

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akeroyd, F. M. (1991). A Practical Example of Grue. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 42(4), 535–539. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/687707>
- Annas, J., Barnes, J., & Sextus Empiricus. (2000). *Outlines of Scepticism* (Vol. 2). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Aristotle, Mure, G. R. G., Edgehill, E. M., Pickard-Cambridge, W. A., & Jenkinson, A. J. (2012). *The Organon: The Works of Aristotle on Logic*. CreateSpace.
- Aristotle. (1989). *Metaphysics*. Perseus Digital Library. <https://www.perseus.tufts.edu/hopper/text?doc=Perseus%3Atext%3A1999.01.0052%3Abook%3D13>
- Atkinson, David & Peijnenburg, Jeanne. (2017). Epistemic Justification. 10.1007/978-3-319-58295-5_2.
- Bahrum, B. (2013). Ontologi, Epistemologi dan Aksiologi. *Sulesana: Jurnal Wawasan Keislaman*, 8(2), 35-45. <https://doi.org/10.24252/v8i2.1276>
- Bakker, A., Zubair, A. C. (1990). *Metodologi Penelitian Filsafat / Anton Bakker; Ahmad Charris Zubair* (Cet. 1). Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Batens, D. (1971). The Paradoxes of Confirmation. *Revue Internationale de Philosophie*, 25(95/96 (1/2)), 101–118. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23940627>
- Cleve, J. V. (1984). Reliability, Justification, and the Problem of Induction. *Midwest Studies in Philosophy*, 9(1), 555–567. 10.1111/j.1475-4975.1984.tb00077.x
- Couvalis, G. (1997). Induction and Probability. In *The Philosophy of Science: Science and Objectivity* (pp. 36–61). essay, Sage Publications Inc
- Dowden, B. H. (2011). Inductive Reasoning. In *Logical Reasoning* (pp. 432–487). California State University Sacramento.
- Feigl, H. (1934). The Logical Character of the Principle of Induction. *Philosophy of Science*, 1(1), 20-29.
- Feyerabend, P. K. (1968). A Note On Two ‘Problems’ of Induction. *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, 19(3), 251-253.
- Feyerabend, P. K. (1981). “*Realism, Rationalism and Scientific Method: Volume I: Philosophical Papers*” (No. 1). Cambridge University Press.
- Feyerabend, P. (1993). *Against Method* (3rd ed.). London and New York: Verso.
- Fišerová, N. (2019). Feyerabend’s Alternative Theories within Goodman’s Worldmaking. *Organon F*, 27(3), 302-324.
- Floridi, L. (1993). The Problem of the Justification of a Theory of Knowledge: Part I: Some Historical Metamorphoses. *Journal for General Philosophy of Science / Zeitschrift Für Allgemeine Wissenschaftstheorie*, 24(2), 205–233. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25170963>
- Giovannelli, A. (2017). Goodman's aesthetics. *Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- Gettier, E. L., & Passmore, J. (1965). Philosophical reasoning. *The Philosophical Review*, 74(2), 266. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2183277>
- Godfrey-Smith, P. (2003). Theory and reality. In *Theory and Reality*. University of Chicago Press.

