

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- APJII. (2022). *Laporan Survei Profil Internet Indonesia 2022*. Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia. <https://apjii.or.id/survei>
- Baron, R. A., & Byrne, D. (2012). *Psikologi Sosial* (10th ed., Vol. 1). Erlangga.
- Batson, C. D. (2011). *Altruism in humans*. Oxford University Press.
- Bilgrei, O. R. (2018). Brosience: Creating trust in online drug communities. *New Media & Society*, 20(8), 2712–2727. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444817730331>
- Brasini, M., Vecchio, S. D., Gregni, E., Casali, C., Mira, F., Capuano, N., Questa, V., Giacomantonio, M., & Mancini, F. (2018). Fairness Is a More Effective Interpersonal Motive than Care for Sustaining Prosocial Behaviour. *Psychology*, 09(06), 1426–1443. <https://doi.org/10.4236/psych.2018.96087>
- Chang, Y.-S., & Fang, S.-R. (2013). *Antecedents and distinctions between online trust and distrust: Predicting high- and low-risk Internet behaviors*. 14(2), 18.
- Coppolino Perfumi, S., Bagnoli, F., Caudek, C., & Guazzini, A. (2019). Deindividuation effects on normative and informational social influence within computer-mediated-communication. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 92, 230–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.11.017>
- Curry, O., Roberts, S. G. B., & Dunbar, R. I. M. (2013). Altruism in social networks: Evidence for a ‘kinship premium’: *Altruism in social networks*. *British Journal of Psychology*, 104(2), 283–295. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8295.2012.02119.x>
- Damen, D., van der Wijst, P., van Amelsvoort, M., & Krahmer, E. (2020). The Effect of Perspective-Taking on Trust and Understanding in Online and Face-to-Face Mediations. *Group Decision and Negotiation*, 29(6), 1121–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-020-09698-8>
- DataReportal. (2022). *Digital 2022 Indonesia*. <https://datareportal.com/reports/digital-2022-indonesia>
- Deng, S., Lin, Y., Liu, Y., Chen, X., & Li, H. (2017). *How do personality traits shape information-sharing behaviour in social media Exploring the mediating effect of generalized trust*. 34.
- Ellison, N. B., & Boyd, D. (2013). Sociality through Social Network Sites. In W. H. Dutton, *The Oxford Handbook of Internet Studies* (pp. 151–172). Oxford University Press.
- Ernst, C.-P. H., & Hock, C. (2017). *Factors Driving Prosocial Online Behavior*. 5.
- Erreygers, S., Vandebosch, H., Vranjes, I., Baillien, E., & De Witte, H. (2017). Nice or Naughty? The Role of Emotions and Digital Media Use in Explaining Adolescents’ Online Prosocial and Antisocial Behavior. *Media Psychology*, 20(3), 374–400. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2016.1200990>
- Erreygers, S., Vandebosch, H., Vranjes, I., Baillien, E., & De Witte, H. (2018). Development of a measure of adolescents’ online prosocial behavior. *Journal of Children and Media*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17482798.2018.1431558>
- Erreygers, S., Vandebosch, H., Vranjes, I., Baillien, E., & De Witte, H. (2019). Feel Good, Do Good Online? Spillover and Crossover Effects of Happiness on Adolescents’ Online Prosocial Behavior. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 20(4), 1241–1258. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-018-0003-2>
- Fahmi, I., Takwin, B., & Muhamad, R. (2019). Nilai Moral sebagai Prediktor Orientasi Politik pada Anggota Organisasi Keagamaan. 6(2), 16.
