DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Atstupenaite V, Basevicius A, Krimelis A, Inciura A, Vaitkiene D. 2011. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging of cervical cancer. *Acta medica Lituanica*. (2011) Vol 18 No.4 P. 139-146

Baba Y. 2021 Diffusion-Weigted Imaging. *Radiopaedia.org.* https://radiopaedia.org/articles/diffusion-weighted-imaging-2

Bell DJ. 2021 Apparent diffusion coeefficient, Radiopaedia https://radiopaedia.org/articles/apparent-diffusion-coefficient-1

Bhatla N, Berek JS, Fredes MC, Denny LA, Grenman S, Karunaratne K, *et al.* 2018 Revised FIGO staging for carcinoma of cervix uteri. *International. Journal Gynecology Obstetrics.* 2018;143 (suppl 3): 43-991. DOI:10.1002/ijgo.12584.

Boardman CH. 2019 TNM and FIGO Classifications for cervical cancer. https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/2006486-overview

Bourgioti C, Chatoupis K, Moulopoulos LA. Current imaging strategies for the evaluation of uterine cervical cancer. 2016. *World Journal of Radiology* (2016) April 28; 8(4): 342-354. DOI: 10.4329/wjr.v8.i4.342

Dahlan, S., 2010a. Besar Sampel Dan Cara Pengambilan Sampel, 3rd ed. *Salemba Medika,* Jakarta.

Dahlan, S., 2010b. Statistik Untuk Kedokteran Dan Kesehatan, 3rd ed. *Salemba Medika*, Jakarta.

Dashottar S, Pany TP, Lohia N. 2019. Role of apparent diffusion coffecient as a biomarker in the evaluation of cervical cancer. *Indian Journal of Radiology Imaging, 2019.* 29(1): 25-32

Devine C, Gardner C, Sagebiel T, Bhosale P. 2015. Magnetic Resonance Imaging in the Diagnosis, Staging, and Surveillance of Cervical Carcinoma. *Semin Ultrasound CT MR.* 2015;36(4):361-368 (Review article)

Dhoot NM, Kumar V, Shinagare A, Kataki AC, Barmon D, Bhuyan U. 2012. Evaluation of carcinoma cervix using magnetic resonance imaging: Correlation with clinical FIGO staging and impact on management. *Journal of Medical Imaging and Radiation Oncology*

56 (2012) 58–65

Elsalam SMA, Mokhtar O, Adel L, Hassan R, Ibraheim M, Kamal A. 2020. Impact of diffusion weighted magnetic resonance imaging in diagnosis of cervical cancer. *Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine.* 2020. P 2-8

European Society Gyncology Oncology (ESGO). 2011. Algorithms for managementof cervical cancer, 2011.

Hasan D, Enaba M, El-Rahman H, El-Shazely S. 2015. Apparent diffusion coefficient value in evaluating types, stages and histologic grading of cancer cervix. *The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine* (2015) 781-789

Heo SH, Shin SS, Kim JW, Lim HS, Jeong YY, Kang WD *et al.*, 2013. Pre-Treatment Diffusion-Weighted MR Imaging for predicting tumor reccurence in uterine cervical cancer treated with Concurent Chemoradiation : value of histogram analysis of Apparent Diffusion Coefficients. *Korean Journal of Radiology 2013* ;14 (4) : 616-625

Jajodia A, Mahawar V, Chaturvedi AK, Rao A, Singla R, Mitra S *et al. 2019.* Role Of ADC values in assessing clinical response and identifying residual disease post-chemo radiation in uterine cervix cancer. *Indian Journal Radiology Imaging, 2019*; 29(4): 404-411

Jeong YY, Kang HK, Chung TW, Seo J, Park JG. 2003. Uterine Cervical Carcinoma after therapy : CT and MR Imaging findings. *Radiographics*. Volume 23. Number 4.

Kathleen R, Fink T, Fink JR. 2018. *Principles of Neurological Surgery, fourth edition*

Kelloff G, Sigman C. 2007. Assessing intraepithelial neoplasia and drug safety in cancer-preventative drug development. 2007. *Nature Reviews Cancer* 7:508-518

Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia, Komite Penanggulangan KankerNasional. Panduan penatalaksanaan kanker serviks. hal 1

Kim J, Kim K, Park B, Kim N, Cho K. 2008. Feasibility of diffusion-weighted imaging in the differentiation of metastatic from nonmetastatic lymph nodes: early experience. *J Magn Reson Imag: JMRI* 2008;28 (3):714–719

Loubeyre, P., Navarria, I., Undurraga, M., Bodmer, A., Ratib, O., Becker, C., Petignat, P. 2012. Is imaging relevant for treatment choice in early stage cervical uterine cancer *Surgical Oncology* 21 e1-e6.

