DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Ali, M. (2006). *Manajemen Risiko: Strategi perbankan dan Dunia Usaha menghadapi tantangan globalisasi bisnis*. RajaGrafindo Persada.

Bank Indonesia. (2001). *Surat Edaran Bank Indonesia N0. 3/30/DPNP*. (3).

Bank Indonesia. (2011). *Surat Edaran BI No.13/24/DPNP tentang Penilaian Tingkat Kesehatan Bank Umum*.

Bank Indonesia. (2013). *Peraturan Bank Indonesia Nomor: 15/15/PBI/2013 Tentang Giro Wajib Minimum Bank Umum Dalam Rupiah Dan Valuta Asing Bagi Bank Umum Konvensional*.

Bank Indonesia. (2015). *Bank Indonesia Regulation No. 17/11/PBI/2015*.

Bank Indonesia, G. (2003). Peraturan Bank Indonsia nomor 5/8/PPBI/2003 tentang Penerapan Manajemen Risiko bagi Bank Umum. *Peraturan Bank Indonesia Nomor 5/8/Ppbi/2003*.

Bank Indonesia, G. (2004). *Surat Edaran Bank Indonesia No. 6/23/DPNP tahun 2004*.

Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. (2000). Principles for the Management of Credit Risk. *Basel*. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD012104

Bektas, E. (2014). *Are the determinants of bank net interest margin and spread different? The case of North Cyprus ”*. (April), 20–22.

Brock, P. L., & Suarez, L. R. (2000). *Understanding the behavior of bank spreads in Latin America*. *3878*(August 2018). https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3878(00)00102-4

Brown, K., & Moles, P. (2016). Credit Risk Management. *Credit Rating and Bank-Firm Relationships*, *2016*(1044), 20–47. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137496225_3

Crockett, A. (2008). Market liquidity and fi nancial stability. *Financial Stability Review*, (11), 13–17.

Dendawijaya, L. (2009). *Manajemen Perbankan*. Ghalia Indonesia.

Diamond, D. W., & Rajan, R. G. (2000). A Theory of Bank Capital. *The Journal of Finance*, *LV*(6), 2431–2465.

Diamond, D. W., & Rajan, R. G. (2001). Liquidity Risk, Liquidity Creation, and Financial Fragility: A Theory of Banking. *Journal of Political Economy*, *109*(2), 287–327. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-017-3734-4

Disalvo, J., & Johnston, R. (2017). The Rise in Loan-to-Deposit Ratios: Is 80 the New 60? *Banking Trends*, 18–23. Diambil dari https://www.philadelphiafed.org/-/media/research-and-data/publications/banking-trends/2017/bt-rise-in-loan-to-deposit-ratios.pdf?la=en

Drehmann, M., & Nikolaou, K. (2013). *Funding liquidity risk: Definition and measurement. Journal of Banking and Finance*. (316), 37(7), 2173-2182.

Entrop, O., Memmel, C., Ruprecht, B., & Wilkens, M. (2014). Determinants of Bank Interest Margins: Impact of Maturity Transformation. *JOURNAL OF BANKING FINANCE*. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2014.12.001

Furlong, F. T., & Keeley, M. C. (1990). CAPITAL REGULATION AND RANK RISK-TAKING. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *13*(1977), 883–891.

Greuning, H. Van, & Bratanovic, S. B. (2005). Analyzing banking risk. In *Analyzing banking risk*. https://doi.org/10.1596/0-8213-4417-x

Gujarati, D. N., & Porter, D. C. (2009). *Basic Econometrics* (5th Editio). McGraw-Hill.

Hoechle, D. (2007). *Robust standard errors for panel regressions with cross-sectional dependence*. (3), 281–312.

Hong, H., Huang, J. Z., & Wu, D. (2014). The information content of Basel III liquidity risk measures. *Journal of Financial Stability*, *15*, 91–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfs.2014.09.003

Islam, S., & Nishiyama, S. (2015). Research in International Business and Finance The determinants of bank net interest margins : A panel evidence from South Asian countries. *Research in International Business and Finance*, *37*, 501–514. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2016.01.024

Jeanne, O., & Svensson, L. E. O. (2007). Credible Commitment to Optimal Escape from a Liquidity Trap: The Role of the Balance Sheet of an Independent Central Bank. In *IMF Working Papers* (Vol. 04).

https://doi.org/10.5089/9781451857900.001

Jensen, M. C. (1986). Agency Cost of Free Cash Flow, Corporate Finance, and Takeovers. *Ssrn*, *76*(2), 323–329. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.99580

Judisseno, R. K. (2005). *Sistem Moneter Dan Perbankan Di Indonesia*. Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.

