

- Abatecola, G., A. Caputo, and M. Cristofaro. 2018. Reviewing cognitive distortions in managerial decision making: Toward an integrative co-evolutionary framework. *Journal of Management Development* 37 (5): 409–424.
- Alm, J., and B. Torgler. 2011. Do Ethics Matter? Tax Compliance and Morality. *Journal of Business Ethics* 101 (4): 635–651.
- Arkes, H. R., and C. Blumer. 1985. The psychology of sunk cost. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 35 (1): 124–140.
- Ashton, R. H. 1990. Pressure and Performance in Accounting Decision Settings: Paradoxical Effects of Incentives, Feedback, and Justification. *Journal of Accounting Research* 28 (1990): 148–180.
- Bailey, C. D., C. M. Daily, and T. J. Phillips. 2011. Auditors' levels of dispositional need for closure and effects on hypothesis generation and confidence. *Behavioral Research in Accounting* 23 (2): 27–50.
- Baldwin, M. W., and B. Fehr. 1995. On the instability of attachment style ratings. *Personal Relationships* 2 (3): 247–261.
- Bamber, E. M., and V. M. Iyer. 2007. Auditors' identification with their clients and its effect on auditors' objectivity. *Auditing* 26 (2): 1–24.
- Bandura, A. 1978. Self-efficacy: Toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Advances in Behaviour Research and Therapy* 1 (4): 139–161.
- Barret, M. J. 2005. Enron and Anderson-What Went Wrong and Why Similar audit Failure.
- Barrett, M., D. J. Cooper, and K. Jamal. 2005. Globalization and the coordinating of work in multinational audits. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 30 (1): 1–24.
- Batmaz, S., S. Kocbiyik, Ö. Yalçinkaya-Alkar, and M. H. Turcpar. 2016. Cognitive distortions mediate the relationship between defense styles and depression in female outpatients. *European Journal of Psychiatry* 30 (4): 237–247.
- Bazerman, M. H., A. E. Tenbrunsel, and K. Wade-Benzoni. 1998. Negotiating with yourself and losing: Making decisions with competing internal preferences. *Academy of Management Review* 23 (2): 225–241.
- Bedard, J. C., and S. F. Biggs. 1991. Pattern Recognition, Hypotheses Generation, and Auditor Performance in an Analytical Task. *Accounting Review* 66 (3): 622–642.
- Bell, A. C., and T. J. D. Zurilla. 2009. Clinical Psychology Review Problem-solving therapy for depression: A meta-analysis ☆. *Clinical Psychology Review* 29 (4): 348–353.
- Benight, C. C., and A. Bandura. 2004. Social cognitive theory of posttraumatic recovery: The role of perceived self-efficacy. *Behaviour Research and Therapy* 42 (10): 1129–1148.
- Benjamin, L. M. 2008. Evaluator's Role in Accountability: 323–343.
- Beu, D., and M. B. Ronald. 2001. The hypothesized relationship between accountability and ethical behavior 34 (Nov 2001).
- Birnberg, J. G. 2011. A Proposed Framework for Behavioral 23 (1): 1–43.
- Birnberg, J. G., and J. F. Shields. 1989. Three decades BAR. *behavioral research of accounting* 1: 23–74.
- Blais, M. A., and C. J. Hopwood. 2017. Model-Based Approaches for Teaching and Practicing Personality Assessment. *Journal of Personality Assessment* 99 (2): 136–145.
- Bonner, S. E. 1999. Judgment and Decision Making Research in Accounting.
- Bonner, S. E., and G. B. Sprinkle. 2002. The effects of monetary incentives on effort and task performance: Theories, evidence, and a framework for research.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Maftud Sholihin M.Acc., Ph.D

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

Bowlin, K. O., J. L. Hobson, and M. D. Piercey. 2015. The effects of auditor rotation, professional skepticism, and interactions with managers on audit quality.

Accounting Review 90 (4): 1363–1393.

