

## REFERENSI

- Abu-Shanab, Emad. (2018). The Influence of Knowledge Management Practices on E-Government Success: A Proposed Framework Tested. *Trasnforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, Vol. 12, No. ¾. Doi: 10.1108/TG-02-2018-0016
- Ahn, T., Ryu, S., & Han, I. (2007). The Impact Of Web Quality And Playfulness On User Acceptance Of Online Retailing. *Information Management*, 44 (3), 263–275
- Alameria, M., Isaac, O., Ameenc, A., & Bhaumik, A. (2019). Antecedent and Consequence of Internet Usage: An Empirical Study among Government Employees in UAE. *Internation Journal. Emerg Techonology*, vol. 10, no. 1a, pp. 41–49.
- Alawneh, A., Al-Refai, H., & Batiha, K. 2013. Measuring user satisfaction from e-Government services: Lessons from Jordan. *Government Information Quarterly*, 30, 277–288.
- Alshaher, Ali. (2021). IT Capabiliy as a Fundamental of Electronic Government System Success in Developing Countries from User Perspectives. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, Vol. 15, No. 1
- AlKhatib, H. (2013). E-Government systems success and user acceptance in developing countries: the role of perceived support quality. *Doctoral Thesis, Brunel University, London*. pp. 1-389.
- Alzahrani, A. I., Mahmud, I., Ramayah, T., Alfarraj, O., & Alalwan, N. (2019). Modelling digital library success using the DeLone and McLean information system success model. *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* 51 (2), 291–306.
- Asgarkhani, M. (2005). The Effectiveness of e-Service in Local Government: A Case Study. *The Eletctronic Journal of e-Government*, Volume 3 Issue 4,, 157-166.
- Ashal, Rezeky Ana. (2020). Pengaruh *Work From Home* terhadap Kinerja Aparatur Sipil Negara di Kantor Imigrasi Kelas I Khusus TPI Medan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Kebijakan Hukum*, Vol. 14, No. 2; 223-242
- Audrone, N., Ilona, B., Bernadeta, G. (2019). Working from Home: characteristics and outcomes of telework. in *International Journal of Manpower*. doi: 10.1108/IJM-07-2017-0172.
- Avotra, Andrianarivo A.R.Ny., Chengang, Ye., Marcelline, R.S., Asad, Ali., & Yingfei, Yang. (2021). Examining the Impact of E-Government on Corporate Social Responsibility Performance: The Meditating Effect of Mandatory Corporate Social Responsibility Policy, Corruption, and Information and Communication Technologies Development During the COVID era. *Front Psychol*.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2020). *Berita Resmi Statistik No. 63/08/Th.XXIV: Indeks Pembangunan Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi (IP-TIK) 2020*. Available at: <https://www.bps.go.id/pressrelease/2021/08/18/1848/indeks->

- pembangunan-teknologi-informasi-dan-komunikasi--ip-tik--indonesia-2020-sebesar-5-59-pada-skala-0---10.html. Diakses 6 Februari 2022.
- Bailey, J.E. and Pearson, S.W. (1983). Development of a Tool for Measuring and Analyzing Computer User Satisfaction. *Management Science*, 29, 530-545. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.29.5.530>
- Bannister, F., & Connolly, R. (2011). Trust and transformational government: A proposed framework for research. *Government Information Quarterly*, Volume 28, Issue 2, , 137-147
- Barnes, S.J., Vidgen, R.T. (2003). Interactive e-government: evaluating the web site of the UK Inland Revenue. *Journal Electronic Commer. Organization*, 2, 42–63.
- Bentely, TA., Teo, S.T.T., McLeod, L., Tan, F., Bosua, R., Gloet, M. (2016). The Role of Organisational Support in Teleworking Wellbeing: A Socio Technical System Approach. *Applied Ergonomics*, 52; 207-215
- Bertot, Jhon C., Jaeger, Paul T., Grimes, Justin M. (2010). Using ICTs to Create a Culture of Transparency : E-government and Social Media as Openness and Anti-Corruption Tools for Societies. *Government Information Quarterly*, 27, 264-271. Doi: 10.1016/j.giq.2010.03.001
- Boldyreva, E.P., et al. (2020). E-government implementation in Spain, France and Russia: efficiency and trust level,” *SHS Web Conf.*, 62, 11005, 2019, doi: 10.1051/shsconf/20196211005.