Jumingan. (2006). *Analsisi Laporan Keuangan* (Cetakan Pe). PT. Bumi Aksara, Jakarta.

Kaaya, I., & Pastory, D. (2013). *Credit Risk and Commercial Banks Performance in Tanzania : a Panel Data Analysis*. *4*(16), 55–63.

Kasmir, D. (2015). *Analisis Laporan Keuangan* (8th Editio). Jakarta: PT RajaGrafindo Persada.

Kayode, O. F., Obamuyi, T. M., Ayodeleowoputi, J., & Ademolaadeyefa, F. (2015). Credit Risk and Bank Performance in Nigeria. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, *6*(2), 21–28. https://doi.org/10.9790/5933-06222128

Khan, M. S., Scheule, H., & Wu, E. (2016). Funding liquidity and bank risk taking. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, *82*(September), 203–216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2016.09.005

Majid, A. R. A. (2003). Development of Liquidity Management Instruments: Challenges and Opportunities. *International Conference on Islamic Banking: Risk Management, Regulation and Supervision - 2003*, *3*, 1–24. Diambil dari http://www.sbp.org.pk/departments/ibd/Lecture_6_LIQUIDITY_MANAGEMENT.pdf

Marcus, A. J. (1984). Deregulation and Bank Financial Policy. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *8*(September 1983), 557–565.

Marozva, G. (2015). *Liquidity And Bank Performance*. *14*(3), 453–462.

Maudos, J., & Guevara, J. F. de. (2004). Factors explaining the interest margin in the banking sectors of the European Union. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *28*, 2259–2281. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2003.09.004

Maudos, J., & Solís, L. (2009). The determinants of net interest income in the Mexican banking system : An integrated model. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, *33*(10), 1920–1931. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2009.04.012

Naqvi, H., & Acharya, V. V. (2010). Online Appendix of "The Seeds of a Crisis: A Theory of Bank Liquidity and Risk-Taking Over the Business Cycle." *Ssrn*.

https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1689441

O'Sullivan, A., & Sheffrin, S. M. (2003). *O'Sullivan, Steven M. Sheffrin-Economics_ Principles in Action-Pearson (2002)*.

Raharjo, P. G., Hakim, D. B., Manurung, A. H., Nur, T., & Maulana, A. (2014). DETERMINANT OF CAPITAL RATIO : A PANEL DATA ANALYSIS ON STATE-OWNED BANKS IN INDONESIA. *Bulletin of Monetary, Economics and Banking*, *16*(4), 369–386.

Republik Indonesia. (1998). *UU No. 10 Tahun 1998 Tentang Perubahan Atas Undang-Undang Nomor 7 Tahun 1992 Tentang Perbankan*.

Repullo, R., Saurina, J., Trucharte, C., & Ravn, M. O. (2010). Mitigating the pro-cyclicality of Basel II. *Economic Policy*, *25*(64), 659–702. Diambil dari http://www.jstor.org/stable/40929919

Rose, P. S., & Hudgins, S. C. (2008). *Bank Management and Financial Services (7th Edition)*.

Saad, W., & El-Moussawi, C. (2012). The Determinants of Net Interest Margins of Commercial Banks in Lebanon. *Journal of Money, Investment and Banking*, *10*(23), 118–132.

Sabir, M., Ali, M., & Habbe, A. H. (2012). Pengaruh rasio kesehatan bank terhadap kinerja keuangan bank umum syariah dan bank konvensional di indonesia. *Jurnal Analisis*.

Saleh, I., & Afifa, M. A. (2020). The effect of credit risk , liquidity risk and bank capital on bank profitability : Evidence from an emerging market. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, *8*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1814509

Saunders, A., & Schumacher, L. (2000). The determinants of bank interest rate margins : an international study. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, *19*, 813–832.

Schindler, P. (2018). *Business Research Methods*. McGraw-Hill Education.

Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2017). *Metode Penelitian untuk Bisnis* (Edisi 6-Bu). Jakarta: Salemba Empat.

Sidabalok, L. R., & Viverita, V. (2016). *The Determinants of Net Interest Margin in the Indonesian Banking Sector*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1917367

Smith, A. (1776). *An Inquiry Into The Nature And Causes Of The Wealth Of Nations*.

Tarus, D. K., Chekol, B., & Mutwol, M. (2012). Determinants of Net Interest Margins of Commercial Banks in Kenya : A Panel Study. *2nd Annual International Conference on Accounting and Finance (AF 2012)*, *2*(Af), 199–208. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2212-5671(12)00080-9

Yudha, A., Chabachib, M., & Rini, I. (2017). Analysis Of The Effect Of NPL, NIM, Non Interest Income, And LDR Toward ROA With SIZE As Control Variables (Differences Study on Domestic and Foreign Banks Listed on BEI Period 2010 – 2015). *Jurnal Bisnis STRATEGI.*, *26*(2), 100 – 113.

Yuksel, S., & Zengin, S. (2017). *Influencing Factors of Net Interest Margin in Turkish Banking Sector*. *7*(1), 178–191.

Zhou, K., & Wong, M. C. S. (2008). *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade The Determinants of Net Interest Margins of Commercial Banks in Mainland China The Determinants of Net Interest Margins of Commercial Banks in Mainland China*. 37–41. https://doi.org/10.2753/REE1540-496X440503

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1
Data Sampel Bank

No.	Kode Saham	Nama Perusahaan	No.	Kode Saham	Nama Perusahaan
1	BBHI	Bank Allo Indonesia	21	BBMD	Bank Mestika Dharma
2	AMAR	Bank Amar Indonesia	22	BABP	Bank MNC International
3	INPC	Bank Artha Graha Int.	23	MASB	Bank Multiarta Sentosa
4	BTPN	Bank BTPN	24	NOBU	Bank Nationalnobu
5	BNBA	Bank Bumi Arta	25	BBNI	Bank Negara Indonesia
6	BACA	Bank Capital Indonesia	26	BBYB	Bank Neo Commerce
7	BBCA	Bank Central Asia	27	NISP	Bank OCBC NISP
8	MCOR	Bank China Construction	28	BSWD	Bank of India
9	BNGA	Bank CIMB Niaga	29	PNBN	Bank Pan Indonesia
10	BDMN	Bank Danamon Indonesia	30	BNLI	Bank Permata
11	BGTG	Bank Ganesha	31	BKSW	Bank QNB Indonesia
12	AGRS	Bank IBK Indonesia	32	BBRI	Bank Rakyat Indonesia
13	BINA	Bank Ina Perdana	33	AGRO	Bank Raya Indonesia
14	BCIC	Bank Jtrust Indonesia	34	BSIM	Bank Sinarmas
15	BBKP	Bank KB Bukopin	35	BBTN	Bank Tabungan Negara
16	BMRI	Bank Mandiri	36	BVIC	Bank Victoria International
17	BMAS	Bank Maspion	37	SDRA	Bank Woori Saudara
18	MAYA	Bank Mayapada International	38	BEKS	BPD Banten
19	BNII	Bank Maybank Indonesia	39	BJBR	BPD Jabar
20	MEGA	Bank Mega	40	BTJM	BPD Jatim

Lampiran 2
Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Statistik Deskriptif

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
NIM	198	.0460944	.0260605	-.0352	.193
ROA	198	.0086278	.0193133	-.085	.0474
ROE	198	.0364552	.1336753	-.6079	.2564
NPL	198	.0198742	.0151584	0	.0992
CAR	198	.2477308	.1174374	.0901	.9007
LDR	198	.8360164	.2123321	.1235	1.63
SIZE	198	17.36911	1.938064	12.22814	21.26885
BOPO	198	.904741	.2044607	.517	1.8062

Lampiran 3
Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Chow
Model 1: Dependen NIM

```
Fixed-effects (within) regression               Number of obs      =       198
Group variable: Tahun                           Number of groups   =         5

R-sq:                                           Obs per group:
     within  = 0.2698                                         min =        38
     between = 0.2306                                         avg =      39.6
     overall = 0.2651                                         max =        40

                                                F(5,188)           =     13.89
corr(u_i, Xb)  = 0.0291                         Prob > F           =    0.0000
```