Lucas R, Dias J and Cunha T. 2015. Added value of diffusion-weigted MRI in detection of cervical cancer recurrence : comparison with morphologic and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI sequences. *Diagnostic and Interventional Radiol*ogy *2015* Sep; 21(5): 368-375. Doi: <u>10.5152/dir.2015.14427</u>

Mahajan M, Kuber R, Chaudhari KR, Chaudari P, Ghadage P, Naik R. 2018. MR imaging of carcinoma cervix. *Indian Journal of Radiology and Imaging* / August 2013 / Vol 23 / Issue 3. http://www.ijri.org on Monday, September 17, 2018, IP: 114.124.170.95

Mansour S, Raafat M. 2017. Is there an added role for diffusion weighted imaging in the staging of cervical carcinoma? *The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine* 48 (2017) 1131–1139

Merz J, Bossart M, Bamberg F, Eisenblaetter M. 2020. Revised FIGO Staging for Cervical Cancer-A New Role for MRI. *Georg Thieme Verlag KG, Stuttgart New York*. DOI https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1198-5729

Naganawa S, Sato C, Kumada H, Ishigaki T, Miura S, Takizawa O. 2005. Apparent Diffusion Coefficient in Cervical Cancer of The Uterus : Comparison With the Normal Uterine. *Europe Radiology 2005* 15:71-78

National Cancer Institute https://www.cancer.gov/

NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology; Cervical Cancer, Version 4.2019:March.2019.https://www2.trikobe.org/nccn/guideline/gynecological/english/cervical.pdf

Otero-Garcia MM, Alvarez AM, Nikolic O, Blanco-Lobato P, Basta-Nikolic P, de Llano-Ortega RM *et al.* 2019. Role of MRIin staging and follow-up of endometrial and cervical cancer : pitfalls and mimickers. *Insights into Imaging, 2019* 10:19

Padilha CML, Junior MLCA, De Souza SAL. 2017. Cytopathologic evaluation of patients submitted to radiotherapy for uterine cervix cancer. *Revista da Associacao Medica Brasileira 2017*; 63(4):379-

385

Papadopulou I, Stewart V, Barwick TD, Edward WH, Soneji N, Rockall AG, *et al.* 2016. Post-Radiation therapy imaging appearances in cervical carcinoma. *Radiographics* 2016; 36:538-553. Published online 10.1148/rg.2016150117

Park JJ, Kim CK, Park SY, Simonetti AW, Kim E, Park BK. 2014. Assesment of eraly response to cocurrent chemoradiotherapy in cervical cancer : value of diffusion-weighted and dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging* (2014), P 1-8

Pedoman Pelayanan Medik Kanker Ginekologi, Kanker Serviks, ed-2,2011, hal 19-28.

Rasjidi I, Epidemiologi Kanker Serviks. *Indonesian Journal of Cancer 2009*; 3(13); pg 103-108.

Sastroasmoro, S., Ismael, S., 2011. Dasar-dasar Metodologi Penelitian Klinis*, 4th ed. Sagung Seto, Jakarta.*

Schnall , M. D. 1994. Magnetic Resonance Evaluation of Uterine Malignancies. *Seminars in Ultrasound, CT, and MRI*, Vo115, No 1: pp 27-37.

Shield PW, Wright RG, Free K, Daunter B. 1991. The accuracy of cervicovaginal cytology in thr detection of reccurent cervical carcinoma following radiotherapy. *Gynecology Oncology. 1991* Jun;41 (3):223-229

Silva RCG, Figueiredo RDPV, Silva ACO, Lima CEQ, Oliveira SR, Peres AL.2018. Cytopathologic follow-up of women with cervical cancer post-radiotherapy : case series. *Jornal Brasileiro Patologia e Medicina Laboratorial* 54 (2). 2018.

Soleimani M, Abdali KH, Khajehei M, Tabatabaee HR, Komar PV, Montazer NR. 2012. Comparison of Pap Smear quality with anatomical spatula method and the common method (spatula-cytobrush) : A single blind clinical trial. *Iran Journal Cancer Prevention V.5, 2012,* 5(1): 33-38

Stark H, Zivkovic A. HPV Vaccination : Prevention of Cervical Cancer in Serbia and in Europe. *Acta Facultatit Medicae Naissensis*, 2018; 35 (1) : 5-16. DOI: 10.2478/afmnai-2018-0001

Sullivan T, Yacoub JH, Harkenrider MM, Small W, Surucu M, Shea SM. 2018. Providing MR Imaging for Cervical Cancer Brachytherapy: Lessons for Radiologists. *RadioGraphics 2018;* 38:932–944. Doi.org/10.1148/rg.2018170033

Thomeer MG, Vandecaveye V, Braun L, Mayer F, Franckena-Schouten M, de Boer P et al. 2017. Evaluation of T2-W MR Imaging for the early post-treatment local response assessment of patients treated conservatively for cervical cancer : a multicenter study, *European Radiology,* 2017

Whittaker CS, Coady A, Culver L, Rustin G, Padwick M, Padhani AP. 2009. Diffusion-weighted MR Imaging of female pelvic tumors : A pictorial review. *Radiographics* 2009; 29: 759-778

Wildenberg J, Yam BL, Langer JE, Jones LP. 2016. US of the non gravid cevix with multimodality imaging correlation : Normal appearance, pathologic conditions, and diagnostic pitfalls. *Radiographics 2016 ;* 36: 596-617

Yun Z, Bi-Ling L, Li G, Rui-Xin Y, Jun S, Jing-Lian Z. 2007. Diffusion Weighted Imaging Features of Normal Uterine Cervix and Cervical Carcinoma. *Chinese Journal of Cancer 2007* 26(5)

Zhang S, Xu H, Zhang L and Qiao Y. 2020. Cervical cancer : Epidemiology, risk factors and screening. *Chinese Journal of Cancer Research,* 2020 Dec 31 ; 32 (6):720-728. DOI: 10.21147/j.issn.1000-9604.2020.06.05

Zhang Y, Chen JY, Xie CM, Mo YX, Lie XW, Liu Y *et al.* 2011. Diffusion-Weighted Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Prediction of Response of Advanced Cervical cancer to Chemoradiation. *Journal of Computer Assisted Tomography, 2011.*Vol. 35 (1)