



van Acker, W. and Bouckaert, G. (2018) What makes public sector innovations survive? An exploratory study of the influence of feedback, accountability, and learning. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 84 (2): 249–268. doi:10.1177/0020852317700481.

Åhlfeldt, E., Isaksson, D. and Winblad, U. (2023). Factors Explaining Program Sustainability: A Study of the Implementation of a Social Services Program in Sweden. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 2023: 1–13. doi:10.1155/2023/1458305.

Ajzen, I. (2020). The theory of planned behavior: Frequently asked questions. *Human Behavior and Emerging Technologies*, 2 (4): 314–324. doi:10.1002/hbe2.195.

Alford, J. (2009). *Engaging Public Sector Clients: From Service-Delivery to Co-production*.

Alves, H. (2013). Co-creation and innovation in public services. *The Service Industries Journal*, 33 (7–8): pp. 671–682. doi:10.1080/02642069.2013.740468.

Andersen, S.C. and Jakobsen, M.L. (2018). Political Pressure, Conformity Pressure, and Performance Information as Drivers of Public Sector Innovation Adoption. *International Public Management Journal*, 21 (2): 213–242. doi:10.1080/10967494.2018.1425227.

Apriliyanti, I.D., Kusumasari, B., Pramusinto, A., et al. (2021). Digital divide in ASEAN member states: analyzing the critical factors for successful e-government programs. *Online Information Review*, 45 (2): 440–460. doi:10.1108/OIR-05-2020-0158.

Ashariani, R. (2022). Sectoral Waste Bank Management Strategy Tallo District Makassar City. *eprints Universitas Negeri Makassar*. Available at: <http://eprints.unm.ac.id/id/eprint/23467> (Accessed: 22 June 2023).

Bankins, S., Denness, B., Kriz, A., et al. (2017). Innovation Agents in the Public Sector: Applying Champion and Promotor Theory to Explore Innovation in the Australian Public Service. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 76 (1): 122–137. doi:10.1111/1467-8500.12198.

Bhatti, Y., Olsen, A.L. and Pedersen, L.H. (2011). Administrative Professionals and The Diffusion of Innovations: The Case of Citizen Service Centres. *Public Administration*, 89 (2): 577–594. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01882.x.

Birchall, J. & Simmons, R. (2004). *User Power: The Participation of Users in Public Services*. National Consumer Council. Available at: https://dspace.stir.ac.uk/bitstream/1893/3261/1/NCC071ft_user_power.pdf (Downloaded: 21 June 2023).

Borins, S. (1999). What border? Public management innovation in the United States and Canada. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 19 (1): 46–74. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1520-6688(200024)19:1<46::AID-PAM4>3.0.CO;2-Z.

Boschma, R. (2005). Proximity and Innovation: A Critical Assessment. *Regional Studies*, 39 (1): 61–74. doi:10.1080/0034340052000320887.

Bovaird, T. (2007). Beyond Engagement and Participation: User and Community Co-production of Public Services. *Public Administration Review*, 67 (5): 846–860. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00773.x.



Brown, L. (2007). The Adoption and Implementation of a Service Innovation in a Social Work Setting – a Case Study of Family Group Conferencing in the UK. *Social Policy and Society*, 6 (3): 321–332. doi:10.1017/S147474640700365X.

Brown, L. (2010). Balancing Risk and Innovation to Improve Social Work Practice. *The British Journal of Social Work*, 40 (4): 1211–1228. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/43687516>.

Brown, L. and Osborne, S.P. (2013) Risk and Innovation. *Public Management Review*, 15 (2): 186–208. doi:10.1080/14719037.2012.707681.

Campomori, F. and Casula, M. (2022) Institutionalizing innovation in welfare local services through co-production: toward a Neo-Weberian State? *Italian Political Science Review/Rivista Italiana di Scienza Politica*, 52 (3): 313–327. doi:10.1017/ipo.2021.43.

Carter, L. and Bélanger, F. (2005) The utilization of e-government services: citizen trust, innovation and acceptance factors. *Information Systems Journal*, 15 (1): 5–25. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2575.2005.00183.x.

