

REFERENSI

- Agger, B. (2004). *The virtual self: A contemporary sociology*. Cornwall: Blackwell Publishing.
- Benti, B. S., Haß, D., & Stadtmann, G. (2021). Money illusion in free-to-play games.
- Björk, S., & Patri, L. (2015). *Game research methods: An overview*.
- Brown, A. (2015). Awkward: The importance of reflexivity in using ethnographic methods. In S. Björk, & P. Lankoski, *Game Research Methods. An Overview* (pp. 77-92). Pittsburgh: ETC Press.
- Bryl, L., Majewska, J., & Szymon, T. (2021). Effects of the Covid-19 pandemic on sport, video game, and tourism industry: Sentiment analysis of press, internet articles, and Twitter data. In E. Mińska-Struzik, & B. Jankowska, *Toward the "new normal" after Covid-19 – a post-transition economy perspective* (pp. 137–151). Poznań University of Economics and.
- Bulygin, D., & Musabirov, I. (2020). How People Reflect On The Usage Of Cosmetic Virtual Goods: A Structural Topic Modeling Analysis Of R/Dota2 Discussions. *Higher School of Economics Research Paper No. WP BRP 60*.
- Cleghorn, J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2015). Why do gamers buy 'virtual assets'? an insight in to the psychology behind purchase behaviour. *Digital Education Review* 27, 85-104.
- Cote, A., & Raz, J. (2015). In-depth interviews for games research. In S. Björk, & P. Lankoski, *Game Research Methods. An Overview* (pp. 93-116). Pittsburgh: ETC Press.
- Crossley, N. (2014). Social class. In M. Grenfell, *Pierre Bourdieu: key concepts* (pp. 85-97). New York: Routledge.
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. (2016). Comparison of Convenience Sampling and Purposive Sampling. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 5(1), 1-4.
- Gentles, S. J., Charles, C., Ploeg, J., & McKibbin, K. (2015). Sampling in Qualitative Research: Insights from an Overview of the Methods Literature. *The Qualitative Report*, 20(11), 1772-189.



- Hamari, J., Alha, K., Järvelä, S., Kivikangas, J., Koivisto, J., & Paavilainen, J. (2017). Why do players buy in-game content? An empirical study on concrete purchase motivations. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 68, 538-546.
- Ho, A. (2014). The value of being powerful or beautiful in games - how game design affects the value of virtual items. *The Computer Games Journal*, 3(1), 54-61.
- Hodge, S. E., Taylor, J., & McAlaney, J. (2020). Is It Still Double Edged? Not for University Students' Development of Moral Reasoning and Video Game Play. *Frontiers in psychology*, 11, 1313.
- Jin, J., Li, Y., Zhong, X., & Zhai, L. (2015). Why users contribute knowledge to online communities: An empirical study of an online social Q&A community. *Information & Management*, 52, 840-849.
- Kartsanis, N., & Murzyn, E. (2016). Me, My Game-Self, and Others: A Qualitative Exploration of the Game-Self. *2016 International Conference on Interactive Technologies and Games (ITAG)* (pp. 29-35). IEEE.
- Koch, S., & Artmayr, P. (2019). Stability and development of user innovation strategies for video game producers. *European journal of innovation management*.
- Kordyaka, B., & Hribersek, S. (2019). Crafting Identity in League of Legends – Purchases as a Tool to Achieve Desired Impressions. *Proceedings of the 52nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, (pp. 1506-1515).
- Li, L., Freeman, G., & Wohn, D. (2020). Power in Skin: The Interplay of Self-Presentation, Tactical Play, and Spending in Fortnite. *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*, (pp. 71-80).
- Li, L., Freeman, G., & Wohn, D. Y. (2020). Power in Skin: The Interplay of Self-Presentation, Tactical Play, and Spending in Fortnite. *Proceedings of the Annual Symposium on Computer-Human Interaction in Play*, (pp. 71-80).
- Liquipedia. (2021, November 3). *Marci*. Retrieved from Liquipedia: <https://liquipedia.net/dota2/Marci>



Liquipedia. (2021, October 30). *The International 2021*. Retrieved from Liquipedia:

https://liquipedia.net/dota2/The_International/2021#Prize_Pool

Liu, X., Schuckert, M., & Law, R. (2018). Utilitarianism and knowledge growth during status seeking:

Evidence from text mining of online reviews. *Tourism Management*, 66, 38-46.

Luz, O., Héctor, T., Christian, C., & Verónica, T. (2020). Impact on the video game industry during the

COVID-19 pandemic. *Athenea*, 1(1), 5-13.

