

References

- Abrams, D., Lalot, F., & Hogg, M. A. (2021). Intergroup and intragroup dimensions of COVID-19: A social identity perspective on social fragmentation and unity. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 24(2), 201–209. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430220983440>
- Abbink, K., & Harris, D. (2019). In-group favouritism and out-group discrimination in naturally occurring groups. *PLOS ONE*, 14(9), e0221616. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0221616>
- Ade, M. (2020, March 18). *How interfaith couples in Indonesia face struggle to say 'I do.'* South China Morning Post. <https://www.scmp.com/lifestyle/family-relationships/article/3075410/we-all-have-right-be-happy-interfaith-couples-tell>
- Aini, N., Utomo, A., & Mcdonald, P. (2019). Interreligious Marriage in Indonesia. *Journal of Religion and Demography*, 6, 189–214. <https://doi.org/10.1163/2589742X-00601005>
- Al Ramiah, A., Hewstone, M., & Schmid, K. (2011). Social Identity and Intergroup Conflict. *Psychological Studies*, 56(1), 44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12646-011-0075-0>
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2021). *Statistik Indonesia 2021*. <https://www.bps.go.id/publication/2021/02/26/938316574c78772f27e9b477/statistik-indonesia-2021.html>
- Bartunek, J. M. (2011). Intergroup relationships and quality improvement in healthcare. *BMJ Quality & Safety*, 20(Suppl 1), i62–i66. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs.2010.046169>
- Böhm, R., Rusch, H., & Baron, J. (2020). The Psychology of Intergroup Conflict: A Review of Theories and Measures. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, 178, 947–962. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2018.01.020>
- Bouman, T. (2010). *Superordinate Identification: A Solution or a Shift of Problems?* <https://doi.org/10.13140/2.1.4730.1921>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brewer, M. B., & Gaertner, S. L. (2003). Toward Reduction of Prejudice: Intergroup Contact and Social Categorization. In *Blackwell Handbook of Social Psychology: Intergroup Processes* (pp. 451–472). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470693421.ch22>
- Cila, J., & Lalonde, R. N. (2014). Personal openness toward interfaith dating and marriage among Muslim young adults: The role of religiosity, cultural identity, and family connectedness. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, 17(3), 357–370. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1368430213502561>

- Colville, T. (2017). The Effects of Superordinate Identity Recategorization and Social Value Orientation on Ethical Decision-Making in a Business Dilemma. *Master's Theses*. https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc_theses/3559
- Crisp, R. J., Stone, C. H., & Hall, N. R. (2006). Recategorization and subgroup identification: Predicting and preventing threats from common ingroups. *Personality & Social Psychology Bulletin*, 32(2), 230–243. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167205280908>
- Crocker, J., & Luhtanen, R. (1990). Collective self-esteem and ingroup bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 58, 60–67. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.58.1.60>
- Dodgson, J. E. (2019). Reflexivity in Qualitative Research. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 35(2), 220–222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334419830990>
- Feitosa, J., Salazar, M., & Salas, E. (2012). Social Identity: Clarifying its Dimensions across Cultures. *Psychological Topics*, 3.
- Gaertner, S. L., Dovidio, J. F., Anastasio, P. A., Bachman, B. A., & Rust, M. C. (1993). The Common Ingroup Identity Model: Recategorization and the Reduction of Intergroup Bias. *European Review of Social Psychology*, 4(1), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14792779343000004>
- Gaunt, R. (2006). Couple similarity and marital satisfaction: Are similar spouses happier? *Journal of Personality*, 74(5), 1401–1420. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2006.00414.x>
- Hastuti, I., Sanjaya, E., & Prasetyo, B. (2022). Interfaith Marriage And Its Legal Consequences For Children Born According To Islamic Law. *International Journal of Educational Research and Social Sciences (IJERSC)*, 3(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.51601/ijersc.v3i1.303>
- Ida, R. (2001). *THE CONSTRUCTION OF GENDER IDENTITY IN INDONESIA: BETWEEN CULTURAL NORMS, ECONOMIC IMPLICATIONS, AND STATE FORMATION*. 14(1), 14.
- Irawan, D. A. (2017). Expatriates Perceptions toward Hofstede's Indonesia Cultural Dimensions. *The Winners*, 18, 83. <https://doi.org/10.21512/tw.v18i2.3734>
- Islam, G. (2014). *Social Identity Theory* (pp. 1781–1783).
- Kulu, H. (2014). Marriage Duration and Divorce: The Seven-Year Itch or a Lifelong Itch? *Demography*, 51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13524-013-0278-1>
- Leonard, B., & Smith, S. M. (2021). Individualistic culture increases economic mobility in the United States. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(37), e2107273118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2107273118>
- Lester, S. (1999). *An introduction to phenomenological research*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255647619> An introduction to phenomenological research
- Limarandani, N. P., Patera, A. A. K., & Suhardi, U. (2019). POLA KOMUNIKASI INTRABUDAYA DAN PERKAWINAN NYEROD DALAM MASYARAKAT HINDU DI BALI. *Widya Sandhi: Jurnal Kajian Agama, Sosial Dan Budaya*, 10(1), 1897–1910.