Carter, N., Bryant-Lukosius, D., DiCenso, A., et al. (2014) The Use of Triangulation in Qualitative Research. *Oncology Nursing Forum*, 41 (5): 545–547. doi:10.1188/14.ONF.545-547.

Cinar, E., Simms, C., Trott, P., et al. (2022) Public sector innovation in context: A comparative study of innovation types. *Public Management Review*, pp. 1–29. doi:10.1080/14719037.2022.2080860.

Cinar, E., Trott, P. and Simms, C. (2019) A systematic review of barriers to public sector innovation process. *Public Management Review*, 21 (2): 264–290. doi:10.1080/14719037.2018.1473477.

Clausen, T.H., Demircioglu, M.A. and Alsos, G.A. (2020) Intensity of innovation in public sector organizations: The role of push and pull factors. *Public Administration*, 98 (1): 159–176. doi:10.1111/padm.12617.

Congleton, R.D. (2015) The “Logic of Collective Action” and beyond. *Public Choice*, 164 (3/4): 217–234. Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/24768826>.

Coracero, E.E., Gallego, R.J., Frago, K.J.M., et al. (2021) A Long-Standing Problem: A Review on the Solid Waste Management in the Philippines. *Indonesian Journal of Social and Environmental Issues (IJSEI)*, 2 (3): 213–220. doi:10.47540/ijsei.v2i3.144.

Crowe, S., Cresswell, K., Robertson, A., et al. (2011) The case study approach. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 11 (1): 100. doi:10.1186/1471-2288-11-100.

Davis, F.D. (1989) Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13 (3): 319–340. doi:10.2307/249008.

DeJonckheere, M. and Vaughn, L.M. (2019) Semi-structured interviewing in primary care research: a balance of relationship and rigour. *Family Medicine and Community Health*, 7 (2): e000057. doi:10.1136/fmch-2018-000057.

Denford, J.S., Dawson, G.S., Desouza, K.C., et al. (2022) Assessing the relevance of governmental characteristics to address wicked problems in turbulent times. *Public Management Review*, pp. 1–22. doi:10.1080/14719037.2022.2124535.

Durose, C., Needham, C., Mangan, C., et al. (2017) Generating ‘good enough’ evidence for co-production. *Evidence & Policy*, 13 (1): 135–151. doi:10.1332/174426415X14440619792955.



Feng, C., Wang, Y., Kang, R., et al. (2021) Characteristics and Driving Factors of Spatial Association Network of China's Renewable Energy Technology Innovation. *Frontiers in Energy Research*, 9. doi:10.3389/fenrg.2021.686985.

Flemig, S., Osborne, S. and Kinder, T. (2016) Risky business—reconceptualizing risk and innovation in public services. *Public Money & Management*, 36 (6): 425–432. doi:10.1080/09540962.2016.1206751.

Gibbs, G. (2007) *Analyzing Qualitative Data*. 1 Oliver's Yard, 55 City Road, London England EC1Y 1SP United Kingdom : SAGE Publications, Ltd. doi:10.4135/9781849208574.

Gioia, D.A., Corley, K.G. and Hamilton, A.L. (2013) Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16 (1): 15–31. doi:10.1177/1094428112452151.

Greenaway, J., Salter, B. and Hart, S. (2007) How Policy Network Can Damage Democratic Health: A Case Study in The Government of Governance. *Public Administration*, 85 (3): 717–738. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00661.x.

Greenhalgh, T., Robert, G., Macfarlane, F., et al. (2004) Diffusion of Innovations in Service Organizations: Systematic Review and Recommendations. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 82 (4): 581–629. doi:10.1111/j.0887-378X.2004.00325.x.

Gullmark, P. and Clausen, T.H. (2023) In search of innovation capability and its sources in local government organizations: a critical interpretative synthesis of the literature. *International Public Management Journal*, 26 (2): 258–280. doi:10.1080/10967494.2022.2157917.

Hartley, J. (2005) Innovation in Governance and Public Services: Past and Present. *Public Money & Management*, 25 (1): 27–34. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9302.2005.00447.x.

Hartley, J., Sørensen, E. and Torfing, J. (2013a) Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. *Public Administration Review*, 73 (6): 821–830. doi:10.1111/puar.12136.

