DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Abdin, E., Chong, S. A., Seow, E., Peh, C. X., Tan, J. H., Liu, J., Hui, S. F. S., Chua, B. Y., Sim, K., Verma, S., Vaingankar, J. A., & Subramaniam, M. 2019. A comparison of the reliability and validity of SF-6D, EQ-5D and HUI3 utility measures in patients with schizophrenia and patients with depression in Singapore. *Psychiatry Research*, **274**, 400–408.

Akoglu, H. 2018. User's guide to correlation coefficients. *Turkish Journal of Emergency Medicine*, **18**(3), 91–93.

Alvarado-Bolaños, A., Cervantes-arriaga, A., Rodríguez-violante, M., Llorens-arenas, R., Calderón-fajardo, H., Millán-cepeda, R., Leal-ortega, R., Estrada-bellmann, I., & Zuñiga-ramírez, C. 2015. Convergent validation of EQ-5D-5L in patients with Parkinson's disease. *Journal of the Neurological Sciences*, **358**, 53–57.

Andayani, T. M., Endarti, D., Kristina, S. A., & Rahmawati, A. 2020. Perbandingan EQ-5D-5L dan SF-6D Untuk Mengukur Index Utility Kesehatan Pada Populasi Umum di Yogyakarta. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Pelayanan Farmasi*, **10**(1), 35–44.

Andayani, T. M., Kristina, S. A., Endarti, D., Haris, R. N. H., & Rahmawati, A. 2020. Translation, Cultural Adaptation, and Validation of Short-Form 6D on the General Population in Indonesia. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, **21**, 205–210.

Anthoine, E., Moret, L., Regnault, A., Sbille, V., & Hardouin, J. B. 2014. Sample size used to validate a scale: A review of publications on newly-developed patient reported outcomes measures. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, **12**(1): 1–10.

APA. 2020. APA Dictionary of Psychology. https://dictionary.apa.org/. Februari 2020.

Araújo, C. D. M., Veiga, D. F., Hochman, B., Abla, L. E. F., Novo, N. F., & Ferreira, L. M. 2014. Health economics and health preference concepts to orthopedics practitioners. *Acta Ortopedica Brasileira*, **22**(2): 102–105.

Badan Pusat Statistik Kota Bekasi. 2019. Kecamatan Bekasi Selatan dalam Angka. BPS Kota Bekasi. Bekasi.

Bharmal, M., & Thomas, J. 2006. Comparing the EQ-5D and the SF-6D descriptive systems to assess their ceiling effects in the US general population. *Value in Health*, **9**(4): 262–271.

Bhosle, D., Huzaif, S., Shaikh, A., Bhople, V., & Khan, A. A. (2017). Pharmacoeconomics in Indian Context. *International Journal of Current Pharmaceutical & Clinical Research*. **7**(1): 11–14.

Bilbao, A., García-Pérez, L., Arenaza, J. C., García, I., Ariza-Cardiel, G., Trujillo-Martín, E., Forjaz, M. J., & Martín-Fernández, J. 2018. Psychometric properties of the EQ-5D-5L in patients with hip or knee osteoarthritis: reliability, validity and responsiveness. *Quality of Life Research*, **27**(11), 2897–2908.

Brazier, J., Roberts, J., Tsuchiya, A., & Busschbach, J. 2004. A comparison of the EQ-5D and SF-6D across seven patient groups. *Health Economics*, **13**(9), 873–884.

Buchholz, I., Janssen, M. F., Kohlmann, T., & Feng, Y. 2018. A Systematic Review of Studies Comparing the Measurement Properties of the Three-Level and Five-Level Versions of the EQ-5D. *PharmacoEconomics*, **36**(6): 645–661.

Campolina, A. G., López, R. V. M., Nardi, E. P., & Ferraz, M. B. 2018. Internal Consistency of the SF-6D as a Health Status Index in the Brazilian Urban Population. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, **17**, 74–80.

Ciconelli, R. M., Ferraz, M. B., Kowalski, S., da Rocha Castelar Pinheiro, G., & Sato, E. I. 2015. Brazilian urban population norms derived from the health-related quality of life SF-6D. *Quality of Life Research*, **24**(10), 2559–2564.

Echevarría-Guanilo, M. E., Gonçalves, N., & Romanoski, P. J. 2017. Psychometric Properties of Measurement Instruments: Conceptual Bases and Evaluation Methods - Part I. *Texto Contexto Enferm*, **26**(4): 1–12.

