

LIST OF CONTENT

TITLE.....	I
STATEMENT	II
PLAGIARISM-FREE STATEMENT	III
ABSTRACT.....	IV
INTISARI.....	V
PREFACE	VI
LIST OF CONTENT	IX
LIST OF TABLE	XIII
LIST OF FIGURES	XVI
ABBREVIATIONS	XVIII
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
A. Background.....	1
B. Problem Statement.....	12
C. Objectives	12
1. General objective	12
2. Specific objectives	12
D. Research Benefits	13
E. Originality and Novelty of The Research.....	14
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	20
A. Literature Review	20

1. Tobacco and smoking behavior	20
2. The content and danger of cigarettes	23
3. Nicotine addiction	25
4. Tobacco epidemic in Indonesia.....	30
5. Children and smoking behavior	30
a. Definition and periods of child	30
b. Definition of Preadolescence	31
c. Children's cognitive development.....	33
d. Children smoking behavior	35
e. Factors related to children smoking behavior	37
f. Influence of tobacco advertising, promotion and sponsorsip (TAPS) to smoking on children.....	38
6. Media literacy related to smoking (smoking media literacy).....	47
7. Risk factors for smoking in children based on the Theory of Triadic Influence (TTI) and Preceed Proceed Model studies	50
a. Behavior change based on the Theory of Triadic Influence (TTI) ...	50
b. Preceed Proceed Model for health promotion program development	59
8. Development of health communication programs	64
B. Theoritical framework	72
C. Conceptual Framework	73
D. Research Questions	74
E. Hypothesis	74
CHAPTER III. RESEARCH METHODS	77

A. General Research Design	77
B. Research Data Collection and Data Analysis	77
1. Phase 1: need assessment of the model of media literacy for preventing young smokers (Miles-PYS)	77
2. Phase 2: Developing the model of media literacy for preventing young smokers (Miles-PYS) & questionnaire adaptation	83
3. Phase 3: Intervention and evaluation	91
b. Feasibility study to amend the intervention process	92
C. Ethics	97
CHAPTER IV. RESULTS	99
A. General Description about Semarang City	99
B. The Need Assessment of Mile-PYS Model.....	100
C. Developing of The Mile-PYS Model.....	112
D. Validation and Adaptation of Instruments	121
1. The respondent's characteristics	123
2. Validity and reliability test of instruments.....	126
E. Intervention and Evaluation	135
1. The respondents' characteristics	136
2. Intervention proses	138
3. Comparison of media literacy item between pretest and posttest and group.....	140
4. Path analisys of factors influence intention to smoke	146
F. Discussion.....	150
1. Smoking behavior and intention to smoke.....	150

2. Exposure of tobacco advertising on children and media literacy	153
3. Development media literacy for preventing young smokers (Mile-PYS)	
Program	155
4. Adaptation and validation of the instruments	157
a. Media literacy instrument	158
b. Attitudes instruments	159
c. Normative belief	159
d. Self-efficacy	160
e. Smoking Outcome Expectation Scale (SOES)	161
f. Intention to smoke.....	162
5. The effectiveness of Mile-PYS Intervention.....	163
a. Mean difference between pre-test and post-test and between	
intervention and control group	163
b. Path analysis.....	165
6. Implication to tobacco control policy and program	168
7. Limitation.....	169
CHAPTER V. CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	171
A. Conclusion.....	171
B. Rekomendation	172
REFERENCES.....	174

LIST OF TABLE

Table 1 Researches related to media literacy and prevention of smoking behavior	14
Table 2 Carcinogenic compounds in cigarette smoke evaluated in IARC monographs	23
Table 3 Theoretical model of media literacy	48
Table 4 Operatinal definition of variables in the phase 1, assessment of media literacy for preventing young smoker (Mile-PYS) model	79
Table 5. Operatinal definition of variables in the phase 2, adaptation and validation of questionnaires	89
Table 6 Intervention design.....	91
Table 7 Minimal sample size calculation base on previous study (Shensa <i>et al.</i> , 2016)	93
Table 8 Operatinal definition of variables in the phase 2, adaptation and validation of questionnaires	95
Table 9 FGD Participants composition	101
Table 10 Codes, categories, and themes from FGDs and in-depth interviews ...	102
Table 11 Content and context of model and benchmarking of others studies	112
Table 12 Curriculum of media literacy for preventing young smokers (Mile-PYS)	113
Table 13 Characteristics, TAPS exposure, environmental influences, intention and smoking behavior of respondents in validation survey	123
Table 14 Validity and reliability result of media literacy instrument	127
Table 15 Validity and realiability result of attitudes instrument.....	128