Hartley, J., Sørensen, E. and Torfing, J. (2013b) Collaborative Innovation: A Viable Alternative to Market Competition and Organizational Entrepreneurship. *Public Administration Review*, 73 (6): 821–830. doi:10.1111/puar.12136.

Head, BW (2019) Forty years of wicked problems literature: forging closer links to policy studies. *Policy and Society*, 38 (2): 180–197. doi:10.1080/14494035.2018.1488797.

Heale, R. and Twycross, A. (2018) What is a case study? *Evidence Based Nursing*, 21 (1): 7–8. doi:10.1136/eb-2017-102845.

Holum, M. (2022) Citizen Participation: Linking Government Efforts, Actual Participation, and Trust in Local Politicians. *International Journal of Public Administration*, pp. 1–11. doi:10.1080/01900692.2022.2048667.

Hou, X., Li, R. and Song, Z. (2022) A Bibliometric Analysis of Wicked Problems: From Single Discipline to Transdisciplinarity. *Fudan Journal of the Humanities and Social Sciences*, 15 (3): 299–329. doi:10.1007/s40647-022-00346-w.

Jamaludin, M. and Yusof, ZB (2013) Best Practice of Green Island Resorts. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 105: 20–29. doi:10.1016/j.sbspro.2013.11.003.



- Korac, S., Saliterer, I. and Walker, R.M. (2017) Analysing the environmental antecedents of innovation adoption among politicians and public managers. *Public Management Review*, 19 (4): 566–587. doi:10.1080/14719037.2016.1200119.
- Korteland, E. and Bekkers, V. (2008) The diffusion of electronic service delivery innovations in dutch E-policing: The case of digital warning systems. *Public Management Review*, 10 (1): 71–88. doi:10.1080/14719030701763195.
- Kusumasari, B., Pramusinto, A., Santoso, A., et al. (2019) What Shapes Public Sector Innovation? *Public Policy and Administration*, pp. 430–446.
- Lyver, M. and Lu, T.-J. (2018) Sustaining Innovation Performance in SMEs: Exploring the Roles of Strategic Entrepreneurship and IT Capabilities. *Sustainability*, 10 (2): 442. doi:10.3390/su10020442.
- Marshall, F. and Dolley, J. (2019) Transformative innovation in peri-urban Asia. *Research Policy*, 48 (4): 983–992. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2018.10.007.
- Meyer, R., Egger-Peitler, I., Hollerer, M., et al. (2014) OF BUREAUCRATS AND PASSIONATE PUBLIC MANAGERS: INSTITUTIONAL LOGICS, EXECUTIVE IDENTITIES, AND PUBLIC SERVICE MOTIVATION. *Public Administration*, 92 (4): 861–885. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2012.02105.x.
- Nabatchi, T., Sancino, A. and Sicilia, M. (2017) Varieties of Participation in Public Services: The Who, When, and What of Co-production. *Public Administration Review*, 77 (5): 766–776. doi:10.1111/puar.12765.
- Oh, J. and Hettiarachchi, H. (2020) Collective Action in Waste Management: A Comparative Study of Recycling and Recovery Initiatives from Brazil, Indonesia, and Nigeria Using the Institutional Analysis and Development Framework. *Recycling*, 5 (1): 4. doi:10.3390/recycling5010004.
- Osborne, S.P. and Brown, L. (2011) INNOVATION, PUBLIC POLICY AND PUBLIC SERVICES DELIVERY IN THE UK. THE WORD THAT WOULD BE KING? *Public Administration*, 89 (4): 1335–1350. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.01932.x.
- Osborne, S.P., Radnor, Z. and Strokosch, K. (2016) Co-Production and the Co-Creation of Value in Public Services: A suitable case for treatment? *Public Management Review*, 18 (5): 639–653. doi:10.1080/14719037.2015.1111927.
- Osborne, S.P. and Strokosch, K. (2013) It takes Two to Tango? Understanding the Co-production of Public Services by Integrating the Services Management and Public Administration Perspectives. *British Journal of Management*, 24: S31–S47. doi:10.1111/1467-8551.12010.
- Osborne, S.P. and Strokosch, K. (2022) Participation: Add-on or core component of public service delivery? *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 81 (1): 181–200. doi:10.1111/1467-8500.12536.
- Piatak, J.S. (2016) Time Is on My Side. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 45 (6): 1169–1190. doi:10.1177/0899764016628295.
- Pollit, C. and Bouckaert, G. (2017) *Public Management Reform: A Comparative Analysis into the Age of Austerity*. 4th ed. Oxford University Press.
- Pollitt, C., Bouckaert, G. and Löffler, E. (2006) *Making quality sustainable: co-design, co-decide, co-produce and co-evaluate*. Ministry of Finance Finland.



