

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF PLAGIARISM FREE.....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF TABLES.....	xii
INTISARI	xiv
ABSTRACT.....	xv
Chapter 1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Formulation of the Problem.....	3
1.3. Research Objectives.....	3
1.4. Scope of the Problem.....	4
1.4.1. Scope of the Research Area	4
1.4.2. Scope of the Research Work.....	4
1.5. Research Limitations	5
1.6. Benefits of Research	6
1.7. Previous Research.....	7
1.8. Authenticity of the Research	8
Chapter 2. LITERATURE REVIEW.....	10
2.1. Geology of the Research Area	10
2.1.1. Tectonic Setting of Browse Basin.....	10
2.1.2. Stratigraphy of the Browse Basin	12
2.1.3. Formations in the Drilled Sequences BB15 to BB5 by Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.....	14
2.1.4. “Woolaston / Gibson / Fenalon / Prudhoe” Formation. Late Cretaceous (Maastrichtian – Campanian).....	17

2.1.5.	Jamieson Formation. Cretaceous (Santonian/Albian – Aptian).....	17
2.1.6.	Montara Formation. Late Jurassic (Oxfordian).....	18
2.1.7.	Plover Formation. Early to Mid-Jurassic (Callovian – Hettangian).	18
2.1.8.	Nome Formation. Late Triassic (Norian).....	20
2.1.9.	“Top Triassic” Formation. Triassic (Carnian).	20
2.1.10.	The Petroleum Systems of the Browse Basin.	20
2.1.11.	Petroleum Plays in the Caswell Sub-basin.....	23
2.2.	Geology of Reservoir Rocks.....	26
2.2.1.	Sedimentological aspects	26
2.2.2.	Mineralogy	26
2.3.	Geomechanical Properties	27
2.3.1.	Rock Moduli (Linear Elastic)	27
2.3.2.	Overburden in off-shore zones.....	28
2.3.3.	Minimum Horizontal Stress	29
2.3.4.	Fundamentals of Hydraulic Fracturing	29
2.3.5.	Fracture Toughness	30
2.4.	Brittleness	31
2.4.1.	Brittleness B9.....	31
2.4.2.	Brittleness B19.....	32
2.4.3.	Brittleness B22.....	33
2.4.4.	Brittleness B25.....	33
2.5.	Fracability	34
2.6.	Geophysical Measurements and Estimations	35
2.6.1.	Logging derived Mineralogy	35
2.6.2.	Total Organic Carbon (TOC) from well-logs	36
2.6.3.	The Compressional and Shear parameters from sonic.....	37
2.6.4.	Logging and Rock Moduli	38

2.7. Hypothesis	40
Chapter 3. METHODOLOGY	41
3.1. General workflow	41
3.1.1. Data sources	43
3.2. Lithological Identification	44
3.2.1. Shale Volume estimations and use.....	44
3.2.2. Specialized Lithological Logging Mineralogy Laboratory Data	44
3.3. Pay-zone Estimation	45
3.3.1. Conventional Pay-zone	45
3.3.2. Un/non-conventional pay-zone	45
3.3.3. TOC evaluation from geochemistry reports.....	45
3.4. Rock Mechanic Parameter Estimations	46
3.4.1. Elastic Moduli estimations.....	46
3.4.2. Compressional and shear sonic, and bulk density reconstructions	47
3.4.3. Fracture Toughness Estimation.....	47
3.4.4. Brittleness Index Evaluation	47
3.4.5. Minimum horizontal Stress Estimation.....	48
3.5. Fracability Evaluation.....	48
3.5.1. Evaluation of the individual Indexes	50
3.5.2. Evaluation of the Fracability Index.....	50
3.6. Descriptive Statistics for the Results.	51
3.6.1. Minimum and Maximum.	52
3.6.2. Mean, Median, and Standard Deviation.....	52
3.6.3. Quantiles: Deciles and Quartiles.....	53
Chapter 4. RESULTS, ANALYSIS, AND DISCUSSION	54
4.1. Results.....	54
4.1.1. Elastic Parameters per Lithology	54