Macey, J., Tyrväinen, V., Pirkkalainen, H., & Hamari, J. (2020). Does esports spectating influence game

consumption? *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 1-17.

Marder, B., Gattig, D., Collins, E., Pitt, L., Kietzmann, J., & Erz, A. (2019). The Avatar's new clothes:

Understanding why players purchase non-functional items in free-to-play games. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 91, 72-83.

Minchev, E., & Schmitt, T. (2016). Purchasing digital items in free to play games: Investigating

personality theory through an explorative study of League of Legends.

Musabirov, I., Bulygin, D., Okopny, P., & Sirotkin, A. (2017). Deconstructing Cosmetic Virtual Goods

Experiences in Dota 2. *Proceedings of the 2017 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, (pp. 2054-2058). Denver.

Newzoo's Global Games Market Report. (2020, November 28). *Newzoo's 2020 Global Games Market*

Report.

Nielsen, D. (2020). The Moral Economy of Micro-Transactions in Digital Games. *Baltic Screen Media*

Review, 8, 92-110.

Nielsen, D. (2020). The Moral Economy of Micro-Transactions in Digital Games. *Baltic Screen Media*

Review, 8, 92-110.

Parshakov, P., Naidenova, I., & Barajas, A. (2020). Spillover effect in promotion: Evidence from video

game publishers and eSports tournaments. *Journal of Business Research*, 118, 262-270.



- Ragnedda, M. (2018). Conceptualizing Digital Capital. *Telematics and Informatics*, 35(8), 2366-2375.
- Ragnedda, M., & Ruiu, M. L. (2020). Defining Digital Capital. In M. Ragnedda, & M. L. Ruiu, *Digital Capital* (pp. 9-38). Bingley: Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Reza, A., Nedd, A., Chu, S., Castillo, A., Khan, Z., & Gardner, D. (2020). "I Like the Way the Skin Looks": Player Perspectives on Aesthetic Appeal and Self-Representation with Video Game "Skins". *iConference 2020 Proceedings*. Springer.
- Roulston, K. (2014). Analysing Interviews. In U. Flick, *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Data Analysis* (pp. 297-312). SAGE.
- Sharma, T. G., Hamari, J., Kesharwani, A., & Tak, P. (2020). Understanding continuance intention to play online games: roles of self-expressiveness, selfcongruity, self-efficacy, and perceived risk. *Behaviour & Information Technology*, 1-17.
- Sivan, A., Tam, V., Siu, G., & Stebbins, R. (2020). Adolescents' self-exploration in leisure experience. *Leisure/Loisir*, 44(4), 441-468.
- Statista. (2021, Juni 24). *Distribution of video gamers worldwide in 2017, by age group and gender*. Retrieved from Statista: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/722259/world-gamers-by-age-and-gender/>
- Steam Charts. (2021, Agustus 19). *Dota 2*. Retrieved from Steam Charts: <https://steamcharts.com/app/570>
- STRATZ. (2021, 11 10). *Rank Distribution by Medal*. Retrieved from STRATZ - Dota 2 Stats: <https://stratz.com/players/ranks>
- STRATZ. (2022, February 17). *STRATZ - Dota 2 Stats*. Retrieved from Player Ranks: <https://stratz.com/players/ranks>
- Toluna. (2021). GLOBAL BAROMETER WAVE 5: Consumer Reactions to COVID 19. *GLOBAL BAROMETER*.



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

Konsumsi Kosmetik (Skin) Terhadap Status dan Modal Pemain DOTA2
SHAQUILLE KITO NAJIB, R Derajad Sulisty Widhyharto, S.Sos., M.Si.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

Valve. (2013, Juli 10). *Dota 2*. Retrieved from Steam:

https://store.steampowered.com/app/570/Dota_2/

Wang, W., & Zaman, L. (2019). Social Spending: An Empirical Study on Peer Pressure and Player Spending in Games. *International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction* (pp. 215-233). Orlando: Springer.

Warde, A. (2016). *Consumption: A sociological analysis*. Springer.

Westerlund, J., & Baxter, A. (2015). Do I Look Good In This?: How skilled players look upon cosmetic items in Team Fortress 2 and Dota 2.

Zendle, D., Meyer, R., & Ballou, N. (2020). The changing face of desktop video game monetisation: An exploration of exposure to loot boxes, pay to win, and cosmetic microtransactions in the most-played Steam games of 2010-2019. *PLoS One*, 15(5): e0232780.