

## REFERENSI

- Abasht, B., Dekkers, J. C. M., dan Lamont, S. J. 2006. Review of quantitative trait loci identified in the chicken. *Poult Sci.* 85(12): 2079-2096. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.12.2079>
- Abdurakhmonov, I. Y. dan Abdulkarimov, A. 2008. Application of association mapping to understanding the genetic diversity of plant germplasm resources. *International Journal of Plant Genomics.* 2008: 574927. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2008/574927>
- Afifah, D., Lesmana, I., Poerwanto, S. H., Trijoko, Mahardhika, I. W. S., dan Daryono, B. S. 2020. Expression of *Mx* exon-13 SNPs in *Kampung*-Laying type (*Kamper*) chicken crossbreeds of female Lohmann brown-classic and male *Pelung*. *BIODIVERSITAS.* 21(4): 1483-1487. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210428>
- Aguilar, I., Tsuruta, S., Masuda, Y., Lourenco, D. A. L., Legarra, A., dan Misztal, I. 2018. BLUPF90 suite of programs for animal breeding with focus on genomics. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production.* 11: 751.
- Aguilar, I., Misztal, I., Tsuruta, S., Legarra, A., dan Wang, H. 2014. PREGSF90 – POSTGSF90: Computational tools for the implementation of single-step genomic selection and genome-wide association with ungenotyped individuals in BLUPF90 programs. *Proceedings, 10<sup>th</sup> World Congress of Genetics Applied to Livestock Production (WCGALP),* Aug 2014, Vancouver, Canada. <http://dx.doi.org/10.13140/2.1.4801.5045>
- Aguilar, I., Tsuruta, S., Masuda, Y., Lourenco, D. A. L., Misztal, I., dan Legarra, A. 2018. *BLUPF90 suite of programs for animal breeding with focus on genomics. Programming and computer algorithms in animal breeding with a focus on single-step GBLUP and reality of genomic selection.* University of Georgia, Athens.
- Aguilar, I., Legarra, A., Cardoso, F., Masuda, Y., Lourenco, D., dan Misztal, I. 2019. Frequentist p-values for large-scale-single step genome-wide association, with an application to birth weight in American Angus cattle. *Genet Sel Evol.* 51: 28. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-019-0469-3>
- Aguilar, I., Misztal, I., Johnson, D. L., Legarra, A., Tsuruta, S., dan Lawlor, T. J. 2010. *Hot topic:* A unified approach to utilize phenotypic, full pedigree, and genomic information for genetic evaluation of Holstein final score. *J Dairy Sci.* 93: 743-752. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2730>

- Ali, M., Zhang, Y., Rasheed, A., Wang, J., dan Zhang, L. 2020. Genomic prediction for grain yield and yield-related traits in Chinese winter wheat. *Int J Mol Sci.* 21(4): 1342. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21041342>
- Allais, S., Hennequet-Antier, C., Berri, C., Salles, L., Demeure, O., dan Le Bihan-Duval, E. 2019. Mapping of QTL for chicken body weight, carcass composition, and meat quality traits in a slow-growing line. *Poult Sci.* 98: 1960-1967. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pey549>
- Alvarenga, A. B., Veroneze, R., Oliveira, H. R., Marques, D. B. D., Lopes, P. S., et al. 2020. Comparing alternative single-step GBLUP approaches and training population designs for genomic evaluation of crossbred animals. *Frontiers in Genetics.* 11: 263. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.00263>
- Alvarenga, A. M., Rovadoscki, G. A., Petrini, J., Coutinho, L. L., Morota, G., et al. 2018. Linkage disequilibrium in Brazilian Santa Inês breed, *Ovis aries*. *Scientific Reports.* 8: 8851. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-27259-7>
- Ardestani, S. S., Jafarikia, M., Sargolzaei, M., Sullivan, B., dan Miar, Y. 2021. Genomic prediction of average daily gain, back-fat thickness, and loin muscle depth using different genomic tools in Canadian swine populations. *Frontiers in Genetics.* 21: 665344. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.665344>
- Athrey, G., Faust, N., Hieke, A-S. C., dan Brisbin, I. L. 2018. Effective population sizes and adaptive genetic variation in a captive bird population. *PeerJ.* 6: e5803. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5803>
- Aulchenko, Y. S., Ripke, S., Isaacs, A., dan Van Duijn, C. M. 2007. GenABEL: an R library for genome-wide association analysis. *Bioinformatics.* 23(10): 1294-1296. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm108>
- Aulchenko, Y. 2013. *Package 'GenABEL'*. GenABEL project developers.
- Baby, S., Hyeong, K-E., Lee, Y-M., Jung, J-H., Oh, D-Y., et al. 2014. Evaluation of genome based estimated breeding values for meat quality in a Berkshire population using high density single nucleotide polymorphism chips. *Asian Australas J Anim Sci.* 27(11): 1540-1547. <https://dx.doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2014.14371>
- Badke, Y. M., Bates, R. O., Ernst, C. W., Fix, J., dan Steibel, J. P. 2014. Accuracy of estimation of genomic breeding values in pigs using low-density genotypes and imputation. *Genes Genomes Genetics.* 4: 623-631. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.010504>
- Barh, D., Chaitankar, V., Yiannakopoulou, E. C., Salawu, E. O., Chowbina, S., et al. 2014. Chapter 21 – *In Silico* models: from simple networks to complex diseases. In: Ashish S. Verma, Anchal Singh (eds) *Animal Biotechnology*. 385-404. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-416002-6.00021-3>
- Bell, A. dan Jones, K. 2015. Explaining fixed effects: random effects modeling of time-series cross-sectional and panel data. *Political Science Research and Methods.* 3(1): 133-153. <https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2014.7>

- Bernardo, R. 2020. Reinventing quantitative genetics for plant breeding: something old, something new, something borrowed, something BLUE. *Heredity*. 125: 375-385. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-020-0312-1>
- Boschiero, C., Moreira, G. C. M., Gheyas, A. A., Godoy, T. F., Gasparin, G., et al. 2018. Genome-wide characterization of genetic variants and putative regions under selection in meat and egg-type chicken lines. *BMC Genomics*. 19: 83. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4444-0>
- Brito, F. V., Neto, J. B., Sargolzaei, M., Cobuci, J. A., dan Schenkel, F. S. 2011. Accuracy of genomic selection in simulated populations mimicking the extent of linkage disequilibrium in beef cattle. *BMC Genetics*. 12:80. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-12-80>
- Buchanan, D. S. dan Northcutt, S. L. 1996. *Beef Cattle Handbook: The Genetic Principles of Crossbreeding*. Iowa Beef Center. <https://www.iowabeefcenter.org/bch/CrossbreedingGeneticsPrinciples.pdf>
- Cahyadi, M., Park, H-B., Seo, D-W., Jin, S., Choi, N., et al. 2016. Variance component quantitative trait locus analysis for body weight traits in purebred Korean native chicken. *Asian Australas J Anim Sci*. 29(1): 43-50. <https://dx.doi.org/10.5713/ajas.15.0193>
- Casale, F. P. 2016. Multivariate linear mixed models for statistical genetics. *Dissertation of Doctor of Philosophy*. European Bioinformatics Institute. Hughes Hall College, University of Cambridge. UK.
- Cesarani, A., Masuda, Y., Tsuruta, S., Nicolazzi, E. L., VanRaden, P. M., et al. 2021. Genomic predictions for yield traits in US Holsteins with unknown parent groups. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 104(5): 5843-5853. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2020-19789>
- Chen, G. K., Marjoram, P., dan Wall, J. D. 2009. Fast and flexible simulation of DNA sequence data. *Genome Research*. 19:136-142. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.083634.108>
- Cheng, H-W. 2010. Breeding of tomorrow's chickens to improve well-being. *Poult Sci*. 89: 805-813. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2009-00361>
- Chowdhury, M. 2020. Runs of Homozygosity Patterns of the Synbreed Chicken Diversity Panel. *Thesis*. Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Germany.
- Chu, T. T., Bastiaansen, J. W., Berg, P., Rome, H. J. S., Marois, D., et al. 2019. Use of genomic information to exploit genotype-by-environment interactions for body weight of broiler chicken in bio-secure and production environments. *Genetics Selection Evolution*. 51: 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-019-0493-3>
- Chu, T. T., Alemu, S. W., Norberg, E., Sørensen, A. C., Henshall, J., et al. 2018. Benefits of testing in both bio-secure and production environments in genomic selection breeding programs for commercial broiler chicken. *Genet Sel Evol*. 50: 52. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-018-0430-x>

