UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Akhter, S., Ahmeed, T., Sarker. S., Sarmin, M., Shahid, A., Shahunja, K., et al. (2016) ' Factors Associated with Klebsiella Bacteremia and Its Outcome in Under-Five Children Admitted with Diarrhea ', International Journal of Pediatrics, 2016, pp. 1–5. doi: 10.1155/2016/4760610.

Angele, M. K., Pratschke, S., Hubbard, W., and Chaudry, I.,. (2014) 'Gender differences in sepsis: Cardiovascular and immunological aspects', Virulence, 5(1), pp. 12–19. doi: 10.4161/viru.26982.

Aygün, F., Durak, C., Varol, F., Çokuğra, H., Camcıoğlu, Y., Çam, H. (2020) 'Evaluation of complete blood count parameters for diagnosis in children with sepsis in the pediatric intensive care unit', Cocuk Enfeksiyon Dergisi, 14(2), pp. e55–e62. doi: 10.5578/ced.68886.

Bindl, L., Buderus, S., Dahlem, P., Demirakca, S., Goldner, M., Huth, R. et al. (2003) 'Gender-based differences in children with sepsis and ARDS: The ESPNIC ARDS Database Group', Intensive Care Medicine, 29(10), pp. 1770–1773. doi: 10.1007/s00134-003-1948-z.

Bobillo-Perez, S., Rodríguez-Fanjul, J. and Jordan Garcia, I. (2018) 'Is Procalcitonin Useful in Pediatric Critical Care Patients?', Biomarker Insights, 13. doi: 10.1177/1177271918792244.

Bulatova, Y.Y., Maltabarova, N., Zhumabayev, M., Tatyana A., Ivanova, M (2020) 'Modern diagnostics of sepsis and septic shock in children', *Open Access Macedonian Journal of Medical Sciences*, 8(5), pp. 218–225. doi: 10.3889/oamjms.2020.4554.

Buys, H., Muloiwa, R., Bamford, C., and Eley, B. (2016) 'Klebsiella pneumoniae bloodstream infections at a South African children's hospital 2006-2011, a cross-sectional study', *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 16(1), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12879-016-1919-y.

Chen, M, Lu, X., Hu, L., Liu, P., Zhao,W., Yan, H., et al., (2017) 'Development and validation of a mortality risk model for pediatric sepsis', *Medicine (United States)*, 96(20). doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000006923.

Cruz, A. T., Lane, R.D., Balamuth, F.A., Paul L.A, D.W., Neuman, Mark I., et al., (2020) 'Updates on pediatric sepsis', *Journal of the American College of Emergency Physicians Open*, 1(5), pp. 981–993. doi: 10.1002/emp2.12173.

Dewi, R. (2011) 'Sepsis pada Anak : Pola Kuman dan Uji Kepekaan', *Majalah Kedokteran Indonesia*, 61(3).

Dewi, R. Christie C.D., Wardhana A., Fadhilah R., Pardede S.O. (2019) 'Pediatric logistic organ dysfunction-2 (PELOD-2) score as a model for predicting mortality in pediatric burn injury', *Annals of Burns and Fire Disasters*, 32(2), pp. 135–142.

Dewi, R. and Fatimatuzzuhroh (2019) 'Profil Pasien Sakit Kritis yang Dirawat di PICU RSCM berdasarkan sistem skoring PELOD -2', *Sari Pediatri*, 21(1), pp. 37–43.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/
Dierig, A., Berger, C., Agyeman, K.A., Bernhard,S., Giannoni, E., Stocker, M., Posfay,P., Klara, M., Niederer, L.A., Kahlert, C.R., Donas, A., Hasters, P., Relly, C., Riedel, T., Aebi, C., Schlapbach, L.J., Heininger, U. (2018) 'Time-to-positivity of blood cultures in children with sepsis', *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 6(August). doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00222.

Downes, K. J., Weiss, S.L., Gerber, J.S., Klieger, S.B., Fitzgerald, J.C., Balamuth, F., et al., (2019) 'Implementation of a Pragmatic Biomarker-Driven Algorithm to Guide Antibiotic Use in the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit: The Optimizing Antibiotic Strategies in Sepsis (OASIS) II Study', *Journal of the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Society*, 9(1), pp. 36–43. doi: 10.1093/jpids/piy113.

Goldstein, B., Giroir, B. and Randolph, A. (2005) 'International pediatric sepsis consensus conference: Definitions for sepsis and organ dysfunction in pediatrics', *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine*, 6(1). doi: 10.1097/01.PCC.0000149131.72248.E6.

Gotts, J. E. and Matthay, M. A. (2016) 'Sepsis: Pathophysiology and clinical management', *BMJ (Online)*, 353, pp. 1–20. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i1585.

Hadinegoro, S. R. S., Chairulfatah, A., Latief, A., Pudjiadi, A., Malisie, R.F., Alam, A., (2016) 'Diagnosis dan tatalaksana sepsis pada anak', *Pedoman nasional pelayanan kedokteran Ikatan Dokter Anak Indonesia*, pp. 1–47.

