

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdalla, M. E., Boelen, C., & Osman, W. N. (2019). Development and evaluation of an *online* course about the social accountability of medical schools. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences*, 14(3), 241–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtumed.2019.03.004>
- Abdulla, M. H. (2018). The use of an *online* student response system to support learning of Physiology during lectures to medical students. *Education and Information Technologies*, 23(6), 2931–2946. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-018-9752-0>
- Adedoyin, O. B., & Soykan, E. (2020). Covid-19 pandemic and *online* learning: the challenges and opportunities. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 1-13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2020.1813180>
- Adnani, Q. E. S. (2021). Progress and challenges of midwifery education in Indonesia. *European Journal of Midwifery*, 5. <https://dx.doi.org/10.18332/ejm%2F142496>
- Ahmed, H., Allaf, M., & Elghazaly, H. (2020). COVID-19 and medical education. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, 20(7), 777–778. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30226-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30226-7)
- Ahmed, S., Shehata, M., & Hassanien, M. (2020). Emerging Faculty Needs for Enhancing Student Engagement on a Virtual Platform. *MedEdPublish*, 9(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2020.000075.1>
- Arbour, M. W., Nypaver, C. F., & Wika, J. C. (2015). Innovative Uses of Technology in *Online* Midwifery Education. *Journal of Midwifery and Women's Health*, 60(3), 278–282. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.12291>
- Atlantis, E., & Cheema, B. S. (2015). Effect of audience response system technology on learning outcomes in health students and professionals: An updated systematic review. *International Journal of Evidence-Based Healthcare*, 13(1), 3–8. <https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.0000000000000035>
- Banks, D. A. (2006). Audience response systems in higher education: Applications and cases. *Audience Response Systems in Higher Education: Applications and Cases*, 1–405. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-59140-947-2>
- Bell, B. S., Tannenbaum, S. I., Ford, J. K., Noe, R. A., & Kraiger, K. (2017). 100 years of training and development research: What we know and where we should go. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 102(3), 305.
- Bond, M., Buntins, K., Bedenlier, S., Zawacki-Richter, O., & Kerres, M. (2020). Mapping research in student engagement and educational technology in higher education: a systematic evidence map. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 17(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0176-8>

- Braun, V., Clarke, V., 2006. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qual. Res. Psychol.* 3 (2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Carr, K. C. (2003). Innovations in midwifery education. *Journal of midwifery & women's health*, 48(6), 393-397. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1526-9523\(03\)00305-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1526-9523(03)00305-2)
- Carolan, C., Davies, C. L., Crookes, P., McGhee, S., & Roxburgh, M. (2020). COVID 19: Disruptive impacts and transformative opportunities in undergraduate nurse education. *Nurse Education in Practice*, 46(January).
- Chacon, J. A., & Janssen, H. (2021). Teaching Critical Thinking and Problem-Solving Skills to Healthcare Professionals. *Medical Science Educator*, 31(1), 235–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40670-020-01128-3>
- Chan, T. F. I., Borja, M., Welch, B., & Batiuk, M. E. (2016). Predicting the probability for faculty adopting an audience response system in higher education. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 15(1), 395–407.
- Chung, H., Kallay, T., Anas, N., Bruno, D., Decamps, J., Evans, D., ... Mink, R. B. (2018). Using an audience response system smartphone app to improve resident education in the pediatric intensive care unit. *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development*, 5, 2382120518770674.
- Colpaert, J. (2006). Pedagogy-driven design for *online* language teaching and learning. *CALICO journal*, 477-497.
- Cook, D. A. (2010). Twelve tips for evaluating educational programs. *Medical teacher*, 32(4), 296-301. <https://doi.org/10.3109/01421590903480121>
- Cook, D. A., & Ellaway, R. H. (2015). Evaluating technology-enhanced learning: A comprehensive framework. *Medical Teacher*, 37(10), 961–970. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2015.1009024>
- Correia, A. P., Liu, C., & Xu, F. (2020). Evaluating videoconferencing systems for the quality of the educational experience. *Distance Education*, 41(4), 429–452. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2020.1821607>
- Creswell, Jhon W. (2014). *Research design : qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* / John W. Creswell. — 4th ed (4th ed.). California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Darras, K. E., Spouge, R. J., de Bruin, A. B. H., Sedlic, A., Hague, C., & Forster, B. B. (2021). Undergraduate Radiology Education During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Review of Teaching and Learning Strategies. *Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal*, 72(2), 194–200. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0846537120944821>
- De Gagne, J.C., 2011. The impact of clickers in nursing education: a review of literature. *Nurse Educ. Today* 31 (8), e34–e40.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2010.12.007>.