NIM	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NPL	.2451253	.1286072	1.91	0.058	-.0085733	.4988238
CAR	.075519	.0152646	4.95	0.000	.0454071	.1056309
LDR	.0297175	.0077805	3.82	0.000	.0143691	.0450658
SIZE	.0014747	.0009592	1.54	0.126	-.0004175	.0033669
BOPO	-.0436529	.0099332	-4.39	0.000	-.0632477	-.0240581
_cons	.0115506	.0234131	0.49	0.622	-.0346354	.0577367
sigma_u	.00592273					
sigma_e	.02222068					
rho	.06633176	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

```
F test that all u_i=0: F(4, 188) = 2.35                     Prob > F = 0.0555
```

Model 2: Depdenden ROA

```
Fixed-effects (within) regression               Number of obs      =       198
Group variable: Tahun                           Number of groups   =         5

R-sq:                                           Obs per group:
     within  = 0.7061                                         min =        38
     between = 0.2385                                         avg =      39.6
     overall = 0.6985                                         max =        40

                                                F(5,188)           =     90.32
corr(u_i, Xb)  = -0.0288                        Prob > F           =     0.0000
```

ROA	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NPL	-.0043167	.0615701	-0.07	0.944	-.1257738	.1171403
CAR	.0161045	.0073079	2.20	0.029	.0016886	.0305204
LDR	.0037926	.0037249	1.02	0.310	-.0035553	.0111406
SIZE	.0011958	.0004592	2.60	0.010	.0002899	.0021016
BOPO	-.0737861	.0047555	-15.52	0.000	-.083167	-.0644051
_cons	.0475415	.0112089	4.24	0.000	.0254301	.0696528
sigma_u	.00239385					
sigma_e	.01063805					
rho	.04819676	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

```
F test that all u_i=0: F(4, 188) = 1.58                     Prob > F = 0.1804
```

Model 3: Dependen ROE

```
Fixed-effects (within) regression               Number of obs      =       198
Group variable: Tahun                           Number of groups   =         5

R-sq:                                           Obs per group:
     within  = 0.5032                                         min =        38
     between = 0.1046                                         avg =      39.6
     overall = 0.4945                                         max =        40

                                                F(5,188)           =     38.08
corr(u_i, Xb)  = -0.0159                        Prob > F           =     0.0000
```

ROE	Coef.	Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NPL	-.4458631	.5522657	-0.81	0.420	-1.535297	.6435709
CAR	.0668057	.0655493	1.02	0.309	-.062501	.1961124
LDR	-.0086313	.0334112	-0.26	0.796	-.0745403	.0572776
SIZE	.0137211	.0041191	3.33	0.001	.0055956	.0218467
BOPO	-.3795711	.042655	-8.90	0.000	-.4637151	-.2954272
_cons	.1410721	.1005406	1.40	0.162	-.0572605	.3394048
sigma_u	.02086257					
sigma_e	.0954202					
rho	.04562209	(fraction of variance due to u_i)				

```
F test that all u_i=0: F(4, 188) = 1.52                     Prob > F = 0.1993
```

Lampiran 4

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Hausman

Model 1: Dependen NIM

```
. hausman fe_full re_full
```

	Coefficients			
	(b) fe_full	(B) re_full	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
NPL	.2451253	.3179827	-.0728574	.020453
CAR	.075519	.0638862	.0116328	.0037743
LDR	.0297175	.0342329	-.0045155	.0015824
SIZE	.0014747	.0009199	.0005548	.0000997
BOPO	-.0436529	-.0506002	.0069473	.00191

```
                b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg
     B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

 Test:  Ho:  difference in coefficients not systematic

              chi2(5) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
                      =        3.73
            Prob>chi2 =      0.5890
            (V_b-V_B is not positive definite)
```

