

References

Braun, V. & Clarke, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), pp. 77-101.

Committee on Climate Change, 2017. *Climate Change Risk Assessment 2017 Evidence Report*. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.theccc.org.uk/tackling-climate-change/preparing-for-climate-change/uk-climate-change-risk-assessment-2017/synthesis-report/>

[Accessed June 2019].

Dewi, R. P. & Khoirunisa, N., 2018. Middle school students' perception of climate change at Boyolali District, Indonesia. s.l., IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science.

Erlandsson, A., Björklund, F. & Bäckström, M., 2015. Emotional reactions, perceived impact and perceived responsibility mediate the identifiable victim effect, proportion dominance effect and in-group effect respectively. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, Volume 127, pp. 1-14.

Faia, M. A., 1980. The Vagaries of the Vignette World: A Comment on Alves and Rossi. *American Journal of Sociology*, 85(4), pp. 951-954.

Hahm, S. et al., 2019. Factors of perceived threat regarding severe storm events: Results of a vignette study in four European countries. *Safety Science*, Volume 116, pp. 26-32.

Hitayezu, P., Wale, E. & Ortmann, G., 2017. Assessing farmers' perceptions about climate change: A double-hurdle approach. *Climate Risk Management*, Volume 17, pp. 123-138.

IPCC, 2018. Summary for Policymakers. In: V. Masson-Delmotte, et al. eds. *Global warming of 1.5C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the treat of climate change*. s.l.:s.n.

Jenni, K. E. & Loewenstein, G., 1997. Explaining the "Identifiable Victim Effect". *Journal of Risk and Uncertainty*, 14(3), pp. 235-257.

Jessani, Z. & Harris, P. B., 2018. Personality, politics, and denial: Tolerance of ambiguity, political orientation and disbelief in climate change. *Personality and Individual Differences*, Volume 131, pp. 121-123.

Joffe, H., 2012. Thematic Analysis. In: *Qualitative Research Methods in Mental Health and Psychotherapy*. s.l.:s.n.

Kelman, M., 2011. Saving Lives, Saving from Death, Saving from Dying: Reflections on Over-Valuing Identifiable Victims. *Yale Journal of Health Policy, Law, and Ethics*, Volume 11, p. 51.

Kilburn, H. W., 2014. Religion and foundations of American public opinion towards global climate change. *Environmental Politics*, 23(3), pp. 473-489.

Kogut, T., Ritov, I., Rubaltelli, E. & Liberman, N., 2018. How far is the suffering? The role of psychological distance and victims' identifiability in donation decisions. *Judgment and Decision Making*, 13(5), p. 458.

Lee, S. & Feeley, T. H., 2016. The identifiable victim effect: A meta-analytic review. *Social Influence*, 11(3), pp. 199-215.

Leiserowitz, A., 2006. Climate change risk perception and policy preferences: The role of affect, imagery, and values. *Climatic Change*, 77(1-2), pp. 45-72.

Li, C. & Monroe, M. C., 2018. Development and valication of the climate change hope scale for high school students. *Environment and Behavior*, 50(4), pp. 454-479.

Liebe, U. et al., 2017. Using factorial survey experiments to measure attitudes, social norms, and fairness concerns in developing countries. *Sociological Methods & Research*, p. 0049124117729707.

Linville, P. W. & Fischer, G. W., 1991. Preferences for separating or combining events. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 60(1), p. 5.

Lujala, P., Lein, H. & Rød, J. K., 2015. Climate change, natural hazards, and risk perception: the role of proximity and personal experience. *Local Environment*, 20(4), pp. 489-509.

McDonald, R. I., Chai, H. Y. & Newell, B. R., 2015. Personal experience and the 'psychological distance' of climate change: An integrative review. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, Volume 44, pp. 109-118.

McGraw, D., 2019. Ohio city votes to give Lake Erie personhood status over algae blooms | US News | The Guardian. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2019/feb/28/toledo-lake-erie-personhood-status-bill-of-rights-algae-bloom>

[Accessed 10 March 2019].

Milman, O. & Harvey, F., 2019. US is hotbed of climate change denial, major global survey finds out | Environment | The Guardian. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2019/may/07/us-hotbed-climate-change-denial-international-poll>

[Accessed June 2019].

Morrison, M., Duncan, R. & Parton, K., 2015. Religion Does Matter for Climate Change Attitudes and Behavior. *PloS one*, 10(8), p. e0134868.

Nakayachi, K., Yokoyama, H. M. & Oki, S., 2015. Public anxiety after the 2011 Tohoku earthquake: Fluctuations in hazard perception after catastrophe. *Journal of Risk Research*, 18(2), pp. 156-169.