Hazwani, T. R..Kazzaz, Y.M., Alsugheir, S., Aldelaijan, S., Alsugheir, F., Alali, H., et al., (2020) 'Association Between Culture-Negative Versus Culture-Positive Sepsis and Outcomes of Patients Admitted to the Pediatric Intensive Care Unit', *Cureus*, 12(8). doi: 10.7759/cureus.9981.

Hendra, H., Runtunuwu, A. L. and Manoppo, J. I. C. (2010) 'Pediatric Logistic Organ Dysfunction (PELOD) Score as prognosis of multiple organ failure in sepsis', *Paediatrica Indonesiana*, 50(4), p. 226. doi: 10.14238/pi50.4.2010.226-31.

Hotchkiss, R. S, Moldawer, L.L., Opal, S.M., Reinhart, K., Isaiah, R., Vincent, J.L., (2017) 'Sepsis and septic shock', pp. 1–47. doi: 10.1038/nrdp.2016.45.Sepsis.

Huang, M., Cai, S. and Su, J. (2019) 'The pathogenesis of sepsis and potential therapeutic targets', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 20(21). doi: 10.3390/ijms20215376.

Jiang, J. Yang, J., Mei, J., Jin, Y., Lu, Y. (2018) 'Head-to-head comparison of qSOFA and SIRS criteria in predicting the mortality of infected patients in the emergency department: A meta-analysis', *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine*, 26(1), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1186/s13049-018-0527-9.

Khan, M. S., Kareem, A., Fatima, K., Rauf, S., Khalid., (2021) '64 Microbial patterns and antibiotic susceptibility in blood culture isolates of septicemia suspected children in the pediatrics ward of a tertiary care hospital', p. A20.1-A20. doi: 10.1136/bmjpo-2021-rcpch.40.

Lai, J., Zhu, Y., and Lin, X. (2021) 'Epidemiology and antimicrobial susceptibility of invasive Escherichia coli infection in neonates from 2012 to 2019 in Xiamen,

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/
China', BMC Infectious Diseases, 21(1), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12879-021-05981-4.

Lamy, B., Dargère, S., Arendrup, M.C., Parienti , J., and Tattevin, P. (2016) 'How to optimize the use of blood cultures for the diagnosis of bloodstream infections? A state-of-the art', *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7(MAY), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00697.

Leclerc, F., Duhamel, A., Deken, F., Grandbastien, B., Leteurtre, S., Biarent, D., *et al.* (2018) 'Can the Pediatric Logistic Organ Dysfunction (PELOD) -2 Score on Day 1 Be Used in Clinical Criteria for Sepsis in Children ? To cite this version : HAL Id : hal-01943157 Collaborators ': doi: 10.1097/PCC.0000000000001182.

Lubis, M., Lubis, A. D. and Nasution, B. B. (2020) 'The usefulness of c-reactive protein, procalcitonin, and PELOD-2 score as a predictive factor of mortality in sepsis', *Indonesian Biomedical Journal*, 12(2), pp. 102–108. doi: 10.18585/inabj.v12i2.1073.

Lucignano, B., Ranno, S., Liesenfeld, O., Pizzorno, B., Putignani, L., Bernaschi, P., Menichella, D. (2011) 'Multiplex PCR allows rapid and accurate diagnosis of bloodstream infections in newborns and children with suspected sepsis', *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 49(6), pp. 2252–2258. doi: 10.1128/JCM.02460-10.

Luiz, T., Eyer, F. and Matthes, G. (2019) 'Patients with burn injuries', *Notfall und Rettungsmedizin*, 22(4), pp. 282–283. doi: 10.1007/s10049-018-0561-1.

Melda, M., Triasih, R. and Nurnaningsih (2021) 'Modifying the pelod-2 score to predict mortality in critically ill patients', *Paediatrica Indonesiana(Paediatrica Indonesiana)*, 61(2), pp. 61–68. doi: 10.14238/pi61.2.2021.61-8.

Menon, K., Schlapbach, L.J., Akech, S., Argent, A., Chiotos, K., Jobayer, M., et al., (2020) 'Pediatric Sepsis Definition—A Systematic Review Protocol by the Pediatric Sepsis Definition Taskforce', *Critical Care Explorations*, 2(6), p. e0123. doi: 10.1097/cce.0000000000000123.

Mianling, Z. Yuge, H., Tufeng, L., Lu, X., Ting, T., Miaofen, L., Dongqiang, H. (2019) 'Performance of the pediatric sequential organ failure assessment score in assessing the prognosis of children with sepsis in a PICU of a developing country: A single-center retrospective observational study', *Iranian Journal of Pediatrics*, 29(5). doi: 10.5812/ijp.89024.

