

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Books

- Blackaby, Nigel, *et. al.*, 2016, *International Arbitration*, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Garner, Bryan, 2004, *Black's Law Dictionary*, Eighth Edition, West Group, St. Paul.
- Hartono, C.F.G. Sunaryati, 1994, *Penelitian Hukum Di Indonesia Pada Akhir Abad Ke-20*, Alumni, Bandung.
- Istanto, 2007, *Penelitian Hukum*, CV Ganda, Yogyakarta.
- Marzuki, Peter, 2005, *Penelitian Hukum*, Kencana Prenada Media, Jakarta.
- Miller *et al.*, 2014, *Guide for Potential Amici In International Investment Arbitration*, University of Toronto Press.
- Simpson and Vasaly, 2010, *The Amicus Brief: How To Write It And Use It Effectively*, (3d ed. 2010).
- Soekanto and Mamudji, 2007, *Penelitian Hukum Normatif, Suatu Tinjauan Singkat*, PT RajaGrafindo Persada, Jakarta.
- Sornarajah, 2008, *The International Law on Foreign Investment: Third Edition*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

Journals

- Angell, Ernest, "The Amicus Curiae: American Development of English Institutions", 16 Int'l & Comp. L.Q (1967).
- Ankersmit, Lauren. "Achmea: The Beginning of the End for ISDS in and with Europe?", <https://www.iisd.org/itn/en/2018/04/24/achmea-the-beginning-of-the-end-for-isds-in-and-with-europe-laurens-ankersmit/>, (Accessed in 24 November 2022)
- Baltag, Crina, "The Role of Amici Curiae in Light of Recent Developments in Investment Treaty Arbitration: Legitimizing the System?", ICSID Review (2020),
- Bartholomeusz, "The Amicus Curiae before International Courts and Tribunals" Non-State Actors & Intl L 3, 209 (2005).
- Blackaby and Richard, "Amicus Curiae: A Panacea for Legitimacy in Investment Arbitration?" in Waibel et al, eds, ch 10.
- Born and Forrest, "Amicus Participation in Investment Arbitration", ICSID Review, (2019).
- Butler, Nicolle, "Non-Disputing Party Participation in ICSID Disputes: Faux Amici?", Netherlands International Law Review 66 143–178 (2019).
- Catbagan, Aaron, "Rights of Action for Private Non-State Actors in the WTO Dispute Settlement System", Denver J Intl L & Policy, 281-82 (2008).
- Choudhury, Bernali, "Recapturing Public Power: Is Investment Arbitration's Engagement of Public Interest Contributing to The Democratic Deficit?" Vanderbilt Journal of International Law (2008).
- De Brabandere, Eric "NGOs and the 'Public Interest': The Legality and Rationale of Amicus Curiae Interventions in International Economic and Investment Disputes," Chicago Journal of International Law, Vol. 12: No. 1 (2011).
- Decaux, 'Human Rights and Civil Society' in P Alston (ed) The EU and Human Rights (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999)

