

## Daftar Pustaka

- Ab Hamid, M. R., Sami, W., & Mohmad Sidek, M. H. (2017). Discriminant validity assessment: Use of fornell and larcker criterion versus htmt criterion. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 890, 012163. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/890/1/012163>
- Abood, M. H., Alharbi, B. H., Mhaidat, F., & Gazo, A. M. (2020). The relationship between personality traits, academic self-efficacy and academic adaptation among university students in Jordan. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 9(3), 120. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v9n3p120>
- Aditya, R. B. (2019). The role of personality of the five-factor models with stress and agility levels. Tesis Magister Psikologi Profesi. Tidak Diterbitkan. Fakultas Psikologi. Universitas Gadjah Mada: Yogyakarta
- AERA, APA, & NCME. (2014). *Standards for educational and psychological testing*. American Educational Research Association.
- Aini, I. D., & Widyasari, P. (2021). Correlational study on self-compassion and college adjustment among university freshmen during distance learning. *International Journal of Research in Counseling and Education*, 5(1), 97. <https://doi.org/10.24036/00459za0002>
- Akhtar, H., & Azwar, S. (2019). Indonesian adaptation and psychometric properties evaluation of the big five personality inventory: IPIP-BFM-50. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 46(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jpsi.33571>
- Anggoro, W. J., & Widhiarso, W. (2010). Konstruksi dan identifikasi properti psikometris instrumen pengukuran kebahagiaan berbasis pendekatan indigenous psychology studi multitrait-multimethod.pdf. *Jurnal Psikologi*, 37(2), 176–188.
- Audet, É. C., Levine, S. L., Metin, E., Koestner, S., & Barcan, S. (2021). Zooming their way through university: Which big five traits facilitated students' adjustment to online courses during the covid-19 pandemic. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 180, 110969–110974. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2021.110969>
- Azwar, S. (2015). *Dasar-dasar psikometrika* (2nd ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Azwar, S. (2019a). *Konstruksi tes kemampuan kognitif* (3rd ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Azwar, S. (2019b). *Penyusunan skala psikologi* (2nd ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Azwar, S. (2019c). *Reliabilitas dan validitas* (4th ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Baker, R. W., & Siryk, B. (1984). Measuring adjustment to college. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 32(2), 179–189.
- Becker, J., Fliege, H., Kocalevent, R.-D., Bjorner, J. B., Rose, M., Walter, O. B., & Klapp, B. F. (2008). Functioning and validity of a computerized adaptive test to measure anxiety (a-cat). *Depression and Anxiety*, 25(12), E182–E194. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20482>
- Campbell, D. T., & Fiske, D. W. (1959). Convergent and discriminant validation by the multitrait-multimethod matrix. *Psychological Bulletin*, 56(2), 81–105.

- Collie, R. J., Holliman, A. J., & Martin, A. J. (2017). Adaptability, engagement and academic achievement at university. *Educational Psychology*, 37(5), 632–647. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2016.1231296>
- Crisi, A., & Dentale, F. (2016). The wartegg drawing completion test: Inter-rater agreement and criterion validity of three new scoring categories. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy*, 16(1), 83–90.
- Daroczy, G., Wolska, M., Meurers, W. D., & Nuerk, H.-C. (2015). Word problems: A review of linguistic and numerical factors contributing to their difficulty. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 06. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00348>
- DeGraff, A. J. (2005). *Monitoring growth in early reading skills: Validation of a computer adaptive test*. Florida State University.
- Echchakoui, S. (2013). Personality traits and performance: The mediating role of adaptive behavior in call centers. *American Journal of Industrial and Business Management*, 03(01), 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2013.31003>
- Fauzi, Y. N. Z. (2019). Representasi sosial komunitas psikolog mengenai tes Kraepelin. Tesis Magister Psikologi Profesi. Tidak Diterbitkan. Fakultas Psikologi. Universitas Gadjah Mada: Yogyakarta
- Feist, J., & Feist, G. J. (2009). *Theories of personality* (7th ed.). Mc-Graw Hill.
- Fenwick, E. K., Barnard, J., Gan, A., Loe, B. S., Khadka, J., Pesudovs, K., Man, R., Lee, S. Y., Tan, G., Wong, T. Y., & Lamoureux, E. L. (2020). Computerized adaptive tests: Efficient and precise assessment of the patient-centered impact of diabetic retinopathy. *Translational Vision Science & Technology*, 9(7), 3. <https://doi.org/10.1167/tvst.9.7.3>
- Frey, B. B. (2018). *The sage encyclopedia of educational research, measurement, and evaluation*. SAGE Publications, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781506326139>
- Furr, R. M., & Bacharach, V. R. (2017). Estimating and evaluating convergent and discriminant validity evidence. In *Psychometrics An Introduction* (Second, pp. 253–307). SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Gibbons, R. D., Weiss, D. J., Pilkonis, P. A., Frank, E., Moore, T., Kim, J. B., & Kupfer, D. J. (2012). Development of a computerized adaptive test for depression. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 69(11), 1104. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archgenpsychiatry.2012.14>
- Good, D. (2014). Predicting real-time adaptive performance in a dynamic decision-making context. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 20(6), 715–732. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jmo.2014.54>
- Gunandar, M. S., & Utami, M. S. (2019). Hubungan antara dukungan sosial orang tua dengan penyesuaian diri mahasiswa baru yang merantau. *Gadjah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 3(2), 98. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.43441>
- Hancock, G. R., Stapleton, L. M., & Mueller, R. O. (Eds.). (2019). *The reviewer's guide to quantitative methods in the social sciences* (Second Edition). Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group.
- Haryadi, S., & California, S. H. (2018). *New method to calculate the level of consistency of the pauli and kraepelin tests* [Preprint]. INA-Rxiv. <https://doi.org/10.31227/osf.io/ty326>
- Holliman, A. J., Martin, A. J., & Collie, R. J. (2018). Adaptability, engagement, and