4.1.2.	Elastic Parameters per Formation	57
4.1.3.	Brittleness Index per Lithology.....	59
4.1.4.	Brittleness Index per Formation.....	60
4.1.5.	Fracability Index and Pay Zones.....	61
4.2.	Analysis	64
4.2.1.	Elastic Parameters	64
4.2.2.	Brittleness Index	78
4.2.3.	Fracability Index and Pay-zones	83
4.3.	Discussion.....	89
4.3.1.	Elastic Parameters	89
4.3.2.	Brittleness Index	94
4.3.3.	Fracability Index and Pay zones	96
Chapter 5.	CONCLUSIONS.....	99
Chapter 6.	RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS	104
REFERENCES		106
APPENDIX A.	BASIC DATA: GEOLOGY, WELL FORMATIONS, AND LOGGING DENSITY-SONIC RECONSTRUCTIONS.....	111
APPENDIX B.	PAY ZONES: CONVENTIONAL, NON/UN-CONVENTIONAL EVALUATION, AND TOC ESTIMATION	132
APPENDIX C.	ROCK MECHANICS: ESTIMATION OF YOUNG’S MODULUS, POISSON RATIO, UCS, AND BTS, AND IN-SITU STRESSES.....	157
APPENDIX D.	BRITTLNES AND FRACABILITY: STATISTICS	182
APPENDIX E.	WELL LOG ENCLOSURES	195

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Browse Basin Location and locations of the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells (after Government of Western Australia, 2014; after Kuske et al., 2015)	4
Figure 2.1. Regional Structural Elements of the Browse Basin and Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2, and Torosa 1 wells (Geoscience Australia, 2021b).	10
Figure 2.2. Seismic sections in the Browse Basin with estimated BB15 – BB5 interval. (Up) BBHR 07. (Down) BBHR 15. (after Geoscience Australia, 2021b).	11
Figure 2.3. Browse Basin NW Shelf Australia with sub-basins, sequences and hydrocarbon occurrences. (Rollet <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	13
Figure 2.4. Red and green Volcanic Rock types. (left) Drill cuts. (right) rewashed drill cuts (Woodside, 2012).	14
Figure 2.5. Well-logging coverage by well, (up) Poseidon-1, (middle) Poseidon-2, (bottom) Torosa-1 (ConocoPhillips, 2011a; ConocoPhillips, 2011b; Woodside, 2007)	15
Figure 2.6. Stratigraphy of the formations in the section BB15-BB5 (Late Cretaceous – Late Triassic) in the Torosa 1, Poseidon 2, and Poseidon 1 wells.	16
Figure 2.7. Browse Basin Petroleum Systems and wells under study (after Rollet <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	23
Figure 2.8. Caswell Sub-basin hydrocarbon plays (conceptual cross-section). (a) Sequence stratigraphic context. (b) Lithostratigraphic context (Geoscience Australia, 2021b).	24
Figure 2.9. Schematic cross-section Caswell sub-basin with key petroleum wells.	25
Figure 2.10. Ternary classification of unconventional shale reservoir rocks (after Zoback & Kohli, 2019).	27
Figure 2.11. Idealised Stress-strain relation and its physical meaning (Zoback, 2007)	28
Figure 2.12. (left) Sliding grain crack fracture (Mode-I and Mode-II) under compressive stress, (centre) local grain stresses: black tensile and grey shear (after Fjaer <i>et al.</i> , 2008), (right) “pad-scale” fractures (after John <i>et al.</i> , 2014b, as cited after Zoback & Kohli, 2019)	30
Figure 2.13. Fracability relation with Young's Modulus and Brittleness Index. (Jin et al., 2015)	34
Figure 2.14. Apparent Matrix Density vs Matrix Propagation time (Serra & Serra, 2004)	36
Figure 2.15. Elemental Yield Ratios from GLT (Columbia University, 2021)	36