- Epstein and King, “*The Rules of Inference*,” University of Chicago Law Review 69, no. 1 (2002), <https://doi.org/10.2307/1600349>.
- Epstein and O’Connor, “*Court Rules and Workload: A Case Study of Rules Governing Amicus Curiae Participation*”, 8 JUST. SYS. J. 35, 36 (1983)
- Ernst- Ulrich Petersmann, Justice as Conflict Resolution: Proliferation, Fragmentation, and Decentralization of Dispute Settlement in International Trade, 27 U. PA. J. INT’L ECON. L. 273 (2006)
- Francioni, Francesco, “Access to Justice, Denial of Justice, and International Investment Law”, (2009). 20:3 EJIL 729
- Gerlich, Olga, (2017), “*More Than a Friend? The European Commission’s Amicus Curiae Participation in Investor-State Arbitration.*” In: Adinolfi, G., Baetens, F., Caiado, J., Lupone, A., Micara, A. (eds) International Economic Law. Springer, Cham.
- Gomez, Katia, “*Rethinking the Role of Amicus Curiae in International Investment Arbitration: How to Draw the Line Favorably for the Public Interest*”, Fordham International Law Journal Vol 35 No 2, (2012)
- Ishikawa, Tomoko, “*Third Participation in Investment Treaty Arbitration*”, The International and Comparative Law Quarterly Vol.59, No.2, (2010).
- Kearney and Merrill, “*The Influence of Amicus Curiae Briefs on the Supreme Court*”, 148 U. PA. L. REV. 743, 830 (2000).
- Kinyua, Kenneth, “*Assessing the Benefits of Allowing Amicus Curiae Briefs in Investor-State Arbitrations: A Developing Country’s Perspective*” (2016).
- Knahr and Reinisch, “*Transparency versus Confidentiality in International Investment Arbitration – The Biwater Gauff Compromise*”, The Law and Practice of International Courts and Tribunals 6 (2007).
- Leventhal, “*The European Commission: Ami Fidèle or Faux Ami? Exploring the Commission’s Role as Amicus Curiae in ICSID Proceedings*” European Investment Law and Arbitration Review Online 5, 1 (2020).
- Levine, Euginia, “*Amicus Curiae in International Investment Arbitration: The Implications of an Increase in Third-Party Participation*”, Berkeley Journal of International Law Vol 29:1 (2011).
- McCarthy and Fluck, “*The Concept of Transparency in International Relations: Towards a Critical Approach*”, 23 European Journal of International Relations, (2017).
- Ruth Teitelbaum, “*A Look At the Public Interest in Investment Arbitration: Is It Unique? What Should We Do about It?*” 5 Publicist 54, 54 (2010)
- SD Franck, “*The Legitimacy Crisis in Investment Treaty Arbitration: Privatizing Public International Law Through Inconsistent Decisions*” 73 Fordham L Rev 1521. (2005)
- Shihata, “*Towards a Greater Depoliticization of Investment Disputes: The Roles of ICSID*”, 1 ICSID Review 1 (1986)
- Shirlow and Caron, “*The Multiple Forms of Transparency in International Investment Arbitration: Their Implications, and Their Limits*”, ANU College of Law Research Paper No 19.27 (2017).

- Shirlow, Esme, “*Dawn of a New Era? The UNCITRAL Rules And UN Convention on Transparency In Treaty-Based Investor-State Arbitration*”, ICSID Review – Foreign Investment Law Journal (2016).
- Shirlow, Esme, “*Three Manifestation of Transparency in International Investment Law: Story of Sources, Stakeholders, and Structures*”, Goettingen Journal of International Law 8 (2017)
- Simoës, Fernando, “*Friends with Benefits? Amicus Curiae in the TPP Investor-State Dispute Settlement Mechanism*” (2017) in Julien Chaisse, Henry Gao, and Chang-fa Lo (eds.), “Paradigm Shift in International Economic Law Rule-Making: TPP as a New Model for Trade Agreements?” (Springer 2017). p.113.
- Yang, Xinglong, “*A Comprehensive Study of the Institution of Amicus Curiae and Current Practice in Investor-State Arbitration*”. CMU Journal of Law and Social Science (2017)

