

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction .....	8
1.1 Problem statement .....	8
1.2 Research objectives .....	10
1.3 Research questions .....	10
2. Theoretical bases .....	11
2.1 Overview .....	11
2.2 Theory of Planned Behavior .....	11
2.2.1 Constructs in the TPB .....	12
2.3 Value-Belief-Norm Theory .....	13
2.3.1 Constructs in VBNT .....	14
2.4 Proposed hypothetical model .....	16
3. Methodology .....	22
3.1 Overview .....	22
3.2 Sampling and questionnaire design .....	22
3.3 General approach for data analysis .....	24
3.4 Process of implementing PLS-SEM .....	25
3.4.1 Model assessment in PLS-SEM .....	27
4. Results and discussion .....	32
4.1 Overview .....	32
4.2 Data screening .....	32
4.3 Descriptive profile of samples .....	32
4.4 Evaluation of the measurement models .....	33

## Tables

Table 2-1 Summary of definitions for the constructs in the proposed model.....	15
Table 3-1 Summary of the criteria and rules of thumb for measurement model evaluation .....	29
Table 4-1 Respondent identity .....	33
Table 4-2 Comparisons of the preliminary and final values for indicator reliability and internal consistency reliability .....	34
Table 4-3 Results of discriminant validity by Fornell and Larcker's (1981) criterion .....	35
Table 4-4 Collinearity results.....	37
Table 4-5 Path coefficients, t-statistics and p-values of the structural models.....	39
Table 4-6 Results for explanatory and predictive power.....	42
Table 4-7 Comparisons of the results for R-square, f-square and Q-square values between TPB and the proposed integrated model.....	44
Table 4-8 Comparisons of the results for R-square, f-square and Q-square values between VBNT and the proposed integrated model.....	46

Figure 2-1 Theory of Planned Behavior (Ajzen, 1991) .....	12
Figure 2-2 Value-Belief-Norm Theory (Stem, 1999).....	14
Figure 2-3 Proposed hypothetical model.....	16
Figure 3-1 Managing e-wastes in four different scenarios (Forti et al., 2020) .....	23
Figure 3-2 Approach of implementing PLS-SEM.....	25
Figure 3-3 Measurement and Structural models of the proposed hypothetical model.....	27
Figure 3-4 PLS-SEM evaluation guideline (adapted from Sarstedt et al., 2014).....	28
Figure 3-5 Structural model assessment procedure. (Hair et al., 2022).....	30
Figure 4-1 Comparisons of the significance of the hypothetical relationships based on the proposed model .....	38
Figure 4-2 Comparisons of f-square values between the Norwegian and Indonesian contexts based on the proposed model.....	41
Figure 4-3 Path coefficient and p-values of TPB in the Norwegian context.....	43
Figure 4-4 Path coefficient and p-values of TPB in the Indonesian context.....	44
Figure 4-5 Path coefficient and p-values of VBNT in the Norwegian context.....	45
Figure 4-6 Path coefficient and p-values of VBNT in the Indonesian context.....	46
Figure 4-7 Direct effects of attitude, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control on intentions towards e-waste recycling in the Norwegian context.....	48
Figure 4-8 Direct effects of attitude, subjective norms and perceived behavioral control on intentions towards e-waste recycling in the Indonesian context.....	48
Figure 4-9 Indirect effects in the Norwegian context.....	52
Figure 4-10 Indirect effects in the Indonesian context.....	53