- Colquitt, R. B., Colquhoun, D. A., dan Thiele, R. H. 2011. *In silico* modelling of physiologic systems. *Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol.* 25(4): 499-510. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpa.2011.08.006>
- Comings, D. E. and MacMurray, J. P. 2000. Molecular heterosis: a review. *Molecular Genetics and Metabolism.* 71: 19-31. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mgme.2000.3015>
- Covarrubias-Pazaran, G. 2016. Genome-assisted prediction of quantitative traits using the R package *sommer*. *PLoS ONE.* 11(6): e0156744. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0156744>
- Cowling, W. A., Gaynor, R. C., Antolin, R., Gorjanc, G., Edwards, S. M., et al. 2020. *In silico* simulation of future hybrid performance to evaluate heterotic pool formation in a self-pollinating crop. *Sci Rep.* 10: 4037. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-61031-0>
- Daetwyler, H. D., Calus, M. P. L., Pong-Wong, R., de los Campos, G., dan Hickey, J. M. 2013. Genomic prediction in animals and plants: simulation of data, validation, reporting, and benchmarking. *Genetics.* 193: 347-365. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.112.147983>
- Damayanti, P. A., Daryono, B. S., dan Mahardhika, I. W. S. 2019. Inheritance and comparison of phenotypic characters from hybrid chicken GK-Bro (*Gallus gallus* Linnaeus 1758). *Biogenesis.* 7(2): 94-99. <https://doi.org/10.24252/bio.v7i2.9493>
- Damaziak, K., Charuta, A., Niemiec, J., Tataara, M. R., Krupski, W., et al. 2019. Femur and tibia development in meat-type chickens with different growth potential for 56 days of rearing period. *Poultry Science.* 98(12): 7063-7075. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez445>
- Daryono, B. S. dan Muammar, A. 2013. The inheritance of phenotype character on chickens (*Gallus gallus domesticus* Linnaeus 1758) derived from between crosses between backcross first generation chickens BC1. *Conference Proceedings: International Symposium on Biological Engineering and Natural Science Asia Symposium on Engineering and Information.* 2013/07/27.
- Daryono, B. S. dan Mushlih, M. 2016. Pola pewarisan kaki rengket secara autosomal resesif dan koefisien *inbreeding* pada ayam Pelung di Cianjur. *Jurnal Veteriner.* 17(2): 218-225. <https://dx.doi.org/10.19087/jveteriner.2016.17.2.218>
- Daryono, B. S. dan Puspita, U. E. 2015. Pola pewarisan crest ayam (*Gallus gallus domesticus*, Linnaeus 1758) backcross hasil persilangan ayam Mahkota dengan ayam Kampung. *JSV.* 33(2): 134-142. <https://onsearch.id/Record/IOS1961.article-17884>
- Daryono, B. S., Mushlih, M., dan Perdamaian, A. B. I. 2020. Vocalization characters and forkhead box P2 (FoxP2) polymorphism in Indonesian crowing-type chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal*

- Science*. 10(1): 131-140.  
<https://doi.org/10.1001.1.2251628.2020.10.1.16.1>
- Daryono, B. S., Mushlih, M., dan Perdamaian, A. B. I. 2021. Crowing sound and inbreeding coefficient analysis of *Pelung* chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *BIODIVERSITAS*. 22(5): 2451-2457. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220501>
- Daryono, B. S., Roosdianto, I., dan Saragih, H. T. S. S. G. 2010. Pewarisan karakter fenotip ayam hasil persilangan ayam Pelung dengan ayam Cemani. *Jurnal Veteriner*. 11(4): 257-263. <https://onsearch.id/Record/IOS2744.96967>
- Daryono, B. S., Satriya, R., Rohmah, Z., dan Erwanto, Y. 2012. Penguatan industri bibit unggas nasional melalui produksi indukan Gama Ayam Lokal Unggul. *Jurnal Ilmu Pengetahuan dan Teknologi Tepat Guna UGM*. 1(2): 95-103. <https://onsearch.id/Record/IOS2744.37710>
- DeJian, Y., ZuoBin, Z., XiaoHua, T., Jie, K., Chen, Z., et al. 2014. Scoring the collective effects of SNPs: association of minor alleles with complex traits in model organisms. *Sci China Life Sci*. 57: 876-888. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-014-4704-4>
- Dekkers, J. C. M., Su, H., dan Cheng, J. 2021. Predicting the accuracy of genomic predictions. *Genet Sel Evol*. 53: 55. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-021-00647-w>
- Delaneau, O., Howie, B., Cox, A. J., Zagury, J-F., dan Marchini, J. 2013. Haplotype estimation using sequencing reads. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*. 93: 687-696. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajhg.2013.09.002>
- Delaneau, O., Marchini, J., dan the 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. 2014. Integrating sequence and array data to create an improved 1000 Genomes Project haplotype reference panel. *Nature Communications*. 5: 3934. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms4934>
- Delaneau, O., Zagury, J-F., Robinson, M. R., Marchini, J. L., dan Dermitzakis, E. T. 2019. Accurate, scalable, and integrative haplotype estimation. *Nature Communications*. 10: 5436. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-13225-y>
- Duarte, J. L. G., Gori, A-S., Hubin, X., Lourenco, D., Charlier, C., et al. 2020. Performances of Adaptive MultiBLUP, Bayesian regressions, and weighted-GBLUP approaches for genomic predictions in Belgian Blue beef cattle. *BMC Genomics*. 21: 545. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-020-06921-3>
- Dupont, E. dan Martensen, H. 2007. Multilevel modelling and time series analysis in traffic research – Methodology. Deliverable D7.4 of the EU FP6 project SafetyNet. Loughborough University. Loughborough.
- Editorial. 2010. Is there a leptin gene in the chicken genome? Lessons from phylogenetics, bioinformatics, and genomics. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*. 167: 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.10.006>



- Ehret, G. B. 2010. Genome-wide association studies: contribution of genomics to understanding blood pressure and essential hypertension. *Curr Hypertens Rep.* 12(1): 17-25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-009-0086-6>
- Elferink, M. 2011. Genetic variation in the chicken genome: insights in selection. *Thesis: Wageningen University*. Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Elferink, M. G., van As, P., Veenendaal, T., Crooijmans, R. P. M. A., dan Groenen, M. A. M. 2010. Regional differences in recombination hotspots between two chicken populations. *BMC Genetics.* 11: 11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-11-11>
- Ernanto, A. R., Afifah, D., Lesmana, I., dan Daryono, B. S. 2018. Isolation of DNA from chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus* Linnaeus 1758) feather with lysis buffer-phenol chloroform isoamyl alcohol method (PCI) and chelex method. *AIP Conf Proc.* 2002(020002): 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5050098>
- Esfandyari, H. 2016. Genomic selection for crossbred performance. *Joint PhD Thesis: Wageningen University and Aarhus University*. Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Esfandyari, H., Bijma, P., Henryon, M., Christensen, O. F., dan Sørensen, A. C. 2016. Genomic prediction of crossbred performance based on purebred Landrace and Yorkshire data using a dominance model. *Genetics Selection Evolution.* 48: 40. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-016-0220-2>
- Eynard, S. E. 2018. Using genomic information to conserve genetic diversity in livestock. *Joint PhD Thesis: AgroParisTech and Wageningen University*. Wageningen, Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.18174/428639>
- Fatai, R. B., Akinyemi, M. O., dan Osaiyuwu, O. H. 2020. *In silico* analysis of single nucleotide polymorphism in *INHA* gene of sheep and goats. *Biotechnology Journal International.* 24(2): 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.9734/BJI/2020/v24i230098>
- Faux, A-M., Gorjanc, G., Gaynor, R. C., Battagin, M., Edwards, S. M., et al. 2016. AlphaSim: Software for breeding program simulation. *The Plant Genome.* 9(3): 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.3835/plantgenome2016.02.0013>
- Ferlito, C. dan Respatiadi, H. 2018. Reformasi kebijakan pada industri unggas di Indonesia. *Center for Indonesia Policy Studies.* <https://doi.org/10.35497/271879>
- Fischer, H. P. 2008. Mathematical modelling of complex biological systems: from parts lists to understanding systems behavior. *Alcohol Res Health.* 31(1): 49-59. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23584751/>
- Fogelholm, J., Henriksen, R., Höglund, A., Huq, N., Johnsson, M., et al. 2020. *CREBBP* and *WDR24* identified as candidate genes for quantitative variation in red-brown plumage colouration in the chicken. *Scientific Reports.* 10: 1161. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57710-7>