Murni, I. K. Duke, T., Daley, A.J., Kinney, S., Soenarto, Y. (2018) 'True pathogen or contamination: Validation of blood cultures for the diagnosis of nosocomial infections in a developing country', *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*, 64(5), pp. 389–394. doi: 10.1093/tropej/fmx081.

Nannan Panday, R. S. Wang, S., Van De Ven, P. M., Hekker, T. A.M., Alam, N., Nanayakkara, P. W.B. (2019) 'Evaluation of blood culture epidemiology and efficiency in a large European teaching hospital', *PLoS ONE*, 14(3), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0214052.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

van Nassau, S. C., van Beek, R.H., Driessen, G.J., Hazelzet, J.A., van Wering, H.M., Boeddha, Navin P. . (2018) 'Translating Sepsis-3 criteria in children: Prognostic accuracy of age-adjusted quick SOFA score in children visiting the emergency department with suspected bacterial infection', *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 6(October), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.3389/fped.2018.00266.

Pereira, E. M., Mattos, C.S., dos Santos, O.C., ferreira, D.C., de oliveira, T.L., Laport, M.S., ferreira, E., *et al.* (2019) 'Staphylococcus hominis subspecies can be identified by SDS-PAGE or MALDI-TOF MS profiles', *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), pp. 1–7. doi: 10.1038/s41598-019-48248-4.

Pontrelli, G., Crescenzo, F., Buzzetti, R., Jenkner, A., Balduzzi, S., Carducci, F. C., et al. (2017) 'Accuracy of serum procalcitonin for the diagnosis of sepsis in neonates and children with systemic inflammatory syndrome: A meta-analysis',

Power, M., Fell, G. and Wright, M. (2013) 'Principles for high-quality , high-value testing', 18(1), pp. 5–10.

Pudjiadi, A. H. (2020) 'Pedoman Resusitasi Syok Septik " Surviving Sepsis Campaign " Butuh Penyesuaian Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines for Septic Shock Resuscitation Need an Adaptation', 21(6), pp. 329–332.

Pudjiadi, A. H., Malisie, R. F. and Alam, A. (2019) 'Pedoman Nasional Pelayanan Kedokteran Diagnosis dan Tata Laksana Sepsis pada Anak', pp. 1–55.

Rady, H. I., Mohamed, Shereen A., Mohssen, N.A., El Baz, M. (2014) 'Application of different scoring systems and their value in pediatric intensive care unit', *Egyptian Pediatric Association Gazette*, 62(3–4), pp. 59–64. doi: 10.1016/j.epag.2014.10.003.

Rossaint, J. and Zarbock, A. (2015) 'Pathogenesis of Multiple Organ Failure in Sepsis', Critical Reviews™ in Immunology, 35(4):277– 291 (2015)

Rusmawatiningtyas, D., Rahmawati, A., Makrufardi, F., Mardhiah, N., Murni, I. K., Uiterwaal, C. S., *et al.* (2021) 'Factors associated with mortality of pediatric sepsis patients at the pediatric intensive care unit in a low-resource setting', *BMC Pediatr*, 21(1), pp. 1–10. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-02945-0.

Rusmawatiningtyas, D. and Nurnaningsih, N. (2017) 'Mortality rates in pediatric septic shock', *Paediatr Indones*, 56(5), p. 304. doi: 10.14238/pi56.5.2016.304-10.

Sagy, M., Al-qaqaa, Y. and Kim, P. (2013) 'De fi nitions and Pathophysiology of Sepsis', (December), pp. 260–263. doi: 10.1016/j.cppeds.2013.10.001.

Salim, H., B, S. I. and Nym, B.-H. I. (2016) 'Penggunaan Skor Pediatric Logistic Organ Dysfunction Harian sebagai Prediktor Mortalitas Anak yang Dirawat di Unit Perawatan Intensif Anak', *Sari Pediatri*, 16(2), p. 141. doi: 10.14238/sp16.2.2014.141-6.

Schwarzenbacher, J., Kuhn, S.o., Vollmer, M., Scheer, C., Fuchs, C., Rehberg, S., et al., (2019) 'On-site blood culture incubation shortens the time to knowledge of positivity and microbiological results in septic patients', *PLoS ONE*, 14(12), pp. 1–13. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0225999.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Sepanski, R. J., Godambe, S.A., Mangum, C.D., Bovat, C.S., Zaritsky, A.L., Shah, S.H. (2014) 'Designing a pediatric severe sepsis screening tool', *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 2(JUN). doi: 10.3389/fped.2014.00056.

Simanjuntak, Y. R., Saputra, I., Triratna, S., Bakri, A., Iriani, Y. (2020) 'Validation of PELOD-2 score as a predictor of life-threatening organ dysfunction in pediatric sepsis', *Paediatrica Indonesiana*, 60(5), pp. 227–32. doi: 10.14238/pi60.5.2020.227-32.