- Goodman, N. (1946). A Query on Confirmation. *The Journal of Philosophy*, 43(14), pp. 383–85. doi.org/10.2307/2020332
- Goodman, N. (1947). On Infirmities of Confirmation-Theory. *Philosophy and Phenomenological*
- Goodman, N. (1983). *Fact, Fiction, and Forecast* (4th ed), Harvard Univ. Press.
- Grattan-Guinness, I. (2004). Karl Popper and the “The Problem of Induction”: A Fresh Look at the Logic of Testing Scientific Theories. *Erkenntnis* (1975-), 60(1), 107–120. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20013246>
- Hawthorne, J. (2021). Inductive Logic. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Spring 2021 Edition), Edward N. Zalta (ed.). <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2021/entries/logic-inductive/>.
- Hempel, C. G. (1945). Mind. *Studies in the Logic of Confirmation* (I.), 54 (213), 1–26. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2250886>
- Henderson, Leah. (2022). "The Problem of Induction". *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2022 Edition). Edward N. Zalta & Uri Nodelman (eds.). <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2022/entries/induction-problem/>.
- Hertogh, C.P. (2020). Goodman's Grue — *Relativized Pluralism and Paradigmatic Thought Experiment (Whack)*. 3. pp. 64-103.
- Hume, D. (1739). *A Treatise of Human Nature* (L. A. Selby-Bigge, Ed.; 2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Hume, D. (2017). Skeptical Solution of These Doubts. *In Enquiry Concerning Human Understanding* (pp. 19–27).
- Hume, D. (1757). *The Natural History of Religion and Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oseo/instance.00044083>
- Johannesson, E. (2022). The Statistical Riddle of Induction. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*. doi.org/10.1080/00048402.2021.2013909
- Jones, G., & Perry, C. (1982). Popper, Induction and Falsification. *Erkenntnis* (1975-), 18(1), 97–104. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20010796>
- Kail, P. J. E. (2011). Hume's 'A Treatise of Human Nature': An Introduction. *British Journal for the History of Philosophy* 19 (1):156-160.
- Lange, M. (2011). Hume and the Problem of Induction. *Handbook of the History of Logic*, Vol 10. [doi.org/doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52936-7.50002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52936-7.50002-1)
- Lombard, A. (2019). The Problem of Induction in Cosmology. *ANU Undergraduate Research Journal*, 9, pp. 138–145.
- Hesse, Mary. “Ramifications of ‘Grue.’” *The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science*, vol. 20, no. 1, 1969, pp. 13–25. JSTOR, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/686715>. Accessed 8 Dec. 2022.
- Hesse, M. (2020). The Grue Paradox. *In The Structure of Scientific Inference* (pp. 75-88). Berkeley: University of California Press. doi.org/10.1525/9780520313316-00
- McGowan, M. K. (2003). Realism, Reference and Grue (Why Metaphysical Realism Cannot Solve the Grue Paradox). *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 40(1), 47–57. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20010096>

- Millgram, E. (2009). John Stuart Mill, determinism, and the problem of induction. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*, 87(2), 183–199. doi.org/10.1080/00048400802370300
- Mueller, A., & Koertge, N. (Ed.) (2008). Goodman, Nelson. In N. Koertge (Ed.), *Complete Dictionary of Scientific Biography* (Vol. 21, pp. 148-152). Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Mukesh, R. (1990). *The Problem of Justification of Induction*. University of North Bengal.
- Nattassha, R. (2012). Masalah Induksi: Raven Paradox. *IF2091 Struktur Diskrit*. Sem. I Tahun 2011/2012.
- Parent, W. A. (1976). An Interpretation of Hume's "Dialogues." *The Review of Metaphysics*, 30(1), 96–114. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20126887>
- Popper, K. (2002). *The Logic of Scientific Discovery*. Routledge.
- Quine, W. V. (1969). Natural kinds. In *Essays in honor of Carl G. Hempel: A tribute on the occasion of his sixty-fifth birthday* (pp. 5-23). Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands.
- Rogers, A. K. (1898). Epistemology and Experience. *The Philosophical Review*, 7(5), 466–484. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2177111>
- Ross, W. D. (1949). *Aristotle's Prior and Posterior Analytics*. Oxford University Press.
- Salmon, W. C. (1963). On Vindicating Induction. *Philosophy of Science*, 30(3), 252–261. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/186237>
- Salmon, W. C. (1978). Unfinished Business: The Problem of Induction. *Philosophical Studies: An International Journal for Philosophy in the Analytic Tradition*, 33(1), 1–19. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/4319193>
- Samuel McCormick. (2014). Argument by Comparison: An Ancient Typology. *Rhetorica: A Journal of the History of Rhetoric*, 32(2), 148–164. doi.org/10.1525/rh.2014.32.2.148
- Smith, Robin. (2022). Aristotle's Logic. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Winter 2022 Edition), Edward N. Zalta & Uri Nodelman (eds.), <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2022/entries/aristotle-logic/>.
- Sorensen, R.A. (2018). Epistemic Paradoxes. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* (Summer 2018 Edition), Zalta, Edward N. (Ed.). plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2018/entries/epistemic-paradoxes
- Sprenger, J. (2020). Hempel and the Paradoxes of Confirmation. In *Handbook of the History of Logic* (Vol. 10, pp. 235-263). North-Holland.
- Stemmer, N. (2004). The Goodman Paradox: Three Different Problems and a Naturalistic Solution to Two of Them. *Journal for General Philosophy of Science / Zeitschrift Für Allgemeine Wissenschaftstheorie*, 35(2), 351–370. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25171291>
- Titus, M. (1993). Philosophy And Generalization. *Metaphilosophy*, 24(3), 241–252. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24439007>
- Vlasits, J. (2020). The First Riddle of Induction: Sextus Empiricus and the Formal Learning Theorists. *Epistemology After Sextus Empiricus*, 237–253. doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190946302.003.0012