Denkewicz, R. (2019). Pros and Cons of Audience Response Systems in the Education of Health Professionals. *MedEdPublish*, 8(3), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.15694/mep.2019.000182.1>

Dhaliwal, H. K., Allen, M., Kang, J., Bates, C., & Hodge, T. (2015). The effect of using an audience response system on learning, motivation and information retention in the orthodontic teaching of undergraduate dental students: a cross-over trial. *Journal of Orthodontics*, 42(2), 123–135.

Downer, T., Gray, M., & Capper, T. (2021). Nurse Education Today *Online* learning and teaching approaches used in midwifery programs : A scoping review. *Nurse Education Today*, 103(May), 104980. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2021.104980>

Ellaway, R. H. (2018). 10 Technology-enhanced Learning. Understanding medical education: evidence, theory, and practice, 139.

Felszeghy, S., Pasonen-Seppänen, S., Koskela, A., Nieminen, P., Härkönen, K., Paldanius, K. M., ... & Mahonen, A. (2019). Using *online* game-based platforms to improve student performance and engagement in histology teaching. *BMC medical education*, 19(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1701-0>

Firsing, S. L., Yannessa, J. F., McGough, F. A. D., Delport, J., Po, M. C., & Brown, K. (2018). Millennial Student Preference of Audience Response System Technology. *Pedagogy in Health Promotion*, 4(1), 4–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2373379917698163>

Freeman, S., Eddy, S. L., McDonough, M., Smith, M. K., Okoroafor, N., Jordt, H., & Wenderoth, M. P. (2014). Active learning increases student performance in science, engineering, and mathematics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(23), 8410–8415. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1319030111>

Gegenfurtner, A., & Ebner, C. (2019). Webinars in higher education and professional training: a meta-analysis and systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Educational Research Review*, 28, 100293.

Geraghty, S., Bromley, A., Bull, A., Dube, M., & Turner, C. (2019). Millennial midwifery: *Online* connectivity in midwifery education. *Nurse education in practice*, 39, 26–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nepr.2019.07.008>

Ghozali, Imam. 2011. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 19, Edisi 5. Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro. Semarang

Gousseau, M., Sommerfeld, C., & Gooi, A. (2016). Tips for using mobile audience response systems in medical education. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, Volume 7, 647–652. <https://doi.org/10.2147/amep.s96320>