EuroQol Research Foundation. 2019. EQ-5D. https://euroqol.org/eq-5d-instruments/. Desember 2019.

Fayers, P. M., & Hand, D. J. 2002. Causal variables, indicator variables and measurement scales: An example from quality of life. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series A: Statistics in Society*, **165**(2): 233–261.

Feng, Y. S., Jiang, R., Kohlmann, T., & Pickard, A. S. 2019. Exploring the Internal Structure of the EQ-5D Using Non–Preference-Based Methods. *Value in Health*, **22**(5): 527–536.

Ferreira, L. N., Ferreira, P. L., & Pereira, L. N. 2014. Comparing the Performance of the SF-6D and the EQ-5D in Different Patient Groups. *Acta Médica Portuguesa*, **27**(2), 236.

Ferreira, L. N., Ferreira, P. L., Pereira, L. N., Rowen, D., & Brazier, J. E. 2013. Exploring the consistency of the SF-6D. *Value in Health*, **16**(6): 1023–1031.

Ferreira, P. L., Ferreira, L. N., & Pereira, L. N. 2015. SF-6D Portuguese population norms. *European Journal of Health Economics*, **16**(3), 235–241.

Gamst-Klaussen, T., Chen, G., Lamu, A. N., & Olsen, J. A. 2016. Health state utility instruments compared: inquiring into nonlinearity across EQ-5D-5L, SF-6D, HUI. *Quality of Life Research*, **25**(7), 1667–1678.

García-Gordillo, M. Á., Del Pozo-Cruz, B., Adsuar, J. C., Cordero-Ferrera, J. M., Abellán-Perpiñán, J. M., & Sánchez-Martínez, F. I. 2015. Validation and comparison of EQ-5D-3L and SF-6D instruments in a Spanish Parkinson´s disease population sample. *Nutricion Hospitalaria*, **32**(6): 2808–2821.

Garza, A. G., & Wyrwich, K. W. 2003. Health utility measures and the standard gamble. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, **10**(4): 360–363.

Goodman, C. S. 2014. *Introduction to Health Technology Assessment*. Bethesda, MD: National Library of Medicine. United States.

Haris, R. N. H., Makmur, R., Andayani, T. M., & Kristina, S. A. 2019. Penilaian Properti Psikometrik Instrumen Kualitas Hidup (HRQol) pada Populasi Umum: Tinjauan Sistematik. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Pelayanan Farmasi*, **9**(2), 65–75.

Hays, R.D., & Reeve, B.B. 2010. Measurement and Modeling of Health-Related Quality of Life. dalam J, Killewo, H.K., Heggenhougen, S.R., Quah (Eds.). *Epidemiology and Demography in Public Health*. 195–205. Elsevier. Netherlands.

Hinton, P. R., Brownlow, C., McMurray, I., & Cozens, B., 2004. SPSS Explained. Routledge: Taylor & Francis Group. United Kingdom.

Hinz, A., Kohlmann, T., Stöbel-Richter, Y., Zenger, M., & Brähler, E. 2014. The quality of life questionnaire EQ-5D-5L: Psychometric properties and normative values for the general German population. *Quality of Life Research*, **23**(2), 443–447.

Jakubiak-Lasocka, J., & Jakubczyk, M. 2014. Cost-effectiveness versus Cost-Utility Analyses: What Are the Motives Behind Using Each and How Do Their Results Differ?-A Polish Example. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, **4**: 66–74.

Janssen, M. F., Bonsel, G. J., & Luo, N. 2018. Is EQ-5D-5L Better Than EQ-5D-3L? A Head-to-Head Comparison of Descriptive Systems and Value Sets from Seven Countries. *PharmacoEconomics*, **36**(6): 675–697.

Jimam, N. S., Ismail, N. E., & Dayom, W. D. 2020. Evaluation of Psychometric Quality of EQ-5D-5L Scale for Assessing Health-Related Quality of Life of Malaria Patients. *Value in Health Regional Issues*, **22**, 15–22.

Jin, H., Wang, B., Gao, Q., Chao, J., Wang, S., Tian, L., & Liu, P. 2012. Comparison between EQ-5D and SF-6D utility in rural residents of Jiangsu Province, China. *PLoS ONE*, **7**(7), 3–7.

Juczyński, Z. 2006. Health-related quality of life: theory and measurement. *Folia Pyschologica*, (10): 6-14.

Kementrian Kesehatan. 2017. Peraturan Menteri Kesehatan RI Nomor 51 Tahun 2017 tentang Pedoman Penilaian Teknologi Kesehatan dalam Program Jaminan Kesehatan Nasional. Kementrian Kesehatan RI. Jakarta.