- Fajrianti, S., & Abraham, J. (2020). Moral Judgment behind Prosocial Value Endorsing Online Crowdfunding Behavior: Consequentialism vs. Deontology. *Proceedings of the*



- Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Multidisciplinary and Its Applications, WMA-3 2019, 11-14 December 2019, Medan, Indonesia. Proceedings of the Third Workshop on Multidisciplinary and Its Applications, WMA-3 2019, 11-14 December 2019, Medan, Indonesia, Medan, Indonesia.* <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.11-12-2019.2290815>
- Franco-Borges, G. (2019). *PRO-SOCIAL BEHAVIOR, EMPATHY, INTERPERSONAL AND TRUST BELIEFS ON PEERS DURING ADOLESCENCE: STUDY OF THEIR RELATIONSHIP WITH A SAMPLE OF 181 PORTUGUESE ADOLESCENTS OF THE 3RD CYCLE (7^o, 8^o AND 9^o YEARS OF SCHOOLING. 2, 5.*
- Gneezy, A., Imas, A., Brown, A., Nelson, L. D., & Norton, M. I. (2012). Paying to Be Nice: Consistency and Costly Prosocial Behavior. *Management Science*, 58(1), 179–187. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1110.1437>
- Grabner-Kräuter, S., & Bitter, S. (2015). Trust in online social networks: A multifaceted perspective. *Forum for Social Economics*, 44(1), 48–68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07360932.2013.781517>
- Graham, J., Haidt, J., & Nosek, B. A. (2009). *Liberals and Conservatives Rely on Different Sets of Moral Foundations.* 18.
- Graham, J., Nosek, B. A., Haidt, J., Iyer, R., Koleva, S., & Ditto, P. H. (2011). Mapping te Moral Domain. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 101(2), 366–385.
- Guo, Y. (2017). The Influence of Social Support on the Prosocial Behavior of College Students: The Mediating Effect based on Interpersonal Trust. *English Language Teaching*, 10(12), 158. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n12p158>
- Gupta, A., & Dhami, A. (2015). Measuring the impact of security, trust and privacy in information sharing: A study on social networking sites. *Journal of Direct, Data and Digital Marketing Practice*, 17(1), 43–53. <https://doi.org/10.1057/dddmp.2015.32>
- Haas, N. (2020). Negative campaigns, interpersonal trust, and prosocial behavior: The mediating effect of democratic experience. *Electoral Studies*, 14.
- Haidt, J., & Kesebir, S. (2010). Morality. In S. Fiske, D. Gilbert, & G. Lindzey (Eds.), *Handbook of Social Psychology* (pp. 797–832). Wiley.
- Hai-gen, G. (2011). Development of Internet Altruistic Behavior Scale for College Students. *Chinese Journal of Clinical Psychology*.
- Helmi, A. F., Widhiarso, W., Putri, A. K., Marvianto, R. D., Priwati, A. R., & Shaleha, R. R. A. (2019). A Model of Online Trust Among Adolescents. *Psychology and Learning*, 9(2), 17.
- Hoover, J., Johnson, K., Boghrati, R., Graham, J., & Dehghani, M. (2018). Moral Framing and Charitable Donation: Integrating Exploratory Social Media Analyses and Confirmatory Experimentation. *Collabra: Psychology*, 4(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.1525/collabra.129>
- Jancenelle, V. E. (2018). The effect of moral foundations in prosocial crowdfunding. *International Small Business Journal*, 20.
- Khang, H., & Jeong, I. (2016). Perceived self and behavioral traits as antecedents of an online empathic experience and prosocial behavior: Evidence from South Korea. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 64, 888–897. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.08.010>
- Kinnunen, S. P., Lindeman, M., & Verkasalo, M. (2016). Help-giving and moral courage on the Internet. *Cyberpsychology: Journal of Psychosocial Research on Cyberspace*, 10(4). <https://doi.org/10.5817/CP2016-4-6>
- Kirmani, M. N. (2017). *Interpersonal Trust and Happiness among Young Adults: A Correlational Study.* 4(2394), 8.



- Kurnia, Rahayu, Wendratama, E., Monggilo, Z. M. Z., Damayanti, A., Angendari, D. A. D., Abisano, F. Q., Shafira, I., & Desmalinda. (2022). *Penipuan Digital di Indonesia: Modus, Medium dan Rekomendasi*. Fakultas Ilmu Sosial dan Politik UGM.