- Fogelholm, J., Inkabi, S., Höglund, A., Abbey-Lee, R., Johnsson, M., et al. 2019. Genetical genomics of tonic immobility in the chicken. *Genes*. 10(5): 341. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10050341>
- Forneris, N. S., Legarra, A., Vitezica, Z. G., Tsuruta, S., Aguilar, I., et al. 2015. Quality control of genotypes using heritability estimates of gene content at the marker. *Genetics*. 199(3): 675-681. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.114.173559>
- Forutan, M., Mahyari, S. A., Baes, C., Melzer, N., Schenkel, F. S., dan Sargolzaei, M. 2018. Inbreeding and runs of homozygosity before and after genomic selection in North American Holstein cattle. *BMC Genomics*. 19: 98. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-018-4453-z>
- Fragomeni, B. O., Lourenco, D. A. L., Masuda, Y., Legarra, A., dan Misztal, I. 2017. Incorporation of causative quantitative trait nucleotides in single-step GBLUP. *Genet Sel Evol*. 49: 59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-017-0335-0>
- Fulton, J. E. 2012. Genomic selection for poultry breeding. *Animal Frontiers*. 2(1): 30-36. <https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2011-0028>
- Gao, H., Christensen, O. F., Madsen, P., Nielsen, U. S., Zhang, Y., et al. 2012. Comparison on genomic predictions using three GBLUP methods and two single-step blending methods in the Nordic Holstein population. *Genet. Sel. Evol*. 44: 8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-44-8>
- Garcia-Baccino, C. A., Legarra, A., Christensen, O. F., Misztal, I., Pocnic, I., et al. 2017. Metafounders are related to  $F_{st}$  fixation indices and reduce bias in single-step genomic evaluations. *Genet Sel Evol*. 49: 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-017-0309-2>
- Garcia-Baccino, C. A., Lourenco, D. A. L., Miller, S., Cantet, R. J. C., dan Vitezica, Z. G. 2020. Estimating dominance genetic variances for growth traits in American Angus males using genomic models. *Journal of Animal Science*. 98(1): 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skz384>
- Ghosh, D. dan Chinnaiyan, A. M. 2005. Classification and selection of biomarkers in genomic data using LASSO. *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology*. 2005(2): 147-154. <https://doi.org/10.1155/JBB.2005.147>
- Ghosh, M., Sodhi, S. S., Sharma, N., Mongre, R. K., Kim, N., et al. 2016. An integrated *in silico* approach for functional and structural impact of non-synonymous SNPs in the *MYH1* gene in Jeju Native Pigs. *BMC Genetics*. 17: 35. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-016-0341-1>
- Goddard, M. E. dan Hayes, B. J. 2007. Genomic selection. *J Anim Breed. Genet*. 124(6): 323-330. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0388.2007.00702.x>
- Gorjanc, G. dan Hickey, J. M. 2018. AlphaMate: a program for optimizing selection, maintenance of diversity and mate allocation in breeding programs. *Bioinformatics*. 34(19): 3408-3411. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty375>

- Gorssen, W., Meyermans, R., Janssens, S., dan Buys, N. 2021. A publicly available repository of ROH islands reveals signatures of selection in different livestock and pet species. *Genet Sel Evol.* 53: 2. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-020-00599-7>
- Groenen, M. A. M., Cheng, H. H., Bumstead, N., Benkel, B. F., Briles, W. E., et al. 2000. A consensus linkage map of the chicken genome. *Genome Research.* 10(1): 137-147. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10645958/>
- Groenen, M. A. M., Wahlberg, P., Foglio, M., Cheng, H. H., Megens, H-J., et al. 2008. A high-density SNP-based linkage map of the chicken genome reveals sequence features correlated with recombination rate. *Genome Research.* 19: 510-519. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.086538.108>
- Grupioni, N. V., Stafuzza, N. B., Carvajal, A. B., Ibelli, A. M. G., Peixoto, J. O., et al. 2017. Association of *RUNX2* and *TNFSF11* genes with production traits in a paternal broiler line. *Genet Mol Res.* 16(1): gmr16019443. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4238/gmr16019443>
- Guo, J., Qu, L., Dou, T-C., Shen, M-M., Hu, Y-P., et al. 2020. Genome-wide association study provides insights into the genetic architecture of bone size and mass in chickens. *Genome.* 63(3): 133-143. <https://doi.org/10.1139/gen-2019-0022>
- Guo, J., Sun, C., Qu, L., Shen, M., Dou, T., et al. 2017. Genetic architecture of bone quality variation in layer chickens revealed by a genome-wide association study. *Scientific Reports.* 7: 45317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep45317>
- Habier, D., Fernando, R. L., dan Dekkers, J. C. M. 2009. Genomic selection using low-density marker panels. *Genetics.* 182(1): 343-353. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.108.100289>
- Habimana, R., Okeno, T. O., Ngeno, K., Mboumba, S., Assami, P., et al. 2020. Genetic diversity and population structure of indigenous chicken in Rwanda using microsatellite markers. *PLoS ONE.* 15(4): e0225084. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225084>
- Harris, A. M., Garud, N. R., dan DeGiorgio, M. 2018. Detection and classification of hard and soft sweeps from unphased genotypes by multilocus genotype identity. *Genetics.* 210(4): 1429-1452. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301502>
- Harville, D. A. 1977. Maximum likelihood approaches to variance component estimation and to related problems. *Journal of the American Statistical Association.* 72(358): 320- 338.
- Hay, E. H. dan Roberts, A. 2019. Genomic evaluation of genotype by prenatal nutritional environment interaction for maternal traits in a composite beef cattle breed. *Livestock Science.* 229: 118-125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.09.022>



- Hayes, B. dan Daetwyler, H. 2015. Genomic Breeding Programs and Validation. Armidale Summer Course 2015. University of New England, Armidale.
- Heidaritabar, M. 2016. Genomic selection in egg-laying chickens. *Thesis: Wageningen University*. Wageningen, Netherlands.
- Heidaritabar, M., Vereijken, A., Muir, W. M., Meuwissen, T., Cheng, H., et al. 2014. Systematic differences in the response of genetic variation to pedigree and genome-based selection methods. *Heredity*. 113: 503-513. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2014.55>
- Henderson, C. R. 1985. Best linear unbiased prediction using relationship matrices derive from selected base populations. *J Dairy Sci*. 68: 443-448.
- Hickey, J. M. dan Gorjanc, G. 2012. Simulated data for genomic selection and genome-wide association studies using a combination of coalescent and gene drop methods. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 2(4): 425-427. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.111.001297>
- Hickey, J. M., Dreisigacker, S., Crossa, J., Hearne, S., Babu, R., et al. 2015. Evaluation of genomic selection training population designs and genotyping strategies in plant breeding programs using simulation. *Crop Sci*. 54(4): 1476-1488. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2013.03.0195>
- Huang, S. 2016. New thoughts on an old riddle: What determines genetic diversity within and between species? *Genomics*. 108(1): 3-10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ygeno.2016.01.008>
- Howard, R., Carriquiry, A. L., dan Beavis, W. D. 2014. Parametric and nonparametric statistical methods for genomic selection of traits with additive and epistatic genetic architectures. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 4(6): 1027-1046. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.114.010298>
- Ikeobi, C. O. N., Woolliams, J. A., Morrice, D. R., Law, A., Windsor, D., et al. 2002. Quantitative trait loci affecting fatness in the chicken. *Animal Genetics*. 33(6): 428-435. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.2002.00911.x>
- International Chicken Genome Sequencing Consortium. 2004. Sequence and comparative analysis of the chicken genome provide unique perspectives on vertebrate evolution. *Nature*. 432: 695-777. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03154>
- International Chicken Polymorphism Map Consortium. 2004. A genetic variation map for chicken with 2.8 million single-nucleotide polymorphisms. *Nature*. 432: 717-722. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature03156>
- John-Jaja, S. A., Abdullah, A-R., dan Nwokolo, S. C. 2018. Heritability estimates of external egg quality traits of Exotic Laying Chickens under the influence of age variance in the tropics. *Journal of the Saudi Society of Agricultural Sciences*. 17: 359-364. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jssas.2016.07.007>
- Johnsson, M., Jonsson, K. B., Andersson, L., Jensen, P., dan Wright, D. 2016. Quantitative trait locus and genetical genomics analysis identifies putatively

