

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE	i
RATIFICATION PAGE	ii
AUTHENTICITY STATEMENT	iii
PREFACE	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	x
INTISARI	xi
CHAPTER I Introduction	1
A. Background	1
B. Problem Formulation	3
C. Objectives	3
D. Research Authenticity	3
E. Research Benefits	4
CHAPTER II Literature Review	5
A. Literature Review	5
B. Theoretical Framework	15
C. Conceptual Framework	16
	vi

D. Hypothesis	16
CHAPTER III Research Method	17
A. Research Design	17
B. Research Population and Subject	17
C. Research Sample Size	17
D. Instruments	18
E. Research Flow	18
F. Variables and Operational Definition	18
G. Data Analysis	20
H. Ethical Considerations	21
CHAPTER IV Results and Discussion	22
A. Results	22
B. Discussion	31
C. Research Limitations	39
CHAPTER V Conclusion and Suggestions	40
A. Conclusion	40
B. Suggestions	40
REFERENCES	42
APPENDICES	46

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Research authenticity	3
Table 2. Operational definitions on research variables	19
Table 3. Baseline characteristics of research subjects	22
Table 4. Characteristics of appendectomy for acute appendicitis surgery in RSA UGM	23
Table 5. Preoperative antibiotics profile	24
Table 6. Surgical antibiotic prophylaxis profile	25
Table 7. Postoperative antibiotics profile	26
Table 8. Take-home antibiotics profile	26
Table 9. Appropriateness of SAP use according to the Gyssens flowchart	27
Table 10. Logistic regression between inappropriate indication of antibiotics use and the risk factors	28
Table 11. Logistic regression between the inappropriate time of administration of SAP use and the risk factors	28
Table 12. Logistic regression between the inappropriate dosage administration of SAP use and the risk factors	28
Table 13. Logistic regression between the inappropriate duration of SAP use and the risk factors	28
Table 14. Results of health workers' knowledge of surgical antibiotic prophylaxis use	30

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Appendicitis probability by the Alvarado score	7
Figure 2. Theoretical framework	15
Figure 3. Conceptual framework	16
Figure 4. Percentage of correct answers for questionnaire regarding the knowledge of health workers at RSA UGM in terms of SAP usage	30