Singer, M.,Deutschman, C.S., Seymour, C., Shankar, H.M., Annane, D., Bauer, M., et al., (2016) 'The third international consensus definitions for sepsis and septic shock (sepsis-3)', *JAMA - Journal of the American Medical Association*, 315(8), pp. 801–810. doi: 10.1001/jama.2016.0287.

de Souza, D. and Machado, F. (2019) 'Epidemiology of Pediatric Septic Shock', Journal of Pediatric Intensive Care, 08(01), pp. 003–010. doi: 10.1055/s-0038-1676634.

Srinivasan, L. (2019) 'Performance of Pediatric Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome and Organ Dysfunction Criteria in Late-Onset Sepsis in a Quaternary Neonatal Intensive Care Unit: A Case-Control Study', The Journal of Pediatrics, pp. 1–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jpeds.2019.12.064.

Stoll, B. J., Puopolo, K.M., Hansen, N., Sánchez, P., Bell, E., Carlo, W., Cotten, M., et al. (2020) 'Early-Onset Neonatal Sepsis 2015 to 2017, the Rise of Escherichia coli, and the Need for Novel Prevention Strategies', JAMA Pediatrics, 174(7), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2020.0593.

Supit, P., Mandei, J. M. and Rampengan, N. H. (2016) 'Profil Anak Dengan Sepsis dan Syok Sepsis yang dilakukan Kultur Darah Periode Januari 2010-Juni 2015 DI RSUP Prof. Dr. R. D. Kandou Manado', *e-CliniC*, 4(1). doi: 10.35790/ecl.4.1.2016.10982.

Szczuka, E., Krzymin´ska, S., Bogucka, N., and Kaznowski, A. (2018) 'Multifactorial mechanisms of the pathogenesis of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus hominis isolated from bloodstream infections', *Antonie van Leeuwenhoek, International Journal of General and Molecular Microbiology*, 111(7), pp. 1259–1265. doi: 10.1007/s10482-017-1007-3

Tran, C. A., Zschaebitz, J. V. and Spaeder, M. C. (2019) 'Epidemiology of Blood Culture Utilization in a Cohort of Critically Ill Children', pp. 144–147.

Tran, C. A., Zschaebitz, J. V. and Spaeder, M. C. (2019) 'Epidemiology of Blood Culture Utilization in a Cohort of Critically Ill Children', pp. 144–147.

Umemneku Chikere, C.M., Wilson, K.J., A., Allen, A.J., and Vale, L. (2021) 'Comparative diagnostic accuracy studies with an imperfect reference standard – a comparison of correction methods', BMC Medical Research Methodology, 21(1), pp. 1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12874-021-01255-4.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Walter, S. D., Macaskill, P., Lord, S., and Irwig, L. (2012) 'Effect of dependent errors in the assessment of diagnostic or screening test accuracy when the reference standard is imperfect', Statistics in Medicine, 31(11–12), pp. 1129–1138. doi: 10.1002/sim.4444.

Weiss, S. L., Peters, M.J., Alhazzani, W., Agus, Michael S.D., Flori, H.R., et al., (2020) 'Surviving sepsis campaign international guidelines for the management of septic shock and sepsis-associated organ dysfunction in children', *Intensive Care Medicine*, 46(s1), pp. 10–67. doi: 10.1007/s00134-019-05878-6.

Wulandari, A., Pudjiastuti, P. and Martuti, S. (2019) 'Severe sepsis criteria, PELOD-2, and pSOFA as predictors of mortality in critically ill children with sepsis', *Paediatrica Indonesiana*, 59(6), pp. 318–24. doi: 10.14238/pi59.6.2019.318-24.

Yoon, S. H., Kim, E. H., Kim, H.Y., and Ahn, J.G. (2019) 'Presepsin as a diagnostic marker of sepsis in children and adolescents: A systemic review and meta-analysis', *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 19(1), pp. 1–11. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4397-1.

Zhong, M., Huang, Y., Li, T., Xiong, L., Lin, T., Li, M., He, D. (2020) 'Day-1 PELOD-2 and day-1 "quick" PELOD-2 scores in children with sepsis in the PICU', *Jornal de Pediatria*, 96(5), pp. 660–665. doi: 10.1016/j.jped.2019.07.007.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1