- causal genes for fecundity and brooding in the chicken. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 6(2): 311-319. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.115.024299>
- Johnsson, M., Ros-Freixedes, R., Gorjanc, G., Campbell, M. A., Naswa, S., et al. 2018. Sequence variation, evolutionary constraint, and selection at the *CD163* gene in pigs. *Genet Sel Evol*. 50: 69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-018-0440-8>
- Jones, K. 2010. An Introduction to statistical modelling. In: Somekh, B., Lewin, C. (Eds.), *Theory and Methods in Social Research*. 2nd ed. Sage Publications, London, pp 241–255.
- Junqueira, V. S., Lopes, P. S., Lourenco, D., Silva, F. F., dan Cardoso, F. F. 2020. Applying the metafounders approach for genomic evaluation in a multibreed beef cattle population. *Frontiers in Genetics*. 11: 556399. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2020.556399>
- Kaizer, J., Heller, A. K., dan Oberkamp, W. L. 2015. Scientific computer simulation review. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*. 138: 210-218. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ress.2015.01.020>
- Kang, H., Zhou, L., Mrode, L., Zhang, Q., dan Liu, J-F. 2016. Incorporating single-step strategy into random regression model to enhance genomic prediction of longitudinal trait. *Heredity*. 119: 459-467. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2016.91>
- Kapell, D. N. R. G., Hill, W. G., Neeteson, A-M., McAdam, J., Koerhuis, A. N. M., dan Avendaño, S. 2012. Genetic parameters of foot-pad dermatitis and body weight in purebred broiler lines in 2 contrasting environments. *Poultry Science*. 91(3): 565-574. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps.2011-01934>
- Karaman, E., Lund, M. S., Anche, M. T., Janss, L., dan Su, G. 2018. Genomic prediction using multi-trait weighted GBLUP accounting for heterogeneous variances and covariances across the genome. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 8(11): 3549-3558. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200673>
- Karimi, K., Sargolzaei, M., Plastow, G. S., Wang, Z., dan Miar, Y. 2019. Opportunities for genomic selection in American mink: a simulation study. *PLoS ONE*. 14(3): e0213873. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213873>
- Karssen, L. C., van Duijn, C. M. dan Aulchenko, Y. S. 2016. The GenABEL Project for statistical genomics [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. *F1000Research*. 5: 914. <https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.8733.1>
- Kelleher, J., Thornton, K. R., Ashander, J., dan Ralph, P. L. 2018. Efficient pedigree recording for fast population genetics simulation. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 14(11): e1006581. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1006581>
- Khan, M. A. 2021. Introduction to different measures of linkage disequilibrium (LD) and their calculation. University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign.
- Kilatsih, R., Perdamaian, A. B. I., Joko, T., Purwanto, S. H., dan Daryono, B. S. 2020. Effect analysis of prolactin (*PRL*) gene polymorphisms on chicken egg productivity (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) BC1 from crossbreeding between

- Pelung and Layer chicken. *Iranian Journal of Applied Animal Science*. 10(4): 717-726. <https://dorl.net/dor/20.1001.1.2251628.2020.10.4.16.7>
- Kranis, A., Gheyas, A. A., Boschiero, C., Turner, F., Yu, L., et al. 2013. Development of a high density 600K SNP genotyping array for chicken. *BMC Genomics*. 14: 59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-59>
- Kriegman, S., Blackiston, D., Levin, M., dan Bongard, J. 2020. A scalable pipeline for designing reconfigurable organisms. *PNAS*. 117(4): 1853-1859. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1910837117>
- Kriegman, S., Blackiston, D., Levin, M., dan Bongard, J. 2021. Kinematic self-replication in reconfigurable organisms. *PNAS*. 118(49): e2112672118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2112672118>
- Kurnia, R. R., Lesmana, I., Ernanto, A. R., Perdamaian, A. B. I., Trijoko, dan Daryono, B. S. 2021. The association of follicle stimulating hormone receptor (FSHR) gene polymorphism of on egg productivity in hybrid chicken (*Gallus gallus gallus*, Linnaeus 1758). *BIODIVERSITAS*. 22(3): 1221-1226. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d220318>
- Lai, E., Danner, A. L., Famula, T. R., dan Oberbauer, A. M. 2020. Genome-wide association studies reveal susceptibility loci for digital dermatitis in Holstein cattle. *Animals*. 10: 2009. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10112009>
- Lande, R. dan Thompson, R. 2000. Efficiency of marker-assisted selection in the improvement of quantitative traits. *Genetics*. 124(3): 743-756. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/124.3.743>
- Lamy, P., Andersen, C. L., Wikman, F. P., dan Wiuf, C. 2006. Genotyping and annotation of Affymetrix SNP arrays. *Nucleic Acid Res*. 34(14): e100. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkl475>
- Larivière, J-M., Michaux, C., Verleyen, V., dan Leroy, P. 2009. Heritability estimate and response to selection for body weight in the Ardennaise chicken breed. *International Journal of Poultry Science*. 8(10): 952-956. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2009.952.956>
- Latifi, M., Rashidi, A., Abdollahi-Arpanahi, R., Razmkabir, M. 2020. Comparison of different selection methods for improving litter size in sheep using computer simulation. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*. 18(1): e0403. <https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2020181-15459>
- Legarra, A., Aguilar, I., dan Colleau, J. J. 2020. *Short communication*: Methods to compute genomic inbreeding for ungenotyped individuals. *J. Dairy Sci*. 103(4): 3363-3367. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2019-17750>
- Legarra, A., Robert-Granie, C., Croiseau, P., Guillaume, F., dan Fritz, S. 2011. Improved Lasso for genomic selection. *Genet Res Camb*. 93: 77-87. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0016672310000534>

- Lewis, R. M. 2018. Can on-line training be an effective tool to motivate and support learning in quantitative genetics and genomics? *Proceedings of the World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*. 11.972.
- Liu, Z., Yang, N., Yan, Y., Li, G., Liu, A., et al. 2019. Genome-wide association analysis of egg production performance in chickens across the whole laying period. *BMC Genetics*. 20: 67. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-019-0771-7>
- Lloyd, S. S., Steele, E. J., dan Dawkins, R. L. 2016. Analysis of haplotype sequences. *IntechOpen*. <https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/61794>
- Lopez, B. I., Lee, S-H., Park, J-E., Shin, D-H., Oh, J-D., et al. 2019. Weighted genomic best linear unbiased prediction for carcass traits in Hanwoo cattle. *Genes*. 10(12): 1019. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes10121019>
- Lorenz, A. J. 2013. Resource allocation for maximizing prediction accuracy and genetic gain of genomic selection in plant breeding: A simulation experiment. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 3(3): 481-491. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.112.004911>
- Lourenco, D., Legarra, A., Tsuruta, S., Masuda, Y., Aguilar, I., dan Misztal, I. 2020. Single-step genomic evaluations from theory to practice: using SNP chips and sequence data in BLUPF90. *Genes*. 11(7): 790. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11070790>
- Luan, T., Woolliams, J. A., Lien, S., Kent, M., Svendsen, M., dan Meuwissen, T. H. E. 2009. The accuracy of genomic selection in Norwegian red cattle assessed by cross-validation. *Genetics*. 183(3): 1119-1126. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.109.107391>
- Ma, X., Christensen, O. F., Gao, H., Huang, R., Nielse, B., et al. 2021. Prediction of breeding values for group-recorded traits including genomic information and an individually recorded correlated trait. *Heredity*. 126: 206-217. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-020-0339-3>
- Mahardhika, I. W. S. dan Daryono, B. S. 2019. Phenotypic performance of *Kambro* crossbreeds of female Broiler Cobb 500 and male Pelung Blirik Hitam. *Buletin Veteriner Udayana*. 11(2): 188-202. <https://doi.org/10.24843/bulvet.2019.v11.i02.p12>
- Mahardhika, I. W. S., Chasnaurosyiqoh, A. R., Chohansandhika, J., Sholiha, F. P., Indriarto, N. B., dan Daryono, B. S. 2020. Estimation of several commercial, phenotypic, and reproductive traits' performance using the quantitative genetic method for *Kamper* chicken line. *Biogenesis*. 8(2): 172-184. <https://doi.org/10.24252/bio.v8i2.15648>
- Mahardhika, I. W. S., Daryono, B. S., Dewi, A. A. C., Hidayat, S. N., Firmansyah, G. I., et al. 2020. Phenotypic traits, egg productivity, and body weight performance of *Gama Ayam BCI Kamper*. *Jurnal Peternakan*. 17(1): 6-16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.24014/jupet.v17i1.7331>