- Budhiekusuma, N.P., et al., (2017). Telecommuting application opportunity in Indonesian government, (in Bahasa Indonesia), in *Journal Pekommas*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 151-160, Oct. 2017, doi: 10.30818/jpkm.2017.2020205.
- Burlacu, Sorin., Patarlegeanu, Simona Roxana., Diaconu, Amelia., & Ciobanu, Ghenadie. (2021). E-Government in the Era of Globalization and the Health Crisis Caused. By the Covid-19 Pandemic, Between Standars and Innovation. *SHS Web of Conference*, Vol. 93, No. 08004.
- Cao, M., Zhang, Q., & Seydel, J. (2005). B2C e-commerce web site quality: an empirical examination. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 105 Iss: 5 , 645 – 661
- Carter, L., & Bélanger, F. (2005). The utilization of e-government services: citizen trust, innovation and acceptance factors. *Info Systems J*, 5-25
- Chai, S., Herath, T., Park, I., & Rao, H. (2006). Repeated Use of E-Gov Web Sites:A Satisfaction and Confidentiality Perspective. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, Volume 2, Issue 3, 1-22
- Cintya, Oktria A.M., Afifudin., Abidin, Agus Zainal. (2021). Dampak Kebijakan Work From Home Terhadap Produktivitas Kerja Pegawai di Kantor Kecamatan Lowokwary Kota Malang. *Jurnal Respon Publik*, Vol. 15, No. 1; 1-7
- Chatzoglou, Prodromos D., & Symeonidis, Symeon. (2015). Factors Affecting The Intention To Use e-Government. *Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information System*, Vol. 5; 1489-1498

- Creswill, John W. (2003) *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design*. The Third Edition; University of Nebraska
- Crooks, C. L. et al. (2020). Understanding generational factors in the workplace: current considerations for telework practices and the digital native. in *Proc. 2020 IEEE International Professional Communication Conference (ProComm), Kennesaw, GA, USA, 2020*, pp. 168-172, doi: 10.1109/ProComm48883.2020.00033.
- Darmawan, Eki., & Atmojo, Muhammad Eko. (2020). Kebijakan *Work From Home* Bagi Aparatur Sipil Negara di Masa Pandemi Covid-19. *TheJournalish: Social and Government, Vol. 1, No.3*; 92-99
- Davis, F.D. (1986) A Technology Acceptance Model for Empirically Testing New End-User Information Systems: Theory and Results. Sloan School of Management, Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- DeLone, W. H., & McLean, E. (1992). Information Systems Success: The Quest for the Dependent Variable. *Information Systems Research*, Vol. 3, No. 1, 60-95
- Delone, W. H., & McLean, E. (2003). The DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success: A Ten-Year Update. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, Vol. 19, No. 4, 9–30
- Djunaedi, A., Permadi, D., Nugroho, L. E., Widyawan, Rachmawati, R., Hidayat, A., Achmad, K.A., Egravanda, S. (2018). *Membangun Kota dan Kabupaten Cerdas: Sebuah Panduan Bagi Pemerintah Daerah*. Yogyakarta; Gadjah Mada University Press
- Ebrahim, Z., Irani, Z. (2005). E-government adoption: Architecture and barriers. *Business Process Management Journal*, Vol. 11, No. 5; 589-611. DOI: 10.1108/14637150510619902
- Eggers, W., & Bellman, J. (2015). The journey to government's digital transformation. *A Deloitte Digital Global Survey*
- Eidaroos, Abdulhadi, Probert, S., Dearnley. (2009). Heuristic Evaluation for E-Government Websites in Saudi Arabia. Paper presented to IN in A. Aloqbi, I. Alsini, & K. Alzimani (Eds). *SIC: The Third Saudi International Conference, 5<sup>th</sup>-6<sup>th</sup> June 2009: Conference Proceedings*.
- Elbing, A. O., Gadon, H., & Gordon, J. R. (1974). Flexible Working Hours: It's About Time. *Harvard Business Review*, Vol. 52 No. 1.
- Evans, D., & Yen, D. (2006). E-Government: Evolving relationship of citizens and government, domestic, and international development. *Government Information Quarterly* 23, 207–235
- Fan, J., & Yang, W. (2015). Study on e-government services quality: The integration of online and offline services. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 8(3), 693–718. doi:10.3926/jiem.1405
- Gable, G. G., Sedera, D., & Chan, T. (2008). Re-conceptualizing Information System Success: The IS-Impact Measurement Model. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, Vol. 9 Issue 7, 377-408

- Gorla, N., Somers, T., & Wong, B. (2010). Organizational impact of system quality, information quality, and service quality. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 19, 207–228.
