

TABLE OF CONTENT

ENDORSEMENT.....	I
APPROVAL	II
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM	III
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	IV
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	VI
LIST OF TABLES.....	X
ABSTRACT.....	XI
INTISARI.....	XII
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
A.UNDERLYING BACKGROUND	1
B.RESEARCH QUESTIONS.....	12
C.RESEARCH PURPOSE	12
1. Subjective Purpose	12
2. Objective Purpose	12
D.ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH.....	13
1. Aditi Warriar, “The Essential Security Interests Conundrum for India”, New York University Journal of International Law & Politics, Vol. 53, No. 3, 2021.	13
2. Prabhash Ranjan & Pushkar Anand, “The 2016 Model Indian Investment Treaty: A Critical Deconstruction”, Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business, Vol. 38, No. 1, 2017.	15
3. UNCTAD, 2009, The Protection of National Security in IIAs, UNCTAD Series on International Investment Policies for Development, United Nations, New York and Geneva.....	16
E. RESEARCH BENEFIT.....	17
1. Academic/Theoretical Benefit.....	17
2. Practical Benefit.....	17

CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK..... 19

A.OVERVIEW OF INTERNATIONAL INVESTMENT AGREEMENTS	19
1. Historical Origins of International Investment Agreements	20
2. Types of Protection Accorded by International Investment Agreements .	22
a. National Standard of Treatment.....	23
b. Most Favoured Nation	24
c. Fair and Equitable Treatment	25
d. The Taking of Property and Compensation	26
3. Investor-State Dispute Resolution	28
B.EXCEPTIONS UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW.....	30
1. Specific Carve-Outs or Exemptions	30
2. Exceptions <i>Stricto Sensu</i>	31
3. Preclusion of Wrongfulness	32
C.ESSENTIAL SECURITY INTERESTS EXCEPTION	33
1. Necessity under Customary International Law.....	33
2. GATT Article XXI.....	37
3. GATT Article XX.....	40
4. Essential Security Interests under IIAs	42
a. Self-Judging Clause	43
b. Necessity as an Objective Precondition.....	43
c. No Reference to Necessity	44
d. Exclusion of Judicial Review	45
D.TREATY INTERPRETATION UNDER THE VIENNA CONVENTION	46

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHOD..... 48

A.TYPE OF LEGAL RESEARCH	48
B. TYPE OF DATA.....	48
1. Primary Source of Law.....	49
2. Secondary Source of Law	49
3. Tertiary Source of Law.....	50
C.DATA COLLECTION METHOD.....	50

D.DATA ANALYSIS.....	50
CHAPTER IV RESEARCH RESULTS AND ANALYSIS.....	53
A.CONTEMPORARY INTERPRETATION OF INDIA’S BIT AND IIA’S ESSENTIAL SECURITY INTEREST CLAUSES	53
1. Essential Security Interests Clauses in Indian BITs and IIAs.....	53
2. Elements of Essential Security Interests Clauses.....	62
a. Necessity.....	63
b. Self-Judging Element.....	68
i. Treaty Text.....	68
ii. Subsequent Agreements	70
c. Types of Non-Precluded Measure.....	72
i. Public Order	72
ii. Armed Conflict.....	75
iii. Circumstances of Extreme Emergency	76
iv. Essential Security Interests	78
(a) Argentina Economic Crisis Cases	79
(b) India Investor-State Disputes	84
(c) Pre-2019 Interpretation of Article XXI GATT.....	86
B.WTO’S ‘RUSSIA – TRAFFIC’ JUDGEMENT’S POSSIBLE EFFECT TOWARDS THE INTERPRETATION OF ESSENTIAL SECURITY INTERESTS CLAUSES.....	90
1. WTO ‘Russia – Traffic’ Interpretation of the Essential Security Interest Clause.....	90
a. Self-Judging Nature	90
b. Interpretation of Essential Security Interests and Good Faith	92
2. Applicability of WTO’s Interpretation in Future Investor-State Disputes	94
a. Self-Judging Nature	95
b. Interpretation of Essential Security Interests and Good Faith	98
c. Challenges in Application as a Case Law.....	100
i. Inter-Forum Jurisprudence.....	101
ii. Consistency in Investor-State Dispute Resolution.....	102

C.POTENTIAL LESSONS WHICH INDONESIA MAY LEARN WITH RESPECT TO THE INTERPRETATIONS OF THE ESSENTIAL SECURITY INTERESTS CLAUSE	104
CHAPTER V CLOSURE.....	107
A.CONCLUSION.....	107
B.RECOMMENDATION.....	110
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	112