- Bradshaw, M. T., and K. Peterson. 2016. The Interactive Role of Difficulty and Incentives in Explaining the Annual Earnings Forecast Walkdown 91 (4): 995–1021.
- Brief, A. P., M. Janet Dukerich, and L. I. Doran. 1991. Resolving Ethical Dilemmas in Management: Experimental Investigations of Values, Accountability, and Choice. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology* 21 (5): 380–396.
- Brown, J. L., S. Farrington, and G. B. Sprinkle. 2016. Biased self-assessments, feedback, and employees' compensation plan choices. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 54: 45–59.
- Brown, J. O. 2016. The Interplay of Management Incentives and Audit Committee Communication on Auditor Judgment 28 (1): 27–40.
- Bryan, D. O., J. J. Quirin, and D. P. Donnelly. 2011. Locus Of Control And Dysfunctional Audit Behavior. *Journal of Business & Economics Research (JBER)*.
- Buchan, H. F. 2005. Ethical Decision Making in the Public Accounting Profession: An Extension of Ajzen ' s Theory of Planned Behavior. *journal of Business Ethics* 61: 165–181.
- Buchheit, S., D. Dalton, T. Downen, and S. Pippin. 2012. Outcome Feedback, Incentives, and Performance: Evidence from a Relatively Complex Forecasting Task 24 (2): 1–20.
- Buckless, F. A., and S. P. Ravenscroft. 2014. ANOVA Coding: in Refinement Analysis of Behavioral 65 (4): 933–945.
- Campbell, D. T., and J. C. Stanley. 1963. *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*.
- Carpenter, S. M., J. F. Yates, S. D. Preston, and L. Chen. 2016. Regulating Emotions during Difficult Multiattribute Decision Making: The Role of Pre-Decisional Coherence Shifting: 1–22.
- Carpenter, T. D., J. L. Reimers, and R. College. 2013. Professional Skepticism: The Effects of a Partner's Influence and the Level of Fraud Indicators on Auditors' Fraud Judgments and Actions 25 (2): 45–69.
- Church, B. K., M. Peytcheva, W. Yu, and O. Singtokul. 2015. Perspective taking in auditor – manager interactions: An experimental investigation of auditor behavior. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 45: 40–51.
- Cloyd, C. B. 1997. Performance in tax research tasks: The joint effects of knowledge and accountability. *Accounting Review* 72 (1): 111–131.
- Cloyd, C. B. 2014. Performance The Joint and in Effects Tax of Tasks: Knowledge Accountability University of Texas at Austin This study investigates. *The Accounting Review* 72 (1): 111–131.
- Cramer, P. 2014. Defense Mechanisms: 40 Years of Empirical Research (August): 37–41.
- Dawood, S., A. L. Pincus, V. Zeigler-Hill, T. Shackelford, S. Dawood, E. A. Dowgwillo, L. Z. Wu, et al. 2018. Contemporary Integrative Interpersonal Theory of Personality. *The SAGE Handbook of Personality and Individual Differences: Volume I: The Science of Personality and Individual Differences* (June): 171–200.
- DeAngelo, L. E. 1981. Auditor Size And Audit Quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics* 3 (May): 183–199.
- Dekay, M., and H. Arkes. 2014. Assessing the Sensitivity, Composition, and Effects of Information Distortion.
- DeZoort, F. T., and A. T. Lord. 1997. A Review and Synthesis of Pressure Effects Research in Accounting. *Journal of Accounting Literature* 16: 28–85.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN

AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihin M.Acc., Ph.D

Judgments: The effects of differential pressure strength on conservatism,
variability and effort. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 31:

373–390.

