



- Adawiyah, R., Luayyin, R. H., & Ardli, M. N. (2022). Analisis Permendikbud Ristek No 30 Tahun 2021 dan Konstruksi Sosial Kekerasan Seksual di Perguruan Tinggi Perspektif Sosiologis. *Al Qodiri: Jurnal Pendidikan, Sosial dan Keagamaan*, 19(3), 781-796.
- Batlolone, V. (2021, November 13). Permendikbud 30 Dinali Bagian dari Jihad Melindungi Orang. *KOMPAS.tv*. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from <https://www.kompas.tv/article/231500/permendikbud-30-dinali-bagian-dari-jihad-melindungi-orang>.
- Batorski, D., & Grzywińska, I. (2018). Three dimensions of the public sphere on Facebook. *Information, Communication & Society*, 21(3), 356-374.
- BBC. (2009, August 3). Religions - islam: Jihad. BBC. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from https://www.bbc.co.uk/religion/religions/islam/beliefs/jihad_1.shtml.
- Bhakti, S. E. (2020). Ruang publik dan media sosial: partisipasi politik mahasiswa indonesia. *Jurnal Kajian Media*, 4(1).
- Boscarino, J. E. (2020). Constructing visual policy narratives in new media: the case of the Dakota Access Pipeline. *Information, Communication & Society*, 1-17.
- Bougie, & Sekaran. (2013). Edisi 5, Research Methods for Business: A skill Building Approach. New York: John wiley&Sons.
- Bramasta, D. B. (2021, November 14). Isi Permendikbud Ristek Nomor 30 Tahun 2021 Yang Tuai Pro Kontra. *KOMPAS.com*. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from <https://www.kompas.com/tren/read/2021/11/14/140000465/isi-permendikbud-ristek-nomor-30-tahun-2021-yang-tuai-pro-kontra>.
- Bruns, A., & Burgess, J. (2011). The use of Twitter hashtags in the formation of ad hoc publics. In Proceedings of the 6th European consortium for political research (ECPR) general conference 2011 (pp. 1-9). The European Consortium for Political Research (ECPR).
- Calhoun, C. (2014). Civil society and the public sphere. In M. Edwards (Ed.), *The Oxford Handbook of Civil Society* (pp. 311–323). New York. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780195398571.013.0025
- Carson, L., & Hartz-Karp, J. (2005). Adapting and combining deliberative designs: Juries, polls, and forums. *The deliberative democracy handbook: Strategies for effective civic engagement in the twenty-first century*, 120-138.
- Ceron, A., & Negri, F. (2015). Public policy and social media: How sentiment analysis can support policy-makers across the policy cycle. *Rivista Italiana Di Politiche Pubbliche*, 10(3), 309-338.
- Chappey, D. (2020, May 9). Global social media research summary 2020. Social Media Marketing 4 Lead Generation. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from <https://sm4lg.com/global-social-media-research-summary-2020/>.



Creighton, J. L. (2005). The public participation handbook making better decisions through citizen involvement. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Curiskis, S. A., Drake, B., Osborn, T. R., & Kennedy, P. J. (2020). An evaluation of document clustering and topic modelling in two online social networks: Twitter and Reddit. *Information Processing & Management*, 57(2), 102034.

Curran, J. (1993). Rethinking the media as a public sphere. In P. Dahlgren & C. Sparks (Eds.), *Communication adn Citizenship: Journalism and the public sphere* (3rd ed., pp. 27–56). London and New York: Routledge.

Dahlberg, L. (1998). Cyberspace and the public sphere: Exploring the democratic potential of the Net. *Convergence*, 4(1), 70–84.

Dahlberg, L. (2004). Net-public sphere research: beyond the “first phase”. *Javnost-The Public*, 11(1), 27-43.

Dahlberg, L. (2007). The Internet and Discursive Exclusion: From Deliberative to Agonistic Public Sphere Theory. In L. Dahlberg & E. Siapera (Eds.), *Radical Democracy and the Internet: Interrogating Theory and Practice* (p. 262). New York: Palgrave.

Dahlgren, P. (2005). The Internet, public spheres, and political communication: Dispersion and deliberation. *Political communication*, 22(2), 147-162.

Denhardt, J.V. & Denhardt, R.B. (2007) *The New Public Service: Serving, Not Steering* (Expanded Edition). New York: M.E. Sharpe.

detikcom. (2021, November 15). Pasal 5 Ayat 2 Permendikbud 30 Jadi Sorotan, Ini Isinya. detiknews. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from <https://news.detik.com/berita/d-5811770/pasal-5-ayat-2-permendikbud-30-jadi-sorotan-ini-isinya>

Dreyer, S. J., & Walker, I. (2013). Acceptance and support of the Australian carbon policy. *Social Justice Research*, 26(3), 343-362.

