

Daftar Pustaka

- Agostino, D., Saliterer, I., & Steccolini, I. 2022. Digitalization, accounting and accountability: A literature review and reflections on future research in public services. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(2), 152–176. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12301>
- Ahn, M., & Bretschneider, S. 2011. Politics of E-Government: E-Government and the Political Control of Bureaucracy. *Public Administration Review*, 71(3), 414–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2011.02225.x>
- Akesson, M., Skalen, P., & Edvardsson, B. 2008. E-government and service orientation: Gaps between theory and practice. In *International Journal of Public Sector Management* (Vol. 21, Issue 1, pp. 74–92). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550810846122>
- Al-Shbail, T., & Aman, A. 2018. E-government and accountability: How to mitigate the disorders and dysfunctions of accountability relationships. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 12(2), 155–190. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-09-2017-0057>
- Allen, B. A. 2001. E-governance & Government on-line in Canada: Partnerships, people & prospects. *Government Information Quarterly*, 18(2), 93–104. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X\(01\)00063-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X(01)00063-6)
- Alomari, M., Woods, P., & Sandhu, K. 2012. Predictors for e-government adoption in Jordan: Deployment of an empirical evaluation based on a citizen-centric approach. In *Information Technology and People* (Vol. 25, Issue 2, pp. 207–234). <https://doi.org/10.1108/09593841211232712>
- Alshawi, S. 2009. E-government evaluation: Citizen's perspective in developing countries. *Information Technology for Development*, 15(3), 193–208. <https://doi.org/10.1002/itdj.20125>
- Aman, A. ., Al-Shbail, T. A. ., & Mohammed, Z. 2013. Enhancing Public Organizations Accountability Through E-Government Systems. *International Journal of Conceptions on Management and Social Science*, 1, 15–21.
- Andersen, T. 2009. E-Government as an anti-corruption strategy. *Information Economics and Policy*, 21(3), 201–210. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoecopol.2008.11.003>
- Arikunto, S. 2016. *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Rineka Cipta.
- Arnaboldi, M., & Lema, M. L. D. 2022. Shaping cultural participation through social media. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(2), 299–321. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12293>
- Belanche, D., Casaló, L. V., & Flavián, C. 2012. Integrating trust and personal values into the technology acceptance model: The case of e-government services adoption. *Cuadernos de Economía y Dirección de La Empresa*, 15(4), 192–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cede.2012.04.004>
- Bélanger, F., & Carter, L. 2008. Trust and risk in e-government adoption. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 17(2), 165–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2007.12.002>

- Bell, E., Bryman, A., & Harley, B. 2019. *Business Research Methods*. (Kelima). Oxford University Press.
- Bovens, M. 2007. Analysing and Assessing Accountability: A Conceptual Framework. *European Law J*, 13(4), 447–468. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199465330.003.0009>
- Bowen, G. A. 2009. Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- Carter, L. 2005. The utilization of e-government services: Citizen trust, innovation and acceptance factors. *Information Systems Journal*, 15(1), 5–25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2575.2005.00183.x>
- Carter, L. 2008. E-government adoption: A cultural comparison. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 10(4), 473–482. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-008-9103-6>
- Carter, L. 2016. Citizen Adoption of E-Government Services: Exploring Citizen Perceptions of Online Services in the United States and United Kingdom. *Information Systems Management*, 33(2), 124–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2016.1155948>
- Carter, L., Weerakkody, V., Phillips, B., & Dwivedi, Y. K. 2016. Citizen Adoption of E-Government Services: Exploring Citizen Perceptions of Online Services in the United States and United Kingdom. *Information Systems Management*, 33(2), 124–140. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2016.1155948>
- Chen, Y. N., Chen, H.M., Huang, W., & Ching, R. K. H. 2006. E-government strategies in developed and developing countries: An implementation framework and case study. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 14(1), 23–46. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.2006010102>
- Chua, W. F., Graaf, J., & Kraus, K. 2022. Mapping and contesting peer selection in digitalized public sector benchmarking. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(2), 223–251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12306>
- Creswell, J. W. 2007. *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design* (Third). Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. 2008. *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. Pearson Education.
- DDTC. 2021. *Tahun Ini DJP Tambah 9 Layanan Digital, Ini Daftarnya*. <https://news.ddtc.co.id/-tahun-ini-djp-tambah-9-layanan-digital-ini-daftarnya-30018>
- Dhaniswara, A. S. 2022. *Satu Aplikasi. Beragam Kemudahan. Unifikasi*. Direktorat Penyuluhan, Pelayanan, dan Hubungan Masyarakat KPDJP.
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2009. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-53/PJ/2009 tentang Bentuk Formulir Surat Pemberitahuan Masa Pajak Penghasilan Final Pasal 4 Ayat (2), Surat Pemberitahuan Masa Pajak Penghasilan Pasal 15, Pasal 22, Pasal 23 dan/atau Pasal 26 serta Bukti Pemoton*.
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2017. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-04/PJ/2017 tentang Bentuk, Isi, Tata Cara Pengisian dan Penyampaian Surat Pemberitahuan Masa Pajak Penghasilan Pasal 23 dan/atau Pasal 26 Serta*

Bentuk Bukti Pemotongan Pajak Penghasilan Pasal 23 dan/atau Pasal.

- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2019a. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-06/PJ/2019 tentang Perubahan Ketiga atas Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-41/PJ/2015 tentang Pengamanan Transaksi Elektronik Layanan Pajak Online.*
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2019b. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-20/PJ/2019 tentang Bentuk, Isi, Tata Cara Pengisian dan Penyampaian Surat Pemberitahuan Masa Pajak Penghasilan Unifikasi serta Format Bukti Pemotongan/Pemungutan Unifikasi.*
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2019c. *Surat Edaran Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor SE-13/PJ/2020 Tahun 2020 Tentang Panduan Pelaksanaan Tugas Selama Masa Pencegahan Penyebaran Corona Virus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Di Lingkungan Direktorat Jenderal Pajak.*
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2020. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-04/PJ/2020 tentang Petunjuk Teknis Pelaksanaan Administrasi Nomor Pokok Wajib Pajak, Sertifikat Elektronik, dan Penguahan Pengusaha Kena Pajak.*
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2021a. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-02/PJ/2021 tentang Tata Cara Pemberian dan Penggunaan Nomor Identitas Subunit Organisasi Instansi Pemerintah serta Kewajiban Pelaporan Pajak Instansi Pemerintah.*
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2021b. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-13/PJ/2021 tentang Perubahan atas Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-02/PJ/2021 tentang Tata Cara Pemberian dan Penggunaan Nomor Identitas Subunit Organisasi Instansi Pemerintah serta Kewajiban Pelaporan.*
- Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2021c. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-24/PJ/2021 tentang Bentuk dan Tata Cara Pembuatan Bukti Pemotongan/Pemungutan Unifikasi Serta Bentuk, Isi, Tata Cara Pengisian, dan Penyampaian Surat Pemberitahuan Masa Pajak Penghasilan Unifikasi.*
- Surat Edaran Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor SE-41/PJ/2021 tentang Petunjuk Pelaksanaan Pemberian dan Penggunaan Nomor Identitas Subunit Organisasi Instansi Pemerintah serta Kewajiban Pelaporan Pajak Instansi Pemerintah, (2021).
- Evans, D. 2005. E-government: An analysis for implementation: Framework for understanding cultural and social impact. *Government Information Quarterly*, 22(3), 354–373. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2005.05.007>
- Finer, H. 1941. Administrative Responsibility in Democratic Government. *Public Administration Review*, 1(4), 335–350. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429501555-2>
- Friedrich, C. J. 1940. Public policy and the nature of administrative responsibility. *Public Policy*, 1, 3–24.
- Gilbert, D., & Balestrini, P. 2004. Barriers and benefits in the adoption of e-government. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 17(4), 286–301. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550410539794>
- Gupta, M. P. 2003. E-government evaluation: A framework and case study. *Government Information Quarterly*, 20(4), 365–387.

- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2003.08.002>
- Heintze, T., & Bretschneider, S. 2000. Information Technology and Restructuring in Public Organizations: Does Adoption of Information Technology Affect Organizational Structures, Communications, and Decision Making? *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 10(4), 801–830. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jpart.a024292>
- Ho, A. T. K. 2002. Reinventing local governments and the E-government initiative. *Public Administration Review*, 62(4), 434–444. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0033-3352.00197>
- Ho, A. T. K., & Ni, A. Y. 2004. Explaining the adoption of e-government features: A case study of Iowa county treasurers' offices. *American Review of Public Administration*, 34(2), 164–180. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0275074004264355>
- Hung, S., Chang, C.-M., & Yu, T.-J. 2006. Determinants of user acceptance of the e-Government services: The case of online tax filing and payment system. *Government Information Quarterly*, 23(1), 97–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2005.11.005>
- Jorgensen, D. J., & Cable, S. S. A. M. 2002. Facing The Challenges of E-Government: A Case Study of The City of Corpus Christi, Texas. *Advanced Management Journal; C Vol. 67, Iss. 3, (Summer 2002): 15-21+.*, 67(3), 15–21.
- Kementerian Keuangan. 2017. *Peraturan Menteri Keuangan Republik Indonesia Nomor 147 / PMK. 03/ 2017 tentang Tata Cara Pendaftaran Wajib Pajak dan Penghapusan Nomor Pokok Wajib Pajak serta Pengukuhan dan Pencabutan Pengukuhan Pengusaha Kena Pajak.*
- Kementerian Keuangan. 2020. *Layanan DJP Berinovasi dengan Tantangan Covid-19 dan Persiapan Akhir Tahun.* <https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/publikasi/berita/layanan-djp-berinovasi-dengan-tantangan-covid-19-dan-persiapan-akhir-tahun/>
- Kepala KPP Pratama Pamekasan. 2017. *Nilai Kinerja Organisasi (NKO) KPP Pratama Pamekasan.*
- Kepala KPP Pratama Pamekasan. 2018. *Nilai Kinerja Organisasi (NKO) KPP Pratama Pamekasan.*
- Koppell, J. G. 2005. Pathologies of Accountability : ICANN and the Challenge of “ Multiple Accountabilities Disorder .” *Public Administration Review*, 65(1), 94–108.
- Kumar, R., & Best, M. L. 2006. Impact and sustainability of E-government services in developing countries: Lessons learned from Tamil Nadu, India. *Information Society*, 22(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01972240500388149>
- Layne, K., & Lee, J. 2001. Developing fully functional E-government: A four stage model. *Government Information Quarterly*, 18(2), 122–136. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X\(01\)00066-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0740-624X(01)00066-1)
- Lean, O. K., Zailani, S., Ramayah, T., & Fernando, Y. 2009. Factors influencing intention to use e-government services among citizens in Malaysia. *International Journal of Information Management*, 29(6), 458–475. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2009.03.012>
- Lee, J., Kim, H. J., & Ahn, M. J. 2011. The willingness of e-Government service

- adoption by business users: The role of offline service quality and trust in technology. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(2), 222–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.07.007>
- Lino, A. F., Aquino, A. C. B. de, & Neves, F. R. 2022. Accountants' postures under compulsory digital transformation imposed by government oversight authorities. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(2), 202–222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12313>
- Lourenço, R. P., Piotrowski, S., & Ingrams, A. 2017. Open data driven public accountability. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, 11(1), 42–57. <https://doi.org/10.1108/TG-12-2015-0050>
- Luna-Reyes, L. F., & Gil-Garcia, J. R. 2011. Using institutional theory and dynamic simulation to understand complex e-Government phenomena. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(3), 329–345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.08.007>
- Mansoori, K. A. Al, Sarabdeen, J., & Tchanchane, A. L. 2018. Investigating Emirati citizens' adoption of e-government services in Abu Dhabi using modified UTAUT model. *Information Technology and People*, 31(2), 455–481. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-12-2016-0290>
- Mason, J. 2002. *Qualitative Researching* (Second Edi). Sage Publications.
- Meijer, A., & Schillemans, T. 2009. Fictional Citizens and Real Effects: Accountability to Citizens In Competitive and Monopolistic Market. *Public Administration and Management*, 14(2), 254–291.
- Menteri Keuangan. 2020. *Rencana Strategis Kementerian Keuangan 2020-2024*. <https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/media/15894/rencana-strategis-kementerian-keuangan-2020-2024.pdf>
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, M. A. 1994. *Qualitative Data Analysis* (Second Edi). Sage Publications.
- Otia, J. E., & Bracci, E. 2022. Digital transformation and the public sector auditing: The SAI's perspective. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 38(2), 252–280. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12317>
- Ozkan, S. 2011. E-Government adoption model based on theory of planned behavior: Empirical validation. *Government Information Quarterly*, 28(4), 503–513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2010.10.007>
- Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak. 2021. *Peraturan Direktur Jenderal Pajak Nomor PER-17/PJ/2021 Tentang Bentuk dan Tata Cara Pembuatan Bukti Pemotongan dan/atau Pemungutan Pajak, serta Bentuk, Isi, Tata Cara Pengisian, dan Penyampaian Surat Pemberitahuan Masa Bagi Instansi Pemerintah*.
- Phang, C. 2006. Senior citizens' acceptance of information systems: A study in the context of e-Government services. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 53(4), 555–569. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2006.883710>
- Pina, V., Torres, L., & Royo, S. 2010. Is E-Government Leading to More Accountable and Transparent Local Governments? An Overall view. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 26(1), 0267–4424.
- Polzer, T., & Goncharenko, G. 2022. The UK COVID-19 app The failed co-production of a digital public service.pdf. *Financial Accountability and*