Kharroubi, S. A., Brazier, J. E., Roberts, J., & O'Hagan, A. 2007. Modelling SF-6D health state preference data using a nonparametric Bayesian method. *Journal of Health Economics*, **26**(3), 597–612.

Kim, T. H., Jo, M. W., Lee, S. Il, Kim, S. H., & Chung, S. M. 2013. Psychometric properties of the EQ-5D-5L in the general population of South Korea. *Quality of Life Research*, **22**(8), 2245–2253.

Krabbe, P. F. M. 2017. The Measurement of Health and Health Status: Concepts, Methods and Applications from a Multidisciplinary Perspective. Academic Press: Elsevier. United Kingdom.

Lin, X. J., Lin, I. M., & Fan, S. Y. 2013. Methodological issues in measuring health-related quality of life. *Tzu Chi Medical Journal*, **25**(1): 8–12.

McGhan, W.F. 2010. Introduction to Pharmacoeconomics. dalam R.J.G, Arnold (Ed.). *Pharmacoeconomics: From Theory to Practice*. 3rd ed., 1-15.

Mokkink, L. B., Princen, C. A. C., Patrick, D. L., Alonso, J., Bouter, L. M., de Vet, H. C. W., & Terwee, C. B. 2019. COSMIN Study Design checklist for Patient-reported outcome measurement instruments. https://www.cosmin.nl/tools/checklists-assessing-methodological-study-qualities/. Februari 2020.

Mokkink, L. B., Prinsen, C. A. C., Bouter, L. M., de Vet, H. C. W., & Terwee, C. B. (2016). The COnsensus-based standards for the selection of health measurement INstruments (COSMIN) and how to select an outcome measurement instrument. *Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy*, **20**(2): 105–113.

NICE. (2019). Glossary. https://www.nice.org.uk/glossary?letter=u. November 2019.

Norman, R., Church, J., Van Den Berg, B., & Goodall, S. 2013. Australian health-related quality of life population norms derived from the SF-6D. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, **37**(1), 17–23.

Payakachat, N., Murawski, M. M., & Summers, K. H. 2009. Health utility and economic analysis: Theoretical and practical issues. *Expert Review of Pharmacoeconomics and Outcomes Research*, **9**(4): 289–292.

Petrou, S., & Hockley, C. 2005. An investigation into the empirical validity of the EQ-5D and SF-6D based on hypothetical preferences in a general population. *Health Economics*, **14**(11), 1169–1189.

Poder, T. G., Carrier, N., & Kouakou, C. R. C. 2020. Quebec Health-Related Quality-of-Life Population Norms Using the EQ-5D-5L: Decomposition by Sociodemographic Data and Health Problems. *Value in Health*, **23**(2), 251–259.

Poetz, A., & Hoch, J. S. 2005. Understanding Cost-utility Analysis in Health Care Economics and Health Policy Understanding Cost-utility Analysis in Health Care. *University of Toronto Medical Journal*, **83**(1): 128.

Purba, F. D., Hunfeld, J. A. M., Iskandarsyah, A., Fitriana, T. S., Sadarjoen, S. S., Ramos-Goñi, J. M., … Busschbach, J. J. V. 2017. The Indonesian EQ-5D-5L Value Set. *PharmacoEconomics*, **35**(11): 1153–1165.

Purba, F. D., Hunfeld, J. A. M., Iskandarsyah, A., Fitriana, T. S., Sadarjoen, S. S., Passchier, J., & Busschbach, J. J. V. 2018. Quality of life of the Indonesian general population: Test-retest reliability and population norms of the EQ-5D-5L and WHOQOL-BREF. *PLoS ONE*, **13**(5), 1–20.

Qian, X., Tan, R. L. Y., Chuang, L. H., & Luo, N. 2020. Measurement Properties of Commonly Used Generic Preference-Based Measures in East and South-East Asia: A Systematic Review. *PharmacoEconomics*, **38**(2), 159–170.

Rai, M., & Goyal, R. 2018. Pharmacoeconomics in Healthcare. *Pharmaceutical Medicine and Translational Clinical Research*, 469–470.

Richardson, J., Iezzi, A., & Khan, M. A. 2015. Why do multi-attribute utility instruments produce different utilities: the relative importance of the descriptive systems, scale and 'micro-utility' effects. *Quality of Life Research*, **24**(8): 2045–2053.