Fahmi, U. (2019). Cultural Public Sphere: Tracking the Yogyakarta City Policy Agenda through the# JogjaOraDidol Hashtag on Twitter. *Policy & Governance Review*, 3(1), 73-90.

Fahmi, U., Wibowo, C. P., & Yudanto, F. (2018). Hybrid Method In Revealing Facts Behind Texts: A Combination of Text Mining and Qualitative Approach. Volume 191, hlm. 132 - 148.

Fishkin, JS (2009) *When the People Speak: Deliberative Democracy & Public Consultation*. New York: Oxford University Press.

Fuchs, C. (2017). From digital positivism and administrative big data analytics towards critical digital and social media research! *European Journal of Communication*, 32(1), 37-49.



Guerra, P. H., Veloso, A., Meira Jr, W., & Almeida, V. (2011, August). From bias to opinion: a transfer-learning approach to real-time sentiment analysis. In *Proceedings of the 17th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining* (pp. 150-158).

Guerra, P., Meira Jr, W., Cardie, C., & Kleinberg, R. (2013). A measure of polarization on social media networks based on community boundaries. In *Proceedings of the international AAAI conference on web and social media* (Vol. 7, No. 1, pp. 215-224).

Habermas, J. (1991). The structural transformation of the public sphere: An inquiry into a category of burgeois society. Massachusetts: MIT Press. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004

Habermas, J (2007) Teori Tindakan Komunikatif I: Rasio dan Rasionalisasi Masyarakat (terjemahan: Nurhadi). Yogyakarta: Kreasi Wacana, cetakan ke dua.

Harris, S. (2014). The social laboratory. *Foreign Policy*, (207), 64.

Haryanti, R. (2019, September 24). #GejayanMemanggil Dan #TurunkanJokowi bukti Twitter Ampuh serukan aksi Halaman all. KOMPAS.com. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from <https://www.kompas.com/tren/read/2019/09/24/165703965/gejayanmemanggil-danturunkanjokowi-bukti-twitter-ampuh-serukan-aksi?page=all>.

Haythornthwaite, C. (1996). Social network analysis: An approach and technique for the study of information exchange. *Library & information science research*, 18(4), 323-342.

Höchtl, J., Parycek, P., & Schöllhammer, R. (2016). Big data in the policy cycle: Policy decision making in the digital era. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 26(1-2), 147-169.

Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of Social Media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68.

Keeter, S. K. (2003). Three core measures of communitybased civic engagement: Evidence from the youth civic engagement indicators project. Paper presented at the Child Trends Conference on Indicators of Positive Development, Washington, DC, USA.

Kherwa, P., & Bansal, P. (2020). Topic modeling: a comprehensive review. *EAI Endorsed transactions on scalable information systems*, 7(24).

Lee, S. H. (2017). Digital democracy in Asia: The impact of the Asian internet on political participation. *Journal of Information Technology & Politics*, 14(1), 62-82.

Lim, M. (2013). Many clicks but little sticks: Social media activism in Indonesia. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 43(4), 636–657. doi:10.1080/00472336.2013.769386

Lukman, E. (2013). Indonesia is social: 2.4% of world's Twitter posts come from Jakarta (INFOGRAPHIC). Retrieved from <https://www.techinasia.com/indonesia-social-jakarta-infographic>



Manyika, J., Chui, M., Brown, B., Bughin, J., Dobbs, R., Roxburgh, C., & Hung Byers, A. (2011). Big data: The next frontier for innovation, competition, and productivity. McKinsey Global Institute.

Mardiyanta, A. (2011). Kebijakan Publik Deliberatif: Relevansi dan Tantangan Implementasinya. *Masyarakat, Kebudayaan Dan Politik*, 24(3), 261-271.

Marwick, A. E. (2014). Ethnographic and Qualitative Research on Twitter. In K. Weller, A. Bruns, J. Burgess, M. Mahart, & C. Puschmann (Eds.), *Twitter and Society* (pp. 109–122). New York: Peter Lang.

McCright, A. M., & Dunlap, R. E. (2011). The politicization of climate change and polarization in the American public's views of global warming, 2001–2010. *The Sociological Quarterly*, 52(2), 155-194.

Mergel, I. (2017). Building Holistic Evidence for Social Media Impact. *Public Administration Review*, 77(4), 489–495. doi: 10.1111/puar.12780

Mosca, L. (2010). From the streets to the net? The political use of the internet by social movements. *International Journal of E-Politics (IJEP)*, 1(1), 1-21.

Mouw, T., & Sobel, M. E. (2001). Culture wars and opinion polarization: the case of abortion. *American Journal of Sociology*, 106(4), 913-943.