Figure 3.1. Complete General workflow and data type for the Fracability Evaluation.	42
Figure 3.2. Workflow for the Rock Mechanics Estimations.	46
Figure 3.3. Workflow of the Fracability Application and Final Processing.	49
Figure 4.1. Summary of the Results in the Torosa 1, Poseidon 2, and Poseidon 1 wells, highlighted by colouring the formation intervals.	55
Figure 4.2. Static Young's Modulus [GPa] vs TVDSS [m] in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	65
Figure 4.3. Static Young's Modulus [GPa] vs TVDSS [m] on chosen Lithologies in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	66
Figure 4.4. Static Young's Modulus [GPa] per family group in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	68
Figure 4.5. Es per Formation in the Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2 and Torosa 1 wells.	70
Figure 4.6. Static Young's Modulus [GPa] vs Porosity [v/v], with Water Saturation Sw [v/v] as gradient colour in the Plover Reservoir Formation of the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	71
Figure 4.7. Es vs WQFM, WCLAY, and WCAR in the Plover Reservoir Formation of the Poseidon 1 (upper row), Poseidon 2 (middle row), and Torosa 1 (lower row) wells.	72
Figure 4.8. Poisson's Ratio [dimensionless] per Lithology vs TVDSS [m] in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	73
Figure 4.9. Poisson's Ratio [dimensionless] per family group in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	75
Figure 4.10. Dynamic Poisson's Ratio per Formation in the Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2 and Torosa 1 wells.	76
Figure 4.11. Poisson Ratio [DN] vs Porosity [v/v], with Water Saturation Sw [v/v] as gradient colour in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	77
Figure 4.12. Poisson's Ratio vs WQFM, WCLAY, and WCAR in the Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2, and Torosa 1 wells.	78
Figure 4.13. Tensile Strength [MPa] for the Lithologies present in all the three wells: Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2, and Torosa 1.	79
Figure 4.14. Edyn vs vdyn with Brittleness colouring. (left) B19. (middle) B22. (right) B25.	79
Figure 4.15. Brittleness Indexes B19, B25n, B22n, and Lithologies in the Torosa 1, Poseidon 2, and Poseidon 1 wells.	81

Figure 4.16. Brittleness Index B19 [dimensionless] per family group in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.....	82
Figure 4.17. Brittleness Bn (B19) per Formation in the Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2 and Torosa 1 wells.....	83
Figure 4.18. Fracability F5 and F6. (a) Poseidon 1 with stimuable zone, (b) Poseidon 1, (c) Poseidon 2, (d) Torosa 1.....	85
Figure 4.19. Fracability Index (F6), mechanical parameters, and no-pay zones in the Torosa 1, Poseidon 2, and Poseidon 1 wells.....	87
Figure 4.20. Fracability Index (F6), mechanical parameters, and pay zones in the Torosa 1, Poseidon 2, and Poseidon1 wells.....	88
Figure 4.21. Young's Modulus vs TOC from laboratory and well logging in the Poseidon 1, Torosa 1, and Poseidon 2 wells.	93