Tabel 12. ***Data Collection Form***

Nama							
No RM							
Tgl lahir/ usia							
Jenis Kelamin	L			P			
Status gizi							
	BB (kg):			TB (cm):			
Cara Masuk PICU	IGD□	Bangsal□	Poli□				
Rujukan	Ya □	Tidak□					
Lama Perawatan di RS sebelumnya	<24 jam□	24 - 48 jam □	≥48 jam□				
Antibiotik di RS sebelumnya							
Tanggal Masuk RS							
Tanggal Masuk PICU							
Indikasi perawatan PICU							
Diagnosis akhir							
CRP	Procalcitonin			NLR			
A. Kriteria GOLDSTEIN	Sepsis □	Bukan sepsis□					
Infeksi/ klinis							
Suhu (° C)							
Nadi (x/menit)							
RR (x/menit)							
Leukosit							
B. PNPK sepsis 2019	Sepsis □	Bukan sepsis□					
1. Infeksi							
a. Predisposisi infeksi							
b. Tanda Infeksi	Klinis: hipotermi/demam □		fokus infeksi □				
	Laboratoris: Leukositosis/leukopenia□ Trombositosis/trombositopenia □ NLR □ CRP□ Procalcitonin□						
c. Reaksi Inflamasi	Demam □ Takikardi□ Bradikardi□ Takipnea□						
2. PELOD-2		Poin berdasarkan tingkat keparahan					
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6
Neurologi							
GCS		≥11	5-10			3-4		
Pupillary reaction		Keduanya reaktif					Keduanya Non Reaktif	
Kardiovaskular							
Laktatemia(mmol/)		< 5.0	5.0-10.9			≥11.0		
MAP(mmHg)								
0 - < 1 bulan		≥ 46		31 - 45	17-30			≤ 16
1 – 11 bulan		≥ 55		39 - 54	25-38			≤ 24
12 – 23 bulan		≥ 60		44 - 59	31-43			≤ 30
24 – 59 bulan		≥ 62		46 - 61	32-45			≤ 31
60 – 143 bulan		≥ 65		49 - 64	36-48			≤ 35

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

≥144 bulan		≥ 67	52 - 68	38-51		≤ 37
Renal						
Kreatinin(µmol/L)						
0 - < 1bulan		≤ 69	≥ 70			
1 – 11 bulan		≤ 22	≥ 23			
12 – 23 bulan		≤ 34	≥ 35			
24 – 59 bulan		≤ 50	≥ 51			
60 – 143 bulan		≤ 58	≥ 59			
≥144 bulan		≤ 92	≥ 93			
Respiratori						
PaO2(mmHg)/FiO2		≥ 61	≤ 60			
PaCO2 (mmHg)		≤58	59 - 94	≥ 95		
Ventilasi invasif		Tidak		Ya		
Hematologi						
Leukosit ($x10^9$/L)		> 2	≤ 2			
Platelet ($x10^9$/L)		≥ 142	77 -141	≤ 76		
C. Skor PSOFA						
D. Kultur Darah 1x24 jam perawatan		Positif			Negatif	
Organisme						
Lama Perawatan di PICU RSS						
Kondisi keluar PICU		Hidup□ Meninggal□				

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
EVALUASI PERFORMA PEDOMAN NASIONAL PELAYANAN KEDOKTERAN (PNPK) SEPSIS 2021 DALAM MENDIAGNOSIS SEPSIS BAKTERIAL PADA ANAK
Lilis Siti Asiyani, Dr. dr. Rr Ratni Indrawati, Sp.A(K)
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Lampiran 2. Analisis Data

kat_umur * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
kat_umur	1 bulan - < 1 tahun	Count	17	62	79
		Expected Count	15.0	64.0	79.0
		% within kat_umur	21.5%	78.5%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	44.7%	38.3%	39.5%
	1 tahun - < 5 tahun	Count	12	39	51
		Expected Count	9.7	41.3	51.0
		% within kat_umur	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	31.6%	24.1%	25.5%
	5 tahun - < 12 tahun	Count	4	39	43
		Expected Count	8.2	34.8	43.0
		% within kat_umur	9.3%	90.7%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	10.5%	24.1%	21.5%
	12 tahun ≤ 18 tahun	Count	5	22	27
		Expected Count	5.1	21.9	27.0
		% within kat_umur	18.5%	81.5%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	13.2%	13.6%	13.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within kat_umur	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Status.Gizi * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
Status.Gizi	Gizi Buruk	Count	12	39	51
		Expected Count	9.7	41.3	51.0
		% within Status.Gizi	23.5%	76.5%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	31.6%	24.1%	25.5%
	Gizi Kurang	Count	13	48	61
		Expected Count	11.6	49.4	61.0
		% within Status.Gizi	21.3%	78.7%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	34.2%	29.6%	30.5%
	Gizi baik	Count	11	71	82
		Expected Count	15.6	66.4	82.0
		% within Status.Gizi	13.4%	86.6%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	28.9%	43.8%	41.0%
	Gizi lebih	Count	2	4	6
		Expected Count	1.1	4.9	6.0
		% within Status.Gizi	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	5.3%	2.5%	3.0%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within Status.Gizi	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Descriptives