- degree completion: A longitudinal investigation of university students. *Educational Psychology*, 38(6), 785–799. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2018.1426835>
- Holliman, A. J., Waldeck, D., Jay, B., Murphy, S., Atkinson, E., Collie, R. J., & Martin, A. (2021). Adaptability and social support: Examining links with psychological wellbeing among UK students and non-students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, 636520. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.636520>
- Indriani, N., Sakethi, D., & Syarif, A. (2020). Pengembangan simulasi “stress test” menggunakan tes kraepelin pada tes psikologi. *Jurnal Pepadun*, 1(1), 63–71.
- Johnson, H. W. (1961). Skill = speed × accuracy × form × adaptability. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 13(2), 163–170. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pms.1961.13.2.163>
- Joubert, T., & Kriek, H. J. (2009). Psychometric comparison of paper-and-pencil and online personality assessments in a selection setting. *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 35(1), 11 pages. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v35i1.727>
- Kalender, I., & Berberoglu, G. (2017). Can computerized adaptive testing work in students’ admission to higher education programs in Turkey? *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.12738/estp.2017.2.0280>
- Khoshsima, H., & Toroujeni, S. M. H. T. (2017). Transitioning to an alternative assessment: Computer-based testing and key factors related to testing mode. *European Journal of English Language Teaching*, 2(1), 54–74. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.268576>
- Koentjoro, K. (1984). *Pembakuan norma tes kraepelin sebagai alat pengukur kecepatan kerja, ketelitian kerja, keajegan kerja dan ketahanan kerja pada sarjana lulusan ilmu pengetahuan sosial dan sarjana lulusan ilmu pengetahuan eksakta dan alam yang berada di Kotamadya Yogyakarta* [Universitas Gadjah Mada]. <https://repository.ugm.ac.id/273367/>
- Kuo, B.-C., Daud, M., & Yang, C.-W. (2015). Multidimensional computerized adaptive testing for indonesia junior high school biology. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(5), 1105–1118. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1384a>
- Kyriazos, T. A. (2018). Applied Psychometrics: The application of CFA to Multitrait-Multimethod Matrices (CFA-MTMM). *Psychology*, 09(12), 2625–2648. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2018.912150>
- Lewis, E. G., & Cardwell, J. M. (2020). The big five personality traits, perfectionism and their association with mental health among UK students on professional degree programmes. *BMC Psychology*, 8(1), 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-020-00423-3>
- Liga, F., Ingoglia, S., Lo Cricchio, M. G., & Lo Coco, A. (2022). Revision of the Student Adaptation to College Questionnaire (SACQ) for use with Italian Students. *Journal of Clinical & Developmental Psychology*, Online First Articles. <https://doi.org/10.13129/2612-4033/0110-3413>
- Ling, G., Attali, Y., Finn, B., & Stone, E. A. (2017). Is a computerized adaptive test more motivating than a fixed-item test? *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 41(7), 495–511. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146621617707556>
- Liu, X., Lu, H., Zhou, Z., Chao, M., & Liu, T. (2022). Development of a computerized