- Donle, M. 2011. Organizational Error Climate and Auditors' Predispositions toward Handling Errors. *behavioral research in accounting* 23 (2): 69–92.
- Donnelly, D. P., J. J. Quirin, and D. O'Bryan. 2016. Attitudes Toward Dysfunctional Audit Behavior: The Effects Of Locus Of Control, Organizational Commitment, and Position. *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)* 19 (1).
- Einhorn, H. J., and R. M. Hogarth. 1981. Behavioral decision vs Optimal Model of Intuitive Responses and Optimal Models. *Annual review of physiology*: 53–88.
- Ekehammar, B. O., I. Zuber, and M. Simonsson-Sarnecki. 2002. The Defence Mechanism Test (DMT) Revisited: Experimental Validation Using Threatening and Non-threatening Pictures. *European Journal of Personality* 16 (4): 283–294.
- Fernbach, P. M., Y. Hagmayer, and S. A. Sloman. 2014. Effort denial in selfdeception. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 123 (1): 1–8.
- Focella, E. S., J. Stone, N. C. Fernandez, J. Cooper, and M. A. Hogg. 2016. Vicarious hypocrisy : Bolstering attitudes and taking action after exposure to a hypocritical ingroup member. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 62: 89–102.
- Fredrickson. 1984. The comprehensiveness of strategic Decision Processes: Extension, observation, future directions. *Academy of Management Journal* 27 (3): 445–466.
- Freud, S. 2000. How past relationships 13 (12): 608–609.
- Frey, D. 1986. Recent research on selective exposure to information. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 19 (C): 41–80.
- Garbarino, E. C., and J. A. Edell. 1997. Cognitive effort, affect, and choice. *Journal of Consumer Research* 24 (2): 147–158.
- Garbarino, E. C., and J. A. Edell. 2014. Cognitive Effort , Affect , and Choice Cognitive effort , affect , and choice (May).
- Geller, J. J. 2015. Parataxic Distortions in the Initial Stages of Group Relationships 7284.
- Giddens, A., and J. Bowlby. 1970. *Attachment and Loss. The British Journal of Sociology*. Vol. 21.
- Goel, A., and N. Yang. 2016. Auditor perceptions of prior involvement and reputation threats as antecedents of quality threatening audit behavior. *Managerial Auditing Journal* 24 (5): 796–820.
- Gold, A., U. Gronewold, and S. E. Salterio. 2014. Error management in audit firms: Error climate, type, and originator. *Accounting Review* 89 (1): 303–330.
- Goldberg, J. H., J. S. Lerner, and P. E. Tetlock. 1999. Rage and reason: the psychology of the intuitive prosecutor. *European Journal of Social Psychology* 29 (56): 781–795.
- Goldstein, N. J., and N. A. Hays. 2011. Illusory Power Transference: The Vicarious Experience of Power. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 56 (4): 593–621.
- Gong, Y. F., S. Kim, and N. Harding. 2014. Elevating professional skepticism an exploratory study into the impact of accountability pressure and knowledge of the superior's preferences. *Managerial Auditing Journal* 29 (8): 674–694.
- Gourville, J. T., and D. Soman. 2005. Overchoice and assortment type: When and why variety backfires. *Marketing Science* 24 (3): 382–395.
- Graham, J., P. Meindl, S. Koleva, R. Iyer, and K. M. Johnson. 2015. When Values and Behavior Conflict : Moral Pluralism and Intrapersonal Moral Hypocrisy 3: 158–170.
- Griffith, E. E., K. Kadous, and D. Young. 2016. How insights from the “New” JDM research can improve auditor judgment: Fundamental research questions and methodological advice. *Auditing* 35 (2): 1–22.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihin M.Acc., Ph.D.

A meta-theory for synthesizing auditor judgment and decision-making research. Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022. Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

Auditing 37 (4): 169–186.