	Status.Gizi			Statistic	Std. Error
bmi	Gizi Buruk	Mean		12.3457	.28405
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	11.7752	
			Upper Bound	12.9162	
		5% Trimmed Mean		12.2982	
		Median		12.1600	
		Variance		4.115	
		Std. Deviation		2.02855	
		Minimum		8.23	
		Maximum		17.19	
		Range		8.96	
		Interquartile Range		2.58	
		Skewness		.544	.333
		Kurtosis		.088	.656
	Gizi Kurang	Mean		15.0386	.33871
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	14.3611	
			Upper Bound	15.7161	
		5% Trimmed Mean		14.8456	
		Median		14.2772	
		Variance		6.998	
		Std. Deviation		2.64538	
		Minimum		10.68	
		Maximum		24.31	
		Range		13.63	
		Interquartile Range		2.93	
		Skewness		1.263	.306
		Kurtosis		1.834	.604
	Gizi baik	Mean		16.8512	.38700
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	16.0812	
			Upper Bound	17.6212	
		5% Trimmed Mean		16.6096	
		Median		16.1938	
		Variance		12.281	
		Std. Deviation		3.50441	
		Minimum		11.83	
		Maximum		32.08	
		Range		20.24	
		Interquartile Range		3.67	
		Skewness		1.491	.266
		Kurtosis		3.306	.526
	Gizi lebih	Mean		22.0134	.61178
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	20.4407	
			Upper Bound	23.5860	
		5% Trimmed Mean		22.0702	
		Median		22.0819	
		Variance		2.246	
		Std. Deviation		1.49854	
		Minimum		19.51	
		Maximum		23.49	
		Range		3.99	
		Interquartile Range		2.43	
		Skewness		-.868	.845
		Kurtosis		.440	1.741

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

fokus.infeksi * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
fokus.infeksi	Respi	Count	18	80	98
		Expected Count	18.6	79.4	98.0
		% within fokus.infeksi	18.4%	81.6%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	47.4%	49.4%	49.0%
	SSP	Count	10	39	49
		Expected Count	9.3	39.7	49.0
		% within fokus.infeksi	20.4%	79.6%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	26.3%	24.1%	24.5%
	GUT	Count	1	7	8
		Expected Count	1.5	6.5	8.0
		% within fokus.infeksi	12.5%	87.5%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	2.6%	4.3%	4.0%
	GIT	Count	9	36	45
		Expected Count	8.6	36.5	45.0
		% within fokus.infeksi	20.0%	80.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	23.7%	22.2%	22.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within fokus.infeksi	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Rujukan * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
Rujukan	Ya	Count	19	108	127
		Expected Count	24.1	102.9	127.0
		% within Rujukan	15.0%	85.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	50.0%	66.7%	63.5%
	Tidak	Count	19	54	73
		Expected Count	13.9	59.1	73.0
		% within Rujukan	26.0%	74.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	50.0%	33.3%	36.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within Rujukan	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

ab.sebelum.picu * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
ab.sebelum.picu		Count	8	38	46
		Expected Count	8.7	37.3	46.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	17.4%	82.6%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	21.1%	23.5%	23.0%
	amocxicilin, chloramphenicol	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
	amoxicilin	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
	amoxicilin iv	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
amoxicilin, ceftriaxone	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampicilin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampicilin, gentamisin, metronidazole	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin sulbactam	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin sulbactam, ciporofloxacin, meropenem, cotrimoxazole	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin sulbactam, ciprofloxacin, meropenem, metronidazole, gentamisin	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Ampisilin sulbactam, gentamisin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin sulbactam, gentamisin, metronidazole, levofloxacin, meropenem, ceftazidime	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin sulbactam, metronidazole	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin, cefotaxime	Count	0	2	2
	Expected Count	.4	1.6	2.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
ampisilin, chloramphenicol, ceftriaxone	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
ampisilin, gentamisin	Count	3	6	9
	Expected Count	1.7	7.3	9.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	7.9%	3.7%	4.5%
ampisilin, gentamisin, ampisilin sulbactam, amikasin, cefoperazone	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

ampisilin, gentamisin, cefotaxime, meropenem	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
ampisilin, meropenem, amoxicilin, clavulanate	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ampisilin, metronidazole, gentamisin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cefadroxil, gentamicin, cefotraxime	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cefepime, ciprofloxacin	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
cefixime	Count	1	3	4
	Expected Count	.8	3.2	4.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	25.0%	75.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	1.9%	2.0%
cefixime, ampisilin, gentamisin, ampisilin sulbactam, ceftriaxone, meropenem	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cefotaxime	Count	4	14	18
	Expected Count	3.4	14.6	18.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	22.2%	77.8%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	10.5%	8.6%	9.0%
cefotaxime, ampisilin sulbactam, gentamisin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cefotaxime, ampisilin, gentamisin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cefotaxime, ceftazidime	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
cefotaxime, gentamisin	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
cefotaxime, meropenem, metronidazole, levofloxacin, eritromisin	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
cefotaxime, metronidazole	Count	5	10	15
	Expected Count	2.9	12.2	15.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	33.3%	66.7%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	13.2%	6.2%	7.5%
cefotaxime, metronidazole, cefixime, cotrimoxazole	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cefriaxone	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftotaxime	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone	Count	3	37	40
	Expected Count	7.6	32.4	40.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	7.5%	92.5%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	7.9%	22.8%	20.0%
ceftriaxone metronidazole	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, ampisilin sulbactam	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, ampisilin, gentamisin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, azithromycin	Count	0	2	2
	Expected Count	.4	1.6	2.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
ceftriaxone, cefepime	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, cefotaxime	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, amoxicilin, gentamicin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, cefotaxime, meropenem	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, ceftazidime, amikasin, gentamisin, meropenem	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, chloramphenicol	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, gentamicin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, gentamisin, amoxicilin,	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