- Hughes, A. M., Zajac, S., Spencer, J. M., & Salas, E. (2018). A checklist for facilitating training transfer in organizations. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 22(4), 334–345. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijtd.12141>
- Hunsu, N. J., Adesope, O., & Bayly, D. J. (2016). A meta-analysis of the effects of audience response systems (clicker-based technologies) on cognition and affect. *Computers & Education*, 94, 102–119.
- Iskander, M. (2018). Systematic review of the implementation of audience response systems and their impact on participation and engagement in the education of healthcare professionals. *BMJ Simulation and Technology Enhanced Learning*, 4(2), 47–50. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjstel-2017-000245>
- Ismaile, S., & Alhosban, F. (2018). Students perceptions of audience response system in classroom *feedback*: a qualitative study. *Int J Adv Appl Sci*, 5(4), 67-72. <https://doi.org/10.21833/ijaas.2018.04.008>
- Jackson, M., Ganger, A. C., Bridge, P. D., & Ginsburg, K. (2005). Wireless handheld computers in the undergraduate medical curriculum. *Medical Education Online*, 10(1), 4386. <https://doi.org/10.3402/meo.v10i.4386>
- Keiller, L., Nyoni, C., & van Wyk, C. (2021). *Online* Faculty Development in Low- and Middle-Income Countries for Health Professions Educators: A Rapid Realist Review. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-428797/v2>
- Keis, O., Grab, C., Schneider, A., & Öchsner, W. (2017). *Online* or face-to-face instruction? A qualitative study on the electrocardiogram course at the University of Ulm to examine why students choose a particular format. *BMC medical education*, 17(1), 1-8.
- Keputusan Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. (2007). Standar Profesi Bidan Keputusan Menteri Kesehatan No 369/Menkes/SKIII/2007. Jakarta, Indonesia: Menteri Kesehatan Republik Indonesia.
- Khalil, R., Mansour, A.E., Fadda, W.A. et al. The sudden transition to synchronized *online* learning during the COVID-19 pandemic in Saudi Arabia: a qualitative study exploring medical students' perspectives. *BMC Med Educ* 20, 285 (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02208-z>
- King, S. O. (2016). Investigating the most neglected student learning domain in higher education: A case study on the impact of technology on student behaviour and emotions in university mathematics learning. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 72(1), 31-52.
- Kirkpatrick, D. L., & Kirkpatrick, J. D. (2008). *Evaluating Training Programs* (Third Edit). San Francisco, California: Berrett-Koehler Publishers, Inc.
- Koehler, M. J., & Mishra, P. (2005). What happens when teachers design educational technology? The development of technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of educational computing research*, 32(2), 131-152. <https://doi.org/10.2190%2F0EW7-01WB-BKHL-QDYV>

- Kolb, D.A. (1984) *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development*. Prentice-Hall, Inc. Englewood Cliffs, NJ
- Kolb, A. Y., & Kolb, D. A. (2005). Learning styles and learning spaces: Enhancing experiential learning in higher education. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 4(2), 193–212. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMLE.2005.17268566>
- Kolb, D. A. (2015). *Experiential Learning: Experience as the Source of Learning and Development* (second edi; A. Neidlinger, ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Koval, P. G., Kim, J. J., & Makhlof, T. (2020). Pharmacist Perception of a Mobile Application Audience Response System for Remote Pharmacy Continuing Education Participants. *Journal of Pharmacy Practice*, 33(2), 153–157. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0897190018792391>
- Kubica, T., Hara, T., Braun, I., Kapp, F., & Schill, A. (2019). Choosing the appropriate Audience Response System in different use cases. *ICSIT 2019 - 10th International Conference on Society and Information Technologies, Proceedings*, 17(2), 88–93.
- Lazenby, M., Chambers, S., Chyun, D., Davidson, P., Dithole, K., Norman, I., & Tlou, S. (2020). Clinical nursing and midwifery education in the pandemic age. *International Nursing Review*, 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1111/inr.12601>
- Lee, J., Song, H. D., & Hong, A. J. (2019). Exploring factors, and indicators for measuring students' sustainable engagement in e-learning. *Sustainability*, 11(4), 985. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11040985>
- Llamas-Nistal, M., Caeiro-Rodríguez, M., & González-Tato, J. (2012). Web-based Audience Response System using the educational platform called BeA. In *2012 International Symposium on Computers in Education (SIIE)* (pp. 1-6).
- Lourenco, D., & Ferreira, A. I. (2019). Self-regulated learning and training effectiveness. *International Journal of Training and Development*, 23(2), 117–134. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijtd.12149>
- Luyben, A., Fleming, V., & Vermeulen, J. (2020). *Midwifery education in COVID-19- time: Challenges and opportunities*. (January).
- Mahon, P., Lyng, C., Crotty, Y., & Farren, M. (2018). Transforming classroom questioning using emerging technology. *British Journal of Nursing*, 27(7), 389–394. <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2018.27.7.389>
- Mains, T. E., Cofrancesco, J., Milner, S. M., Shah, N. G., & Goldberg, H. (2015). Do questions help? The impact of audience response systems on medical student learning: a randomised controlled trial. *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, 91(1077), 361–367.
- Masikunis, G., Panayiotidis, A., & Burke, L. (2009). Changing the nature of lectures using a personal response system. *Innovations in Education and*

Teaching International, 46(2), 199-212.