- Lin, M. (2020). Educational Upward Mobility. Practices of Social Changes— Research on Social Mobility and Educational Inequality. *International Journal of Social Science Studies*, 8(3), 25–51.
- Mahsuri, M. F., & Helmi, A. F. (2019). *Tongkonan Social Identity: Families Harmonization on Interfaith Marriage in Toraja | ETNOSIA : Jurnal Etnografi Indonesia*. 4(2). <https://doi.org/10.31947/etnosia.v4i2.6450>
- Mangundjaya, W. (2013). Is There Cultural Change In The National Cultures Of Indonesia? *Papers from the International Association for Cross-Cultural Psychology Conferences*. https://scholarworks.gvsu.edu/iaccp_papers/105
- Marie Buisson, J. (2016). Interfaith Marriage for Muslim Women: This Day are Things Good and Pure Made Lawful Unto You. *CrossCurrents*, 66(4), 430–449.
- Martinez, L. V., Ting-Toomey, S., & Dorjee, T. (2016a). Identity Management and Relational Culture in Interfaith Marital Communication in a United States Context: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*. <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/17475759.2016.1237984?needAccess=true&redirect=1>
- Martinez, L. V., Ting-Toomey, S., & Dorjee, T. (2016b). Identity Management and Relational Culture in Interfaith Marital Communication in a United States Context: A Qualitative Study. *Journal of Intercultural Communication Research*, 45(6), 503–525. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17475759.2016.1237984>
- McCarthy, K. (2007). Pluralist Family Values: Domestic Strategies for Living with Religious Difference. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 612, 188–208.
- Merawi, F. (2018). *Interreligious Marriage: Social and Religious Perspectives*.
- Montuori, A. (2020). Social Creativity. *Encyclopedia of Creativity*, 475.
- Moss, S. M. (2017). Identity Hierarchy Within the Sudanese Superordinate Identity: Political Leadership Promoting and Demoting Subordinate Groups. *Political Psychology*, 38(6), 925–942. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pops.12378>
- Nasar, M. F. (2019, August 4). Kemenag, KUA, dan Layanan Nikah. *Kemenag, KUA, Dan Layanan Nikah*. <https://kemenag.go.id/read/kemenag-kua-dan-layanan-nikah-8nxp4>
- Niekerk, J. V., & Verkuyten, M. (2018). Interfaith marriage attitudes in Muslim majority countries: A multilevel approach. *The International Journal for the Psychology of Religion*, 28(4), 257–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10508619.2018.1517015>
- Oswald, D. L. (2007). “Don’t Ask, Don’t Tell”: The Influence of Stigma Concealing and Perceived Threat on Perceivers’ Reactions to a Gay Target. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 37(5), 928–947. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2007.00193.x>

- Pachankis, J. (2007). The Psychological Implications of Concealing a Stigma: A Cognitive–Affective–Behavioral Model. *Psychological Bulletin*, *133*, 328–345. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.2.328>
- Prasetyo, Y. (2022). Indonesian Integral Law Based on Pancasila. *Pancasila and Law Review*, *3*(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.25041/plr.v3i1.2443>
- Reicher, S. D., Haslam, S. A., & Platow, M. J. (2018). Shared social identity in leadership. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, *23*, 129–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2018.08.006>
- Singh, A. (2021). An Introduction to Experimental and Exploratory Research. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3789360>
- Sunarti, E. (2015). *KETAHANAN KELUARGA INDONESIA: DARI KEBIJAKAN DAN PENELITIAN MENUJU TINDAKAN*. PT Penerbit IPB Press. <https://repository.ipb.ac.id/bitstream/handle/123456789/81456/Orasi%20Prof.%20Euis.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
- West, T. V., Pearson, A. R., Dovidio, J. F., Shelton, J. N., & Trail, T. E. (2009). Superordinate identity and intergroup roommate friendship development. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *45*(6), 1266–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2009.08.002>
- Varagur, K. (2018, May 3). *In Indonesia, Interfaith Marriage is Legal—But With Many Obstacles* | *Voice of America—English*. <https://www.voanews.com/a/in-indonesia-interfaith-marriage-is-legal---but-with-many-obstacles-/4373874.html>
- Yahya, S., & Boag, S. (2014). Till Faith Do Us Part ...: Relation Between Religious Affiliation and Attitudes Toward Cross-Cultural and Interfaith Dating and Marriage. *Marriage & Family Review*, *50*(6), 480–504. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01494929.2014.909376>
- Yousaf, S., & Li, H. (2015). Social identity, collective self esteem and country reputation: The case of Pakistan. *Journal of Product & Brand Management*, *24*(4), 399–411. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBM-04-2014-0548>