- Polzer, T. and Goncharenko, G. (2022) The UK COVID-19 app: The failed co-production of a digital public service. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 38 (2): 281–298. doi:10.1111/faam.12307.
- Porta, D. and Keating, M. (2008) *Approaches and Methodologies in the Social Sciences*. Della Porta, D. and Keating, M. (eds.). Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511801938.
- Pradana, IPYB, Susanto, E. and Kumorotomo, W. (2022) Analyzing the critical factors for innovation sustainability in the public sector: evidence from Indonesia. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 35 (7): 733–748. doi:10.1108/IJPSM-02-2022-0044.
- Pratama, AB (2019) The landscape of public service innovation in Indonesia. *Innovation & Management Review*, 17 (1): 25–40. doi:10.1108/INMR-11-2018-0080.
- Pressman, J. and Wildavsky, A. (1984) *Implementation*. 3rd ed. Berkeley, CA: University of Cal Press.
- Rana, N.P., Dwivedi, YK and Williams, M.D. (2015) A meta-analysis of existing research on citizen adoption of e-government. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17 (3): 547–563. doi:10.1007/s10796-013-9431-z.
- Raus, M., Flügge, B. and Boutellier, R. (2009) Electronic customs innovation: An improvement of governmental infrastructures. *Government Information Quarterly*, 26 (2): 246–256. doi:10.1016/j.giq.2008.11.008.
- Rocque, M., Welsh, B.C., Greenwood, P.W., et al. (2014) Implementing and Sustaining Evidence-Based Practice in Juvenile Justice. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 58 (9): 1033–1057. doi:10.1177/0306624X13490661.
- Rogers, E. (2003) *Diffusion of Innovations*. 5th ed. New York: Free Press.
- Salge, T.O. and Vera, A. (2012) Benefiting from Public Sector Innovation: The Moderating Role of Customer and Learning Orientation. *Public Administration Review*, 72 (4): 550–559. doi:10.1111/j.1540-6210.2012.02529.x.
- Scheirer, M.A. (2005). Is Sustainability Possible? A Review and Commentary on Empirical Studies of Program Sustainability. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 26 (3): 320–347. doi:10.1177/1098214005278752.
- Scheirer, M.A. and Dearing, J.W. (2011). An Agenda for Research on the Sustainability of Public Health Programs. *American Journal of Public Health*, 101 (11): 2059–2067. doi:10.2105/AJPH.2011.300193.
- Shea, C.M. and Belden, C.M. (2015). What is the extent of research on the characteristics, behaviors, and impacts of health information technology champions? A scoping review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 16 (1): 2. doi:10.1186/s12911-016-0240-4.
- Shediac-Rizkallah, M.C. and Bone, L.R. (1998). Planning for the sustainability of community-based health programs: conceptual frameworks and future directions for research, practice and policy. *Health Education Research*, 13 (1): 87–108. doi:10.1093/her/13.1.87.
- Sicilia, M., Guarini, E., Sancino, A., et al. (2016). Public services management and co-production in multi-level governance settings. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 82 (1): 8–27. doi:10.1177/0020852314566008.



Sørensen, E., Bryson, J. and Crosby, B. (2021). How public leaders can promote public value through co-creation. *Policy & Politics*, 49 (2): 267–286. doi:10.1332/030557321X16119271739728.

Sørensen, E. and Torfing, J. (2011). Enhancing Collaborative Innovation in the Public Sector. *Administration & Society*, 43 (8): 842–868. doi:10.1177/0095399711418768.

Sorrentino, M., Sicilia, M. & Howlett, M. (2018). Understanding co-production as a new public governance tool. *Policy and Society*, 37 (3): 277–293. doi:10.1080/14494035.2018.1521676.

