rev. Sociol* (Vol. 23). www.annualreviews.org
- Pramana, P. D., Utari, P., & Naini, A. M. I. (2021). Symbolic convergence of #ClimateCrisis: A content analysis of Greenpeace Indonesia campaign on Instagram. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 724(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/724/1/012101>
- Princen, T., & Finger, M. (1994). *Environmental NGOs in World Politics: Linking the Local and the Global*. Routledge.
- Princen, T., Finger, M., & Manno, J. P. (1994). *ranslational linkages. Environmental NGOs in World Politics: Linking the Local and the Global*. Routledge.
- Rizki, M. J. (2019, October 21). *Menyoal Ide Omnibus Law dalam Pidato Pelantikan Jokowi*. Hukumonline.Com. <https://www.hukumonline.com/berita/a/mensoal-ide-omnibus-law-dalam-pidato-pelantikan-jokowi-lt5dad8e0be9fc?page=1>
- Rosa, A. La. (2014). Social Media and Social Movements Around the World. In B. Patrut & M. Patrut (Eds.), *Social Media in Politics: Case Studies on the Political Power of Social Media* (Vol. 13, pp. 35–48). Springer.
- Sarnoto, A. Z., & Hayatina, L. (2021). Polarization of the Muslim community towards government policies in overcoming the COVID-19 pandemic in Indonesia. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(S1), 642. <https://doi.org/10.37028/lingcure.v5nS1.1449>
- Schäfer, M. S. (2012). Online communication on climate change and climate politics: A literature review. In *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change* (Vol. 3, Issue 6, pp. 527–543). Wiley-Blackwell. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.191>
- Schneeweis, A. M. A. (2005). Textual and Visual Representations of U.S. Hegemony in a U.S. Film Broadcast on Romanian Public Television. *Journal of Visual Literacy*, 25(1), 77–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23796529.2005.11674618>
- Sen, A. F. (2012). The Social Media as A Public Sphere: The Rise of Social Opposition. *International Conference on Communication, Media, Technology and Design*.
- Setiawan, A., Saputra, H. A., & Fridayani, H. D. (2021). POLITICAL COMMUNICATION AND PUBLIC SPHERE DEMOCRACY (AN ANALYSIS: THE HASHTAGS USAGE OF REJECTION THE OMNIBUS LAW 2020 ON TWITTER). *Profetik: Jurnal Komunikasi*, 14(1), 51. <https://doi.org/10.14421/pjk.v14i1.2106>
- Setiawan, V. N. (2020, October 6). *Bahaya Pasal-Pasal Omnibus Law UU Ciptaker yang Ancam Lingkungan Hidup*. Katadata.Co.Id.
- Shirky, C. (2011). The Political Power of Social Media: Technology, the Public Sphere, and Political Change. In *Source: Foreign Affairs* (Vol. 90, Issue 1).
- Singh, R. (2010). *Social Movement, Old and New: A Post-Modernist Critique (Gerakan Sosial Baru)*. Resist Book.



- Steinberg, M. W. (2002). Toward a More Dialogic Analysis of Social Movement Culture. In S. D. Meyer, N. Whittier, & B. Robnett (Eds.), *Social Movements: Identity, Culture, and the State* (pp. 208–225). Oxford University Press.
- Stekelenburg, J. van, & Klandermans, B. (2009). Social movement theory: Past, presence & prospects . In S. Ellis & I. van Kessel (Eds.), *Movers and Shakers Social Movements in Africa* (African Dynamics, Vol. 8, pp. 17–43). Brill.
- Stengel, F. A., & Nabers, D. (2019). Symposium: The contribution of Laclau's discourse theory to international relations and international political economy introduction. *New Political Science*, 41(2), 248–262.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/07393148.2019.1596683>
- Supardi, A. (2021, September 2). *Orangutan Kalimantan dan Ancaman Nyata Kehidupannya*. Mongabay.Co.Id.
<https://www.mongabay.co.id/2021/09/02/orangutan-kalimantan-dan-ancaman-nyata-kehidupannya/>
- Touraine, A. (1981). *The Voice and the Eye*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tuli, N., & Danish, A. (2021). Construction of Natures and Protests on Instagram: A Study of Virtual Environmental Activism in India During the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Networking Knowledge*, 2(14), 160–191.
- Ulfa, M., Vania, H. F., & Yoshio, A. (2021, June 30). *Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup Pasca UU Cipta Kerja*. Katadata.Co.Id.
<https://katadata.co.id/pengelolaan-lingkungan>
- Vahabzadeh, P. (2001). A Critique of Ultimate Referentiality in the New Social Movement Theory of Alberto Melucci. In *Source: The Canadian Journal of Sociology / Cahiers canadiens de sociologie* (Vol. 26, Issue 4).
- Van Leeuwen, T. (2005). *Introducing Social Semiotics*. Routledge.
- Walton, S., & Boon, B. (2014). Engaging with a Laclau & Mouffe informed discourse analysis: a proposed framework. *Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal*, 9(4), 351–370.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/QROM-10-2012-1106>
- Wapner, P. (1995). In Defense of Banner Hanger: The Dark Green Politics of Greenpeace. In B. R. Taylor (Ed.), *Ecological Resistance Movement: The Global Emergence of Radical and Popular Environmentalism* (pp. 300–314). State university of New York Press.
- Whittier, N. (2002). Meaning and Structure in Social Movements. In D. S. Meyer, N. Whittier, & B. Robnett (Eds.), *Social Movements: Identity, Culture, and the State* (pp. 289–308). Oxford University Press.
- Willets, P. (1996). "The Conscience of the World": The Influence of Non-Governmental Organizations in the UN System. Brookings Institution Press.
- Winters, J. A. (2011). *Oligarki*. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Yamin, F. (2001). NGOs and International Environmental Law: A Critical Evaluation of Their Roles and Responsibilities. In *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* (Vol. 10, Issue 2, pp. 149–162).



- Yaziji, M., & Doh, J. (2009). *NGOs and Corporations: Conflict and Collaboration* (R. E. Freeman, S. L. Hart, & D. Wheeler, Eds.). Cambridge University Press.
- Yuliawati, L., & Syaefullah. (2020, May 1). *Peringati May Day, Aliansi Buruh Tegaskan Tolak Omnibus Law*. Viva.Co.Id.
- Zald, N. M., & McCharty, J. D. (1977). *Resource mobilization and social movements: A partial theory*. In *Social Movements in an Organizational Society* (J. D. McCharty, Ed.; 1st ed.). Routledge.