

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ENDORSEMENT .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>APPROVAL PAGE .....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>INTISARI .....</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A. Background .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B. Research Questions .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C. Research Goals.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>D. Research Benefits.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>E. Originality of Research .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL REVIEW .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>A. International Crimes in the Context of Environment Destruction 15</b>	
1. <i>Identifying International Crimes .....</i>	<i>15</i>
2. <i>Nexus between International Crimes and the Environment.....</i>	<i>20</i>
<b>B. The Concept of the Proposed International Crime of Ecocide .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>C. Corporate Actors as Subjects of International Law .....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>D. Adjudication of Ecocide by the International Criminal Court .....</b>	<b>33</b>
1. <i>Commission of International Crimes and Ecocide during Peacetime ..</i>	<i>33</i>
2. <i>Adjudication of Individuals by the International Criminal Court .....</i>	<i>35</i>
<b>CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>A. Type of Legal Research.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>B. Type of Data.....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>C. Data Collection Method .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>D. Data Analysis Method .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>E. Limitations and Resolutions .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>A. Inclusion of Ecocide or Environmental Destruction as an International Crime under the Rome Statute.....</b>	<b>46</b>
1. <i>The International Criminal Court as a Competent Forum to Adjudicate Ecocide .....</i>	<i>47</i>
2. <i>Interpretation of the Relevant Texts of the Rome Statute.....</i>	<i>51</i>

3.	<i>Environmental Destruction as a Basis for an International Crime of Ecocide under the Rome Statute</i> .....	54
4.	<i>Ecocentric vs Anthropocentric Approaches to the Rome Statute</i> .....	66
<b>B.</b>	<b>Prosecuting Corporate Actors for Committing Ecocide or Environmental Destruction during Peacetime</b> .....	<b>73</b>
1.	<i>Some Fundamental Issues regarding the Proposed Mental Element of Ecocide vis-à-vis Article 30 of the Rome Statute</i> .....	74
2.	<i>Assessment of the "[U]nless otherwise provided" Exception under Article 30 of the Rome Statute for Ecocide</i> .....	85
3.	<i>Dolus Indirectus: An Acceptable Alternative Approach for a Mental Element Standard of Ecocide?</i> .....	90
4.	<i>Applicability of Articles 25 and 28(b) of the Rome Statute towards the Prosecution of Corporate Actors for Ecocide</i> .....	94
a.	Gaps of Addressing the Responsibility of Corporate Actors compared to Individuals or Groups related to the State for Ecocide.....	95
b.	Assessment of Article 25 of the Rome Statute .....	98
c.	Assessment of Article 28(b) of the Rome Statute .....	108
5.	<i>Drafting an Appropriate Definition for the Crime of Ecocide</i> .....	115
<b>CHAPTER V: CLOSURE</b> .....		<b>120</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Conclusions</b> .....	<b>120</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Recommendations</b> .....	<b>121</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....		<b>123</b>