Model 2: Dependen ROA

	Coefficients			
	(b) fe_roa	(B) re_roa	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b S.E.
NPL	-.0043167	.0253651	-.0296818	.0123894
CAR	.0161045	.0108668	.0052377	.0020117
LDR	.0037926	.0061167	-.0023241	.0008839
SIZE	.0011958	.0010068	.000189	.0000744
BOPO	-.0737861	-.0757966	.0020106	.0010843

```
                b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained frc
     B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained frc

 Test:  Ho:  difference in coefficients not systematic

              chi2(5) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
                      =        7.56
            Prob>chi2 =      0.1825
            (V_b-V_B is not positive definite)
```

Model 3: Dependen ROE

	Coefficients (b) fe_roe	(B) re_roe	(b-B) Difference	sqrt(diag(V_b-V_B)) S.E.
NPL	-.4458631	-.1690547	-.2768084	.1129537
CAR	.0668057	.0219298	.0448759	.0181973
LDR	-.0086313	.0097955	-.0184268	.0080209
SIZE	.0137211	.0117478	.0019733	.0006841
BOPO	-.3795711	-.4036726	.0241014	.009849

b = consistent under Ho and Ha; obtained from xtreg
B = inconsistent under Ha, efficient under Ho; obtained from xtreg

Test: Ho: difference in coefficients not systematic

chi2(5) = (b-B)'[(V_b-V_B)^(-1)](b-B)
= 5.34
Prob>chi2 = 0.3756
(V_b-V_B is not positive definite)

Lampiran 5

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Langrangian Multiplier Breusch & Pagan

Model 1: Dependen NIM

Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test for random effects

NIM[Tahun,t] = Xb + u[Tahun] + e[Tahun,t]

Estimated results:

	Var	sd = sqrt(Var)
NIM	.0006792	.0260605
e	.0004938	.0222207
u	0	0

Test: Var(u) = 0
chibar2(01) = 0.00
Prob > chibar2 = 1.0000

Model 2: Dependen ROA

```
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test for random effects

        ROA[Tahun,t] = Xb + u[Tahun] + e[Tahun,t]

        Estimated results:
                         |       Var     sd = sqrt(Var)
                ---------+------------------------------
                     ROA |     .000373       .0193133
                       e |    .0001132        .010638
                       u |           0              0

        Test:   Var(u) = 0
                                 chibar2(01) =     0.00
                              Prob > chibar2 =   1.0000
```

Model 3: Dependen ROE

```
Breusch and Pagan Lagrangian multiplier test for random effects

        ROE[Tahun,t] = Xb + u[Tahun] + e[Tahun,t]

        Estimated results:
                         |       Var     sd = sqrt(Var)
                ---------+------------------------------
                     ROE |    .0178691       .1336753
                       e |     .009105       .0954202
                       u |           0              0

        Test:   Var(u) = 0
                                 chibar2(01) =     0.00
                              Prob > chibar2 =   1.0000
```

Lampiran 6

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Asumsi Klasik-Hasil Uji Normalitas

Model 1: Dependen NIM

```
                    Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality
                                                      ------- joint -------
    Variable |        Obs  Pr(Skewness)  Pr(Kurtosis) adj chi2(2)    Prob>chi2
-------------+-------------------------------------------------------------------
         res |        198      0.0754        0.0015       11.51         0.0032
```

Model 2: Dependen ROA

Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality

Variable	Obs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	joint adj chi2(2)	joint Prob>chi2
res_roa	198	0.0000	0.0000	48.74	0.0000

Model 3: Dependen ROE

Skewness/Kurtosis tests for Normality

Variable	Obs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	joint adj chi2(2)	joint Prob>chi2
res_roe	198	0.0000	0.0000	38.14	0.0000

Lampiran 7

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Asumsi Klasik-Hasil Uji Multikolinearitas

	NPL	CAR	LDR	SIZE	BOPO
NPL	1.0000				
CAR	-0.0604	1.0000			
LDR	0.0693	-0.1023	1.0000		
SIZE	-0.2569	-0.3196	0.0278	1.0000	
BOPO	0.5407	-0.0570	0.0206	-0.3491	1.0000

Variable	VIF	1/VIF
BOPO	1.54	0.648767
NPL	1.44	0.695684
SIZE	1.33	0.753814
CAR	1.17	0.854154
LDR	1.02	0.984963
Mean VIF	1.30	

Lampiran 8

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Asumsi Klasik-Hasil Uji Heteroskedastisitas