Judicial Decision

- AES Summit Generation Limited and AES-Tisza Erözü Kft v. The Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/22, Award, (23 September 2010)
- Aguas Argentinas SA, Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA and Vivendi Universal SA v Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No ARB/03/19, Order in Response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae (19 May 2005)
- Apotex Holdings v USA, ICSID Case No. ARB(AF)/12/1, Procedural Order No. 4 (4 March 2013)
- Apotex Holdings v USA, Procedural Order 2, ICSID Case No. ARB (AF)/12/1, Amici Submission by CUPE and Council of Canadians (March 2013)
- Azurix Corp v The Argentine Republic, ICSID Case No. ARB/01/12, Award, (14 July 2006)
- Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/21 Partial Dissenting Opinion Professor Philippe Sands QC. (30 November 2017)
- Bear Creek Mining Corporation v. Republic of Peru, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/21 Amicus Curiae Brief Submitted by Association of Human Right and The Environment Puno and Mr. Carlos Lopez PHD (18 August 2016)
- Biwater Gauff Ltd v United Republic of Tanzania ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Procedural Order No.05, (February 02, 2007)
- Biwater Case, *Biwater Gauff Ltd v United Republic of Tanzania* ICSID Case No. ARB/05/22, Award, (July 24 2008)
- CJEU Case C- 284/ 16, Slovak Republic v. Achmea B.V
- Electrabel S.A. v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19, Decision on Jurisdiction (30 November 2012)
- Electrabel S.A. v. Republic of Hungary, ICSID Case No. ARB/07/19, Award. (25 November 2015)
- Eli Lilly and Company v. Government of Canada, ICSID Case No. UNCT/14/2, Award (16 March 2017)
- Glamis Gold Case, Glamis Gold, Ltd. v. The United States of America, UNCITRAL, “Decision on Application and Submission by Quechan Indian Nation, (September 16, 2005)

- Glamis Gold, Ltd v. The United States of America, UNCTIRAL “Application of Non-Disputing Parties for Leave to File a Written Submission of Sierra Club and Earthworks”, (16 October 2006)
- Glamis Gold, Ltd. v. The United States of America, UNCITRAL “America Amicus Curiae Application of Friends of The Earth Canada and Friends of The Earth United States, (September 30, 2005)
- GCM Mining Corp. (formerly Gran Colombia Gold Corp.) v. Republic of Colombia, ICSID Case No. ARB/18/23, Procedural Order 10 (31 August 2021)
- Green v. Biddle, 21 U.S. 1, 17, United State Supreme Court (1823)
- Himpurna California Energy Ltd (Bermuda). v PT. (Persero) Perusahaan Listrik Negara, UNCITRAL, Ad Hoc-Award, (4 May 1999)
- Infinito Gold Ltd. v. Republic of Costa Rica, ICSID Case No. ARB/14/5, Award (2014)
- Ioan Micula, Viorel Micula, S.C. European Food S.A, S.C. Starmill S.R.L. and S.C. Multipack S.R.L. v. Romania [I], ICSID Case No. ARB/05/20, Award. (11 December 2013)
- Merrill & Ring Forestry L.P. v. Canada, (NAFTA Arb. 2008) Investor’s Response to the Petition of the Communication, Energy and Paperworkers Union of Canada, The United Steel Workers and the British Columbia Federation of Labour,
- Methanex Corporation v. United States, UNCITRAL, Decision of the Tribunal on Petitions from Third Persons to Intervene as Amici Curiae of (15 January 2001)
- Methanex Corporation v. United States, UNCITRAL, Amicus Curiae Submissions by the International Institute for Sustainable Development, (9 March 2004)
- Methanex Corporation v. United States, UNCITRAL, Submission of Non-Disputing Parties of Bluewater Network and CIEL, (9 March 2004)
- Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No ARB/09/12, Procedural Order 8 (23 March 2011)
- Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No ARB/09/12 Decision on Jurisdiction. (1 June 2012)
- Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No ARB/09/12. Notice of Intent to Arbitrate (9 December 2009)
- Pac Rim Cayman LLC v Republic of El Salvador, ICSID Case No ARB/09/12. Submission of Amicus Curiae Brief (2 March 2011)
- Philip Morris Brands Sàrl, Philip Morris Products S.A. and Abal Hermanos S.A. v. Oriental Republic of Uruguay, ICSID Case No. ARB/10/7, Award, (8 July 2016)
- Suez, InterAguas Servicios v Argentina, ICSID No. ARB/03/17, Order for Participation as Amicus Curiae. (17 March 2006)
- Suez, Sociedad General de Aguas de Barcelona SA, and Vivendi Universal SA v. Argentine, ICSID Case No. ARB/03/19. Order in Response to a Petition for Transparency and Participation as Amicus Curiae of (19 May 2005)
- Técnicas Medioambientales Tecmed, SA v The United Mexican States, ICSID Case No ARB (AF)/00/2. Final Award, (29 May 2003)