APPENDICES

Appendix A (Ethical Clearance Letter)



UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
FAKULTAS PSIKOLOGI

Jl. Sosio Humaniora, Bulaksumur, Yogyakarta 55281, Telp. +62274 550435, Faks. +62274 550436 <http://psikologi.ugm.ac.id>, E-mail: fpsi@ugm.ac.id

PERSETUJUAN ETIKA PENELITIAN

Nomor: 2902/UN1/FPSi.1.3/SD/PT.01.04/2022

Komite Etika Penelitian Fakultas Psikologi Universitas Gadjah Mada menyatakan bahwa penelitian di bawah ini:

Judul Penelitian : *The Navigation of Social Identity Within Interreligious Marriages in Indonesia*
Peneliti Utama : Dr. Wenty Marina Minza, S.Psi., M.A.
Anggota peneliti : Sarah Hannah Mandari

Tanggal pelaksanaan penelitian:

Perkiraan dimulai : 3 Mei 2022
Perkiraan selesai : 13 Mei 2022

berdasarkan hasil pemeriksaan oleh tim Komite Etika Penelitian telah memperoleh persetujuan etika penelitian (*ethical clearance*).

Demikian persetujuan etika penelitian ini dibuat untuk dipergunakan sebagaimana mestinya.

Menyetujui,
Wakil Dekan Bidang Penelitian, PkM, dan
Kerja Sama



Dr. Wenty Marina Minza, S.Psi., M.A.
NIP 197703202008122001

9 Mei 2022
Ketua Komite Etika Penelitian,

Dian Mufitasari, S.Psi., M.Psi., Psikolog.
NIKA 111198703201802201

Appendix B (Participant Information Sheet)

Name and Contact Information of Researcher:

Sarah Hannah Mandari // 081283382810

sarahhannah274@gmail.com

Name and Contact Information of Thesis Supervisor:

Wenty Marina Minza // wminza@ugm.ac.id

Thank you for opening this google form, my name is Sarah Hannah Mandari, an undergraduate psychology student from UGM who is currently doing my thesis research under the supervision of Ms. Wenty Marina Minza. Please take the time to carefully read the information below regarding this research, you are free to discuss the contents of this form with whoever you'd like. If there are any questions regarding this research or if you are confused about anything, you are welcome to contact me using the contact information I have provided above. Kindly take your time in deciding whether or not you'd like to participate in this research. Also, please take note that participation in this research is a voluntary choice and you are not forced to join. Thank you for your time!

1. What is the purpose of this research?

To better understand and add onto the ongoing academic discussion of how the members of an interreligious marriages in Indonesia view themselves as members of society.

2. Why was I picked as a participant?

To be included as a participant, a person must be: 1. Involved within an interreligious marriage, 2. Have been married for at least 2 years, 3. Must either be Indonesian or be the spouse of an Indonesian, and 4. Have had children. If you identify with all 4 points, you are eligible as a participant.

3. Do I have to participate?

Though you have been picked as a participant, it is not mandatory for you to participate. You are free to turn down being a participant of this research. However if you agree and at some point in the process you would like to stop being a participant, you may inform the researcher and you will be allowed to stop being a participant at any point in time with no consequences.

4. What will I have to do as a participant?

If you choose to be a participant, you will be asked to attend a 1-on-1 Zoom interview with the researcher at a certain time for no more than 30-40 minutes to be asked a set

of questions. You will only be asked to attend this one interview, wherein your voice and video (if you decide to turn on your camera, which you are free to leave off or on) will be recorded.