- Maldonado, C., Mora-Poblete, F., Contreras-Soto, R. I., Ahmar, S., Chen, J-T., et al. 2020. Genome-wide prediction of complex traits in two outcrossing plant species through deep learning and Bayesian regularized neural network. *Front Plant Sci.* 11:593897. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.593897>
- Malinovskaya, L. P., Tishakova, K. V., Volkova, N. A., Torgasheva, A. A., Tsepilov, Y. A., dan Borodin, P. M. 2019. Interbreed variation in meiotic recombination rate and distribution in the domestic chicken *Gallus gallus*. *Arch Anim Breed.* 62: 403-411. <https://doi.org/10.5194/aab-62-403-2019>
- Manjula, P., Park, H-B., Seo, D., Choi, N., Jin, S., et al. 2017. Estimation of heritability and genetic correlation of body weight gain and growth curve parameters in Korean native chicken. *Asian-Australian Journal of Animal Sciences.* 00: 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.17.0179>
- Mao, X., Sahana, G., Johansson, A. M., Liu, A., Ismael, A., et al. 2020. Genome-wide association mapping for dominance effects in female fertility using real and simulated data from Danish Holstein cattle. *Scientific Reports.* 10: 2953. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-59788-5>
- Marchesi, J. A. P., Ono, R. K., Cantão, M. E., Ibelli, A. M. G., Peixoto, J. O., et al. 2021. Exploring the genetic architecture of feed efficiency traits in chickens. *Scientific Reports.* 11: 4622. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84125-9>
- Marchesi, J. A., Sbardella, A. P., Joaquim, L. B., Cantao, M. E., Peixoto, J. O., et al. 2016. Linkage disequilibrium and effective population size in a paternal broiler line. *Proceedings of International Meeting of Advances in Animal Science*, 1. Brazil.
- Masuda, Y. 2018. Introduction to BLUPF90 suite programs. University of Georgia. <https://nce.ads.uga.edu/wiki/doku.php?id=documentation>
- Matsumoto, M. dan Nishimura, T. 1998. Mersenne twister: A 623-dimensionally equidistributed uniform pseudo-random number generator. *ACM Transactions on Modeling and Computer Simulation.* 8(1): 3-30.
- Maulidi, I. S., Puspita, U. E., Mahardhika, I. W. S., dan Daryono, B. S. 2020. The inheritance of phenotype character of feather color and growth of hybrid chicken (*Gallus gallus gallus*, Linnaeus 1758) derived from crossing of F1 *Kamper* and *Kambro*. *AIP Conf Proc.* 2260(060010): 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0017639>
- Medrano, J. F., Ahmadi, A., dan Casellas, J. 2010. Dairy cattle breeding simulation program: A simulation program to teach animal breeding principles and practices. *J. Dairy Sci.* 93: 2816-2826. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2640>
- Mendonça, M. A. C., Carvalho, C. R., dan Clarindo, W. R. 2016. DNA amount of chicken chromosomes resolved by image cytometry. *Caryologia.* 69(3): 201-206. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00087114.2016.1169086>
- Metzker, M. L. 2010. Sequencing technologies-the next generation. *Nature Reviews Genetics.* 11: 31-46. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2626>



- Meuwissen, T. 2003. Genomic selection: The future of marker assisted selection and animal breeding. Marker Assisted Selection: A Fast Track to Increase Genetic Gain in Plant and Animal Breeding? Session II: MAS in Animals. Institute for Animal Science and Aquaculture, Norway.
- Meuwissen, T. H. E., Hayes, B. J., dan Goddard, M. E. 2001. Prediction of total genetics value using genome-wide dense marker maps. *Genetics*. 157(4): 1819-1829. <https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/157.4.1819>
- Meuwissen, T., Hayes, B., dan Goddard, M. 2016. Genomic selection: a paradigm shift in animal breeding. *Animal Frontiers*. 6(1): 6-14. <https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2016-0002>
- Meuwissen, T., van den Berg, I., dan Goddard, M. 2021. On the use of whole-genome sequence data for across-breed genomic prediction and fine-scale mapping of QTL. *Genet Sel Evol*. 53: 19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-021-00607-4>
- Mignon-Grasteau, S., Chantry-Darmon, C., Boscher, M-Y., Sellier, N., Chabault-Dhuit, M., et al. 2016. Genetic determinism of bone and mineral metabolism in meat-type chickens: A QTL mapping study. *Bone Reports*. 5: 43-50. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bonr.2016.02.004>
- Misztal, I., Bradford, H. L., Lourenco, D. A. L., Tsuruta, S., Masuda, Y., et al. 2017. Studies on inflation of GEBV in single-step GBLUP for type. *Interbull Bulletin*. 51: 38-42.
- Misztal, I., Legarra, A., dan Aguilar, I. 2009. Computing procedures for genetic evaluation including phenotypic, full pedigree, and genomic information. *J Dairy Sci*. 92(9): 4648-4655. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2009-2064>
- Misztal, I., Legarra, A., dan Aguilar, I. 2014. Using recursion to compute the inverse of the genomic relationship matrix. *J Dairy Sci*. 97: 3943-3952. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2013-7752>
- Misztal, I., Lourenco, D., dan Legarra, A. 2020. Current status of genomic evaluation. *Journal of Animal Science*. 98(4): skaa101. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/skaa101>
- Misztal, I., Tsuruta, S., Aguilar, I., Legarra, A., VanRaden, P. M., Lawlor, T. J. 2012. Methods to approximate reliabilities in single-step genomic evaluation. *J. Dairy Sci*. 96: 647-654. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3168/jds.2012-5656>
- Misztal, I., Tsuruta, S., Lourenco, D. A. L., Masuda, Y., Aguilar, A., et al. 2018. Manual for BLUPF90 family of programs. [https://nce.ads.uga.edu/wiki/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=blupf90\\_all7.pdf](https://nce.ads.uga.edu/wiki/lib/exe/fetch.php?media=blupf90_all7.pdf)
- Misztal, I., Tsuruta, S., Pocrnic, I., dan Lourenco, D. 2019. Changes in predictions when using different core animals in the APY algorithm. *Proceedings of the 70<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting EAAP*. Ghent, Belgium; p. 593.
- Momen, M., Mehrgardi, A. A., Sheikhi, A., Kranis, A., Tusell, L., et al. 2018. Predictive ability of genome-assisted statistical models under various forms of

gene action. *Scientific Reports*. 8: 12309. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-30089-2>

Momoh, O. M., Vincent, S. T., dan Yakubu, A. 2016. *In silico* analyses of  $\beta$ -LG gene in some mammalian species. *Global Journal of Animal Scientific Research*. 31(1): 327-338. <https://doi.org/10.2298/BAH1503327M>

Mota, L. F. M., Lopes, F. B., Júnior, G. A. F., Rosa, G. J. M., Magalhães, A. F. B., et al. 2020. Genome-wide scan highlights the role of candidate genes on phenotypic plasticity for age at first calving in Nellore heifers. *Scientific Reports*. 10: 6481. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-63516-4>

Motta, S. dan Pappalardo, F. 2012. Mathematical modeling of biological systems. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*. 14(4): 411-422. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbs061>

Mrode, R., Ojango, J. M. K., Okeyo, A. M., dan Mwacharo, J. M. 2019. Genomic selection and use of molecular tools in breeding programs for indigenous and crossbred cattle in developing countries: current status and future prospects. *Front Genet*. 9: 694. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00694>

Mukhopadhyay, C. S., Choudhary, R. K., dan Kumar, D. 2016. Genomic selection hands on: using GenSel and rrBLUP package. *Conference Paper*. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.1858.6649>

Muleta, K. T., Pressoir, G., dan Morris, G. P. 2019. Optimizing genomic selection for a sorghum breeding program in Haiti: A simulation study. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 9(2): 391-401. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200932>

Munoz, F. 2017. BreedR Overview. <https://raw.githubusercontent.com/wiki/famuvie/breedR/Overview.pdf>

Muqaddasi, Q. H., Brassac, J., Ebmeyer, E., Kollers, S., Korzun, V., et al. 2020. Prospects of GWAS and predictive breeding for European winter wheat's grain protein content, grain starch content, and grain hardness. *Scientific Reports*. 10: 12541. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69381-5>

Narinç, D. dan Genç, B. A. 2021. Genetic parameter estimates of fear, growth, and carcass characteristics in Japanese quail. *Turk J Vet Anim Sci*. 45: 272-280. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3906/vet-2007-43>

Neaves, L. E., Eales, J., Whitlock, R., Hollingsworth, P. M., Burke, T., dan Pullin, A. S. 2015. The fitness consequences of inbreeding in natural populations and their implications for species conservation – a systematic map. *Environmental Evidence*. 4:5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-015-0031-x>

Neumaier, A. dan Groeneveld, E. 1996. Restricted maximum likelihood estimation of covariances in sparse linear models.

