

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ajzen, I. (1991). The Theory of Planned Behavior. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 179-211.
- Bamberg, S., & Rölle, D. (2003). Determinants of people's acceptability of pricing measures: replication and extension of a causal model. *Acceptability of Transport Pricing Strategies*, 235-248.
- Biel, A., & Thøgersen, J. (2007). Activation of social norms in social dilemmas: a review of the evidence and reflection on the implications for environmental behavior. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 93-112.
- Bridge, G. (2020). Rational Choice Theory and Rational Choice Marxism. *International Encyclopedia of Human Geography*, 2017-212.
- Cherry, T. L., Kallbekken, S., & Kroll, S. (2012). The acceptability of efficiency-enhancing environmental taxes, subsidies and regulation: an experimental investigation. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 16, 90-96.
- Chen, F. F. (2007). Sensitivity of goodness of fit indexes to lack of measurement invariance. *Structural Equation Modeling: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 464-504.
- Cho, C., Senn, J., & Sobkowiak, M. (2022). Sustainability at stake during COVID-19: Exploring the role of accounting in addressing environmental crises. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*.
- Cialdini, R. A., Reno, R. R., & Kallgren, C. A. (1990). A focus theory of normative conduct: recycling the concept of norms to reduce littering in public places. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 1015-1026.
- Crowley, K. (2017). Up and down with climate politics 2013-2016: the repeal of carbon pricing in Australia. *Wiley Interdisciplin. Rev.: Clim. Change*, 458.
- de Groot, J. M., & Schuitema, G. (2012). How to make the unpopular popular? Policy characteristics, social norms and the acceptability of environmental policies. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 19, 100-107.
- Dawes, R. M. (1980). Social Dilemmas. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 169-193.
- Devos, T., Spini, D., & Schwartz, S. H. (2002). Conflicts among human values and trust in institutions. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 481-494.
- Dietz, T., Dan, A., & Shwom, R. (2007). Support for climate change policy: social psychological and social structural influences. *Rural Sociology*, 185-214.
- Dresner, S., Dunne, L., Clinch, P., & Beuermann, C. (2006). Social and political responses to ecological tax reform in Europe: an introduction to the special issue. *Energy Policy*, 895-904.
- Drews, S., & Van Den Bergh, J. (2016). What explains public support for climate policies? A review of empirical and experimental studies. *Clim. Policy* 16, 855-876.
- Eriksson, L., Garvill, J., & Nordlund, A. (2006). Acceptability of travel demand management measures: the importance of problem awareness, personal norm, freedom, and fairness. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 15-26.
- Frey, B. S. (1997). *Not Just for the Money: An Economic Theory of Personal Motivation*. Cheltenham, UK and Lyme, USA: Edward Elgar Publishing.
- Fujii, S., Gärling, T., Jou, R., & Jou, R. C. (2004). A cross-country study of fairness and infringement on freedom as determinants of car owners' acceptance of road pricing. *Transportation*, 285-295.

- Galavao, A., Mendes, L., Marques, C., & Mascarenhas, C. (2019). Factors Influencing Students' Corporate Social Responsibility Orientation in Higher Education. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 290-304.
- Gevrek, Z., & Duranogolu, A. (2015). Public preferences for carbon tax attributes. *Ecological Economics*.
- Gray, R., Bebbington, J., & McPhail, K. (1994). Teaching ethics in accounting and the ethics of accounting teaching: Educating for immorality and a possible case for social and environmental accounting education. *Accounting Education*, 51-75.
- Guagano, G. A., Stern, P. C., & Dietz, T. (1995). Influences on attitude-behavior relationships: a natural experiment with curbside recycling. *Environment and Behavior*, 699-718.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice*, 139-152.
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. & Sarstedt, M. (2013). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SE). Sage Publications, Inc.
- Hammar, H., Jagers, S. C., & Nordblom, K. (2009). Perceived tax evasion and the importance of trust. *Journal of Socio-Economics*, 238-245.
- Hammar, H., & Sjöström, M. (2011). Accounting for behavioral effects of increases in the carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) tax in revenue estimation in Sweden. *Energy Policy*, 6672-6676.
- Harring, N. (2014a). Corruption, inequalities and the perceived effectiveness of economic pro-environmental policy instruments: a European cross-national study. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 119-128.
- Harring, N. (2014b). The Multiple Dilemmas of Environmental Protection: The Effects of Generalized and Political Trust on the Acceptance of Environmental Policy Instruments. *Gothenburg: Göteborg Studies in Politics*.
- Harring, N., & Jagers, S. C. (2013). Should we trust in values? Explaining public support for pro-environmental taxes. *Sustainability*, 210-227.
- Harring, N., & Jagers, S. (2017). Why do people accept environmental policies? The prospects of higher education and changes in norms, beliefs and policy preferences. *Environmental Education Research*, 791-806.
- Harrison, K. (2010). The comparative politics of carbon taxation. *Annu. Rev. Law Soc. Sci.*, 507-529.
- Harrison, K., & Peet, C. (2012). Historical legacies and policy reform: diverse regional re- actions to British columbia's carbon tax. *B. C. Stud. Br. Columbian Q*, 97-122.
- Hetherington, M. J. (1998). The political relevance of political trust. *American Political Science Review*, 791-808.
- IEA. (2022). *World Energy Outlook 2021*. Paris, France: Directorate of Sustainability, Technology and Outlooks International Energy Agency.
- Jaensirisak, S., Wardman, M., & May, A. D. (2005). Explaining variations in public acceptability of road pricing schemes. *Journal of Transport Economics and Policy*, 127-153.
- Jagers, S. C., & Hammar, H. (2009). Environmental taxation for good and for bad: the efficiency and legitimacy of Sweden's carbon tax. *Environmental Politics*, 218-237.
- Jagers, S. C., Martinsson, J., & Matti, S. (2019). The impact of compensatory measures on public support for carbon taxation: an experimental study in Sweden. *Slim. Policy*, 147-160.