- Gonzalez, P., Adenso-Diaz, B. and Gemoets, L.A. (2010). A Cross-National comparison E-government success measures: a theory-based empirical research. In *Proceedings of the Sixteenth Americas Conference on Information Systems, Lima, Peru, (AMCIS), Paper 345 AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)*, pp. 1-23.
- Gunawardana, D.N.T., Perera, Chandana. (2015). Classification of Failure Factors in Information System. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, Vol. 3-3.
- Grinin, Leonind., Grinin, Anton., 7 Korotayev, Andrey. (2021). COVID-19 Pandemic as a Trigger for The Acceleration of Cybernetic Revolution, Transition from e-Government to e-state, and Change in Social Relations. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, 175, 1212348.
- Hariguna, Taqwa., Ruangkanjanases, Athapol., & Sarmini. (2021). Public Behavior as an Output of E-Government Service: The Role of New Technology Integrated in E-Government and Antecedent of Relationship Quality. *Sustainability*, Vol. 13, 7464.
- Hardani, Auliya, N., Andriani, H., Fardani, R., Ustiawaty, J., Utami, E., et al. (2020) *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif & Kuantitatif*. Yogyakarta: CV. Pustaka Ilmu Group Yogyakarta.
- Hariguna, T., Tsamara, M. (2019). E-Government Asset Management Using the Extreme Programming Method. *Internatioanl Journal of Informatics and Information Systems*, Vol 2; 24–32.
- Hartford, T. (2021). When obstacles become opportunities to work better: or why going back to 2019 is not progress. *Financial Times*, 24 September.
- Herrando, C., Jiménez-Martínez, J., de Hoyos, M.J.M. (2020). Boosting Purchase Intention and Online Participation through Passion. *International Journal Information System*. 3, 136–145.
- Hopskins, Liza., Pedwell, Glenda. (2021). The COVID PIVOT-Re-Orienting Child and Youth Mental Health Care in the Light of Pandemic Restrictions. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 92:1259-1270. Doi: 10.1007/s11126-021-09909-8
- Hootsuite. 2020. Digital 2020: Global Digital Overview Report. Availabe at: <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2020-global-digital-overview>
- Hossan, C., & Bartram, T. (2009). The battle against corruption and inefficiency with the help of eGovernment in Bangladesh. *Electronic Government, An International Journal*, 7(1), 89–100.
- Hu, G., Pan, W., Lin, H., Kang, K., Best, M.L. (2014). Study on the framework of e-govern- ment services capability: an empirical investigation. *Social Science Computer Review*. 32 (1),56–73.
- Hill, Edward Jeffery., Martinego, Giuseppe., and Bernnan, Robert Thomas. 2008. Exploring the relationship of Workplace Flexibility, Gender, and Life Stage

- to Family -to-work Conflict, and Stress and Burnout. *Community, Work & Family*. Vol. 11, No. 2; 165-181. Doi: 10.1080/13668800802027564
- Jacob, D.W., Fudzee, M.F.M., Salamat, M.A., Kasim, S., Mahdin, H. and Ramli, A.A. (2017). Modelling end-user of electronic-government service: the role of information quality, system quality and trust. *In IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, Vol. 226 No. 1
- Jaeger, P. T., & Thompson, K. M. (2003). E-government around the world: Lessons, challenges and future directions. *Government Information Quarterly*, 20(4), 389-394.
- Joshi, P. R., Islam, S., Islam, S. (2018). A Frame work for Cloud Based E-Government from the Perspective of Developing Countries. *Future Internet*, 9, 80.
- Kalleberg, A. L. (2003). Flexible Firms and Labor Market Segmentation: Effects of Workplace Restructuring on Jobs and Workers. *Work and Occupations*, Vol. 30 No. 2
- Kementerian Komunikasi dan Informatik Republik Indonesia. (2013). *Open Source Kominfol*. Available at: [https://www.kominfo.go.id/content/detail/3434/open-source-di-kominfo/0/program\\_prioritas](https://www.kominfo.go.id/content/detail/3434/open-source-di-kominfo/0/program_prioritas)
- Hariguna, Taqwa., Ruangkanjanases, Athapol., & Sarmini. (2021). Public Behavior as an Output of E-Government Service: The Role of New Technology Integrated in E-Government and Antecedent of Relationship Quality. *Sustainability*, Vol. 13, 7464.