- Lea, M., Spears, R., & de Groot, D. (2001). Knowing Me, Knowing You: Anonymity Effects on Social Identity Processes within Groups. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 27(5), 526–537. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167201275002>
- Lev-On, A. (2009). Cooperation with and without Trust Online. In K. S. Cook (Ed.), *ETrust: Forming relationships in the online world* (pp. 292–318). Russell Sage Foundation.
- Li, L., & Xiaohui, M. (2009). The types and development of adolescents' prosocial behaviors online. 2009 1st IEEE Symposium on Web Society, 259–263. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SWS.2009.5271805>
- Ma, W. W. K., & Chan, A. (2014). Knowledge sharing and social media: Altruism, perceived online attachment motivation, and perceived online relationship commitment. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 39, 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.06.015>
- Malti, T., Averdijk, M., Zuffiano, A., Ribeaud, D., Betts, L. R., Rotenberg, K. J., & Eisner, M. P. (2016). Children's trust and the development of prosocial behavior. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 9.
- Maner, J. K., & Gailliot, M. T. (2006). Altruism and egoism: Prosocial motivations for helping depend on relationship context. *European Journal of Social Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.364>
- March, E., & Marrington, J. Z. (2021). Antisocial and Prosocial online behaviour: Exploring the roles of the Dark and Light Triads. *Current Psychology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01552-7>
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust. *The Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258792>
- Mikani, M., Tabatabaei, K. R., & Azadfallah, P. (2022). Who would Iranian Muslims help? Religious dimensions and moral foundations as predictors. *Archive for the Psychology of Religion*, 44(1), 23–39. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00846724211062944>
- Nilsson, A., Erlandsson, A., & Västfjäll, D. (2016). The congruency between moral foundations and intentions to donate, self-reported donations, and actual donations to charity. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 65, 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2016.07.001>
- Nilsson, A., Erlandsson, A., Västfjäll, D., & Lönnqvist, J. (2020). *Moral Foundations Theory and the Psychology of Charitable Giving*. 17.
- O'Grady, T., Vandegrift, D., Wolek, M., & Burr, G. (2019). On the determinants of other-regarding behavior: Field tests of the moral foundations questionnaire ☆☆☆★★★. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 81, 224–237. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrp.2019.06.008>
- Paramita, W., Septianto, F., Escadas, M., Arnita, D., & Nasution, R. A. (2022). The effects of organizational positioning and donation recognition on charitable giving: Insights from moral foundations theory. *Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-09-2021-0655>
- Paramita, W., Septianto, F., Rostiani, R., Winahjoe, S., & Audita, H. (2020). Turning narcissists into prosocial agents: Explaining young people's online donation behavior. *Young Consumers*, 21(4), 369–388. <https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-11-2019-1070>
- Perfumi, S. C. (2020). Social Identity Model of Deindividuation Effects and Media Use. In J. Bulck (Ed.), *The International Encyclopedia of Media Psychology* (1st ed., pp. 1–8). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119011071.iemp0151>



- Postmes, T., & Spears, R. (1998). *Deindividuation and Antinormative Behavior: A Meta-Analysis*. 22.
- Ridings, C. M., Gefen, D., & Arinze, B. (2002). Some antecedents and effects of trust in virtual communities. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 11(3–4), 271–295. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8687\(02\)00021-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0963-8687(02)00021-5)
- Sari, R. K. (2021). Identifikasi Penyebab Ketidakpatuhan Warga terhadap Penerapan Protokol Kesehatan 3M di Masa Pandemi COVID-19. *Jurnal Akrab Juara*, 6(1), 84–94.
- Shmeleva, E., Kislyakov, P., Lantsova, S., & Surkova, A. (2022). Media Resources in the Raising of Prosocial Behavior of Schoolchildren. *Media Education (Mediaobrazovanie)*, 18(4). <https://doi.org/10.13187/me.2022.4.634>
- Spears, R. (2017). Social Identity Model of Deindividuation Effects. In P. Rössler, C. A. Hoffner, & L. Zoonen (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Media Effects* (1st ed., pp. 1–9). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118783764.wbieme0091>
- Sproull, L., Conley, C. A., & Moon, J. Y. (2013). The Kindness of Strangers. In Y. Amichai-Hamburger (Ed.), *The social net: Understanding our online behavior* (Second edition, pp. 143–164). Oxford University Press.