- United Parcel Service v. Canada, (Decision on Petitions for Intervention and Participation as Amici Curiae of 17 October 2001)
- UPS v Canada, ICSID No. UNCT/02/1, Decision on Petitions for Intervention and Participation as Amici Curiae. (17 November 2001)
- World Duty Free Co. Ltd. v. Republic of Kenya, ICSID Case No. ARB/00/7. Award, (4 October 2006)
- WTO, Panel Compliance Reports, Australia: Measures Affecting Importation of Salmon, WTO Doc No WT/DS18/RW (Feb 18, 2000)
- WTO, Report of the Appellate Body, United States: Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shrimp Products, WTO Doc No WT/DS58/AB/R (Oct 12, 1998)
- WTO, Report of the Panel, European Communities: Measures Affecting Asbestos and Asbestos-Containing Products, WTO Doc No WT/DS1 35/R (Sept 18, 2000)
- WTO, Report of the Panel, United States: Import Prohibition of Certain Shrimp and Shimp Products, WTO Doc No WT/DS58/R (May 15, 1998)

Online Articles

- Jaroslavsky and Blasco, “Amici Curiae in Investment Arbitration”, <https://jusmundi.com/en/document/wiki/en-amici-curiae-in-investment-arbitration>
- Marshall and Mann, ‘Revision of the UNCITRAL Arbitration Rules, Good Governance and the Rule of Law: Express Rules for Investor–State Arbitrations Required (International Institute for Sustainable Development)’ (2006) http://www.iisd.org/pdf/2006/investment_uncitral_rules_rrevision.pdf

Other Legal Authorities

- International Law Commission Draft Article on Responsibility of States for Internationally Wrongful Acts (ARSIWA)
- UNCTAD, “Global foreign direct investment rebounded strongly in 2021, but the recovery is highly uneven”, <https://unctad.org/news/global-foreign-direct-investment-rebounded-strongly-2021-recovery-highly-uneven>
- Statement of the Free Trade Commission on non-disputing party participation, (October 7, 2003), *available at* <http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/38791.pdf>
- EU textual proposal for the Transatlantic Trade and Investment Partnership, Chapter II Investment, 12 November 2015
- International Law Commission, Fragmentation of International Law: Difficulties Arising from the Diversification and Expansion of International Law, Report of the Study Group of the International Law Commission, UNGA, 58th Sess, A/CN.4/L.682. 2006.
- ICSID Secretariat, Possible Improvements of the Framework for ICSID Arbitration, 22 October 2004, available at <http://www.worldbank.org/icsid/improve-arb.pdf>.
- ICSID Secretariat, “Proposals for Amendment of the ICSID Rules — Working Paper”, Volume 3 2018.
- United Nations Convention on Transparency in Treaty-Based Investor-State Arbitration (New York, 2014).

(http://www.uncitral.org/uncitral/en/uncitral_texts/arbitration/2014Transparency_Convention_status.html)

The Future of the WTO: Addressing Institutional Challenges in the New Millennium, Report by the Consultative Board to the Director-General Supachai Panitchpakdi (2004), http://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/10anniv-e/future-wto_e.html.

Thesis

Mikadze, Kirsten, 2013, *Uninvited Guests, NGOs, Amicus Curiae Briefs, and the Environment In the International Investment Regime*, Graduate Thesis, McGill University, Montreal.

Tambun, Novi M.A, 2017, *Peran Amicus Curiae, Non-Disputing Party, Dalam Penyelesaian Sengketa Investasi Internasional di Arbitrase Investasi Internasional*, Graduate Thesis, Master of Law Programme Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta.