

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATEMENT OF ANTI PLAGIARISM	2
APPROVAL FORM.....	3
PROJECT FORM	5
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	6
LIST OF FIGURES.....	7
LIST OF TABLES.....	8
ABSTRACT.....	9
1 Introduction	10
1.1 Introduction to IRSN	10
1.1.1 Introduction to LPMA	11
1.1.2 Introduction to EPICEA	12
1.2 Objectives and Goals	14
1.3 Scope and Limit.....	14
2 General Introduction	15
2.1 Aerosol.....	15
2.1.1 Definition.....	15
2.1.2 Classification	16
2.1.3 Radioactive Aerosols	17
2.2 Alpha particles	18
2.2.1 Sources	18
2.2.2 Alpha measurement	20
2.3 Continuous Airborne Monitor - CAM	21
2.4 Geant4 Description.....	23
2.5 Monte-Carlo method.....	24
3 Methodology.....	27
4 Results and Discussions.....	31
4.1 Detector window entry determination.....	31
4.2 Block versus spheres simulations.....	33
4.3 Spectrum as the sum of different effects.....	36
4.4 Mass deposition increases	40
5 Conclusion and Recommendation	43
5.1 Conclusion	43
5.2 Recommendation.....	44
REFERENCES.....	45
APPENDICES	47
Appendix 1: The ABPM203M	47
Appendix 2: Simulation geometry	48

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: ICARE test bench at EPICEA.....	13
Figure 2: Electron micrographs of various aerosol particles. (a) aerosols particles formed a folded chain-like aggregate; (b) droplets evaporated in the electron microscope, (Maiello, Mark L. ; Hoover, 2011).....	15
Figure 3: The number distribution of an average urban model aerosol showing a fit to a power law distribution and as the sum of three lognormal distributions, (Kulkarni et al., 2011).....	16
Figure 4: Process of radon progeny in air, (Papastefanou, 2008).....	17
Figure 5: Radon-222 decay series (Maiello, Mark L. ; Hoover, 2011)	18
Figure 6: Deposition process of Po-218, (Papastefanou, 2008)	19
Figure 7: Alpha aerosols measurement	20
Figure 8: Multiple entry ways to human respiratory system, (Maiello, Mark L. ; Hoover, 2011).....	22
Figure 9: Configuration of CAM head, (Maiello, Mark L. ; Hoover, 2011)	22
Figure 10: Neutron interaction processes in fission material	25
Figure 11: Simulation geometry Z-axis.....	27
Figure 12: Whole geometry; front view (left figure); side view (right figure).....	28
Figure 13: Source distribution in the simulation	28
Figure 14: Spheres distribution approach (with 1000 spheres)	30
Figure 15: Variation of detector window value.....	31
Figure 16: Ideal SiO ₂ window simulation result vs experiment.....	32
Figure 17: Ideal Al ₂ O ₃ window simulation result vs experiment.....	32
Figure 18: Comparison between ideal value of SiO ₂ and Al ₂ O ₃	33
Figure 19: Comparison between block approach vs experiment.....	33
Figure 20: Comparison between sphere particles vs experiment	34
Figure 21: Sphere distribution along the Z-axis	34
Figure 22: Alpha energy spectra from a surface barrier detector from 5.49 MeV alphas with no absorber, alpha passing 2.6 mg/cm ² aluminum placed over the source; and from 2.6 mg/cm ² fiberglass filters placed over the source	35
Figure 23: Energy shift caused by homogeneous block.....	36
Figure 24: Comparison of homogeneous block and first block approach.....	37
Figure 25: Comparison of first block approach and second block approach	38
Figure 26: Comparison of the second block approach and third block approach .	38
Figure 27: Alpha interactions between blocks	39
Figure 28: Increasing mass for the block approach.....	40
Figure 29: Increasing mass in the experimental data	41
Figure 30: Stacked of distributed spheres.....	41
Figure 31: Increasing mass for the sphere distribution approach.....	42
Figure 32: ABPM203M.....	47



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: The three block approaches	37
Table 2: Characteristic of ABPM203M.....	47
Table 3: Characteristics of the ABPM203M threshold	47
Table 4: The materials and dimensions of the geometry	48