



References

- Abedalaziz, N., & Leng, C. H. (2018). The relationship between CTT and IRT approaches in Analyzing Item Characteristics. *MOJES: Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 1(1), 64-70.
- Anastasi, A., & Urbina, S. (2006). *Tes Psikologi*. Edisi Ketujuh, (Imam, R. H. S. Penerjemah) Jakarta : Indeks
- Azwar, S. (1993). Berkenalan Dengan Teori Respons Aitem. *Buletin Psikologi*, 9–16.
- Azwar, S. (2005). *Penyusunan Skala Psikologi*. Pustaka Pelajar. Yogyakarta.
- Azwar, S. (2007). *Tes Potensi Akademik - Versi 07A*. Yogyakarta: Sigma Alpha Test Specialist.
- Azwar, S. (2008). Kualitas Tes potensi Akademik Versi 07A. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Evaluasi Pendidikan*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.21831/pep.v12i2.1429>
- Azwar, S. (2017). Skala Psikologi Sebagai Alat Ukur. In *Penyusunan Skala Psikologi* (2nd ed., pp. 2–3). essay, Pustaka Pelajar.
- Azwar, S. (2018). *Reliabilitas dan Validitas* (4th ed.). Pustaka Pelajar.
- Bekti, R. D., Jatipaningrum, M. T., Kartiko, & Suryowati, K. (2018). Increasing Student Potential Through Academic Potential Test Training. *Journal Abdimas PH*, 1(2).
- Bond, T. G., & Fox, M. C. (2015). *Applying the Rasch Model Fundamental Measurement in the Human Sciences* Third Edition. New York: Routledge.



Boone W. J. (2016). Rasch Analysis for Instrument Development: Why, When, and How?. *CBE*

life sciences education, 15(4), rm4. <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-04-0148>

Boone, W. J. (2017). Rasch analysis for instrument development: Why, when, and how? *CBE—*

Life Sciences Education, 15(4). <https://doi.org/10.1187/cbe.16-04-0148>

Catley, M. J., O'Connell, N. E., & Moseley, G. L. (2013). How good is the Neurophysiology of Pain Questionnaire? A Rasch analysis of psychometric properties. *The Journal of Pain, 14*(8), 818–827. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2013.02.008>

Charles Spearman, 1863-1945. (1947). *Obituary Notices of Fellows of the Royal Society, 5*(15), 373–385. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbm.1947.0006>

Chernyshenko, O. S., Stark, S., Chan, K.-Y., Drasgow, F., & Williams, B. (2001). Fitting item response theory models to two personality inventories: Issues and insights. *Multivariate Behavioral Research, 36*(4), 523–562. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327906mbr3604_03

Crocker, L. & Algina, J. (1986). Introduction to Classical and Modern Test Theory, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York.

Eid, M., Krumm, S., Koch, T., & Schulze, J. (2018). BIFACTOR models for predicting criteria by general and specific factors: Problems of nonidentifiability and alternative solutions. *Journal of Intelligence, 6*(3), 42. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jintelligence6030042>

Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2013). Item response theory.
<https://doi.org/10.4324/9781410605269>



Fox, C. M., & Jones, J. A. (1998). Uses of Rasch modeling in counseling psychology research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 45(1), 30–45. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0167.45.1.30>

Frey, M. C., & Detterman, D. K. (2004). Scholastic assessment or G?: The Relationship Between the Scholastic Assessment Test and General Cognitive Ability. *Psychological Science*, 15(6), 373–378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0956-7976.2004.00687.x>

Gmac.com. (n.d.). About the GMAT™ exam. Graduate Management Admission Council. Retrieved from <https://www.gmac.com/gmat-other-assessments/about-the-gmat-exam>

Gronlund, N. E., Linn, R. L., & Miller, M. D. (2009). Measurement and evaluation in teaching (10th ed.). Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc.

Hambleton, R. K., Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. (1991). (Vol. 2). Sage.

Hartati, N., & Yogi, H. P. (2019). Item analysis for a better quality test. *English Language in Focus (ELIF)*, 2(1), 59. <https://doi.org/10.24853/elif.2.1.59-70>

Hogan, T. P. (2019). Major Categories of Tests. In Psychological testing: A practical introduction (pp. 5–7). essay, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Krisna, I. I., Mardapi, D., & Azwar, S. (2016). Determining standard of academic potential based on the Indonesian Scholastic Aptitude Test (TBS) benchmark. *Research and Evaluation in Education*, 2(2), 165. <https://doi.org/10.21831/reid.v2i2.8465>

Kuncel, N. R., Hezlett, S. A., & Ones, D. S. (2001). A comprehensive meta-analysis of the predictive validity of the graduate record examinations: Implications for graduate student



selection and performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127(1), 162–181.