- adaptive test for problematic mobile phone use. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 892387. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.892387>
- Loe, B. S., Stillwell, D., & Gibbons, C. (2017). Computerized adaptive testing provides reliable and efficient depression measurement using the CES-D Scale. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 19(9), e302. <https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.7453>
- Lufityanto, G., Rahapsari, S., & Kamal, I. (2020). Identifikasi stress terhadap perubahan melalui pengukuran kognitif dan respon hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal. *Jurnal Psikologi Integratif*, 7(2), 77. <https://doi.org/10.14421/jpsi.v7i2.1812>
- Marastuti, A., Anggoro, W. J., Marvianto, R. D., & Al Afghani, A. A. (2020). Perbandingan properti psikometri antara tes paps berbentuk computer-based dan paper and pencil test. *Gadjah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP)*, 6(1), 12–28. <https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.51852>
- Martin, A. J. (2012). Adaptability and learning. In N. M. Seel (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of the sciences of learning* (pp. 1–5). Springer.
- Mastuti, E., & Handoyo, S. (2017). Effects of individual differences on the performance in Computer Based Test (CBT). *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 133, 92–100.
- Messick, S. (1989). Validity. In *Educational measurement* (Third, pp. 13–103). American Council on Education Macmillan.
- Mikicin, M. (2014). “Work curve” as a distinguishing mark of athletes’ work performance. *Biomedical Human Kinetics*, 6(1), 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.2478/bhk-2014-0012>
- Pane, H., -, F., & -, N. (2020). Rancang bangun aplikasi Kraepelin test berbasis web menggunakan metode Bubble Sort. *JOINTECS (Journal of Information Technology and Computer Science)*, 5(1), 41. <https://doi.org/10.31328/jointecs.v5i1.1244>
- Panjaitan, L. K. S., & Pelupessy, D. C. (2021). Bermedia sosial mempermudah penyesuaian diri dalam kehidupan berkuliah? *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan, Psikologi Dan Kesehatan (J-P3K)*, 2(2), 107–117. <https://doi.org/10.51849/j-p3k.v2i2.88>
- Ployhart, R., & Bliese, P. (2006). Individual adaptability (i-adapt) theory: Conceptualizing the antecedents, consequences, and measurement of individual differences in adaptability. In *Advances in Human Performance and Cognitive Engineering Research* (Vol. 6, pp. 3–39). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1479-3601\(05\)06001-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1479-3601(05)06001-7)
- Pradipta, R. T. D. (2019). Konvergensi dan divergensi tes kraepelin dan tes pauli pada komunitas psikolog. Tesis Magister Psikologi Profesi. Tidak Diterbitkan. Fakultas Psikologi. Universitas Gadjah Mada: Yogyakarta
- Ramos-Villagrasa, P. J., Fernández-del-Río, E., & Barrada, J. R. (2020). Does evil prevail the “bright” and “dark” sides of personality as predictors of adaptive performance. *Sustainability*, 12(2), 474. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020474>
- Reeve, C. L., Heggstad, E. D., & Lievens, F. (2009). Modeling the impact of test anxiety and test familiarity on the criterion-related validity of cognitive ability tests. *Intelligence*, 37(1), 34–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intell.2008.05.003>
- Rudyarwaty, H. D. (2019). Venturing in the adaptive organization: Employability skills that matter. Tesis Magister Psikologi Profesi. Tidak Diterbitkan. Fakultas