Richardson, J., Khan, M. A., Iezzi, A., & Maxwell, A. 2014. Comparing and explaining differences in the magnitude, content, and sensitivity of utilities predicted by the EQ-5D, SF-6D, HUI 3, 15D, QWB, and AQoL-8D multiattribute utility instruments. *Medical Decision Making*, **35**(3), 276–291.

Salkind, N. 2010. Encyclopedia of Research Design. https://methods.sagepub.com/reference/encyc-of-research-design/n340.xml. Maret 2020.

Shafie, A. A., Vasan Thakumar, A., Lim, C. J., & Luo, N. 2018. Psychometric performance assessment of Malay and Malaysian English version of EQ-5D-5L in the Malaysian population. *Quality of Life Research*, **28**(1), 153–162.

Shiroiwa, T., Fukuda, T., Ikeda, S., Igarashi, A., Noto, S., Saito, S., & Shimozuma, K. 2016. Japanese population norms for preference-based measures: EQ-5D-3L, EQ-5D-5L, and SF-6D. *Quality of Life Research*, **25**(3), 707–719.

Souza, A. C. de, Alexandre, N. M. C., & Guirardello, E. de B. 2017. Psychometric properties in instruments evaluation of reliability and validity. *Applications of Epidemiology*, **26**(3): 649–659.

Terwee, C. B., Bot, S. D. M., de Boer, M. R., van der Windt, D. A. W. M., Knol, D. L., Dekker, J., Bouter, L. M., & de Vet, H. C. W. 2007. Quality criteria were proposed for measurement properties of health status questionnaires. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, **60**(1): 34–42.

The University of Sheffield. (2020). Measuring and Valuing Health: SF-6D. https://www.sheffield.ac.uk/scharr/sections/heds/mvh/sf-6d. Januari 2020.

Theofilou, P. 2013. Quality of life: Definition and measurement. *Europe's Journal of Psychology*, **9**(1): 150–162.

Tolley, K. (2014). What are health utilities?. https://www.whatisseries.co.uk/what-are-health-utilities/. November 2019.

Tran, B. X., Ohinmaa, A., & Nguyen, L. T. 2012. Quality of life profile and psychometric properties of the EQ-5D-5L in HIV/AIDS patients. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, **10**, 1–8.

Whitehead, S. J., & Ali, S. 2010. Health outcomes in economic evaluation: The QALY and utilities. *British Medical Bulletin*, **96**: 5–21.

WHO. 2003. Introduction to Drug Utilization Research. WHO. Geneva.

WHO. 2015. 2015 Global Survey on Health Technology Assessment by National Authorities: Main findings. WHO. Geneva.

Whyte, P., & Hall, C. 2013. WHO / HAI Project on Medicine Prices and Availability. *Working paper 6: The Role of Health Technology Assessment in Medicine Pricing and Reimbursement*. Health Action International. Australia.

Wong, C. K. H., Mulhern, B., Cheng, G. H. L., & Lam, C. L. K. 2018. SF-6D population norms for the Hong Kong Chinese general population. *Quality of Life Research*, **27**(9), 2349–2359.

Wong, E. L., Cheung, A. W., Wong, A. Y., Xu, R. H., Ramos-Goñi, J. M., & Rivero-Arias, O. 2019. Normative Profile of Health-Related Quality of Life for Hong Kong General Population Using Preference-Based Instrument EQ-5D-5L. *Value in Health*, **22**(8), 916–924.

Yang, F., Lau, T., Lee, E., Vathsala, A., Chia, K. S., & Luo, N. 2015. Comparison of the preference-based EQ-5D-5L and SF-6D in patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD). *The European Journal of Health Economics*, **16**(9). 1019-1026.

Yang, Z., Busschbach, J., Liu, G., & Luo, N. 2018. EQ-5D-5L norms for the urban Chinese population in China. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, **16**(1), 1–9.

Ye, Z., Sun, L., & Wang, Q. 2019. A head-to-head comparison of EQ-5D-5 L and SF-6D in Chinese patients with low back pain. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes*, **17**(1): 1–11.

Zangaro, G. A. 2019. Importance of Reporting Psychometric Properties of Instruments Used in Nursing Research. *Western Journal of Nursing Research*, **41**(11): 1548–1550.

Zhao, L., Liu, X., Liu, D., He, Y., Liu, Z., & Li, N. 2019. Comparison of the psychometric properties of the EQ-5D-3L and SF-6D in the general population of Chengdu city in China. *Medicine*, **98**(11): e14719.