<https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.1.162>

Marastuti, A., Anggoro, W. J., Marvianto, R. D., & Al Afghani, A. A. (2020). Perbandingan properti Psikometri Antara TES paps Berbentuk computer-based Dan paper and Pencil Test.

Gadjah Mada Journal of Psychology (GamaJoP), 6(1), 12.

<https://doi.org/10.22146/gamajop.51852>

Medvedev, O. N., Pratscher, S. D., & Bettencourt, A. (2020). Psychometric evaluation of the interpersonal mindfulness scale using Rasch analysis. *Mindfulness*, 11(8), 2007–2015.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01415-5>

Medvedev, O. N., Pratscher, S. D., & Bettencourt, A. (2020). Psychometric evaluation of the interpersonal mindfulness scale using Rasch analysis. *Mindfulness*, 11(8), 2007–2015.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12671-020-01415-5>

Muhid, A., Suhadiyanto, S., & Nurhidayat, D. (2015). Pengembangan alat ukur psikologi.

Preinerstorfer, D., & Formann, A. K. (2011). Parameter recovery and model selection in mixed Rasch models. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 65(2), 251–262.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8317.2011.02020.x>

Psychometric Analysis . Mettl. (2021, March 24). Retrieved from

[https://mettl.com/glossary/p/psychometric-
analysis/#:~:text=Psychometric%20analysis%20is%20the%20structured,the%20sanctity%
20of%20the%20test](https://mettl.com/glossary/p/psychometric-analysis/#:~:text=Psychometric%20analysis%20is%20the%20structured,the%20sanctity%20of%20the%20test)



Quaigrain, K., & Arhin, A. K. (2017). Using reliability and item analysis to evaluate a teacher-developed test in educational measurement and evaluation. *Cogent Education*, 4(1), 1301013. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2017.1301013>

Ruhl, C. (2020, July 16). *Intelligence: Definition, Theories & Testing*. Simply Psychology.
Retrieved from <https://www.simplypsychology.org/intelligence.html>

Septianingsih, E., & Jerusalem, M. A. (2021). Developing instrument of academic potential test analogy verbal ability for undergraduate students. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn)*, 15(2), 234–241. <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v15i2.14220>

Setyosari, H. P. (2013). Metode penelitian pendidikan & pengembangan. Prenadamedia Group.

Suharman. (2018). Tes Sebagai Alat ukur Prestasi Akademik. At-Ta'Dib: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Agama Islam, 10, 93–115.

B. Sumintono, dan W. Widhiarso, Aplikasi Model Rasch untuk Penelitian Ilmu-ilmu Sosial (edisi revisi), Cimahi: Trim Komunikata Publishing House, 2014.

Sumintono, B., & Widhiarso, W. (2015). Aplikasi Pemodelan Rasch pada Assessment Pendidikan. Cimahi: Trim Komunikata.

Suryabrata, S. (2006). Pengembangan Alat Ukur Psikologis, Yogyakarta : Andi.

Suryani, T. (2012). Materi Terkini Ter Potensi Akademik Psikotes & Wawancara. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Baru Press.



Thomson, G. (1947). Charles Spearman, 1863-1945. *Obituary Notices of Fellows of the Royal Society*, 5(15), 373–385. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbm.1947.0006>

UNIT PENGEMBANGAN ALAT PSIKODIAGNOSTIKA FAKULTAS PSIKOLOGI
UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA. (2020, May 30). GMST. Retrieved from
<https://upap.psikologi.ugm.ac.id/gmst/>

Urbina, S. (2014). Introduction to Psychological Test and Their Uses. In Essentials of psychological testing (pp. 1–2). introduction, Wiley.

Van Schalkwyk, G. J. (2017). Scholastic aptitude test. *Encyclopedia of Clinical Neuropsychology*, 1–4. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56782-2_1487-3

Xie, D., & Cobb, C. L. (2020). Item analysis. *The Wiley Encyclopedia of Personality and Individual Differences*, 159–163. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118970843.ch97>

Wang, Z., Osterlind, S.J. (2013). Classical Test Theory. In: Teo, T. (eds) Handbook of Quantitative Methods for Educational Research. SensePublishers, Rotterdam.
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-404-8_2

Mohammad Rezaul Karim (2007) Recruitment & Selection: ‘Psychometric’ and ‘Social perspective’ model, Munich, GRIN Verlag, <https://www.grin.com/document/207960>