McClean, S., & Crowe, W. (2017). Making room for interactivity: Using the cloud-based audience response system Nearpod to enhance engagement in lectures. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 364(6), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnx052>

Meguid, E. A., & Collins, M. (2017). Students' perceptions of lecturing approaches: traditional versus interactive teaching. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, 8, 229–241.

Mishra, P., & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological pedagogical content knowledge: A framework for teacher knowledge. *Teachers college record*, 108(6), 1017-1054.

Morrell, L. J., & Joyce, D. A. (2015). Interactive lectures: Clickers or personal devices? *F1000Research*, 4(May), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.6207.1>

Mukhtar, K., Javed, K., Arooj, M., & Sethi, A. (2020). Advantages , Limitations and Recommendations for *online* learning during COVID-19 pandemic era. *Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences*, 36, 27–31.

Nelson, C., Hartling, L., Campbell, S., & Oswald, A. E. (2012). The effects of audience response systems on learning outcomes in health professions education. A BEME systematic review: BEME Guide No. 21. *Medical teacher*, 34(6), e386-e405. <https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.680938>

Ng, Y. F., Chan, K. K., Lei, H., Mok, P., & Leung, S. Y. (2019). Pedagogy and innovation in science education: A case study of an experiential learning science undergraduate course. *The European Journal of Social & Behavioural Sciences*, 25(2), 2910-2926. <https://doi.org/10.15405/ejsbs.254>

Nicolaides, A. (2012). Innovative teaching and learning methodologies for higher education institutions. *Educational research*, 3(8), 620-626.

Noel, D., Stover, S., & McNutt, M. (2015). Student perceptions of engagement using mobile-based polling as an audience response system: Implications for leadership studies. *Journal of Leadership Education*, 14(3).

Park, J., Woodrow, S. I., Reznick, R. K., Beales, J., & MacRae, H. M. (2010). Observation, reflection, and reinforcement: surgery faculty members' and residents' perceptions of how they learned professionalism. *Academic medicine*, 85(1), 134-139. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0b013e3181c47b25>

Patterson, B., Kilpatrick, J., & Woebkenberg, E. (2010). Evidence for teaching practice: The impact of clickers in a large classroom environment. *Nurse Education Today*, 30(7), 603–607 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2009.12.008>

Phelps, A., & Vlachopoulos, D. (2020). Successful transition to synchronous learning environments in distance education: A research on entry-level

synchronous facilitator competencies. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(3), 1511–1527. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-019-09989-x>

Pratton, J., & Hales, L. (1986). The effects of active participation on student learning. *Journal of Educational Research*, 79, 210–215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.1986.10885679>

Raymond, J. E., Homer, C. S., Smith, R., & Gray, J. E. (2013). Learning through authentic assessment: An evaluation of a new development in the undergraduate midwifery curriculum. *Nurse education in practice*, 13(5), 471–476.

Rehn, N., Maor, D., & McConney, A. (2016). Investigating teacher presence in courses using synchronous videoconferencing. *Distance Education*, 37(3), 302–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01587919.2016.1232157>

Ranieri, M., Raffaghello, J. E., & Bruni, I. (2018). Game-based student response system: Revisiting its potentials and criticalities in large-size classes. *Active Learning in Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1469787418812667>

Renfrew, M. J., Bradshaw, G., Burnett, A., Byrom, A., Entwistle, F., King, K., ... Thomas, G. (2021). Sustaining quality education and practice learning in a pandemic and beyond: ‘I have never learnt as much in my life, as quickly, ever.’ *Midwifery*, 94(December 2020), 102915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.midw.2020.102915>

Richardson, A. M., Dunn, P. K., McDonald, C., & Oprescu, F. (2015). CRiSP: An Instrument for Assessing Student Perceptions of Classroom Response Systems. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 24(4), 432–447. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-014-9528-2>

Rotgans, J. I., Rajalingam, P., Ferenczi, M. A., & Low-Beer, N. (2019). A Students’ Model of Team-based Learning. *Health Professions Education*, 5(4), 294–302. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hpe.2018.10.003>

Rossettini, G., Geri, T., Turolla, A. et al. *Online teaching in physiotherapy education during COVID-19 pandemic in Italy: a retrospective case-control study on students’ satisfaction and performance*. *BMC Med Educ* 21, 456 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02896-1>.