Ni, G., Kipp, S., Simianer, H., dan Erbe, M. 2017. Accuracy of genomic breeding values revisited: Assessment of two established approaches and a novel one to determine the accuracy in two-step genomic prediction. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*. 134: 242-255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12273>

- Noyes, H. A., Daly, D., Goodhead, I., Kay, S., Kemp, S. J., et al. 2015. A simple procedure for directly obtaining haplotype sequences of diploid genomes. *BMC Genomics*. 16: 642. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-015-1818-4>
- O'Neill, M. 2013. *The mathematics of REML*. A workshop conducted at Universitas Brawijaya, Malang, Indonesia.
- Obšteter, J., Jenko, J., Hickey, J. M., dan Gorjanc, G. 2018. Testing different genomic selection scenarios in a small cattle population with simulation. *Proceedings of the World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*. Volume Genetic Gain-Breeding Strategies 1, 11. 496.
- Ono, T., Ohara, K., Ishikawa, A., Kouguchi, T., Nagano, A. J., et al. 2019. Mapping of quantitative trait loci for growth and carcass-related traits in chickens using a restriction-site associated DNA sequencing method. *J Poult Sci*. 56: 166-176. <https://doi.org/10.2141/jpsa.0180066>
- Otyama, P. I., Wilkey, A., Kulkarni, R., Assefa, T., Chu, Y., et al. 2019. Evaluation of linkage disequilibrium, population structure, and genetic diversity in the U.S. peanut mini core collection. *BMC Genomics*. 20: 481. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-019-5824-9>
- Park, M. N., Alam, M., Kim, S., Park, B., Lee, S. H., dan Lee, S. S. 2020. Genomic selection through single-step genomic best linear unbiased prediction improves the accuracy of evaluation in Hanwoo cattle. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 33(10): 1544-1557. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0936>
- Park, M. N., Choi, J. A., Lee, K-T., Lee, H-J., Choi, B-H., et al. 2013. Genome-wide association study of chicken plumage pigmentation. *Asian Australas J Anim Sci*. 26(11): 1523-1528. <https://dx.doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2013.13413>
- Patterson, N. dan Thompson, R. 1971. Recovery of inter-block information when block sizes are unequal. *Biometrika*. 58(3): 545-554. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/58.3.545>
- Patterson, N., Price, A. L., dan Reich, D. 2006. Population structure and eigenanalysis. *PLoS Genetics*. 2(12): e190. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.0020190>
- Paxton, H., Anthony, N. B., Corr, S. A., dan Hutchinson, J. R. 2010. The effects of selective breeding on the architectural properties of the pelvic limb in broiler chickens: a comparative study across modern and ancestral populations. *J Anat*. 217: 153-166. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7580.2010.01251.x>
- Peng, B. dan Kimmel, M. 2005. simuPOP: A forward-time population genetics simulation environment. *Bioinformatics*. 21(18): 3686-3687. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti584>
- Perdamaian, A. B. I., Saragih, H. T. S. S. G., dan Daryono, B. S. 2017. Effect of varying level of crude protein and energy on insulin-like growth factor-1 expression level in Indonesian hybrid chicken. *Int J Poult Sci*. 16(1): 1-5. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2017.1.5>

- Perdamaian, A. B. I., Trijoko, dan Daryono, B. S. 2017. Pertumbuhan dan keseragaman warna bulu ayam persilangan balik (BC2) hasil seleksi genetik persilangan ayam Pelung dengan ayam Pedaging. *Jurnal Veteriner*. 18(4): 557-564. <https://doi.org/10.19087/jveteriner.2017.18.4.557>
- Pérez-Enciso, M. dan Zingaretti, L. M. 2019. A guide on deep learning for complex trait genomic prediction. *Genes*. 10: 553. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/genes10070553>
- Pérez-Enciso, M., Ramírez-Ayala, L. C., dan Zingaretti, L. M. 2020. SeqBreed: A python tool to evaluate genomic prediction in complex scenarios. *Genet Sel Evol*. 52: 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-020-0530-2>
- Pértille, F., Zanella, R., Felicio, A. M., Ledur, M. C., Peixoto, J. O., dan Coutinho, L. L. 2015. Identification of polymorphisms associated with production traits on chicken (*Gallus gallus*) chromosome 4. *Genetics and Molecular Research*. 14(3): 10717-10728. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.September.9.11>
- Piccoli, M. L., Brito, L. F., Braccini, J., Brito, F. V., Cardoso, F. F., et al. 2018. A comprehensive comparison between single and two-step GBLUP methods in a simulated beef cattle population. *Can J Anim Sci*. 98: 565–575. <https://dx.doi.org/10.1139/cjas-2017-0176>
- Plate, M., Bernstein, R., Hoppe, A., dan Bienefeld, K. 2019. Comparison of infinitesimal and finite locus models for long-term breeding simulations with direct and maternal effects at the example of honeybees. *PLoS ONE*. 14(3): e0213270. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0213270>
- Pook, T., Büttgen, L., Ganesan, A., Ha, N-T., dan Simianer, H. 2021. MoBPSweb: A web-based framework to simulate and compare breeding programs. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 11(2): jkab023. <https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkab023>
- Pook, T., Schlather, M., dan Simianer, H. 2020. MoBPS-modular breeding program simulator. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 10(6): 1915-1918. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.401193>
- Pritchard, J. K., Pickrell, J. K., dan Coop, G. 2010. The genetics of human adaptation: hard sweeps, soft sweeps, and polygenic adaptation. *Curr Biol*. 20(4): R208-R215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.11.055>
- Pym, R. 2010. Poultry genetics and breeding in developing countries. *Poultry Development Review*. p: 1-3
- Qanbari, S., Rubin, C-J., Maqbool, K., Weigend, S., Weigend, A., et al. 2019. Genetics of adaptation in modern chicken. *PLoS Genetics*. 15(4): e1007989. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007989>
- R2D2 Consortium, Fugerey-Scarbel, A., Bastien, C., Dupont-Nivet, M., dan Lemarié, S. 2021. Why and how to switch to genomic selection: lessons from plant and animal breeding experience. *Frontiers in Genetics*. 12: 629737. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2021.629737>

- Ragognetti, B. N. N., Stafuzza, N. B., Silva, T. B. R., Chud, T. C. S., Grupioni, N. V., et al. 2015. Genetic parameters and mapping quantitative trait loci associated with tibia traits in broilers. *Genetics and Molecular Research*. 14(4): 17544-17554. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4238/2015.December.21.27>
- Rédei, G. P. 2008. Chicken. In: Encyclopedia of Genetics, Genomics, Proteomics and Informatics. Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6754-9\\_2823](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-6754-9_2823)
- Retnoaji, B., Akiyama, R., Matta, T., Bessho, Y., dan Matsui, T. 2014. Retinoic acid controls proper head-to-trunk linkage in zebrafish by regulating an anteroposterior somitogenetic rate difference. *Development*. 141: 158-165. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.097568>
- Retnoaji, B., Wulandari, R., Nurhidayat, L., dan Daryono, B.S. 2016. Osteogenesis study of hybrids of Indonesia's native chicken Pelung (*Gallus gallus domesticus*) with Broiler (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*. 11: 498-504. <https://doi.org/10.3923/ajava.2016.498.504>
- Retnosari, D., Kilatsih, R., Maulidi, I. S., Trijoko, dan Daryono, B. S. 2020. Polymorphism association of *pituitary positive transcription factor-1* gene with body weight traits in BC<sub>1</sub> hybrid chicken (*Gallus gallus gallus* Linnaeus 1758) from cross breeding between female F<sub>1</sub> Broiler and male Pelung. *World's Veterinary Journal*. 10(4): 525-530. <https://dx.doi.org/10.54203/scil.2020.wvj63>
- Robinson, G. K. 1991. That BLUP is a good thing: the estimation of random effects. *Statist Sci*. 6(1): 15-32. <https://doi.org/10.1214/ss/1177011926>
- Rodríguez-Valera, Y., Rocha, D., Naves, M., Renand, G., Pérez-Pineda, E., et al. 2020. The identification of runs of homozygosity gives a focus on the genetic diversity and adaptation of the "Charolais de Cuba" cattle. *Animals*. 10: 2233. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10122233>
- Rutten, M. J. M., Bijma, P., Woolliams, J. A., dan van Arendonk, J. A. M. 2002. SelAction: Software to predict selection response and rate of inbreeding in livestock breeding programs. *Journal of Heredity*. 93(6): 456-458. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/93.6.456>
- Sahebalam, H., Gholizadeh, M., Hafezian, H., dan Farhadi, A. 2019. Comparison of parametric, semiparametric, and nonparametric methods in genomic evaluation. *Journal of Genetics*. 98: 102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12041-019-1149-3>
- Salkind, N. J. 2010. Random-effects models. In: Encyclopedia of Research Design. Encyclopedia. <https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781412961288.n360>
- Saragih, H. T. S., Viniwidiastuti, F., Lembayu, R. P., Kinanthi, A. R., Kurnianto, H., dan Lesmana, I. 2019. Karakteristik fenotip ayam broiler eksotik, kampung, layer eksotik jantan, KUB-1 dan Pelung. *JITV*. 24(1): 9-14. <https://dx.doi.org/10.14334/jitv.v24i1.1889>