- Guggenmos, R. D., and C. P. Agoglia. 2018. Custom Contrast Testing: Current Trends and a New Approach 93 (5): 223–244.
- Haans, A. 2020. Contrast analysis Contrast Analysis: A Tutorial 23 (2018): 1–21.
- Hegarty, M., D. R. Montello, A. E. Richardson, T. Ishikawa, and K. Lovelace. 2006. Spatial abilities at different scales: Individual differences in aptitude-test performance and spatial-layout learning. *Intelligence* 34 (2): 151–176.
- Heintz, J. A., G. B. White, and C. Bedard. 1999. The Effect of Data Reliability on the Influence of Unaudited Values in Audit Analytical Procedures 146 (June 1998): 135–146.
- Herrbach, O. 2001. Audit quality, auditor behaviour and the psychological contract. *European accounting review* 8180.
- Hess, T. J., J. S. Valacich, and J. T. Sweeney. 2014. The Effects of Visualization and Interactivity on Calibration in Financial Decision-Making 26 (1): 25–58.
- Hirst, D. E., and L. Koonce. 1996. Audit analytical procedures: A field investigation. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 13 (2): 457–486.
- Hoffman, V. B., and J. M. Patton. 2017. Accountability, the Dilution Effect, and Conservatism in Auditors' Fraud Judgments Accountability, the Dilution Effect, and Conservatism in Auditors' Fraud Judgments 35 (2): 227–237.
- Hoogervorst, N., D. de Cremer, and M. van Dijke. 2010. Why Leaders Not Always Disapprove of Unethical Follower Behavior: It Depends on the Leader's Self-Interest and Accountability. *Journal of Business Ethics* 95 (SUPPL. 1): 29–41.
- Iskandar, T. M., R. N. Sari, Z. Mohd-Sanusi, and R. Anugerah. 2012. Enhancing auditors' performance: The importance of motivational factors and the mediation effect of effort. *Managerial Auditing Journal* 27 (5): 462–476.
- Jacquelynne, S. E., and A. Wigfield. 2002. Motivational beliefs , values , and goals *Annual Review of Psychology* 53: 109.
- Janis, I. L., L. Mann, L. Mann, and I. L. Janis. 2018. suggests interventions to improve the process Coping with Decisional Conflict 64 (6): 657–667.
- Jervis, R. L., I. Janis, and L. Mann. 1978. Decision Making: A Psychological Analysis of Conflict, Choice, and Commitment. *Political Science Quarterly* 93 (1): 134.
- Johari, R. J., Z. M. Sanusi, Y. M. Isa, and A. Waznah. 2014. Comparative judgment of novice and expert on internal control tasks: assessment on work effort and ethical orientation. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 145: 352–360.
- Kachelmeier, S. J., and B. E. N. W. V. A. N. Landuyt. 2017. Prompting the Benefit of the Doubt: The Joint Effect of Auditor-Client Social Bonds and Measurement Uncertainty on Audit Adjustments (February).
- Kaplan, S. C., A. S. Morrison, P. R. Goldin, T. M. Olino, R. G. Heimberg, and J. J. Gross. 2017. The Cognitive Distortions Questionnaire (CD-Quest): Validation in a Sample of Adults with Social Anxiety Disorder. *Cognitive Therapy and Research* 41 (4): 576–587.
- Kaplan, S. E., and A. T. Lord. 2001. An Examination of the Effects of Accountability when Auditors are Uncertain about the Views of Superior Partners 155 (June 2000): 141–155.
- Kaplan, S. E., K. R. Pope, and J. A. Samuels. 2015. Intentions to Report Fraud to a Manager 27 (2): 77–94.
- Kelley, P. C., D. R. Elm, P. C. Kelley, and D. R. Elm. 2011. The Effect of Context Moral Intensity of Ethical Issues: Revising Jones's Model. *Journal of Business Ethics* 48 (2): 139–154.
- Kelley, T., and L. Margheim. 1990. The impact of time-budget pressure, personality, and leadership variables on dysfunctional auditor behavior. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 9 (Spring): 21–42.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN

Ker AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihin, M.Acc., Ph.D
Experimental Results, *Journal of Accounting Research* 31 (2): 231–245.
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022. Diunduh dari <http://ejd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