Salas, E., & Cannon-Bowers, J. A. (2001). The Science of Training: A Decade of Progress. *Annu Rev Psychol*, 52, 471–499.

Sand, J.N., Elison-Bowers, P., Wing, T.J., Kendrick, L., 2014. Experiential Learning and Clinical Education. *Acad. Exch.* Q. 18

Sanders, J. (2009). The use of reflection in medical education: AMEE Guide No. 44. *Medical teacher*, 31(8), 685–695. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590903050374>

- Schackow, T. E., Chavez, M., Loya, L., & Friedman, M. (2004). Audience response system: effect on learning in family medicine residents. *Family Medicine-Kansas City-*, 36(7), 496–504
- Scott, K. M., Baur, L., & Barrett, J. (2017). Evidence-based principles for using technology-enhanced learning in the continuing professional development of health professionals. *Journal of Continuing Education in the Health Professions*, 37(1), 61-66.
- Sheng, R., Goldie, C. L., Pulling, C., & Luctkar-Flude, M. (2019). Evaluating student perceptions of a multi-platform classroom response system in undergraduate nursing. *Nurse Education Today*, 78(October 2018), 25–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2019.03.008>
- Siddiqui, F., Iqbal, I., & Azizi, S. (2017). Using mobile audience response systems to enhance medical education: a medical student perspective. *Advances in medical education and practice*, 8, 325.
- Soffer, T., & Nachmias, R. (2018). Effectiveness of learning in *online* academic courses compared with face-to-face courses in higher education. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 34(5), 534-543. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcal.12258>
- Sprenger, D. A., & Schwaninger, A. (2021). Technology acceptance of four digital learning technologies (classroom response system, classroom chat, e-lectures, and mobile virtual reality) after three months' usage. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, 18(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00243-4>
- Stevens, N. T., McDermott, H., Boland, F., Pawlikowska, T., & Humphreys, H. (2017). A comparative study: Do “clickers” increase student engagement in multidisciplinary clinical microbiology teaching? *BMC Medical Education*, 17(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-017-0906-3>
- Toothaker, R. (2018). Millennial's perspective of clicker technology in a nursing classroom: A Mixed methods research study. *Nurse Education Today*, 62(July 2017), 80–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2017.12.027>
- Tuma, F., Nassar, A. K., Kamel, M. K., Knowlton, L. M., & Jawad, N. K. (2021). Students and faculty perception of distance medical education outcomes in resource-constrained system during COVID-19 pandemic. A cross-sectional study. *Annals of Medicine and Surgery*, 62(December 2020), 377–382. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.01.073>
- Van Daele, T., Frijns, C., & Lievens, J. (2017). How do students and lecturers experience the interactive use of handheld technology in large enrolment courses?. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 48(6), 1318-1329. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.12500>
- Van Der Werf, M., & Sabatier, G. (2009). The college of 2020: Students. *Chronicle*

Research Services, (June), 54. Retrieved from
http://conan.lib.muohio.edu/ebooks/college_of_2020_students-dl.pdf

Watson, R., & Lea, A. (1997). The caring dimensions inventory (CDI): content validity, reliability and scaling. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 25(1), 87-94.

Wood, R., & Shirazi, S. (2020). A systematic review of audience response systems for teaching and learning in higher education: The student experience. *Computers and Education*, 153(April), 103896.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103896>

Yardley, S., Teunissen, P. W., & Dornan, T. (2012). Experiential learning: AMEE guide No. 63. *Medical teacher*, 34(2), e102-e115.
<https://doi.org/10.3109/0142159X.2012.650741>

Zheng, M., Bender, D. & Lyon, C. *Online learning during COVID-19 produced equivalent or better student course performance as compared with pre-pandemic: empirical evidence from a school-wide comparative study*. *BMC Med Educ* 21, 495 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-021-02909-z>

Zimmerman, B. J., & Schunk, D. H. (2013). Reflections on theories of self-regulated learning and academic achievement. In *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement* (pp. 282-301). Routledge.