

REFERENCE LIST

1. Montassier, E., Hardouin, J.-B., Segard, J., Batard, E., Potel, G., Planchon, B., Trochu, J.-N. and Pottier, P. (2016). e-Learning versus lecture-based courses in ECG interpretation for undergraduate medical students: a randomized noninferiority study. *European Journal of Emergency Medicine: Official Journal of the European Society for Emergency Medicine*, [online] 23(2), pp.108–113. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25386694/>
2. Sattar, Y. and Chhabra, L. (2020). *Electrocardiogram*. [online] PubMed. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK549803/>.
3. Cook, D.A. (2007). Web-based learning: pros, cons, and controversies. *Clinical Medicine*, 7(1), pp.37–42.
4. Nilsson, M., Bolinder, G., Held, C., Johansson, B.-L., Fors, U. and Östergren, J. (2008). Evaluation of a web-based ECG-interpretation programme for undergraduate medical students. *BMC Medical Education*, 8(1).
5. Cholid, Angga A., et al. (1 May. 2016) "Pengembangan Model Web Based Learning pada Mata Pelajaran Jaringan Dasar Paket Keahlian Tkj pada SMKN Se-kota Malang." *Jurnal Pendidikan: Teori, Penelitian, dan Pengembangan*, pp. 961-970, doi:10.17977/jp.v1i5.6333.
6. Fisch, C. (1989). Evolution of the clinical electrocardiogram. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, [online] 14(5), pp.1127–1138. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2681318/>
7. Lever, N.A., Larsen, P.D., Dawes, M., Wong, A. and Harding, S.A. (2009). Are our medical graduates in New Zealand safe and accurate in ECG interpretation? *The New Zealand Medical Journal*, [online] 122(1292), pp.9–15. Available at: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19448769/>
8. McKimm, J. (2003). ABC of learning and teaching: Web based learning. *BMJ*, [online] 326(7394), pp.870–873. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1125774/>
9. John Hopkins medicine (2019). *Electrocardiogram*. [online] Johns Hopkins Medicine Health Library. Available at: <https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/treatment-tests-and-therapies/electrocardiogram>.
10. Panduan, B. and Koas, B. (n.d.). [online] Available at: https://simdos.unud.ac.id/uploads/file_pendidikan_1_dir/64d4a7d0ac9989a080ffd2227dbaa4b3.pdf [Accessed 2 Oct. 2021].
11. Ohn, M., Souza, U. and Ohn, K. (2019). A qualitative study on negative attitude toward electrocardiogram learning among undergraduate medical students. *Tzu Chi Medical Journal*, 0(0), p.0.
12. Nilsson, M., Fors, U., Östergren, J., Bolinder, G. and Edelbring, S. (2019). Why Medical Students Choose to Use or Not to Use a Web-Based Electrocardiogram Learning Resource: Mixed Methods Study. *JMIR Medical Education*, 5(2), p.e12791.
13. Brooke, J. (1986) SUS—A Quick and Dirty Usability Scale. Usability Evaluation in Industry, 189-194. - References - Scientific Research

- Publishing. [online] Available at:
[https://www.scirp.org/\(S\(351jmbntvnsjt1aadkposzje\)\)/reference/Reference.sPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1512374](https://www.scirp.org/(S(351jmbntvnsjt1aadkposzje))/reference/Reference.sPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1512374).
14. Peres, S.C., Pham, T. and Phillips, R. (2013). Validation of the System Usability Scale (SUS). *Proceedings of the Human Factors and Ergonomics Society Annual Meeting*, 57(1), pp.192–196.
 15. Bangor, A., Kortum, P. and Miller, J. (2009) *Determining What Individual SUS Scores Mean Adding an Adjective Rating Scale. Journal of Usability Studies*, 4, 114-123. - References - Scientific Research Publishing. [online] Available at:
[https://www.scirp.org/\(S\(351jmbntvnsjt1aadkposzje\)\)/reference/Reference.sPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1664690](https://www.scirp.org/(S(351jmbntvnsjt1aadkposzje))/reference/Reference.sPapers.aspx?ReferenceID=1664690).
 16. Rolskov Bojsen, S., Räder, S.B.E.W., Holst, A.G., Kayser, L., Ringsted, C., Hastrup Svendsen, J. and Konge, L. (2015). The acquisition and retention of ECG interpretation skills after a standardized web-based ECG tutorial—a randomized study. *BMC Medical Education*, [online] 15(1). Available at:
<https://bmcmmededuc.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12909-015-0319-0>.
 17. Yang, Y. and Cornelius, L.F. (2004). *Students' Perceptions towards the Quality of Online Education: A Qualitative Approach*. [online] undefined. Available at: <https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Students%27-Perceptions-towards-the-Quality-of-Online-Yang-Cornelius/e3a82741e99e744f6e0f7c1bbe8436968abd8a84> [Accessed 2 Aug. 2021].
 18. Issenberg, S.B., McGaghie, W.C., Petrusa, E.R., Lee Gordon, D. and Scalese, R.J. (2005). Features and uses of high-fidelity medical simulations that lead to effective learning: a BEME systematic review. *Medical teacher*, [online] 27(1), pp.10–28. Available at:
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16147767> [Accessed 20 Nov. 2019].
 19. Fent, G., Gosai, J. and Purva, M. (2015). Teaching the interpretation of electrocardiograms: Which method is best? *Journal of Electrocardiology*, 48(2), pp.190–193.
 20. Raupach, T., Harendza, S., Anders, S., Schuelper, N. and Brown, J. (2016). How can we improve teaching of ECG interpretation skills? Findings from a prospective randomised trial. *Journal of Electrocardiology*, 49(1), pp.7–12.