- Kent, P., L. Munro, and T. Gambling. 2006. Contributing to Expertise in Audit Judgment 141: 125–141.
- Kida, T. 1984. The Impact of Hypothesis-Testing Strategies on Auditors ' Use of Judgment Data 22 (1): 332–340.
- Kim, K. T. 2010. "Panoptic" accountability: Supervisory leaders and normalizing or resisting professionals. *KEDI Journal of Educational Policy* 7 (1): 67–90.
- Klingner, D. E., and B. S. Romzek. 2002. Conflicting Expectations and Accountability 32 (2): 117–144.
- Knechel, W. R., G. V. Krishnan, M. Pevzner, L. B. Shefchik, and U. K. Velury. 2013. Audit Quality: Insights from the Academic Literature 32 (April 2012): 385–421.
- Koonce, L., and M. G. LIPE. 2010. Earnings Trend and Performance Relative to Benchmarks: How Consistency Influences Their Joint Use. *Journal of Accounting Research* 48 (4): 859–884.
- Krische, S. D., P. R. Sanders, and S. D. Smith. 2014. Management Credibility and Investment Risk: An Experimental Investigation of Lease Accounting Alternatives 26 (1): 109–130.
- Kruglanski, A. W. 1989. The psychology of being "right": The problem of accuracy in social perception and cognition. *Psychological Bulletin* 106 (3): 395–409.
- Laurence, E., D. Samelson, S. Lowensohn, L. E. Johnson, and E. Laurence. 2006. The determinants of perceived audit quality and auditee satisfaction in loca.
- Leeman, L. W., J. C. Gibbs, and D. Fuller. 1993. Evaluation of a Multi-Component Group Treatment Program for Juvenile Delinquents 19: 281–292.
- Levy, K. N. 2013. Introduction: Attachment theory and psychotherapy. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* 69 (11): 1133–1135.
- Libby, R., and J. Luft. 1993. Determinants of judgment performance in accounting settings: Ability, knowledge, motivation, and environment. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 18 (5): 425–450.
- Liu, G. 2012a. Gathering Evidence through Enquiry: *Behavioral Research in Accounting* 24 (2): 153–175.
- . 2012b. Gathering Evidence through Enquiry: A Process Improvement Focus 24 (2): 153–175.
- Lord, A. T., and F. T. DeZoort. 2001. The impact of commitment and moral reasoning on auditors' responses to social influence pressure. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 26 (3): 215–235.
- Mala, R., and P. Chand. 2015. Judgment and decision-making research in auditing and accounting: Future research implications of person, task, and environment perspective. *Accounting Perspectives* 14 (1): 1–50.
- Malone, C. F., and R. W. Roberts. 1996. factors associated with the incidence of reduced Audit Quality. *Auditing a journal of parctices and theory* 15 (2): 49.
- Margheim, L., and K. Pany. 1986. Quality Control, Premature Signoff, and Underreporting of Time : Some Empirical Findings. *A Journal Practice and Theory* 5 (2): 50–63.
- McGlynn, A. H., P. Hahn, and M. P. Hagan. 2013. The effect of a cognitive treatment program for male and female juvenile offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology* 57 (9): 1107–1119.
- Mcnamara, S. M., and G. A. Liyanarachchi. 2008. Time budget pressure and auditor dysfunctional behaviour within an occupational stress model. *Accountancy Business and the Public Interest* 7 (1): 1–43.
- Meyer, M. J., J. T. Rigsby, and J. Boone. 2007. The impact of auditor-client relationships on the reversal of first-time audit qualifications. *Managerial Auditing Journal* 22 (1): 53–79.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN

AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihin M.Acc., Ph.D.

neural network based text classification, *International Journal of Information Technology* 23 (1): 1–12.