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1. Summary of previous work and research on Fracability Index.	7
Table 2.1. Summary of Petroleum Systems in the Browse Basin.....	21
Table 2.2. Petroleum System Elements in the Browse Basin (Geoscience Australia, 2021b).....	22
Table 2.3. Caswell Sub-basin play types (after Geoscience Australia, 2021b)	25
Table 2.4. Anderson relative stress classification in faulting systems (Zoback, 2007) .	27
Table 2.5. Fracture Toughness summary models (after Yuan et al., 2017).	30
Table 2.6. Brittleness models (Jin <i>et al.</i> , 2015).....	32
Table 2.7. Fracability Models with different variables (after Yuan et al., 2017).....	34
Table 2.8. Coefficients for Shear-wave velocity vs compressional wave velocity in pure lithologies (Castagna et al., 1992, as cited in Greenberg & Castagna, 1992).....	38
Table 3.1. Conventions of the Flowchart shapes	41
Table 3.2. Wells and mineralogy data available in the Well Completion Reports.	45
Table 3.3. Wells and hydrocarbon geochemical data available in the Well Completion Reports.	46
Table 4.1. Static Young's Modulus per lithology in the Poseidon 1 well.	54
Table 4.2. Static Young's Modulus per lithology in the Poseidon 2 well.	56
Table 4.3. Static Young's Modulus per lithology in the Torosa 1 well.	56
Table 4.4. Poisson's Ratio per lithology in the Poseidon 1 well.....	56
Table 4.5. Poisson's Ratio per lithology in the Poseidon 2 well.....	57
Table 4.6. Poisson's Ratio per lithology in the Torosa 1 well.	57
Table 4.7. Static Young's Modulus per Formation in the Poseidon 1 well.	57
Table 4.8. Static Young's Modulus per Formation in the Poseidon 2 well.	58
Table 4.9. Static Young's Modulus per Formation in the Torosa 1 well.....	58
Table 4.10. Poisson's Ratio per Formation in the Poseidon 1 well.	58
Table 4.11. Poisson's Ratio per Formation in the Poseidon 2 well.	58
Table 4.12. Poisson's Ratio per Formation in the Torosa 1 well.....	59
Table 4.13. Normalised Brittleness per lithology in the Poseidon 1 well.....	59
Table 4.14. Normalised Brittleness per lithology in the Poseidon 2 well.....	59
Table 4.15. Normalised Brittleness per lithology in the Torosa 1 well.	60
Table 4.16. Normalised Brittleness per Formation in the Poseidon 1 well.....	60
Table 4.17. Normalised Brittleness per Formation in the Poseidon 2 well.....	61
Table 4.18. Normalised Brittleness per Formation in the Torosa 1 well.	61

Table 4.19. Summary of Fracability and parameters in pay zones identified with the methodology.	62
Table 4.20. Stimulable zones based upon Fracability in pay zones and permeability...	64
Table 4.21. Lithologies classified by Static Young's Modulus Median Range in the Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2, and Torosa 1 wells.	67
Table 4.22. Grouped Lithologies for Young's Modulus analysis in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	67
Table 4.23. Formations, their Lithology and their Dominant Lithology per well.	69
Table 4.24. Lithologies classified by Poisson Ratio Median Range in the Poseidon 1, Poseidon 2, and Torosa 1 wells.	74
Table 4.25. Grouped Lithologies for Poisson's Ratio analysis in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	74
Table 4.26. Fracability Index (F5) per zone: C Pay, NC Pay, No pay, and Total Pay, in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	84
Table 4.27. Fracability Index (F6) per zone: C Pay, NC Pay, No pay, and Total Pay, in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	84
Table 4.28. Young's Modulus and Poisson's Ratio for common rocks.	89
Table 4.29. Static Young's Modulus of the grouped lithologies as a whole in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	90
Table 4.30. Poisson's Ratio of the grouped lithologies as a whole in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	90
Table 4.31. Static Young's Modulus of Formations as a whole in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	90
Table 4.32. Dynamic Poisson's Ratio of Formations as a whole in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	91
Table 4.33. Normalised Brittleness (B19) of Formations as a whole in the Poseidon-1, Poseidon-2, and Torosa-1 wells.	95
Table 4.34. Fracable zones and stimulable zones permeabilities.	97
Table 5.1. Summary of the Rock Elastic Constants.	99
Table 5.2. Summary of the Brittleness.	101
Table 5.3. Summary of Fracture Barriers.	102
Table 5.4. Summary of Fracable zones (no pay).	102
Table 5.5. Summary of Fracable Zones (pay zones).	103
Table 5.6. Summary Stimulable Zones	103