- Psikologi. Universitas Gadjah Mada: Yogyakarta
- Sass, R., Frick, S., Reips, U.-D., & Wetzel, E. (2020). Taking the test taker's perspective: Response process and test motivation in multidimensional forced-choice versus rating scale instruments. *SAGE Publication*, 572–584.
- Schober, P., Boer, C., & Schwarte, L. A. (2018). Correlation coefficients: Appropriate use and interpretation. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, 126(5), 1763–1768. <https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000002864>
- Schreiner, M., Reiss, S., & Schweizer, K. (2014). Method effects on assessing equivalence of online and offline administration of a cognitive measure: The exchange test. *International Journal of Internet Science*, 9(1), 52–63.
- Schweizer, K., Reiß, S., Ren, X., Wang, T., & Troche, S. J. (2019). Speed effect analysis using the cfa framework. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 239–249. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00239>
- Shaw, S., & Crisp, V. (2011). Tracing the evolution of validity in educational measurement: Past issues and contemporary challenges. *Research Matters: A Cambridge Assessment*, 11, 14–19.
- Sheinov, V. P. (2018). Social interactions of assertive individuals. *Journal of Psychology & Clinical Psychiatry*, 9(6), 693–694. <https://doi.org/10.15406/jpcpy.2018.09.00617>
- Sheriston, L., Holliman, A. J., & Payne, A. (2019). *Student adaptability and achievement on a psychology conversion course*. 25(1), 8.
- Stochl, J., Böhnke, J. R., Pickett, K. E., & Croudace, T. J. (2016). An evaluation of computerized adaptive testing for general psychological distress: Combining GHQ-12 and Affectometer-2 in an item bank for public mental health research. *BMC Medical Research Methodology*, 16(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-016-0158-7>
- Stokes, C. K., Schneider, T. R., & Lyons, J. B. (2010). Adaptive performance: A criterion problem. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 16(3/4), 212–230. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13527591011053278>
- Sugimoto, K., Kanai, A., & Shoji, N. (2009). The effectiveness of the Uchida-Kraepelin test for psychological stress: An analysis of plasma and salivary stress substances. *BioPsychoSocial Medicine*, 3(1), 5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1751-0759-3-5>
- Suhardi, I. (2020). Alternative item selection strategies for improving test security in computerized adaptive testing of the algorithm. *Research and Evaluation in Education*, 6(1), 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.21831/reid.v6i1.30508>
- Swerdlik, C. (2009). *Psychological testing and assessment: An introduction to tests and measurement 7th edition* (7th ed.). Mc-Graw Hill.
- Tassé, M. J., Schalock, R. L., Balboni, G., Spreat, S., & Navas, P. (2016). Validity and reliability of the diagnostic adaptive behaviour scale. *Journal of Intellectual Disability Research*, 60(1), 80–88. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jir.12239>
- Thoma, R. J., Cook, J. A., McGrew, C., King, J. H., Pulsipher, D. T., Yeo, R. A., Monnig, M. A., Mayer, A., Pommy, J., & Campbell, R. A. (2018). Convergent and discriminant validity of the ImPACT with traditional neuropsychological measures. *Cogent Psychology*, 5(1), 1430199. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2018.1430199>

- Thompson, N. A., & Weiss, D. J. (2011). *A framework for the development of computerized adaptive tests*. 16(1), 1–9.
- Tian, X., & Dai, B. (2020). Developing a computerized adaptive test to assess stress in chinese college students. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00007>
- Ulya, L. L. (2017). Kemampuan Papi Kostick dalam memprediksi adaptabilitas karyawan. Tesis Magister Psikologi Profesi. Tidak Diterbitkan. Fakultas Psikologi. Universitas Gadjah Mada: Yogyakarta
- van Dam, K., & Meulders, M. (2021). The Adaptability Scale: Development, internal consistency, and initial validity evidence. *European Journal of Psychological Assessment*, 37(2), 123–134. <https://doi.org/10.1027/1015-5759/a000591>
- Viswanathan, M. (2005). *Measurement error and research design*. Sage Publications.
- Williams, M., & Vogt, W. (2011). *The SAGE Handbook of Innovation in Social Research Methods*. SAGE Publications Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446268261>
- Xu, L., Jin, R., Huang, F., Zhou, Y., Li, Z., & Zhang, M. (2020). Development of computerized adaptive testing for emotion regulation. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 561358. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.561358>
- Yulianto, A. (2008). Computerized adaptive testing pemanfaatan psikologis dari komputer untuk pengetesan psikologis. *Prosiding Konferensi Nasional Sistem Informasi*, 7–12.
- Zanon, C., Araújo, A., & Hutz, C. S. (2019). The relevance of the big five model to students' adaptation to the academic environment personality and academic experiences. *Psico*, 50(4), 31599. <https://doi.org/10.15448/1980-8623.2019.4.31599>
- Zhang, K., Wu, S., Xu, Y., Cao, W., Goetz, T., & Parks-Stamm, E. J. (2021). Adaptability promotes student engagement under covid-19: The multiple mediating effects of academic emotion. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11(633265), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.633265>
- Zhang, L. M., Aidman, E., Burns, B., & Kleitman, S. (2020). Integrating self-report and performance-based assessment of adaptability in a university context. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 88, 103988–104002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2020.103988>