Al Muiz, M. N., & Miftah, M. (2020). Pendekatan Konservatif dalam Pendidikan Islam (Kajian Teori Al Muhibidz Al-Ghazâli dalam Pendidikan Islam). *Jurnal Penelitian*, 14(1), 49-76.

Nikolenko, S. I., Koltcov, S., & Koltsova, O. (2017). Topic modelling for qualitative studies. *Journal of Information Science*, 43(1), 88-102.

Owen, R., Sweeting, A., Clegg, S., Musselwhite, C., & Lyons, G. (2008). Public acceptability of road pricing.

Palen, L. (2008). Online Social Media in Crisis Events. *Educause Quarterly*, 31(3), 76–78.

Pradana, A. P. (2020). Aktivisme Siber dan Jejaring Aktor: Studi Kasus Tagar# JogjaOraDidol di Media Sosial Twitter (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada).

Putri, I. I. R. (2021, November 19). Tuai Pro & Kontra, LDII Minta Permendikbud Ristek Nomor 30 Direvisi. *detiknews*. Retrieved November 29, 2021, from <https://news.detik.com/berita/d-5813043/tuai-pro--kontra-lidii-minta-permendikbud-ristek-nomor-30-direvisi>.

Rahman, A. (2021, November 18). *Peta "SNA" permendikbud 30*. Drone Emprit Publications. Retrieved from <https://pers.droneemprit.id/peta-sna-permendikbud-30/>

Ramadhan, R., Andika, R., & Filanti, W. O. S. (2021). Opini Digital# PERMEDIKBUD30 pada Media Sosial Twiitter. *Journal of Government Insight*, 1(2), 76-83.



Rani, K. S., Raju, K. V. S. V. N., & Kumari, V. V. (2013). Application of clustering to analyze academic social networks. *International Journal of Web & Semantic Technology*, 4(2), 9.

Ritonga, R., & Syahputra, I. (2019). Citizen journalism and public participation in the era of new media in Indonesia: From street to tweet. *Media and Communication*, 7(3), 79-90.

Safitri, I. K. (2021, December 3). Pandemi Kekerasan Seksual di Kampus Dan Pro Kontra permendikbud RISTEK nomor 30 Tahun 2021. Pandemi Kekerasan Seksual di Kampus dan Pro Kontra Permendikbud Ristek Nomor 30 Tahun 2021. Retrieved March 2, 2022, from <https://interaktif.tempo.co/proyek/kekerasan-seksual-di-kampus/index.html>

Salman. (2017). Media Sosial Sebagai Ruang Publik. *Kalbisocio*, Volume 4 N(Agustus 2017).

Saqr, M., & Alamro, A. (2019). The role of social network analysis as a learning analytics tool in online problem based learning. *BMC medical education*, 19(1), 1-11.

Schade, J., & Schlag, B. (2003). Acceptability of urban transport pricing strategies. *Transportation Research Part F: Traffic Psychology and Behaviour*, 6(1), 45-61.

Schuitema, G., Steg, L., & Forward, S. (2010). Explaining differences in acceptability before and acceptance after the implementation of a congestion charge in Stockholm. *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 44(2), 99-109.

Selvia, Fitriana. (2020). Jejaring Aktor dalam Gerakan Sosial Gejayan Memanggil di Twitter. Tesis, Yogyakarta: UGM.

Severo, M., Feredj, A., & Romele, A. (2016). Soft data and public policy: Can social media offer alternatives to official statistics in urban policy making?. *Policy and Internet*, 8(3), 354–372. doi: 10.1002/poi3.127

Sokowati, M. E. (2019). Questioning Public Participation in Social Media Activities in Indonesia. *Komunikator*, 11(2), 116-124.

Sunstein, C. R. (1999). The law of group polarization. *University of Chicago Law School, John M. Olin Law & Economics Working Paper*, (91).

Susilo, D. (2020). Penitipan Handphone Sebagai Komunikasi Non Verbal Santri PPP Walisongo Desa Cukir Jombang. *Communication*, 11(1), 94–111.

We Are Social. (2018). Global digital report 2018. Retrieved from <https://wearesocial.com/blog/2018/01/global-digital-report-2018>

Weinberger, D. (2011). *Too Big to Know: Rethinking Knowledge Now That the Facts Aren't the Facts, Experts Are Everywhere, and the Smartest Person in the Room Is the Room*. Basic Books.

Werenfridus, M. (2022). Map Analysis of The Pro-Con Arguments Against Permendikbud 30 Of Sexual Violence (Doctoral dissertation, Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Administrasi Publik: Jurnal Pemikiran dan Penelitian Administrasi Publik).