Model 1: Dependen NIM

```
Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
         Ho: Constant variance
         Variables: fitted values of NIM

         chi2(1)      =    41.57
         Prob > chi2  =   0.0000
```

Model 2: Dependen ROA

```
Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
         Ho: Constant variance
         Variables: fitted values of ROA

         chi2(1)      =    58.25
         Prob > chi2  =   0.0000
```

Model 3: Dependen ROE

```
Breusch-Pagan / Cook-Weisberg test for heteroskedasticity
         Ho: Constant variance
         Variables: fitted values of ROE

         chi2(1)      =    21.14
         Prob > chi2  =   0.0000
```

Lampiran 9

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Asumsi Klasik-Hasil Uji Autokorelasi

Model 1: Dependen NIM

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(*p*)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	82.983	1	0.0000

H0: no serial correlation

Model 2: Dependen ROA

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(*p*)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	17.789	1	0.0000

H0: no serial correlation

Model 3: Dependen ROE

Breusch-Godfrey LM test for autocorrelation

lags(*p*)	chi2	df	Prob > chi2
1	22.560	1	0.0000

H0: no serial correlation

Lampiran 10

Output STATA 14: Hasil Uji Regresi Linier Berganda- Driscoll Kraay Robust Standard Errors Estimator

Model 1: Dependen NIM

Linear regression

Number of obs	=	198
F(5, 192)	=	10.24
Prob > F	=	0.0000
R-squared	=	0.2715
Root MSE	=	.02253

NIM	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NPL	.3179827	.1747564	1.82	0.070	-.0267061	.6626715
CAR	.0638862	.0249104	2.56	0.011	.014753	.1130193
LDR	.0342329	.0108802	3.15	0.002	.0127729	.0556929
SIZE	.0009199	.000654	1.41	0.161	-.0003701	.00221
BOPO	-.0506002	.0119247	-4.24	0.000	-.0741204	-.0270799
_cons	.0251308	.0194751	1.29	0.198	-.0132819	.0635434

Model 2: Dependen ROA

Linear regression

Number of obs	= 198
F(5, 192)	= 137.03
Prob > F	= 0.0000
R-squared	= 0.7007
Root MSE	= .0107

ROA	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NPL	.0253651	.0573619	0.44	0.659	-.0877753	.1385055
CAR	.0108668	.0041419	2.62	0.009	.0026973	.0190364
LDR	.0061167	.0019616	3.12	0.002	.0022476	.0099858
SIZE	.0010068	.0004081	2.47	0.014	.0002019	.0018117
BOPO	-.0757966	.0053928	-14.06	0.000	-.0864334	-.0651598
_cons	.0514076	.0125013	4.11	0.000	.0267501	.0760652

Model 3: Dependen ROE

Linear regression

Number of obs	= 198
F(5, 192)	= 48.52
Prob > F	= 0.0000
R-squared	= 0.4981
Root MSE	= .09593

ROE	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NPL	-.1690547	.4934211	-0.34	0.732	-1.142277	.8041672
CAR	.0219298	.0545083	0.40	0.688	-.0855823	.1294418
LDR	.0097955	.025959	0.38	0.706	-.0414059	.0609968
SIZE	.0117478	.0041488	2.83	0.005	.0035647	.0199309
BOPO	-.4036726	.0672832	-6.00	0.000	-.5363816	-.2709635
_cons	.1873633	.1223432	1.53	0.127	-.0539459	.4286726

Pengujian Pengaruh NPL terhadap NIM

```
Linear regression                               Number of obs     =        198
                                                F(5, 192)         =      12.25
                                                Prob > F          =     0.0000
                                                R-squared         =     0.3263
                                                Root MSE          =      .0126
```

NPL	Coef.	Robust Std. Err.	t	P>|t|	[95% Conf.	Interval]
NIM	.0994804	.0450907	2.21	0.029	.0105437	.1884171
CAR	-.0137299	.0071835	-1.91	0.057	-.0278986	.0004389
LDR	.0004491	.0041262	0.11	0.913	-.0076893	.0085876
SIZE	-.0008692	.0004417	-1.97	0.051	-.0017405	2.08e-06
BOPO	.040953	.0060898	6.72	0.000	.0289415	.0529645
_cons	-.0036397	.0112468	-0.32	0.747	-.0258228	.0185434