- Jagers, S. C., & Matti, S. (2010). Ecological citizens: identifying values and beliefs that support individual environmental responsibility among Swedes. *Sustainability*, 1055-1079.
- Jakobsson, C., Fujii, S., & Gärling, T. (2000). Determinants of private car users' acceptance of road pricing. *Transport Policy*, 153-158.
- Johansson-Stenman, O., & Konow, J. (2010). Fair air: distributive justice and environmental economics. *Environmental & Resource Economics*, 147-166.
- Kallbekken, S., & Aasen, M. (2010). The demand for earmarking: results from a focus group study. *Ecological Economics* 69, 2183–2190.
- Kallbekken, S., & Sælen, H. (2011). Public acceptance for environmental taxes: self-interest, environmental and distributional concerns. *Energy Policy*, 2966-2973.
- Kellstedt, P. M., Zahran, S., & Vedlitz, A. (2008). Personal efficacy, the information environment, and attitudes toward global warming and climate change in the United States. *Risk Analysis*, 113-126.
- Kemenkeu. (2021). *PAJAK KARBON DI INDONESIA Upaya Mitigasi Perubahan Iklim dan Pertumbuhan Ekonomi Berkelanjutan*. Presentation, Webinar Penyelenggaraan Nilai Ekonomi Karbon di Subsektor Ketenagalistrikan.
- Kemenkeu. (2022). *RUU HPP*. [kemenkeu.go.id](https://www.kemenkeu.go.id). Retrieved 25 March 2022, from <https://www.kemenkeu.go.id/ruuhpp>.
- Kolb, D. A. (1984). *Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development*. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Long range planning*, 1-12.
- Laurent, É. (2010). The French Carbon Tax: Autopsy of an Ambition. *French Politics, Culture & Society* 28, 114-122.
- Lubell, M., Zahran, S., & Vedlitz, A. (2007). Collective action and citizens responses to global warming. *Political Behavior*, 391-413.
- Mansbridge, J. (2014). The Role of the State in Governing the Commons. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 8-10.
- Matti, S. (2015). Climate policy instruments. *Research Handbook on Climate Governance*, 400-408.
- McLaughlin, C., Elamer, A., Glen, T., AlHares, A., & Gaber, H. (131). Accounting society's acceptability of carbon taxes: Expectations and reality. *Energy Policy*, 302-311.
- Ostrom, E. (2005). *Understanding Institutional Diversity*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Rabe, B. G., & Borick, C. P. (2012). Carbon taxation and policy labeling: experience from American states and Canadian provinces. *Rev. Pol. Res*, 358-382.
- Perman, R., James, M., & Michael, C. (2003). *Natural Resource and Environmental Economics*. London: Pearson Education Limited.
- Poterba, J. (1991). House Price Dynamics: The Role of Tax Policy. *Brookings papers on Economic Activity* 22, 143-204.
- Rienstra, S. A., Rietveld, P., & Verhoef, E. T. (1999). The social support for policy measures in passenger transport: a statistical analysis for the Netherlands. *Transportation Research Part D*, 181-200.
- Rudolph, T. J., & Evans, J. (2005). Political trust, ideology, and public support for government spending. *American Journal of Political Science* 49, 660-671.
- Sandmo, A. (2005). The theory of tax evasion: a retrospective view. *National Taxation Journal* 58, 643-663.

- Schade, J., & Schlag, B. (2003). Acceptability of urban transport pricing strategies. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behavior* 6, 45-61.
- Schleich, J., Schwirplies, C., & Ziegler, A. (2018). Do perceptions of international climate policy stimulate or discourage voluntary climate protection activities? A study of German and US households. *Clim. Policy*, 568–580.
- Schuitema, G., Steg, L., & Forward, S. (2010). Explaining differences in acceptability before and acceptance after the implementation of a congestion charge in Stockholm. *Transportation Research Part A* 44, 99-109.
- Schwartz, S. (1997). Normative influences on altruism. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 10, 221-279.
- Sholihin, M., & Ratmono, D. (2021). *Analisis SEM-PLS dengan WarpPLS 7.0 untuk Hubungan Nonlinier dalam Penelitian Sosial dan Bisnis*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi.
- Sønderskov, K. (2011). Explaining large-N cooperation: generalized social trust and the social exchange heuristic. *Rationality and Society* 23, 51–74.
- Stern, P. C., Dietz, T., Kalof, L., & Guagnano, G. A. (1995). Values, beliefs, and proenvironmental action: attitude formation toward emergent attitude objects. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 25, 1611–1636.
- Stern, P. (2000). Toward a coherent theory of environmentally significant behavior. *Journal of Social Issues* 56, 407–424.
- Sternthal, B., & et al. (1978). The Persuasive Effect of Source Credibility: Tests of Cognitive Response. *Journal of Consumer Research*, vol. 4, 252–260.
- Trawick, I. F., & Dan Darden, E. R. (1980). Marketers' Perceptions of Ethical Standards in the Marketing Profession: Educators and Practitioners. *Review of Business and Economic Research*. Vol. 16, 1-17.
- Torgler, B. (2003). Tax morale, rule governed behavior and trust. *Constitutional Political Economy* 14, 119–140.
- Vedung, E. (1998). *Policy Instruments: Typologies and Theories.* " In *Carrots, Sticks & Sermons*. New Brunswick, NJ: Transaction Publishers.
- Wang, Q., Hubacek, K., Feng, K., Wei, Y., & Liang, Q. (2016). Distributional effects of carbon taxation. *Appl. Energy*, 1123–1131.