- Saragih, H. T. S. S. G. dan Daryono, B. S. 2010. Histological study on the pancreatic  $\beta$ -cell number of indigenous chicks in first crossbred (F1). *J Indonesian Trop Anim Agric.* 35(3): 201-205. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jitaa.35.3.201-205>
- Saragih, H. T. S. S. G., Roosdianto, I., dan Daryono, B. S. 2017. Pectoralis thoracicus muscle performance of hybrid chicken (F1) derived from crossbreed between Broiler and Pelung (*Gallus gallus gallus*). *Jurnal Kedokteran Hewan.* 11(2): 62-64. <https://doi.org/10.21157/j.ked.hewan.v11i2.2282>
- Saragih, H. T. S. S. G., Utomo, R. T., Perdamaian, A. B. I., Puspita, U. E., Lesmana, I., et al. 2016. The effect of early posthatch local feed in pectoralis muscle of Jawa Super chicks (*Gallus gallus domesticus*). *AIP Conf. Proc.* 1755: 140003:1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4958564>
- Sargolzaei, M. dan Schenkel, F. S. 2009. QMSim: a large-scale genome simulator for livestock. *Bioinformatics.* 25(5): 680-681. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp045>
- Sargolzaei, M., Schenkel, F. S., dan VanRaden, P. M. 2009. *GBV: Genomic breeding value estimator for livestock*. Tech Rep to Dairy Cattle Breed Genet Comm.
- Saxena, V. K. dan Kolluri, G. 2018. Selection methods in poultry breeding: From genetics to genomics. *IntechOpen.* <https://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.77966>
- Scheper, C., Wensch-Dorendorf, M., Yin, T., Dressel, H., Swalve, H., dan König, S. 2016. Evaluation of breeding strategies for polledness in dairy cattle using a newly developed simulation framework for quantitative and Mendelian traits. *Genetics Selection Evolution.* 48: 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-016-0228-7>
- Scherer, A. 2015. *Genomic Prediction in Agriculture*. Golden Helix, Inc.
- Scherer, A. 2017. *GWAS 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition*. Golden Helix, Inc.
- Seno, L. de O., Guidolin, D. G. F., Aspilcueta-Borquis, R. R., do Nascimento, G. B., da Silva, T. B. R., et al. 2018. Genomic selection in dairy cattle simulated populations. *J. Dairy Res.* 85: 125-132. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029918000304>
- Seno, L. de O., Nascimento, G. B., Guidolin, D. G. F., Matos, M. C., Buzanskas, M. E., et al. 2014. Genomic selection in simulated dairy cattle populations. *Conference: 51<sup>a</sup> Reunião Anual da Sociedade Brasileira de Zootecnia.*
- Seroussi, E., Cinnamon, Y., Yosefi, S., Genin, O., Smith, J. G., et al. 2016. Identification of the long-sought leptin in chicken and duck: expression pattern of the highly GC-rich avian leptin fits an autocrine/paracrine rather than endocrine function. *Endocrinology.* 157(2): 737-751. <https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2015-1634>

- Seroussi, E., Knytl, M., Pitel, F., Elleder, D., Krylov, V., et al. 2019. Avian expression patterns and genomic mapping implicate leptin in digestion and TNF in immunity, suggesting that their interaction adipokine role has been acquired only in mammals. *Int J Mol Sci.* 20: 4489. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20184489>
- Seroussi, E., Pitel, F., Leroux, S., Morisson, M., Bornelöv, S., et al. 2017. Mapping of leptin and its syntenic genes to chicken chromosome 1p. *BMC Genetics.* 18: 77. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-017-0543-1>
- Sharman, P. W. A., Morrice, D. R., Law, A. S., Burt, D. W., dan Hocking, P. M. 2007. Quantitative trait loci for bone traits segregating independently of those for growth in an F2 broiler x layer cross. *Cytogenet Genome Res.* 117: 296-304. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000103191>
- Silva, T. d. L., Carneiro, P. L. S., Ambrosini, D. P., Lôbo, R. B., Filho, R. M., dan Malhado, C. H. M. 2019. Genotype-environment interaction in the genetic variability analysis of reproductive traits in Nellore cattle. *Livestock Science.* 230: 103825. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.livsci.2019.103825>
- Simm, G. 2000. *Genetic Improvement of Cattle and Sheep*. Farming Press, Miller Freeman, UK. dalam van de Werf, J. 2013. Principles of Estimation of Breeding Values.
- Simm, G. 2000. *Genetic Improvement of Cattle and Sheep*. Farming Press, Miller Freeman, UK. dalam van de Werf, J. 2013. Selection Index Theory: Relatives.
- Steenbergen, M. R. and Jones, B. S. 2002. Modelling multilevel data structures. *American Journal Political Science Association.* 46(1): 218-237. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3088424>
- Strandén, I., Kantanen, J., Russo, I-R. M., Orozco-terWengel, P., Bruford, M. W., dan the Climgen Consortium. 2019. Genomic selection strategies for breeding adaptation and production in dairy cattle under climate change. *Heredity.* 123: 307-317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-019-0207-1>
- Sun, X., 2012. Models and methods for computer simulations as a resource in plant breeding. *Dissertation University of Illinois.* Urbana, Illinois, United States.
- Sung, Y. J., Simino, J., Kume, R., Basson, J., Schwander, K., dan Rao, D. C. 2014. Comparison of two methods for analysis of gene–environment interactions in longitudinal family data: the Framingham heart study. *Frontiers in Genetics.* 5: 9. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2014.00009>
- Sved, J. A. 2010. Genetics computer teaching simulation programs: Promise and problems. *Genetics.* 185(4): 1537-1540. <https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.110.116640>
- Szyda, J., Ptak, E., Komisarek, J., dan Żarnecki, A. 2008. Practical application of daughter yield deviations in dairy cattle breeding. *J Appl Genet.* 49(2): 183-191. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03195611>

- Tanjung, A., Saragih, H. T. S. S. G., Trijoko, Poerwanto S. H., Widiyanto, S., et al. 2019. Polymorphism of myostatin gene and its association with body weight traits in a hybrid of GAMA chicken (*Gallus gallus domesticus* Linn 1758). *BIODIVERSITAS*. 20(11): 3207-3212. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d201113>
- Täubert, H., Reinhardt, F., dan Simianer, H. 2010. ZPLAN+ A new software to evaluate and optimize animal breeding programs.
- Teissier, M., Larroque, H., dan Robert-Granie, C. 2019. Accuracy of genomic evaluation with weighted single-step genomic best linear unbiased prediction for milk production traits, udder type traits, and somatic cell scores in French dairy goats. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 102(4): 3142-3154. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2018-15650>
- Thirunavukkarasu, N., Hossain, F., Shiriga, K., Mittal, S., Arora, K., et al. 2013. Unraveling the genetic architecture of subtropical maize (*Zea mays* L.) lines to assess their utility in breeding programs. *BMC Genomics*. 14: 877. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2164-14-877>
- Troyer, A. F. dan Wellin, E. J. 2009. Heterosis decreasing in hybrids: Yield test inbreds. *Crop Science*. 49(6): 1969-1976. <https://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci2009.04.0170>
- Turner, S. D. 2018. qqman: an R package for visualizing GWAS results using Q-Q and manhattan plots. *The Journal of Open Source Software*. 3(25): 731. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00731>
- Tuszynski, J. A., Winter, P., White, D., Tseng, C-Y., Sahu, K. K., et al. 2014. Mathematical and computational modeling in biology at multiple scales. *Theoretical Biology and Medical Modelling*. 11(52): 1-42. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-4682-11-52>
- Ummah, I. M. dan Daryono, B. S. 2017. Inheritance of phenotypic character on hybrid chicken F2 derived from crosses between female F1 *Kamper* with male F1 *Kamper*. *Proceedings of PERIPI-2017 International Seminar* October 2nd, 2017, Bogor, Indonesia. p:278-285.
- Ummah, I. M., Mahardhika, I. W. S., dan Daryono, B. S. 2019. Morphological traits, productive performance, and genotyping fat deposition *PPAR* gene in Gama Ayam crossbreeds of female F1 *Kamper* and male BC1 *Kambro*. *Biogenesis*. 7(2): 106-115. <https://doi.org/10.24252/bio.v7i2.9993>
- Utama, I. V., Perdamaian, A. B. I., dan Daryono, B. S. 2018. Plumage uniformity, growth rate, and growth hormone polymorphism in Indonesia hybrid chickens. *Int J Poult Sci*. 17(10): 486-492. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ijps.2018.486.492>
- Vaarst, M., Steinfeldt, S., dan Horsted, K. 2015. Sustainable development perspectives of poultry production. *World's Poult Sci Assoc*. 71(4): 609-620. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933915002433>