chloramphenicol	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, meropenem	Count	0	2	2
	Expected Count	.4	1.6	2.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
ceftriaxone, meropenem, azithromycin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, meropenem, gentamisin	Count	0	2	2
	Expected Count	.4	1.6	2.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
ceftriaxone, meropenem, metronidazole	Count	0	2	2
	Expected Count	.4	1.6	2.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%
ceftriaxone, metronidazole	Count	0	3	3
	Expected Count	.6	2.4	3.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	1.9%	1.5%
ceftriaxone, metronidazole, chloramphenicol	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
ceftriaxone, metronidazole, meropenem	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cotrimoxasole, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cotrimoxazole, ceftriaxone	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
cotrimoxazole(on therapy toxoplasmosis)	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
meropenem	Count	1	0	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
meropenem, azithromycin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
meropenem, cotrimoxazole	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
meropenem, levofloxacin, amikasin	Count	0	1	1
	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
	% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
meropenem,	Count	0	1	1

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

	metronidazole	Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
	meropenem, metronidazole, ceftazidime	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
	metronidazole	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
	metronidazole, ampisilin sulbactam, ceftazidim, meropenem, gentamisin, levofloxacin	Count	0	1	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	0.0%	0.6%	0.5%
	moxifloxacin	Count	1	0	1
		Expected Count	.2	.8	1.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	2.6%	0.0%	0.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within ab.sebelum.picu	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Kondisi.keluar.PICU * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
Kondisi.keluar.PICU	Hidup	Count	20	107	127
		Expected Count	24.1	102.9	127.0
		% within Kondisi.keluar.PICU	15.7%	84.3%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	52.6%	66.0%	63.5%
	Meninggal	Count	18	55	73
		Expected Count	13.9	59.1	73.0
		% within Kondisi.keluar.PICU	24.7%	75.3%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	47.4%	34.0%	36.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within Kondisi.keluar.PICU	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Goldstein * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
Goldstein	Sepsis	Count	24	82	106
		Expected Count	20.1	85.9	106.0
		% within Goldstein	22.6%	77.4%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	63.2%	50.6%	53.0%
	Bukan Sepsis	Count	14	80	94
		Expected Count	17.9	76.1	94.0
		% within Goldstein	14.9%	85.1%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	36.8%	49.4%	47.0%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within Goldstein	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Descriptives

	Kultur			Statistic	Std. Error
PELOD	tumbuh	Mean		6.89	.940
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	4.99	
			Upper Bound	8.80	
		5% Trimmed Mean		6.55	
		Median		5.00	
		Variance		33.610	
		Std. Deviation		5.797	
		Minimum		0	
		Maximum		21	
		Range		21	
		Interquartile Range		9	
		Skewness		.917	.383
		Kurtosis		-.218	.750
	tidak tumbuh	Mean		4.83	.282
		95% Confidence Interval for Mean	Lower Bound	4.27	
			Upper Bound	5.38	
		5% Trimmed Mean		4.62	
		Median		4.00	
		Variance		12.926	
		Std. Deviation		3.595	
		Minimum		0	
		Maximum		15	
		Range		15	
		Interquartile Range		5	
		Skewness		.757	.191
		Kurtosis		.017	.379

PNPK.2019 * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
PNPK.2019	Sepsis	Count	11	20	31
		Expected Count	5.9	25.1	31.0
		% within PNPK.2019	35.5%	64.5%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	28.9%	12.3%	15.5%
	Bukan Sepsis	Count	27	142	169
		Expected Count	32.1	136.9	169.0
		% within PNPK.2019	16.0%	84.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	71.1%	87.7%	84.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within PNPK.2019	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

kategori_PELOD * Kultur Crosstabulation

			Kultur		Total
			tumbuh	tidak tumbuh	
kategori_PELOD	<7	Count	25	111	136
		Expected Count	25.8	110.2	136.0
		% within kategori_PELOD	18.4%	81.6%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	65.8%	68.5%	68.0%
	7-9	Count	2	31	33
		Expected Count	6.3	26.7	33.0
		% within kategori_PELOD	6.1%	93.9%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	5.3%	19.1%	16.5%
	>=10	Count	0	8	8
		Expected Count	1.5	6.5	8.0
		% within kategori_PELOD	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	0.0%	4.9%	4.0%
	>=11	Count	11	12	23
		Expected Count	4.4	18.6	23.0
		% within kategori_PELOD	47.8%	52.2%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	28.9%	7.4%	11.5%
Total		Count	38	162	200
		Expected Count	38.0	162.0	200.0
		% within kategori_PELOD	19.0%	81.0%	100.0%
		% within Kultur	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA
EVALUASI PERFORMA PEDOMAN NASIONAL PELAYANAN KEDOKTERAN (PNPK) SEPSIS 2021 DALAM MENDIAGNOSIS SEPSIS BAKTERIAL PADA ANAK
Lilis Siti Asiyani, Dr. dr. Rr Ratni Indrawati, Sp.A(K)
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Lampiran 3.