- Management*, 38, 281–298. <https://doi.org/DOI:10.1111/faam.12307>
- Rahman, A. 2011. Evaluasi Kesuksesan E-Government: Studi Di Kabupaten Sleman Dan Kabupaten Tulungagung. *Jaai*, 15(2), 190–203.
- Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. 2015. Citizen's adoption of an e-government system: Validating extended social cognitive theory (SCT). *Government Information Quarterly*, 32(2), 172–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2015.02.002>
- Ratnafuri, K., & Herawati, N. 2012. Malpraktek Pemotongan dan Pemungutan Pajak oleh Bendaharawan Pemerintah. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma JAMAL*, 3(2). www.depkeu.go.id;
- Reddick, C. 2004. A two-stage model of e-government growth: Theories and empirical evidence for U.S. cities. *Government Information Quarterly*, 21(1), 51–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2003.11.004>
- Rehman, M., Esichaikul, V., & Kamal, M. 2012. *Factors influencing e-government adoption in Pakistan Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy* (Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp. 258–282).
- Romzek, B. S. ., & Dubnick, M. J. 2018. Accountability in the Public Sector: Lessons from the Challenger Tragedy. *Democracy, Bureaucracy, and the Study of Administration*, 47(3), 182–204. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429501036-13>
- Romzek, B. S. ., & Johnston., J. M. 2005. State Social Services Contract-ing: Exploring the Determinants of Effective Contract Accountability. *Public Administration Review*, 65(4), 436–437.
- Romzek, B. S., & Dubnick, M. J. 2018. Accountability in the Public Sector: Lessons from the Challenger Tragedy. *Democracy, Bureaucracy, and the Study of Administration*, 47(3), 182–204. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429501036-13>
- Schlenker, B. R., Britt, T. W., Pennington, J., Murphy, R., & Doherty, K. 1994. The Triangle Model of Responsibility. *Psychological Review*, 101(4), 632–652. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.101.4.632>
- Schuppan, T. 2009. E-Government in developing countries: Experiences from sub-Saharan Africa. *Government Information Quarterly*, 26(1), 118–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2008.01.006>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. 2016. *Research Methods for Business. A Skill Building Approach* (Seventh). Wiley.
- Siau, K., & Long, Y. 2005. Synthesizing e-government stage models - A meta-synthesis based on meta-ethnography approach. In *Industrial Management and Data Systems* (Vol. 105, Issue 4, pp. 443–458). <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570510592352>
- Siau, K., & Long, Y. 2009. Factors Impacting E-Government Development. *Journal of Computer Information System*, 50(1)(February), 98–107.
- Silverman, D. 2017. *Doing Qualitative Research*. In *Sage Publications* (5e ed.). Sage publications.
- Suherman, M., Medina, A., & Marliana, R. 2015. Pengaruh Penerapan e-filing terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak dalam Penyampaian Surat Pemberitahuan (spt) Tahunan Pada Kantor Pelayanan Pajak Pratama Kota Tasikmalaya. *Media Riset Akuntansi, Auditing & Informasi*, 15(1), 49–64.
- Thomas, J. C. 2003. *The New Face of Government: Citizen-Initiated Contacts in*

- the Era of E-Government. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 13(1), 83–102. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jpart/mug010>
- Venkantesh, V., Sykes, T. A., & Venkatraman, S. 2014. Understanding E-Government Portal Use in Rural India: Role of Demographic and Personality Characteristics. *Information Systems Journal*, 24(3), 249–269.
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L. ., Chan, F. K. Y., & Hu, P. J. . 2016. Managing citizens' uncertainty in e-government services: The mediating and moderating roles of transparency and trust. *Information Systems Research*, 27(1), 87–111. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2015.0612>
- Virtanen, P., Stenvall, J., Kinder, T., & Hatam, O. 2018. Do accountabilities change when public organisations transform to service systems: A new conceptual approach. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 34(2), 166–180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12149>
- Wong, W., & Welch, E. 2004. Does E-Government Promote Accountability? A Comparative Analysis of Website Openness and Government Accountability. In *Governance* (Vol. 17, Issue 2, pp. 275–297). <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0491.2004.00246.x>
- Yildiz, M. 2007. E-government research: Reviewing the literature, limitations, and ways forward. *Government Information Quarterly*, 24(3), 646–665. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2007.01.002>
- Yin, R. K. 2011. *Qualitative Research From Start to Finish*. The Guildford Press.
- Zhang, J. 2005. Exploring stakeholders' expectations of the benefits and barriers of e-government knowledge sharing. In *Journal of Enterprise Information Management* (Vol. 18, Issue 5, pp. 548–567). <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410390510624007>