Sulselnet.com (2020) *Warga Sebut Bank Sampah Unit Kurang Perhatian Saat Danny Pomanto Tak Menjabat*. Available at: <https://sulselnet.com/2020/11/06/warga-sebut-bank-sampah-unit-kurang-perhatian-saat-danny-pomanto-tak-menjabat/> (Accessed: 22 June 2023).

Termeer, CJAM, Dewulf, A. and Biesbroek, R. (2019). A critical assessment of the wicked problem concept: relevance and usefulness for policy science and practice. *Policy and Society*, 38 (2): 167–179. doi:10.1080/14494035.2019.1617971.

Thapa, B.E.P., Niehaves, B., Seidel, C.E., et al. (2015). Citizen involvement in public sector innovation: Government and citizen perspectives. *Information Polity*, 20 (1): 3–17. doi:10.3233/IP-150351.

Torfing, J. (2019). Collaborative innovation in the public sector: the argument. *Public Management Review*, 21 (1): 1–11. doi:10.1080/14719037.2018.1430248.

Torfing, J., Sørensen, E. and Røiseland, A. (2016). Transforming the Public Sector Into an Arena for Co-Creation: Barriers, Drivers, Benefits, and Ways Forward. *Administration & Society*, 51 (5): 795–825. doi:10.1177/0095399716680057.

Toye, F., Seers, K., Allcock, N., et al. (2013). A meta-ethnography of patients' experience of chronic non-malignant musculoskeletal pain. *Health Services and Delivery Research*, 1 (12): 1–190. doi:10.3310/hsdr01120.

Turnbull, D., Chugh, R. and Luck, J. (2021) The Use of Case Study Design in Learning Management System Research: A Label of Convenience? *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 20: 160940692110041. doi:10.1177/16094069211004148.

Ujungjari.com (2020) *Aktivitas Bank Sampah Menurun Selama Pandemi Covid-19*.

UNESCAP (2013) *Urbanization trends in Asia and the Pacific*. Available at: <https://www.unescap.org/resources/urbanization-trends-asia-and-pacific> (Accessed: 16 March 2023).

De Vries, H., Bekkers, V. and Tummers, L. (2016). INNOVATION IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND FUTURE RESEARCH AGENDA. *Public Administration*, 94 (1): 146–166. doi:10.1111/padm.12209.

de Vries, H., Tummers, L. and Bekkers, V. (2018). The Diffusion and Adoption of Public Sector Innovations: A Meta-Synthesis of the Literature. *Perspectives on Public Management and Governance*, 1 (3): 159–176. doi:10.1093/ppmgov/gvy001.

Walker, R.M. (2007). An Empirical Evaluation of Innovation Types and Organizational and Environmental Characteristics: Towards a Configuration Framework. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 18 (4): 591–615. doi:10.1093/jopart/mum026.



Walker, R.M. (2014). Internal and External Antecedents of Process Innovation: A review and extension. *Public Management Review*, 16 (1): 21–44. doi:10.1080/14719037.2013.771698.

Walti, S., Kujbler, D. and Papadopoulos, Y. (2004). How Democratic Is "Governance"? Lessons from Swiss Drug Policy. *Governance*, 17 (1): 83–113. doi:10.1111/j.0952-1895.2004.00238.x.

Wang, J., Liu, N. and Ruan, Y. (2020). Influence Factors of Spatial Distribution of Urban Innovation Activities Based on Ensemble Learning: A Case Study in Hangzhou, China. *Sustainability*, 12 (3): 1016. doi:10.3390/su12031016.

Wigfall, V. (2005). Putting Programme into Practice: The Introduction of Concurrent Planning into Mainstream Adoption and Fostering Services. *British Journal of Social Work*, 36 (1): 41–55. doi:10.1093/bjsw/bch250.

World Bank (2019) *Brief: Solid Waste Management*. Available at:
<https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/urbandevelopment/brief/solid-waste-management>
(Accessed: 16 April 2023).

Yin, R. (2014). *Case Study Research Design and Methods*. 5th ed. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Yin, R. (2018). *Case Study Research and Applications*. 6th ed. Los Angeles: SAGE.