NOAA, 2019. Lake Erie Harmful Algae Bloom. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.weather.gov/cle/LakeErieHAB>

[Accessed 12 March 2019].

Oktaviani, R. et al., 2011. The Impact of global climate change on the Indonesian economy. IFPRI Discussion Paper 1148., Washington, D.C.: International Food Policy Research Institute.

Pidgeon, N. & Fischhoff, B., 2011. The role of social and decision sciences in communicating uncertain climate risks. *Nature Climate Change*, 1(1).

Posas, P. J., 2007. Roles of religion and ethics in addressing climate change. *Ethics in Science and Environmental Politics*, Volume 31.

Putrawidjaja, M., 2008. *Mapping Climate Education in Indonesia: Opportunities for Development*, Jakarta: British Council.

Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., Nicholls, C. M. & Ormston, R., 2014. Analysis in Practice. In: *Qualitative Research Practice: A Guide for Social Science Students and Researchers*. s.l.:Sage, pp. 295-345.

Rooks, G., Raub, W., Selten, R. & Tazelaar, F., 2000. How inter-firm co-operation depends on social embeddedness: A vignette study. *Acta Sociologica*, 43(2), pp. 123-137.

Rossi, P. H., 1979. 14. Vignette analysis: uncovering the normative structure of complex judgments. *Qualitative and quantitative social research: Papers in honor of Paul F. Lazarsfeld*, p. 176.

Samuel, S., 2019. Lake Erie Bill of Rights: this great lake now has legal rights, just like you - Vox. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.vox.com/future-perfect/2019/2/26/18241904/lake-erie-legal-rights-personhood-nature-environment-toledo-ohio>

[Accessed 6 March 2019].

Saunders, M. N. K., Lewis, P. & Thornhill, A., 2012. *Research Methods for Business Students*. 6 ed. s.l.:Pearson Education.

Scannell, L. & Gifford, R., 2013. Personally relevant climate change: The role of place attachment and local versus global message framing in engagement. *Environment and Behavior*, 45(1), pp. 60-85.

Schuldt, J. P., Rickard, L. N. & Yang, Z. J., 2018. Does reduced psychological distance increase climate engagement? On the limits of localizing climate change. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, Volume 55, pp. 147-153.

Singh, A. S., Zwickle, A. & Bruskotter, J. T., 2017. The perceived psychological distance of climate change impacts and its influence on support for adaptation policy. *Environmental Science & Policy*, Volume 73, pp. 93-99.

Spence, A., Poortinga, W. & Pidgeon, N., 2012. The psychological distance of climate change. *Risk Analysis: An International Journal*, 32(6), pp. 957-972.

Tanasescu, M., 2019. Rivers Get Human Rights: They Can Sure to Protect Themselves - Scientific American. [Online]

Available at: <https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/rivers-get-human-rights-they-can-sue-to-protect-themselves/>

[Accessed 4 March 2019].

Tranter, B. & Booth, K., 2015. Scepticism in a changing climate. A cross-national study.. *Global Environmental Change*, Volume 33, pp. 154-163.

Trope, Y. & Liberman, N., 2010. Construal-level theory of psychological distance. *Psychological review*, 117(2), p. 440.

Van Aalst, M. K., 2006. The impacts of climate change on the risk of natural disasters.. *Disasters*, 30(1), pp. 5-18.

van der Linden, S., Leiserowitz, A., Rosenthal, S. & Maibach, E., 2017. Inoculating the public against misinformation about climate change. *Global Challenges*, 1(2), p. 1600008.

van der Linden, S., Maibach, E. & Leiserowitz, A., 2015. Improving Public Engagement With Climate Change: Five "Best Practice" Insights From Psychological Science. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 10(6), pp. 758-763.

Weber, E. U., 1997. Perception and expectation of climate change: Precondition for economic and technological adaptation. In: M. Bazerman, D. Messick, A. Tenbrunsel & K. Wade-Benzoni, eds. *Psychological Perspectives to Environmental and Ethical Issues in Management*. San Fransisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, pp. 314-341.

Weber, E. U., 2006. Experience-based and description-based perceptions of long-term risk: Why global warming does not scare us (yet). *Climatic Change*, 77(1-2), pp. 103-120.

Whitmarsh, L., 2008. Are flood victims more concerned about climate change than other people? The role of direct experience in risk perception and behavioural response. *Journal of Risk Research*, 11(3), pp. 351-374.

Whitmarsh, L., 2011. Scepticism and uncertainty about climate change: Dimensions, determinants and change over time. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2), pp. 690-700.

World Bank, 2014. CO2 emissions (metric tons per capita) | Data. [Online]

Available at:

<https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/EN.ATM.CO2E.PC?end=2018&start=1960&view=chart>

[Accessed June 2019].