- Montibeller, G., and D. von Winterfeldt. 2015. Cognitive and Motivational Biases in Decision and Risk Analysis. *Risk Analysis* 35 (7): 1230–1251.
- Nelson, M., and H. T. Tan. 2005. Judgment and decision making research in auditing: A task, person, and interpersonal interaction perspective. *Auditing* 24 (SUPPL.): 41–71.
- Nelson, M. W., C. A. Proell, and A. E. Randel. 2016. Team-Oriented Leadership and Auditors' Willingness to Raise Audit Issues 91 (6): 1781–1805.
- Nutt, P. C. 1976. Models for Decision Making in Organizations and Some Contextual Variables Which Stipulate Optimal Use. *Academy of Management Review* 1 (2): 84–98.
- Otley, D. T., and B. J. Pierce. 1996. Auditor time budget pressure: consequences and antecedents 9 (1): 31–58.
- Padesky, C. A., and K. A. Mooney. 2012. Strengths-Based Cognitive-Behavioural Therapy: A Four-Step Model to Build Resilience. *Clinical Psychology and Psychotherapy* 19 (4): 283–290.
- Paolini, S., R. J. Crisp, and K. McIntyre. 2009. Accountability moderates member-togroup generalization: Testing a dual process model of stereotype change. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 45 (4): 676–685.
- Patel, C., and J. I. M. Psaros. 2000. Perceptions of External Auditors' Independence: Some Cultural Evidence. *British Accounting Review* 32 (June): 311–338.
- Payne, B. K. 2005. Stereotypes Focus Defensive Projection 1.
- Peytcheva, M., A. M. Wright, B. Majoor, and N. B. Universiteit. 2014. The Impact of Principles-Based versus Rules- Based Accounting Standards on Auditors' Motivations and Evidence Demands 26 (2): 51–72.
- Pickerd, J. S., S. L. Summers, and D. A. Wood. 2015. An Examination of How EntryLevel Staff Auditors Respond to Tone at the Top `vis Tone at the Bottom vis-a 27 (1): 79–98.
- Pierce, B., and B. Sweeney. 2006. Perceived Adverse Consequences of Quality Threatening Behaviour in Audit Firms. *nternational Journal of Auditing* 39: 19–39.
- Quinn, A., and B. R. Schlenker. 2002. Can accountability produce independence? Goals as determinants of the impact of accountability on conformity. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 28 (4): 472–483.
- Rajendran, L., R. Veilumuthu, and J. Divya. 2010. A study on the effectiveness of virtual lab in E- learning. *International Journal on Computer Science and Engineering* 2 (6): 2173–2175.
- Raymond S. Nickerson. 1998. Confirmation bias: a ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology* 2 (2): 175–220.
- Rebetez, M. M. L., L. Rochat, and M. Van der Linden. 2015. Cognitive, emotional, and motivational factors related to procrastination: A cluster analytic approach. *Personality and Individual Differences* 76: 1–6.
- Romzek, B. S., and P. W. Ingraham. 2000. Cross Pressures of Accountability: Initiative, Command, and Failure in the Ron Brown Plane Crash * (May).
- Roslender, R., and J. R. Dyson. 1992. Accounting for the worth of employees: A new look at an old problem. *The British Accounting Review* 24 (4): 311–329.
- Rosnow, B. R. L., and R. Rosenthap. 1995. "some things you learn aren ' t so ' ' : Cohen ' s Paradox , Asch ' s Paradigm , and the Interpretation of Interaction 6 (1).
- Rybowiak, V., H. Garst, M. Frese, and B. Batinic. 1999. Error Orientation Questionnaire (EOQ): Reliability, validity, and different language equivalence. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 20 (4): 527–547.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihin M.Acc., Ph.D

Auditing Culture: The Foundation Rethinking and Auditing of Accounting 64 (3): 271–284.