- Vallejo, R. L., Silva, R. M. O., Evenhuis, J. P., Gao, G., Liu, S., et al. 2018. Accurate genomic predictions for BCWD resistance in rainbow trout are achieved using low-density SNP panels: Evidence that long-range LD is a major contributing factor. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*. 135: 263-274. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbg.12335>
- van de Schoot, R., Depaoli, S., King, R., Kramer, B., Martens, K., et al. 2021. Bayesian statistics and modelling. *Nat Rev Methods Primers*. 1(1): 1-26. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43586-020-00001-2>
- van der Werf, J. 2009. Selection Index Theory: Relatives. Genetic Evaluation and Breeding. The Australian Wool Education Trust, University of New England.
- van Grevenhof, E. M., Vandenplas, J., dan Calus, M. P. L. 2019. Genomic prediction for crossbred performance using metafounders. *J Anim Sci*. 97: 548-558. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jas/sky433>
- VanRaden, P. M. 2008. Efficient methods to compute genomic predictions. *Journal of Dairy Science*. 91: 4414-4423. <https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2007-0980>
- Varona, L., Legarra, A., Toro, M. A., dan Vitezica, Z. G. 2018. Non-additive effects in genomic selection. *Front Genet*. 9: 78. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2018.00078>
- Vasieva, O., Rasolonjanahary, M., dan Vasiev, B. 2013. Mathematical modelling in developmental biology. *Reproduction*. 145(6): 175-184. <https://doi.org/10.1530/REP-12-0081>
- Wang, C., Habier, D., Peiris, B. L., Wolc, A., Kranis, A., et al. 2013. Accuracy of genomic prediction using an evenly spaced, low-density single nucleotide polymorphism panel in broiler chickens. *Poult Sci*. 92: 1712-1723. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps.2012-02941>
- Wang, J., Yuan, X., Ye, S., Huang, S., He, Y., et al. 2019. Genome wide association study on feed conversion ratio using imputed sequence data in chickens. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*. 32(4): 494-500. <https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.18.0319>
- Wang, J., Zhou, Z., Zhang, Z., Li, H., Liu, D., et al. 2018. Expanding the BLUP alphabet for genomic prediction adaptable to the genetic architectures of complex traits. *Heredity*. 121: 648-662. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-018-0075-0>
- Wang, M-S., Thakur, M., Peng, M-S., Jiang, Y., Frantz, L. A. F., et al. 2020. 863 genomes reveal the origin and domestication of chicken. *Cell Research*. 30: 693-701. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41422-020-0349-y>
- Wang, X., Miao, J., Chang, T., Xia, J., An, B., et al. 2019. Evaluation of GBLUP, BayesB and elastic net for genomic prediction in Chinese Simmental beef cattle. *PLoS ONE*. 14(2): e0210442. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0210442>
- Warren, W. C., Hillier, L. W., Tomlinson, C., Minx, P., Kremitzki, M., et al. 2017. A new chicken genome assembly provides insight into avian genome structure.

*Genes Genomes Genetics.* 7(1): 109-117.  
<https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.116.035923>

Weller, J. I. dan Ron, M. 2011. Invited review: Quantitative trait nucleotide determination in the era of genomic selection. *J Dairy Sci.* 94(3): 1082-1090.  
<https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2010-3793>

Weng, Z., Wolc, A., Su, H., Fernando, R. L., Dekkers, J. C. M., et al. 2019. Identification of recombination hotspots and quantitative trait loci for recombination rate in layer chicken. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology.* 10: 20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40104-019-0332-y>

Wientjes, Y. C. J., Calus, M. P. L., Duenk, P., dan Bijma, P. 2018. Required properties for markers used to calculate unbiased estimates of the genetic correlation between populations. *Genet Sel Evol.* 50: 65.  
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-018-0434-6>

Wiggans, G. R., Cole, J. B., Hubbard, S. M., dan Sonstegard, T. S. 2017. Genomic Selection in Dairy Cattle: The USDA Experience. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences.* 5(1): 309-327. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-021815-111422>

Wittenburg, D., Bonk, S., Doschoris, M., dan Reyer, H. 2020. Design of experiments for fine- mapping quantitative trait loci in livestock populations. *BMC Genetics.* 21: 66. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12863-020-00871-1>

Wolc, A., Kranis, A., Arango, J., Settari, P., Fulton, et al. 2014. Applications of genomic selection in poultry. *Proceedings, 10th World Congress of Genetics Applied to Livestock Production.* Vancouver, BC, Canada August 17-22, 2014.

Wolc, A., Kranis, A., Arango, J., Settari, P., Fulton, J. E., et al. 2016. Implementation of genomic selection in the poultry industry. *Animal Frontiers.* 6(1): 23-31. <https://doi.org/10.2527/af.2016-0004>

Wolc, A., Lisowski, M., dan Szwachkowski, T. 2007. Heritability of egg production in laying hens under cumulative, multitrait and repeated measurement animal models. *Czech J Anim Sci.* 52 (8): 254-259. <https://doi.org/10.17221/2282-CJAS>

Wolc, A., Zhao, H. H., Arango, J., Settari, P., Fulton, J. E., et al. 2015. Response and inbreeding from a genomic selection experiment in layer chickens. *Genetics Selection Evolution.* 47: 59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12711-015-0133-5>

Woodcock, M. E., Gheyas, A. A., Mason, A. S., Nandi, S., Taylor, L., et al. 2019. Reviving rare chicken breeds using genetically engineered sterility in surrogate host birds. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences.* 116(42): 20930-20937. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1906316116>

Xu, Y., Liu, X., Fu, J., Wang, H., Wang, J., et al. 2020. Enhancing genetic gain through genomic selection: from livestock to plants. *Plant Comm.* 1: 100005.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.xplc.2019.100005>



- Yang, J., Weedon, M. N., Purcell, S., Lettre, G., Estrada, K., et al. 2011. Genomic inflation factors under polygenic inheritance. *European Journal of Human Genetics*. 19: 807-812. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2011.39>
- Yang, Y., Zan, Y., Honaker, C. F., Siegel, P. B., dan Carlborg, Ö. 2020. Haplotype purging after relaxation of selection in lines of chickens that had undergone long-term selection for high and low body weight. *Genes*. 11: 630. <https://doi.org/10.3390/genes11060630>
- Yao, J., Zhao, D., Chen, X., Zhang, Y., dan Wang, J. 2018. Use of genomic selection and breeding simulation in cross prediction for improvement of yield and quality in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *The Crop Journals*. 6(4): 353-365. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2018.05.003>
- Yuan, X., Miller, D.J., Zhang, J., Herrington, D., dan Wang, Y. 2012. An Overview of Population Genetic Data Simulation. *Journal of Computational Biology*. 19(1): 42-54. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2010.0188>
- Yuan, J., Sun, C., Dou, T., Yi, G., Qu, L., et al. 2015. Identification of promising mutants associated with egg production traits revealed by genome-wide association study. *PLoS ONE*. 10(10): e0140615. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0140615>
- Zeng, J., Pszczola, M., Wolc, A., Strabel, T., Fernando, R. L., et al. 2012. Genomic breeding value prediction and QTL mapping of QTLMAS2011 data using Bayesian and GBLUP methods. *Proceedings of the 15th European workshop on QTL mapping and marker assisted selection (QTLMAS)*. 6(Suppl 2): S7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1753-6561-6-S2-S7>
- Zhang, X. 2015. *A tutorial on restricted maximum likelihood estimation in linear regression and linear mixed-effects model*. A\*STAR-NUS Clinical Imaging Research Center.
- Zhang, X., Tsuruta, S., Andonov, S., Lourenco, D. A. L., Sapp, R. L., et al. 2018. Relationships among mortality, performance, and disorder traits in broiler chickens: a genetic and genomic approach. *Poultry Science*. 97: 1511-1518. <https://dx.doi.org/10.3382/ps/pex431>
- Zhang, Y. 2016. On the use of p-values in genome wide disease association mapping. *J Biom Biostat*. 7(3): 1000297. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-6180.1000297>
- Zhao, H., Mitra, N., Kanetsky, P. A., Nathanson, K. L., dan Rebbeck, T. R. 2018. A practical approach to adjusting for population stratification in genome-wide association studies: Principal components and propensity scores (PCAPS). *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol*. 17(6): 20170054. <https://doi.org/10.1515/sagmb-2017-0054>
- Zheng, Y. dan Sriram, G. 2010. Mathematical modeling: bridging the gap between concept and realization in synthetic biology. *Journal of Biomedicine and Biotechnology*. 2010: 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/541609>

- Zhou, H., Deeb, N., Evock-Clover, C. M., Ashwell, C. M., dan Lamont, S. J. 2006. Genome-wide linkage analysis to identify chromosomal regions affecting phenotypic traits in the chicken. i. growth and average daily gain. *Poultry Science*. 85(10): 1700-1711. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/85.10.1700>
- Zhou, H., Deeb, N., Evock-Clover, C. M., Mitchel, A. D., Ashwell, C. M., dan Lamont, S. J. 2007. Genome-wide linkage analysis to identify chromosomal regions affecting phenotypic traits in chicken. iii. skeletal integrity. *Poultry Science*. 86(2): 255-266. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/86.2.255>
- Zhou, X. 2021. *GEMMA User Manual*. <https://github.com/geneticsstatistics/GEMMA/blob/master/doc/manual.pdf>
- Zhou, X. dan Stephens, M. 2012. Genome-wide efficient mixed model analysis for association studies. *Nat Genet*. 44(7): 821-824. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2310>
- Zingaretti, M. L., Monfort, A., dan Pérez-Enciso, M. 2019. pSBVB: A versatile simulation tool to evaluate genomic selection in polyploid species. *Genes Genomes Genetics*. 9(2): 327-334. <https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.118.200942>