Table 16 Validity and reliability result of attitudes instrument after the non-valid item was deleted.....	129
Table 17 Validity and reliability result of normative belief instrument	130
Table 18 Validity and reliability result of self efficacy instrument	131
Table 19 Validity and reliability result of smoking outcome expectation instrument.....	132
Table 20 Validity and reliability result of smoking outcome expectation instrument (online based) after non-valid item was deleted	133
Table 21 Validity and reliability result of media literacy instrument	134
Table 22 Characteristic, TAPS exposure, environmental influences, and smoking behavior of respondents in intervention phase.....	136
Table 23. Intervention plan and implementation	138
Table 24 Comparison of media literacy item between pretest and posttest and group	140
Table 25 Comparison of attitudes to smoke pretest and posttest by item	141
Table 26 Comparison of normative beliefs pretest and posttest by item	142
Table 27 Comparison of self-efficacy pretest and posttest by item	143
Table 28 Comparison of smoking output expectation pretest and posttest by item	143
Table 29 Comparison of intention pretest and posttest by item.....	144
Table 30 The summary of mean difference between pretest and posttest and comparison of mean difference between intervention and control group	145



Table 31 The path analysis of factors influenced intention to smoke (include self efficacy).....	147
Table 32 The path analysis of factors influenced intention to smoke (exclude self efficacy).....	148
Table 33 The student's response to the interventions	149

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 Three streams that influence the behavior of Theory of Triadic Influence (Flay & Petraitis, 1994).....	51
Figure 2 The Theory of Triadic Influence (B. R. Flay <i>et al.</i> , 2009)	53
Figure 3. Precede Proceed Model for planning and evaluation of health promotion program (Green & Kreuter, 1991)	60
Figure 4 P-Process, stages of strategic communication (Health Communication Capacity Collaborative, 2003)	66
Figure 5 Application of The Theory of Triadic Influence (Brian R Flay <i>et al.</i> , 2009; Flay, 1999; Flay <i>et al.</i> , 1995; Flay & Petraitis, 1994; Wakefield <i>et al.</i> , 2003), Precede Proceed Model (Green & Kreuter, 1991), and Smoking Media Literacy (Primack <i>et al.</i> , 2006a; Primack & Hobbs, 2009) on children smoking behavior.....	72
Figure 6 Research conceptual framework.....	73
Figure 7. Phases of study	77
Figure 8 Translation, adaptation, and validation steps.....	85
Figure 9 Sample allocations	94
Figure 10 The location of FGDs	100
Figure 11 Si-Nopal Characters (Kompas.com, 2019).....	111
Figure 12 The process of making movies and book	115
Figure 14 Location of the schools in validation study	125
Figure 15 The confirmatory factor analysis of media literacy instrument (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based)	127

Figure 16 The confirmatory factor analysis of attitudes instrument (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based).....	128
Figure 17 The confirmatory factor analysis of attitudes instrument after the non-valid item was deleted (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based)	129
Figure 18 The confirmatory factor analysis of normative beliefs instrument (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based)	130
Figure 19 The confirmatory factor analysis of self efficacy instrument (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based)	131
Figure 20 The confirmatory factor analysis of smoking outcome expectation instrument (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based).	132
Figure 21 The confirmatory factor analysis of smoking outcome expectation instrument (online based) after non-valid item was deleted	133
Figure 22 The confirmatory factor analysis of intention instrument (left figure is paper based, right figure is online based).....	134
Figure 23 Location of schools in the intervention study.....	135
Figure 24 The path analysis of factors influenced intention to smoke (include self efficacy).....	146
Figure 25 The path analysis of factors influenced intention to smoke (excluded self efficacy).....	148