- Schauenburg, H., V. Willenborg, I. Sammet, and J. C. Ehrental. 2007. Self-reported defence mechanisms as an outcome measure in psychotherapy: A study on the German version of the Defence Style Questionnaire DSQ 40, The British Psychological Society: 355–366.
- Schillemans, T. 2011. Does horizontal accountability work? Evaluating potential remedies for the accountability deficit of agencies. *Administration and Society* 43 (4): 387–416.
- Schmidt, R. N. 2014. The Effects of Auditors' Accessibility to "Tone at the Top" Knowledge on Audit Judgments 26 (2): 73–96.
- Schwartz, M. S. 2016. Ethical Decision-Making Theory: An Integrated Approach. *Journal of Business Ethics* 139 (4): 755–776.
- Seckler, C., U. Gronewold, and M. Reihlen. 2017. An error management perspective on audit quality: Toward a multi- level model. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 62: 21–42.
- Shadish, W., T. Cook, and T. Campbell. 2005. Experiments and generalized causal inference. *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference* 100 (470): 1–81.
- Shipman, J. E., Q. T. Swanquist, and R. L. Whited. 2017. Propensity Score Matching in Accounting Research 92 (1): 213–244.
- Sholihin, M., R. C. Sari, N. Yuniarti, and S. Ilyana. 2020. A new way of teaching business ethics: The evaluation of virtual reality-based learning media. *International Journal of Management Education* 18 (3): 100428.
- Shubs, C. H. 2008. transference issues concerning victims of violent crime and other traumatic incidents of adulthood 25 (1): 122–141.
- Smith, J. F., and T. Kida. 1991. Heuristics and biases: Expertise and task realism in auditing. *Psychological Bulletin* 109 (3): 472–489.
- Sonntag, A., and D. J. Zizzo. 2019. Personal accountability and cooperation in teams. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization* 158: 428–448.
- Soobaroyen, T., and C. Chengabroyan. 2006. Auditors' Perceptions of Time Budget Pressure, Premature Sign Offs and Under-Reporting of Chargeable Time: Evidence from a Developing Country 218: 201–218.
- Sullivan, H. S., and E. Interpersonal. Parataxic distortion Defense mechanism.
- Svenson, O., N. Gonzalez, A. Memon, and T. Lindholm. 2018. Information about expert decision and post-decision distortion of facts of own decision. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology* 59 (2): 127–134.
- Sweeney, B., and B. Pierce. 2006. Good hours, bad hours and auditors' defence mechanisms in audit firms. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* 19: 858–892.
- . 2011. Audit team defence mechanisms: Auditee influence. *Accounting and Business Research* 41 (4): 333–356.
- Sweeney, B., B. Pierce, D. Arnold, and B. Pierce. 2010. The impact of perceived ethical culture of the firm and demographic variables on auditors' ethical evaluation and intention to act decisions. *Journal of Business Ethics* 93 (4): 531– 551.
- Sweeney, J. T., and R. W. Roberts. 1997. cognitive moral development and auditor independence. *Accounting Organizations and Society* 22 (3/4): 337–352.
- Tan, H., and A. Kao. 1999. Accountability effects on auditor performance the Influence of Knowledge, Problem Solving Ability and Task Complexity. *Journal of Accounting Research* 37 No 1 (Spring): 209–222.
- Tetlock, P. E. 1983. Accountability and the perseverance of first impressions. *Social Psychology Quarterly* 46 No 4: 285–292.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihin M.Acc., Ph.D.
 social contingency model. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 25 (C):
 331–376.