- Süssenbach, P., Rees, J., & Gollwitzer, M. (2019). When the going gets tough, individualizers get going: On the relationship between moral foundations and prosociality. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 136, 122–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2018.01.019>
- Syropoulos, S., & Markowitz, E. M. (2021). Prosocial responses to COVID-19: Examining the role of gratitude, fairness and legacy motives. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 171(5).
- Thurlow, C., Lengel, L. B., & Tomic, A. (2004). *Computer mediated communication: Social interaction and the Internet*. Sage Publications.
- Turilli, M., Vaccaro, A., & Taddeo, M. (2010). The Case of Online Trust. *Knowledge, Technology & Policy*, 23(3–4), 333–345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12130-010-9117-5>
- van Hoorn, J., van Dijk, E., Meuwese, R., Rieffe, C., & Crone, E. A. (2016). Peer Influence on Prosocial Behavior in Adolescence. *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 26(1), 90–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jora.12173>
- Walther, J. B. (2011). *Theories of Computer-Mediated Communication and Interpersonal Relations*.
- Walther, J. B. (2013). Group and Computer-Mediated Communication. In Y. Amichai-Hamburger (Ed.), *The social net: Understanding our online behavior* (Second edition, pp. 165–179). Oxford University Press.
- Walther, J. B. (2015). Social Information Processing Theory. In C. R. Berger, M. E. Roloff, S. R. Wilson, J. P. Dillard, J. Caughlin, & D. Solomon (Eds.), *The International Encyclopedia of Interpersonal Communication* (1st ed., pp. 1–13). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118540190.wbeic192>
- Walther, J. B., Van Der Heide, B., Ramirez, A., Burgoon, J. K., & Peña, J. (2015). Interpersonal and Hyperpersonal Dimensions of Computer-Mediated Communication. In S. S. Sundar (Ed.), *The Handbook of the Psychology of Communication Technology* (1st ed., pp. 1–22). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118426456.ch1>
- Wang, C.-C., & Wang, C.-H. (2008). Helping Others in Online Games: Prosocial Behavior in Cyberspace. *CyberPsychology & Behavior*, 11(3), 344–346. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cpb.2007.0045>
- Wang, Q., Myers, M. D., & Sundaram, D. (2013). Digital Natives and Digital Immigrants. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*.



- Wang, X. W., Riaz, M., Haider, S., Alam, K. M., Sherani, & Yang, M. (2021). Information Sharing on Social Media by Multicultural Individuals: Experiential, Motivational, and Network Factors. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 29(6), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.4018/JGIM.20211101.0a22>
- Wright, M. F., & Li, Y. (2011). The associations between young adults' face-to-face prosocial behaviors and their online prosocial behaviors. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 27(5), 1959–1962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.04.019>
- Xu, B., Li, D., & Shao, B. (2012). Knowledge Sharing in Virtual Communities: A Study of Citizenship Behavior and Its Social-Relational Antecedents. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 28(5), 347–359. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2011.590121>
- Zeng, P., Zhao, X., Xie, X., Long, J., Jiang, Q., Wang, Y., Qi, L., Lei, L., & Wang, P. (2020). Moral perfectionism and online prosocial behavior: The mediating role of moral identity and the moderating role of online interpersonal trust. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 162, 110017. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2020.110017>
- Zheng, X. L. (2013). *The theory and empirical study of online altruism*. Chinese social sciences press.
- Zheng, X., Wang, Y., & Xu, L. (2016). Internet Altruistic Behavior and Subjective Well-Being: Self-Efficacy as a Mediator. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, 44(9), 1575–1583. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2016.44.9.1575>