Jadwal Penelitian

BULAN	1				2				3				4				5				6			
Pembuatan proposal																								
Ujian proposal																								
Ethical clearance																								
Sampling																								
Mengumpulkan data																								
Pengolahan data																								
Analisis data																								
Menulis laporan																								
Menulis artikel																								

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
EVALUASI PERFORMA PEDOMAN NASIONAL PELAYANAN KEDOKTERAN (PNPK) SEPSIS 2021 DALAM MENDIAGNOSIS SEPSIS BAKTERIAL PADA ANAK
Lilis Siti Asiyani, Dr. dr. Rr Ratni Indrawati, Sp.A(K)
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Persetujuan Etik Penelitian

MEDICAL AND HEALTH RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE (MHREC)
FACULTY OF MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH AND NURSING
UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA – DR. SARDJITO GENERAL HOSPITAL

ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

Ref. No. : KE/FK/1035/EC/2021

Title of the Research Protocol	:	Uji Diagnostik Kriteria Goldstein dan Pelod-2 pada Pasien Anak dengan Dugaan Sepsis Bakterial yang Dirawat di PICU RSUP Dr. Sardjito
Document(s) Approved and version	:	Study Protocol version 02 2021
Principle Investigator	:	Lilis Siti Asiyani
Participating Investigator(s)	:	1. Dr. dr. Rr. Ratni Indrawanti, Sp.A(K). 2. dr. Desy Rusmawatiningtyas, Sp.A(K).
Date of Approval	:	17 SEP 2021 (Valid for one year beginning from the date of approval)
Institution(s)/place(s) of research	:	Catatan rekam medis pasien di PICU RSUP Dr. Sardjito Yogyakarta

The Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC) states that the document above meets the ethical principle outlined in the International and National Guidelines on ethical standards and procedures for researches with human beings.

The Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC) has the right to monitor the research activities at any time.

The investigator(s) is/are obliged to submit:
- ✓ Progress report as a continuing review (state its due time)
- ✓ Report of any serious adverse events (SAE)
- ✓ Final report upon the completion of the study

Dr. dr. Eti Nurwening Sholikhah, M.Kes., M.Med.Ed.
Panel's vice chairperson

dr. Rizka Humardewayanti A., Sp.PD-KPTI.
Panel's secretary

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Persetujuan Etik Penelitian (Amandemen)

MEDICAL AND HEALTH RESEARCH ETHICS COMMITTEE (MHREC)
FACULTY OF MEDICINE, PUBLIC HEALTH AND NURSING
UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA – DR. SARDJITO GENERAL HOSPITAL

ETHICS COMMITTEE APPROVAL

Ref. No. : KE/FK/0430/EC/2022

Title of the Research Protocol	:	Evaluasi Performa Pedoman Nasional Pelayanan Kedokteran (PNPK) Sepsis 2021 dalam Mendiagnosis Sepsis Bakterial pada Anak
Document(s) Approved and version	:	Study Protocol version 02 2022
Principle Investigator	:	Lilis Siti Asiyani
Participating Investigator(s)	:	1. Dr. dr. Rr Ratni Indrawati, Sp.A(K). 2. dr. Desy Rusmawatiningtyas, M.Sc., Sp.A(K).
Date of Approval	:	12 APR 2022 (Valid for one year beginning from the date of approval)
Institution(s)/place(s) of research	:	RSUP Dr. Sardjito Yogyakarta

The Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC) states that the document above meets the ethical principle outlined in the International and National Guidelines on ethical standards and procedures for researches with human beings.

The Medical and Health Research Ethics Committee (MHREC) has the right to monitor the research activities at any time.

The investigator(s) is/are obliged to submit:
- ✓ Progress report as a continuing review (state its due time)
- ✓ Report of any serious adverse events (SAE)
- ✓ Final report upon the completion of the study

Prof. Dr. dr. Sri Sumarmi, Sp.S(K).
Panel's chairperson

dr. Yunita Widyastuti, Sp.An., M.Kes., KAP., Ph.D.
Panel's secretary

P.S: This letter uses signature scan of the panel's chairperson and Secretary of the Ethics Committee. The hardcopy official letter with authority's signature will be issued when it is possible and are kept as an archive of the Ethics Committee	Validation number : 6253d3ae0375d (http://komisietk.fk.ugm.ac.id/validasi)

Recognized by Forum for Ethical Review Committees in Asia and the Western Pacific (FERCAP)
11-Apr-22