5. Will I be recorded?

The interview process will be conducted with the audio and video recordings taken for the purpose of analyzing the data. It will not be used for anything besides this research and no one will have access to it aside from the researcher. Your name and any part of your traceable identity (such as voice, face, etc.) will not be used in the actual written report, your name shall be changed to your initials and only a transcript of the interview will be included in the actual report.

6. Are there any risks or negative side effects that I may experience during this research?

All your information will be kept under lock and key throughout the process, share with no one else and used for no other purpose besides the research. However, should you experience discomfort at any of the questions asked you are free to skip over said question or withdraw from the interview all together.

7. What benefits do I get by participating?

You will be helping fellow interreligious marriage couples by sharing your experience, whether these marriage couples are prospective, newly-weds, or older couples. You will also be able to read the experiences of those in similar marriages and gain a broader perspective, since the results and actual paper will be given to you once it has been completed.

8. What will happen if something bad happens through the research?

You are free to contact the researcher for questions or concerns, however if you find that something you believe to be out of the hands of the researcher has occurred then you can contact thesis supervisor using the contact information provided above if there are complaints to be made. Additionally, you are also allowed to contact the Komite Etika Penelitian Fakultas Psikologi UGM if the solution provided to you by the thesis supervisor was not satisfactory (Email: komisietikpsi.psikologi@ugm.ac.id).

9. Will the information I provide be kept safe?

All your information will be kept under lock and key by the researcher and will not be used for anything besides the research. Any information about your email address, phone number, or real name provided will not be shared - so goes the same for the interview's video and audio recording. The files will remain in a password locked folder (encrypted) on the researcher's laptop to ensure said information does not get stolen.

However, if throughout the research process it is found out that the participant's are involved in a form of illegal activity as recognized underneath the Indonesian law, your information will be given to the higher authorities (i.e. police). If you are not involved in illegal activity, your information will always remain with the researcher only.

10. What will be done to the results of this research?

The results shall be reported/used for the researcher's final thesis for their undergraduate program and for no other purpose.

If you understand these points and wish to become a participant, click next to advance to the next section of this google form.

If you understand these points and do not wish to become a participant, you can close this page.

If you have points you are confused about, please reach out to the researcher.

Thank you for your time!

Appendix C (Informed Consent)

Section 2 of 2

Informed Consent

Kindly fill in this form after you have fully understood the points mentioned previously in the first section of this google form.

Title Of Research: The Navigation of Social Identity Within Interreligious Marriages in Indonesia

Name and Contact Information of Researcher:
Sarah Hannah Mandari // 081283382810
sarahhannah274@gmail.com

Name and Contact Information of Thesis Supervisor:
Wenty Marina Minza // wminza@ugm.ac.id

Thank you for considering to be a participant of this research, please tick the following boxes below if you agree to the statements. Please be reminded that if any amongst these you do not agree to, you cannot be a participant in the research due to the fact that you do not fit the criteria.

I have read and understood the information related to the research that has been mentioned previously in the first section. *

Yes

I consent to the researcher keeping and processing/analyzing the personal data I'll give. *

Yes

I understand that participation in this research is voluntary and I am free to withdraw from the research at any point, without needing to give any reason, and without any consequence. *

Yes



I know and understand what the criteria is to be a participant in this research, I fulfill this criteria. *

Yes

I, voluntarily and without being forced, agree to participating in this research. *

Yes

Your name and date that this form was finished. *

Short answer text

Your preferred date and time for the interview process.

Short answer text

Your email and/or phone number.

Short answer text

Appendix D (Interview Questions)

1. Since getting married, do you think certain groups of people (such as family, circles of friends, religious institutions, etc.) in your life have treated you differently?
 - a. If so, who are these groups of people who have treated you differently?
 - b. Why do you think this treatment began?
 - c. When did this treatment begin?
 - i. Has the treatment gotten better or worse as time passed? Or has it always remained the same?
 - d. How do you feel about said treatment?
2. Ever since getting married, do you still get involved in these groups' social events?
3. Ever since getting married, do you see yourself as a member of these groups?
How much of a member would you consider yourself?
4. In your opinion, do you think these groups of people around you are important? Why so?
 - a. What values do these groups bring into your life?
5. Since getting married, do you think your relationship to your religion and/or religious organization has changed?
6. Since getting married, what have been your thoughts and/or feelings towards these groups of people in your life?



Appendix E (Transcription and Coding)

*attached

Appendix F (Themes and Codes)

*attached