- Hariguna, T., Tsamara, M. (2019). E-Government Asset Management Using the Extreme Programming Method. *Internatioanl Journal of Informatics and Information Systems*, Vol 2; 24–32.
- Ho, A. T.-K. (2002). Reinventing local governments and the E-government initiative. *Public Administration Review*, 62, 4, 434-444
- Huang, Z., Benyoucef, M., 2015. User Preferences of Social Features on Social Commerce Websites: An Empirical Study. *Technology Forecasting Social Changanging*, 95, 57–72.
- Izzati, Berlian Maulidya, (2017). Analisis Pengaruh Kualitas Layanan Publik E-Government (Government to Citizen) Terhadap Penciptaan Public Value (Studi Kasus: Kota Surabaya). *Postgraduate Program Department Of Information System. Surabaya: Faculty Of Information Technology Institut Teknologi Sepuluh Nopember*
- Janowski, T. (2015). Digital government evolution: From transformation to contextualization. *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(3), 221–236. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.07.001>
- Laudon, Kenneth C., Laudon, Jane P. (2014). *Management Information System Managing The Digital Firm*. USA; Pearson
- Layne, K., & Lee, J. (2001). Developing fully functional E-government: A four stage model. *Government Information Quarterly*, 18, 122–136.



- Lee, D.-J., Joseph Sirgy, M. (2019). Work-Life Balance in the Digital Workplace: The Impact of Schedule Flexibility and Telecommuting on Work-Life Balance and Overall Life Satisfaction. *In Thriving in Digital Workspaces*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24463-7\\_18](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-24463-7_18)
- Lee, Kyoung Jun., Hong, Joon-Hyung. (2002). Development of An E-Government Service Model A Business Model Approach. *International Review of Public Administration*, 7;2, 109-118. Doi: 10.1080/12294659.2002.10805010
- Lenk, K. 2002. Electronic Service Delivery – A driver of public sector modernisation. *Information Polity* 7, 87–96.
- Li, Yan., Shang, Huping. (2020). Service Quality, Perceived, and Citizens' Continuous-use Intention Regarding E-Government: Empirical Evidence From China. *Information Management*, 57, 103197.
- Lowry, P. B. (2007). Assessing leading institutions, faculty, and articles in premier information systems research journals. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 20(16), 142.
- Madu, C. N., & Madu, A. (2002). Dimensions of e-quality. *The International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management; Bradford* Vol. 19, Iss. 2/3 , 246-258.
- Maes, A., & Poels, G. (2007). Evaluating quality of conceptual modelling scripts based on user perceptions. vol. 63, pp. 701–724, 2007.
- Manunggal, M.D., Ruldeviyani, Y. (2021). Critical Success Factors Analysis of E-Government During Work from Home Implementation: Case Study at Government Organizational in Indonesia. *13<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Information & Communication Technology and System (ICTS)*. DOI: 10.1109/ICTS52701.2021.9608553
- Margetts, H., Dunleavy, P. (2013). The second wave of digital-era governance: A quasi-paradigm for government on the Web. *Philos. Trans. Royal Society*, 371, 20120382.
- Martin, J., Fernandes, B., Rohman, I., Veiga, L. (2018). The War on Corruption: The Role of Electronic Government. *In Electronic Government. EGOV. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, Vol. 11020.
- Masinde, M., & Mkhonto, M. (2019). The critical success factors for e- government implementation in south africa's local government: factoring in apartheid digital divide. *Proc. IEEE 2nd International Conference on Information and Computer Technologies (ICICT), Kahului, HI, USA*, 220-228, doi: 10.1109/INFOCT.2019.8710930.
- McCucker, K., Gunaydin, S. (2014). Research Using Qualitative, Quantitative or Mix Methods and Choice Based on the Research. *Journal Permission*, Vol. 30(7)
- McKinsey & Company.(2020). *The Next Normal The Recovery Will Be Digital*. McKinsey Global Publishing.
- McKinney, V., Yoon, K., & Zahedi, F. (2002). The measurement of Web-customer satisfaction: An expectation and disconfirmation approach. *Information Systems Research*, 13(3). <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.13.3.296.76>

- Meijer, Albert. (2015). E-Government Innovation: Barriers and Startegies. Government Infortmation Quarterly, Vol, 23, Issue 2. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.01.001>
- Muller, S.D., & Skau, S. (2015). Success factors influencing implementation of e-government at different stages o,f maturity: a literature review. *International Journal of Electronic Governance*, vol. 7, No. 2, doi: 10.1504/IJEG.2015.069495.
- Mungkasa, Oswar. (2020). Bekerja dari Rumah (Working From Home/WFH): Menuju Tatanan Baru Era Pandemi COVID 19. *The Indonesian Journal of Development Planning Volum,e IV* No. 2
- Napitupulu, D., et.al. (2018). Content validity of critical success factors for e-government implementation in Indonesia. *in Proc. 7th AIC-ICMR on Sciences and Engineering, Banda Aceh, Indonesia*. doi:10.1088/1757-899X/352/1/012058.
- Ndou, V. (2004). E – Government For Developing Countries: Opportunities And Challenges. *EJISDC* .18, 1, , 1-24
- Papadomichelaki, X., Mentzas, G. (2012). E-GOVQUAL: a multiple-item scale for assessing e-government service quality, *Government Information Quality*. 29 (1) 98–109.
- Parasuraman, A., Zeithaml, V.A., (2005). Malhotra, A. 2005. E-S-QUAL: a Multiple-Item Scale For Assessing Electronic Service Quality. *Journal of Service Research*. 7 (3), 213–233
- Petter.S ., & McLean., E. R. (2009). A meta-analytic assessment of the DeLone and McLean IS success model: An examination of IS success at the individual level. *Information Management.*, vol. 46, no. 3, pp. 159–166
- Pramusinto, A. 2011. Family Friendly Policy dan Produktivitas Pegawai Negeri Sipil. *Jurnal Civil Service, Jurnal Kebijakan dan Manajemen PNS*, 5(2)
- Rahman, Muhammad Fajar Wahyudi., Kistyanto, Anang., Surjanti, Jun. (2020). Flexible Work Arrangements In Covid-19 Pandemic Era, Influence Employee Performance: The Mediating Role Of Innovative Work Behavior, 17-20
- Rai, A., Lang, S.S. and Welker, R.B. (2002). Assessing the Validity of IS Success Models: An Empirical Test and Theoretical Analysis. *Information System Research*. Vol.13, No.1. pp. 29-34.
- Riasetiawan, Mardani. (2016). *Pusat Dara untuk Pemerintah*. Lab Sistem Komputer dan Jaringan, Departemen Ilmu Komputer dan Elektronika, FMIPA UGM
- Rowley, Chris. (2022). Back to the Future: Post Pandemic Work and Management. *Personel Review*, Vo. 52, No. 2. DOI: 10.1108/PR-11-2022-0770
- Saha, P., Nath, A., & Salehi-Sangari, E. (2012). Evaluation of government e-tax websites: an information quality and system quality approach. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, Vol. 6 No. 3, 300-321.
- Saha, P., Nath, A. and Salehi-Sangari, E. (2010). Success of Government E-Service Delivery: Does Satisfaction Matter. *IFIP International Federation for Information Processing EGOV*, LNCS 6228, pp. 204-215.

- Saksilapachai, W., et. al. (2019). Communication process management within virtual work for startup entrepreneur. in *Proc. 4th Technology Innovation Management and Engineering Science International Conference (TIMES-iCON)*, Bangkok, Thailand, 1-4, doi: 10.1109/TIMES-iCON47539.2019.9024502.
- Sambasivan, M., Wemyss, G., & Rose, R. (2010). User acceptance of a G2B system: a case of electronic procurement system in Malaysia. *Internet Research*, Vol. 20 No. 2, , 169-187.
- Santa, R., MacDonald, J., & Ferrer, M. (2019). The role of trust in e-Government effectiveness, operational effectiveness and user satisfaction: Lessons from Saudi Arabia in e-G2B. *Government Information Quarterly*, 36, 39–50.
- Savoldelli, A., Codagnone, C., & Misuraca, G. (2014). Understanding the e-government paradox: Learning from literature and practice on barriers to adoption. *Government Information Quarterly*, (31), 563-571
- Schwester, R. W. (2009). Examining the barriers to e-government adoption. *Electronic Journal of e-Government*, 7(1), 113–122
- Scott, M., DeLone, W., & Golden, W. (2016). Measuring eGovernment success: a public value approach. *European Journal of Information Systems* 25, , 187–208.
- Seddon, P. B. (1997). A respecification and extension of the DeLone and McLean model of IS success. *Information systems research*, Vol. 8 No. 3, 240-253.
- Selbi, C., Wilson, F. (2001). *Flexible Working Handbook*. Flexwork Project
- Septiani, M. (2020). E-government as a strategy in minimizing the spread of COVID-19 and the effectiveness of public services. Nov. 10, 2020. [Online]. (in Bahasa Indonesia), Available: <https://ombudsman.go.id/artikel/r/artikel-e-government-sebagai-strategi-dalam-meminimalisasi-penyebaran-covid-19-dan-efektivitas-pelayanan-publik>
- Shan, S., Wang, L., Wang, J., Hao, Y., & Hua, F. (2011). Research on eGovernment evaluation model based on the principal component analysis. *Information Technology and Management*, Vol.12 (2), 173–185.
- Shim, D. C., & Eom, T. (2008). E-Government and Anti-Corruption: Empirical Analysis of International Data. *Intl Journal of Public Administration*, 31, 298–316
- Siddiquee, N. A. (2008). E-Government and Innovations in Service Delivery: The Malaysian Experience. *Intl Journal of Public Administration*, 31, 797–815
- Singh, H., Grover, P., Kar, A. K., & Ilavarasan, P. V. (2020). Review of performance assessment frameworks of e-government projects. *Transforming government: People, process and policy*.
- Sosiawan, E. A. (2008). Tantangan dan Hambatan dalam Implementasi E-Government di Indonesia. *Seminar Nasional Informatika*. 1(5): 99-108.
- Spreitzer, Gretchen M., Cameron, Lindsey, & Garret, Lyndon. (2017). Alternative Work Arrangement: Two Images of the New World of Work. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, Vo. 4:473-499



- Stefanovic, D., Marjanovic, U., Delic, M., Culibrk, D., & Lalic, B. (2016). Assessing the success of e-government systems: An employee perspective. *Information & Management* 53, 717–726.
- Surya, G. S. F., & Amalia, A. (2017). The critical success factors model for e-Government implementation in Indonesia. *5th International Conference on Information and Communication Technology (ICoICT7), Melaka, Malaysia*, pp. 1-5, doi: 10.1109/ICoICT.2017.8074711
- Syed, Rehan., Bandara, Wasana., & Eden, Rebekah. (2022). Public Sector Digital Transformation Barriers: A Developing Country Experinece. *Information Polity*, Vol. Pre-Press. Doi: 10.3233/IP-220017
- Taherdoost, H. (2018). Development of an adoption model to assess user acceptance of e-service technology: E-Service Technology Acceptance Model. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, VOL. 37, NO.2, 173–197.
- Tangi, L., Janssen, M., Benedetti, M., & Noci, G. (2020). Barriers and drivers of digital transformation in public organizations: Results from a survey in the Netherlands. In : *et al. Electronic Government. EGOV 2002. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*(pp. 42-56), vol 12219. Springer, Cham. Doi: [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57599-1\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57599-1_4)
- Tan, C., Benbasat, I., Cenfetelli, R.T. (2013). IT-Mediated Customer Service Content And Delivery In Electronic Governments: An Empirical Investigation Of The Antecedents Of Service Quality. *Mis Quarterly*. 37 (1), 77–109.
- United Nation. (2020). *E-Government Survey 2020*. New York.
- United Nation. (2004). *Global E-Government Readiness Report 2004: Towards Access for Opportunity*. New York: United Nations.
- Urbach, N., & Müller, B. (2012). Urbach-The Updated DeLone and McLean Model of Information Systems Success. *Information Systems Theory: Explaining and Predicting Our Digital Society*, 1, 1–18.
- Veeramootoo, N., Nunkoo, R., & Dwivedi, Y. (2018). What determines success of an e-government service? Validation of an integrative model of e-filing continuance usage. *Government Information Quarterly*, 35, 161–174.
- Wang, Y.-S., & Liao, Y.-W. (2008). Assessing eGovernment systems success: A validation of the DeLone and McLean model of information systems success. *Government Information Quarterly* 25, 717–733.
- Wang, R. Y., & Strong, D. M. (1996). Beyond accuracy: What data quality means to data consumers. *Journal of management information systems*, 12(4),5-33
- Wangpipatwong, S., Chutimaskul, W., & Papasratorn, B. (2009). Quality Enhancing the Continued Use of E-Government Web Sites: Evidence from E-Citizens of Thailand. *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 5(1),, 19-35.
- Wardhana, Allan Fatchan Gani., & Hakiki, Yuniar Riza. (2021). Reformulasi Pengaturan Sistem Kerja Pegawai Pemerintah Kota Yogyakarta Pada Masa Adaptasi Kebiasaan Baru. *Jurnal Penegakan Hukum dan Keadilan*, Vol. 2, No.1; 72-95

- Welch, E. W., Hinnant, C., & Moon, M. J. (2005). Linking Citizen Satisfaction with E-Government and Trust in Government. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, Vol. 15 No.3, 371-391.
- Wescott, C. G. (2005). E-Government And The Applications Of Technology To Government Services. *Asian Development Bank*, 1-31.
- West, D. M. (2004). E-Government and the Transformation of Service Delivery and Citizen Attitudes. *Public Administration Review*, Vol. 64, No. 1, 15- 27.
- White House. (2003). *G2G Risk Management Implementation Guide*
- Williamson, Sue., and Pearce. (2022). Covid Normal Workplace Should Working from Home be a ‘Collective Flexibility;?. *Journal of Industrila Relations*. Vol. 64 (3); 461-473. Doi: 10.1177/00221856221094894
- Wilson, Christopher., Mergel, Ines. (2022). Overcoming Barriers to Digital Government: Mapping the Strategies of Digitak Champions. *Government Information Quarterly*, No. 39. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2022.101681>
- Witarsyah, D., Sjafrizal, T., Fudzee, M.D., Farhan, M. and Salamat, M.A. (2017). The critical factors affecting E-Government adoption in Indonesia: a conceptual framework. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, Vol. 7 No. 1, pp. 160-167.
- Winarno, Budi. (2007). *Kebijakan Publik Teori dan Proses*. Yogyakarta : Media Pressindo
- Wirtz, B. W., & Daiser, P. (2018). A meta-analysis of empirical e-government research and its future research implications. *International Review of Administrative Sciences*, 84 (1), 144–163. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852315599047>
- Wirtz, B.W., Kurtz, O.T. (2016). Local E-Government and User Satisfaction with City Portals—The citizens’service preference perspective. *International Review on Public and Nonprofit Marketing*, Vol. 13, 265–287
- Women in Informal Employment: Glabalizaing and Organizing. (2021). *Statistic Brief: Home-based Worker in The World: A Statistical Profile*.
- World Bank. (2009). *Public Private Partnership in e-government*; Knowledge MAP
- Wu, J.-H., & Wang, Y.-M. (2006). Measuring KMS success: A respecification of the DeLone and McLean’s model. *Information & Management* 43, 728–739.
- Yang, Zhilin., Cai, Shaohan., Zhou, Zheng., Zhou, Nan. (2005). Development and Validation of an Instrument to Measure User Perceived Service Quality of Information Presenting Web Portals. *Information and Management*, 42; 575-589
- Yang, Z., & Jun, M. (2008). Consumer Perception of E-Service Quality: From Internet Purchaser and Non-purchaser Perspectives. *Journal of Business Strategies* 25 (2), 59-94.
- Yasa, I. W., Utama, I., Sukarma, I., Jelantik, B., & Sutrisno, N. (2011). *Sewaka Dharma Motto Pelayanan Publik Pemerintrah Kota Denpasar*. Denpasar: Setia Bakti Primatama.

**Peraturan Perundang-undangan/dokumen negara:**

- Badan Kepegawaian Republik Indonesia. Rapat Koordinasi Nasional Kepegawaian 2022. Available at: [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bSnqF4P5k8s&ab\\_channel=%23ASNPelayanPublik](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bSnqF4P5k8s&ab_channel=%23ASNPelayanPublik)
- Dinas Komunikasi, Informatika dan Statistika Kota Denpasar. (2020). Bahan Denpasar Integrated Virtual Office System. Available at: [divos.denpasarkota.go.id](http://divos.denpasarkota.go.id), diakses: 28 Januari 2022.
- Dinas Komunikasi, Informatika dan Statistika Kota Denpasar. (2019). *Buku Panduan Penggunaan DIVOS*.
- Dinas Komunikasi, Informatika dan Statistika Kota Denpasar. 2019-2022. *Laporan Surat Keluar dan Surat Masuk*
- Dinas Komunikasi, Informatika dan Statistika Kota Denpasar. 2022. *Laporan Sistem Dokumentasi*
- Dinas Komunikasi, Informatika dan Statistika Kota Denpasar. (2018-2021). *Laporan Penilaian Kinerja Pegawai Negeri Sipil Pemerintah Kota Denpasar*
- Dinas Komunikasi, Informatika dan Statistika Kota Denpasar. (2017-2021). *Laporan Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah Kota Denpasar*
- Intruksi Menteri dalam negeri No. 53 tahun 2021 tentang Pemberlakuan Pembatasan Kegiatan Masyarakat Level 3, Level 2 dan Level 1 Corona Virus Disease 2019 di Wilayah Jawa dan Bali
- Keputusan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi Republik Indonesia No. 1503 tahun 2021 tentang Hasil Evaluasi Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik pada Kementerian, Lembaga, dan Pemerintah Daerah tahun 2021.
- Keputusan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi No. 1503 tahun 2021
- Peraturan Menteri Kominfo No. 8 Tahun 2019 tentang Penyelenggaraan Urusan Pemerintah Konkuren Bidang Komunikasi dan Informatika
- Peraturan Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi No. 59 Tahun 2020 tentang Pemantauan dan Evaluasi SPBE
- Peraturan Wali Kota Denpasar No. 28 tahun 2021 Penyelenggaraan SPBE di Lingkungan Pemerintah Kota Denpasar
- Peraturan Pemerintah No. 30 Tahun 2019 tentang Penilaian Kinerja Pegawai Negeri Sipil
- Peraturan Pemerintah No. 94 Tahun 2021 tentang Disiplin PNS
- Peraturan Presiden No. 95 Tahun 2018 tentang Sistem Pemerintahan Berbasis Elektronik
- Peraturan Walikota Denpasar No. 35 tahun 2014 tentang penyelenggaraan sistem elektronik (*e-government*) di lingkungan pemerintah kota Denpasar
- Peraturan Walikota Denpasar No 38 tahun 2018 tentang Budaya Kerja Sewaka Dharma

- Peraturan Walikota Denpasar No 38 tahun 2017 tentang Kriteria dan Besaran Tambahan Penghasilan Berdasarkan Prestasi Kerja pada Pegawai Negeri Sipil
- Surat Edaran Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi No 16 tahun 2022 tentang kewajiban mentaati ketentuan jam kerja bagi ASN
- Surat Edaran Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi (Permenpan RB) No. 50 Tahun 2020 tentang perubahan kedua atas Surat Edaran Permenpan RB No. 19 Tahun 2020 tentang Penyesuaian Sistem Kerja Aparatur Sipil Negara (ASN)
- Surat Edaran Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara dan Reformasi Birokrasi No. 24 tahun 2021 tentang Perubahan Atas Surat Edaran Menteri Pendayagunaan Aparatur Negara Dan Reformasi Birokrasi Nomor 23 Tahun 2021 Tentang Penyesuaian Sistem Kerja Pegawai Aparatur Sipil Negara Selama Pemberlakuan Pembatasan Kegiatan Masyarakat Pada Masa Pandemi Corona Virus Disease 2019
- Surat Edaran Walikota Nomor : 800 / 1172 / BKPSDM tentang Perubahan Ketiga Atas Surat Edaran Walikota Nomor : 800/595/Bkpsdm Tentang Penyesuaian Sistem Kerja Pegawai Di Lingkungan Pemerintah Kota Denpasar
- Surat Edaran Walikota Nomor : 800 / 1281 / BKPSDM tentang Perubahan Keempat Atas Surat Edaran Walikota Nomor : 800/595/Bkpsdm Tentang Penyesuaian Sistem Kerja Pegawai Di Lingkungan Pemerintah Kota Denpasar
- Surat Edaran Walikota Nomor : 800 / 1303 / BKPSDM tentang Perubahan Kelima Atas Surat Edaran Walikota Nomor : 800/595/Bkpsdm Tentang Penyesuaian Sistem Kerja Pegawai Di Lingkungan Pemerintah Kota Denpasar