- Tetlock, P. E., J. S. Lerner, and R. Boettger. 1996. The dilution effect: Judgmental bias, conversational convention, or a bit of both? *European Journal of Social Psychology*.
- Thoma, N., B. Pilecki, and D. McKay. 2015. Cognitive behavioral and psychodynamic therapies: Points of intersection and divergence. *Psychodynamic Psychiatry* 43 (3): 463–490.
- Treviño, L. K. 1986. Ethical decision making in organizations: A Person-Situation Interactionist Model. *The Academy of Management Review* 11 (3): 601–617.
- Trotman, K. T. 2001. Design Issues in Audit JDM Experiments 192 (August): 181–192.
- Tversky, A., and D. Kahneman. 1974. Judgment under uncertainty: heuristics and biases. Biases in judgments reveal some heuristics of thinking under uncertainty. *Science* 185 (4157): 1124–1131.
- Urao, Y., N. Yoshinaga, K. Asano, R. Ishikawa, A. Tano, Y. Sato, and E. Shimizu. 2016. Effectiveness of a cognitive behavioural therapy - based anxiety prevention programme for children : a preliminary quasi - experimental study in Japan. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*.
- Vaillant, G. E. 2011. Involuntary coping mechanisms: A psychodynamic perspective. *Dialogues in Clinical Neuroscience* 13 (3): 366–370.
- Vancouver, J. B., J. M. Weinhardt, and R. Vigo. 2014. Change one can believe in: Adding learning to computational models of self-regulation. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 124 (1): 56–74.
- Viator, R. E., P. L. Bagley, B. G. Barnes, and N. L. Harp. 2014. Measuring Reflective Cognitive Capacity: A Methodological Recommendation for Accounting Research of Feedback Effects Ralph. *behavioral research in accounting* 26 (2): 131–160.
- Warming-Rasmussen, B., and C. A. Windsor. 2003. Danish Evidence of Auditors' Level of Moral Reasoning and Predisposition to Provide Fair Judgements. *Journal of Business Ethics* 47 (2): 77–88.
- Watts, R. L., and J. L. Zimmerman. 1983. Agency Problems, Auditing , and the Theory of the Firm : Some Evidence (Octobe 83).
- Wieczorkowska, G., and E. Burnstein. 2004a. Individual Differences in Adaptation to Social Change. *International Journal of Sociology* 34 (3): 83–99.
- . 2004b. Hunting for a job: How individual differences in foraging strategies influence the length of unemployment. *Group Processes and Intergroup Relations* 7 (4): 305–315.
- Wilde, J. L., and D. J. A. A. Dozois. 2019. A dyadic partner-schema model of relationship distress and depression: Conceptual integration of interpersonal theory and cognitive-behavioral models. *Clinical Psychology Review* 70 (March): 13–25.
- Wilks, T. J., T. Jeffrey, T. J. Wilks, and T. Jeffrey. 2002. Predecisional distortion of evidence as a consequence of real-time audit review. *Accounting Review* 77 (1): 51–71.
- Willekens, M., and H. Vander Bauwhede. 2014. Auditor Reporting Conservatism as a Defence Mechanism against Increased post-Enron Litigation Risk (January 2004).
- Willett, C., and M. J. Page. 1996. A survey of time budget pressure and irregular auditing practices among newly qualified UK chartered accountants. *British Accounting Review* 28 (2): 101–120.
- Wilson, I. A., S. Ikonen, I. Gureviciene, R. W. McMahan, M. Gallagher, and H. Eichenbaum. 2004. Cognitive Aging and the Hippocampus: How Old Rats Represent New Environments 24 (15): 3870–3878.



IMPAK DISTORSI KOGNITIF DAN TEKANAN AKUNTABILITAS TERHADAP PERTIMBANGAN PENGAMBILAN KEPUTUSAN

AUDITOR

KRISNHOE RACHMI FITRIJATI, Prof. Mahfud Sholihur M.Acc., Ph.D.
 evidence of auditors' level of moral reasoning, *Australian Accounting Review* 12
 Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2022 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>
 (27): 51–58.

- Wood, R., and A. Bandura. 1989. Social Cognitive Theory of Organizational Management 14 (3): 361–384.
- Wright, A. M., and S. Wright. 2014. Modification of the Audit Report: Mitigating Investor Attribution by Disclosing the Auditor's Judgment Process 26 (2): 35–50.
- Yen, A. C. 2012. The Effect of Early Career Experience on Auditors' Assessments of Error Explanations in Analytical Review. *behavioral research in accounting* 24 (2): 211–229.
- York, N., and A. David. 1989. Auditor independence judgments: A cognitive developmental model and experimental evidence * 7 (I): 227–251.
- Zajenkowska, A., J. Rajchert, O. Macianowicz, P. Holas, and S. Murawic. 2019. Cognitive-Behavioral (CBT) and Psychodynamic (PDT) Group Psychotherapy and Their Impact on Depressive Symptoms and Hostile Attributions. *International Journal of Group Psychotherapy* 69 (4): 383–407.